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# ON CONVERGENCE OF HOMOGENEOUS MARKOV CHAINS 

Petr Kratochvíl

(Received December 24, 1981)

In the paper we study the validity of an inequality, which may be useful in investigating the character of convergence of distributions in Markov chains.

Let $\boldsymbol{P}=\left(p_{i j}\right)$ be a finite stochastic matrix, $\sum_{j} \boldsymbol{p}_{i j}=1$, and let $\boldsymbol{p}_{t}, \boldsymbol{p}_{t+1}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}_{t+2}=\boldsymbol{p}_{t+1} \boldsymbol{P}$ be row vectors of distributions of probabilities in the corresponding Markov chain. We denote the matrix-transposition by a prime and the norm of a vector $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ by $\|\mathbf{x}\|=\sum_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|$. The corresponding norm of the matrix $\boldsymbol{P}$ is $\|\boldsymbol{P}\|=\max _{i} \sum_{j} p_{i j}=1$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{p}_{t+2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{t+1}\right\|=\left\|\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{t+1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{t}\right) \boldsymbol{P}\right\| \leqq\left\|\boldsymbol{p}_{t+1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{t}\right\| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of simple calculations it is easy to prove that even the strict inequality holds for two-state Markov chains in (1) in nontrivial cases. Professor Alladi Ramakrishnan*) has conjuctured that the strict inequality holds for every irreducible aperiodic homogeneous Markov chain**). However, the conjecture turns out not to be true in general. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for its validity in the following

Theorem Let $X_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots$, be an irreducible aperiodic homogeneous Markov chain with a finite state space $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$. Denote the absolute distributions by $\boldsymbol{p}_{t}(i)=P\left(X_{t}=s_{i}\right), s_{i} \in S$, and the row vector by $\boldsymbol{p}_{t}=\left(p_{t}(1), p_{t}(2), \ldots, p_{t}(k)\right)$ at a time $t$.

Then the strict inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|p_{t+2}(i)-p_{t+1}(i)\right|<\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|p_{t+1}(i)-p_{t}(i)\right| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]holds for each nonstationary $\mathbf{p}_{t}$ if and only if the product $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ is a positive matrix, i.e. if and only if for each pair of distinct states $s_{i}, s_{j} \in S, i \neq j$, there is a state $s_{m} \in S$ such that there are transitions to the state $s_{m}, p_{i m}>0, p_{j m}>0$.

Remark. In the case of a two-state Markov chain, the assumptions of Theorem imply positivity of the matrix $\boldsymbol{P}$, therefore (2) is satisfied as we have mentioned.

Introduce a set of vectors

$$
Z=\left\{\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|x_{i}\right|>0\right\} .
$$

In the proof of Theorem, we shall use the following
Lemma. Under the suppositions of Theorem, the inequality (2) holds for each nonstationary $\mathbf{p}_{t}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} p_{j i}\right|<\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|x_{i}\right| \quad \text { for each } \quad \mathbf{x} \in Z . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma. Sufficiency of the condition (3). Put $x_{i}=p_{t+1}(i)-p_{t}(i)$. Then $x \in Z$ and (3) implies (2) immediately.

Necessity of (3). Let the relations (2) be not true, i.e. let there exist $\mathbf{b} \in Z$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{k} b_{m} p_{m i}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|b_{i}\right|
$$

(Notice that the left hand side cannot be greater than the right hand side:

$$
\left.\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{k} b_{m} p_{m i}\right| \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k}\left|b_{m}\right| p_{m i}=\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left|b_{m}\right| \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{m i} \cdot\right)
$$

Denote by $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{k}\right)$ a stationary distribution of the chain under consideration. The irreducibility implies $0<\pi_{i}<1$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Since ( 1 ) is is a simple characteristic root of $\boldsymbol{P}$, the rank of the matrix of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_{j} p_{j m}-z_{m}=b_{m}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

of linear equations is equal to $k-1$. Hence, $\sum_{m=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_{j} p_{j m}-z_{m}\right)=0=\sum_{m=1}^{k} b_{m}$ implies that the system (4) possesses a nonzero solution $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)$. The vector $\mathbf{z}$ cannot be proportional to $\pi$, for $\pi$ is a solution of the corresponding homogeneous system. There is a sufficiently small positive constant $c$ such that $x_{m}=$ $=\pi_{m}+c z_{m}>0$ for all $m=1,2, \ldots, k$. Denote $d=\sum_{m=1}^{k} x_{m}$ and $p_{t}(m)=x_{m} / d$. The vector $\boldsymbol{p}_{t}=\left(p_{t}(1), p_{t}(2), \ldots, p_{t}(k)\right)$ is not proportional to $\pi$, therefore it is a nonstationary distribution and it is a solution of a system analogous to (4) with the right hand sides replaced by $c b_{m} / d, m=1,2, \ldots, k$. We get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|p_{t+2}(i)-p_{t+1}(i)\right|= \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{t}(j) p_{j m} p_{m i}-\sum_{m=1}^{k} p_{t}(m) p_{m i}\right|= \\
=(c / d) \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{k} p_{m i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_{j} p_{j m}-z_{m}\right)\right|=(c / d) \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{m=1}^{k} p_{m i} b_{m}\right|= \\
=(c / d) \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|b_{i}\right|=(c / d) \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_{j} p_{j i}-z_{i}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|p_{t+1}(i)-p_{t}(i)\right|,
\end{gathered}
$$

which means that (2) is not true.
Proof of Theorem. Necessity of the condition. Suppose that $P P^{\prime}$ is not positive, i.e. there are $s$ and $u$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{s j} p_{u j}=0$. Put $x_{s}=1, x_{u}=-1$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $s_{k} \neq i \neq u$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{k} x_{j}=0$ and the vector $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ belongs to $Z$. However, $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} p_{j i}\right|=$ $=\sum_{k i=1}^{k}\left|p_{s i}-p_{u i}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(p_{s i}+\left|-p_{u i}\right|\right)=2$ as $p_{s i}$ or $p_{u i}$ equals zero for all $i$. The identity $\sum_{j=1}^{k i=1}\left|x_{j}\right|=2$ means that (3) is not true . According to Lemma, (2) is not satisfied, either. Sufficiency of the condition. Denote $M=\left\{i ; x_{i} \geqq 0\right\}$ and $L=\left\{\left\{i ; x_{i}<0\right\}\right.$. Denote for brevity $c=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|x_{i}\right|$. We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in M} x_{j}=-\sum_{j \in L} x_{j}=c / 2 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, denote $r_{i}=\sum_{j \in M} x_{j} p_{j i}, s_{i}=-\sum_{j \in L} x_{j} p_{j i}, \quad A=\left\{i, i_{k} r_{i} \geqq s_{i}\right\}$,
 and of course, $\sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{B}} r_{i}=c / 2-r, \sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{B}}^{i \in A} s_{i}=c / 2-s$. We get $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} p_{j i}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{k i=1}\left|r_{i}-s_{i}\right|=$ $=\sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{A}}\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{B}}\left(s_{i}-r_{i}\right)=(r-s)+(r-s)=2(r-s)$. Since both the numbers $r$ and $s$ are in the square $0 \leqq r \leqq c / 2,0 \leqq s \leqq c / 2$, the inequality $2(r-c) \leqq c$ is true.

Now, if (2) is not satisfied, then the equivalent condition (3) is not satisfied either, which means $2(r-s)=c$. Moreover, this identity holds if and only if $r=c / 2$ and $s=0$. i.e., if $0=c / 2-r=\sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{B}} r_{i}=\sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{B}} \sum_{j \in M} x_{j} p_{j i}, \quad 0=s=\sum_{i \in A} s_{i}=-\sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}} x_{j} p_{j i}$. The sum of the components of the nonzero vector $\mathbf{x}$ equals zero, therefore $x_{v}>0$, $x_{u}<0$ for some suitable $v \in M$ and $u \in L$, i.e. $p_{u i}=0$ for each $i \in A$ and $p_{v i}=0$ for each $i \in B$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{u i} p_{v i}=0$, which means that the product $\mathbf{P P}^{\prime}$ is not positive.
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Necht $p_{t}$ značí vektor rozložení absolutních pravděpodobností v nerozložitelném aperiodickém homogenním Markovově řetězci s konečným prostorem stavů. Profesor Alladi Ramakrishnan navrhl následující ostrou nerovnost pro normy rozdílủ

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{p}_{t+2}-\boldsymbol{p}_{t+1}\right\|<\left\|\boldsymbol{p}_{t+1}-\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{t}}\right\| .
$$

V článku je dokázána nutná a postačující podmínka pro platnost této nerovnosti, což múže být užitečné při zkoumání charakteru konvergence rozložení v markovových řetězcích.
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