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# THE 0-1 LAW GENERALIZED FOR NON-DENUMERABLE FAMILIES OF EVENTS AND OF $\sigma$-ALGEBRAS OF EVENTS 

NGUYEN-van-Ho<br>(Received January 27, 1976)

## INTRODUCTION

Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P)$ be a complete probability space. Let $T$ be an arbitrary set of indices, $T=\{t\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{card} T \geqq \operatorname{card} N, \quad \text { where } \quad N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{A_{t}, t \in T\right\} \subset \mathscr{A}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{t}, t \in T\right\}$ be a family of $\sigma$-algebras of events in $\mathscr{A}$. Let $\sigma(\cdot)$ denote the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $(\cdot)$.

In the case card $T=\operatorname{card} N, t=\left\{t_{n}\right\}, n \in N$, the following definitions are wellknown:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim \sup A_{t_{n}}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{t_{k}}(\in \mathscr{A})  \tag{1.2}\\
& \lim \inf A_{t_{n}}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{t_{k}}(\in \mathscr{A}),  \tag{1.3}\\
& \lim \sup \sigma_{t_{n}}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma\left(\sigma_{t_{n},}, \sigma_{t_{n+1}}, \sigma_{t_{n+2}}, \ldots\right) \quad(\text { being a } \sigma \text {-algebra } \subset \mathscr{A}) . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf A_{n}=\Omega \backslash \lim \sup \bar{A}_{n}, \quad \text { where } \quad \bar{A}_{n}=\Omega \backslash A_{n} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two theorems are well known (see, e.g. [1], [2], [3], [4]).
The Borel-Cantelli Lemma. If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}, n \in N$, is a sequence of independent events in $\mathscr{A}$, then $P\left(\lim \sup A_{n}\right)=0$, or $=1$, according to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty$, or $=\infty$, respectively.

The $0-1$ law of Kolmogorov. If $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}, n \in N$, is a sequence of independent $\sigma$-algebras in $\mathscr{A}$, then $\lim \sup \sigma_{n}$ is composed of events of probability 0 or 1 .

In Section 2 the author will generalize the definitions in (1.2)-(1.4) to the definitions of $\underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} A_{t}, \underset{T}{\operatorname{INF}} A_{t}$, and $\underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} \sigma_{t}$, respectively, for the case (1.1).

In Section 3 there will be given results generalizing the Borel-Cantelli Lemma and the $0-1$ law of Kolmogorov.

## 2. GENERAL DEFINITIONS

Let $T, N,\left\{A_{t}, t \in T\right\},\left\{\sigma_{t}, t \in T\right\}$ be given as in Section 1. Let (1.1) be satisfied. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(T)=\left\{\left\{t_{n}\right\}: n \in N, t_{n} \in T, t_{i} \neq t_{j} \quad \text { if } \quad i \neq j \in N\right\}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $S(T)$ is the set of all subsequences $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ of distinct indices of $T$.

Let us define:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP} A_{t}}=\underset{\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)}{\bigcup} \lim \sup A_{t_{n}}=\bigcup_{\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)}^{\bigcup} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{t_{k}},  \tag{2.2}\\
& \underset{T}{\text { INF } A_{t}}=\bigcap_{\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)} \liminf A_{t_{n}}=\bigcap_{\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_{t_{k}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} \sigma_{t}=\sigma\left(\sigma_{\left\{t_{n},\right.},\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\left\{t_{n}\right\}}$ denotes $\lim \sup \sigma_{t_{n}}$.
Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{T}{\operatorname{INF}} A_{t}=\Omega \backslash \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} \bar{A}_{t} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following Lemma shows that the new definitions generalize the ones in (1.2) to (1.4) respectively.

Lemma 1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{card} T=\operatorname{card} N, \quad T=\left\{t_{n}\right\}, \quad n \in N, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP} A_{t}}=\lim \sup A_{t_{n}},  \tag{2.7}\\
& \underset{\Gamma}{\mathrm{INF} A_{t}}=\liminf A_{t_{n}}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} \sigma_{t}=\lim \sup \sigma_{t_{n}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. a) Evidently, $\lim \sup A_{t_{n}} \subset \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} A_{i}$. Now, let $\omega \in \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} A_{i}$. There exists a subsequence $\left\{t_{n(k)}\right\} \in S(T)$ such that $\omega \in \lim \sup A_{t_{n(k)}}$, by (2.2). On the other hand, $\lim \sup A_{t_{n(k)}} \subset \lim \sup A_{t_{n}}$, by (1.2) and by $\left\{t_{n(k)}\right\} \subset\left\{t_{n}\right\}$. Therefore $\operatorname{SUP}_{T} A_{t} \subset$ $\subset \lim \sup A_{t_{n}}$, and (2.7) is proved.
b) (2.8) follows from (1.5), (2.5), and (2.7).
c) Obviously, lim sup $\sigma_{t_{n}} \subset \operatorname{SUP} \sigma_{t}$.

Let $m \in N$ be given. Let $\left\{t_{n(k)}\right\} \in S(T)$. Hence $\left\{t_{n(k)}\right\} \subset\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and $n(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there is a $k(m) \in N$ such that $n(k) \geqq m$ for all $k \geqq k(m)$. One has successively

$$
\lim \sup \sigma_{t_{n(k)}} \subset \sigma\left(\sigma_{t_{m}}, \sigma_{t_{m+1}}, \sigma_{t_{m+2}}, \ldots\right)
$$

for every $\left\{t_{n(k)}\right\} \in S(T)$, by (1.4),

$$
\underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} \sigma_{t} \subset \sigma\left(\sigma_{t_{m}}, \sigma_{t_{m+1}}, \sigma_{t_{m+2}}, \ldots\right)
$$

for every $m \in N$, by (2.4),

$$
\underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} \sigma_{t} \subset \lim \sup \sigma_{t_{n}}, \quad \text { by } \quad \text { (1.4). }
$$

This completes the proof of (2.9).

## 3. RESULTS

Note that when card $T \geqq$ card $N$, SUP $\sigma_{t}$ defined by (2.4) is always a $\sigma$-algebra of events in $\mathscr{A}$, while $\operatorname{SUP} A_{t}$ or INF $A_{t}$ with card $T>\operatorname{card} N$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}$ only under some conditions. However it will be proved in Theorem 1 below that one of them is always an event in $\mathscr{A}$ having probability 1 or 0 respectively.

Theorem 1. Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, P)$ be a complete probability space, and let $\left\{A_{t}, t \in T\right\}$, with $T$ satisfying (1.1), be a family of independent events in $\mathscr{A}$. At least one of the following assertions is always valid:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP} A_{t} \in \mathscr{A}}, & P\left(\underset{A}{\left.\operatorname{SUP} A_{t}\right)}=1,\right. \\
\underset{T}{\operatorname{INF} A_{t} \in \mathscr{A}}, & P\left(\underset{T}{\operatorname{INF}} A_{t}\right)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{array}
$$

More precisely,
(i) (3.1) is satisfied if there exists $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{t_{n}}\right)=\infty, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) (3.2) is satisfied if there exists $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{t_{n}}\right)<\infty \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-P\left(A_{t_{n}}\right)\right)=\infty, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) both (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied if we have (3.3) for some $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)$ as well as (3.4) for some $\left\{t_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \in S(T)$.

Proof. a) If (3.3) is satisfied for some $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)$, then from the Borel-Cantelli Lemma we get $P\left(\lim \sup A_{t_{n}}\right)=1$, i.e.

$$
\left.P(\Omega) \backslash \lim \sup A_{t_{n}}\right)=0 .
$$

Since $\lim \sup A_{t_{n}} \subset \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} A_{t}$, or equivalently $\Omega \backslash \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} A_{t} \subset \Omega \backslash \lim \sup A_{t_{n}}$, one has $\Omega \backslash \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} A_{t} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $P\left(\Omega \backslash \underset{T}{\operatorname{SUP}} A_{t}\right)=0$, by the completeness of the probability space.

Therefore (3.1) is valid.
b) If one of the conditions in (3.4) is satisfied for some $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)$, we have then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\bar{A}_{t_{n}}\right)=\infty$. Now (3.2) follows from (2.5) and the proof above for $\left\{\bar{A}_{t}, t \in T\right\}$.

The following Theorem generalizes the $0-1$ law of Kolmogorov.
Theorem 2. Let $\left\{\sigma_{t}, t \in T\right\}$ with card $T \geqq$ card $N$ be a family of independent $\sigma$-algebras contained in $\mathscr{A}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A)=0 \text { or }=1 \quad \text { for all } \quad A \in \operatorname{SUP}_{T} \sigma_{t} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}=\{A: A \in \mathscr{A}, P(A)=0 \text { or }=1\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $0-1$ law of Kolmogorov implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M} \supset \sigma_{\left\{t_{n}\right\}} \text { for every } \quad\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.6) that
(a) $A, B \in \mathfrak{M} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathfrak{M i}$,
(b) $A \in \mathfrak{M i} \Rightarrow \bar{A} \in \mathfrak{M}$,
(c) $\Omega \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Hence $\mathfrak{M}$ is an algebra containing the family $\left(\sigma_{\left\{t_{n}\right\}},\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)\right)$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{M}$ is a monotone class. In fact, let $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{M}, A_{n} \uparrow$, then

$$
P\left(\lim \uparrow A_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(A_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if there is } A_{k} \text { such that } P(A)=1, \\ 0 & \text { if } P\left(A_{n}\right)=0 \text { for all } n \in N\end{cases}
$$

Hence $\lim \uparrow A_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Similarly, one has also $\lim \downarrow A_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}$ for $A_{n} \downarrow$ in $\mathfrak{M}$. Therefore $\mathscr{M}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra containing

$$
\sigma\left(\sigma_{\left\{t_{n},\right.},\left\{t_{n}\right\} \in S(T)\right)=\operatorname{SUP}_{T} \sigma_{t} .
$$

This completes the proof.
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## Souhrn

## ZÁKON 0-1 ZOBECNĚNÝ PRO NESPOČETNÉ SYSTÉMY JEVU゚ A JEVOVÝCH $\sigma$-ALGEBER <br> NGUYEN-van-Ho

Pojmy $\lim \sup A_{n}, \lim \inf A_{n}$ pro posloupnosti množin $A_{n}$ a pojem $\lim \sup \sigma_{n}$ pro posloupnosti $\sigma$-algeber $\sigma_{n}$ jsou v článku zobecněny pro nespočetné systémy množin, resp. $\sigma$-algeber. Na základě těchto zobecněných definic se pak dokazuje určitá slabší obdoba Borelova-Cantelliho lemmatu pro nespočetné systémy množin $A_{t}, t \in T$, a přímé zobecnění Kolmogorovova $0-1$ zákona pro nespočetné systémy $\sigma$-algeber $\sigma_{t}, t \in T$.
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