Václav Havel One characterization of special translation planes

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 3 (1967), No. 3, 157--160

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104639

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ONE CHARACTERIZATION OF SPECIAL TRANSLATION PLANES

Václav HAVEL (BRNO)

Received June 5, 1967

§1 M. Hall gives in [1] a description of near-field planes using some permutation groups. This concept will be now adapted also for affine planes over Veblen-Wedderburn systems with the right inverse property.

Let S be a set with at least two distinct elements. Let Σ and Σ^* be sets of permutations of S satisfying the following conditions:

(a) Let x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 be elements of S such that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$. Then there is precisely one $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $x_1^{\sigma} = y_1$ and $x_2^{\sigma} = y_2$.

(b) Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $x_0, y_0 \in S$ with $x_0^{\alpha} \neq y_0$. Then there is at most one $\beta \in \Sigma$ such that $x_0^{\beta} = y_0$ and that $\beta^{-1} \bigcirc \alpha$ changes all elements of S.

(c) If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ then $\sigma^{-1} \in \Sigma$.

(d) The identity permutation id_S belongs to Σ^* , Σ^* is properly contained in Σ and each $\sigma \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^*$ keeps one element of S fixed.

(e) If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma^* \in \Sigma^*$ then $\sigma^* \bigcirc \sigma \in \Sigma$.

(f) The sets $\lambda_{\sigma^*} = \{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid y = x^{\sigma^*}\}, \sigma^* \in \Sigma^*$ form a decomposition of $S \times S$.

Now we deduce some conclusions.

1. (Σ^*, \bigcirc) is a group. In fact, by (e) we have $\beta \bigcirc \alpha \in \Sigma$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^*$. From (f) it follows that $\beta \bigcirc \alpha$ changes each element of S so that $\beta \bigcirc \alpha \in \Sigma^*$ by (d). If $\alpha \in \Sigma^*$ then $\alpha^{-1} \in \Sigma^*$ by (b). Following (f), α^{-1} must change all elements of S. Consequently by (c) it is $\alpha^{-1} \in \Sigma^*$. But $id_S \in \Sigma^*$ by (d) so that (Σ^*, \bigcirc) is a group. Q.E.D.

2. Let $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $x_0, y_0 \in S$ with $x_0^{\alpha} \neq y_0$. Then there is at least one $\beta \in \Sigma$ such that $x_0^{\beta} = y_0$ and that $\beta_0^{-1} \bigcirc \alpha$ displaces all elements of S. In fact, the sets $\lambda_{\iota \circ \alpha} = \{(x, y) \mid y = x^{\circ \circ \alpha}\}, \sigma^* \in \Sigma^*$ form a decomposition of $S \times S$ by (e) and (f). So there is a $\beta^* \in \Sigma^*$ such that $x_0^{\beta \circ \alpha} = y_0$ and that $\lambda_{\beta \circ \alpha}, \lambda_{\alpha}$ are disjoint. But this means that $(\beta^* \bigcirc \alpha)^{-1} \bigcirc \alpha$ displaces each element of S. Q.E.D.

3. $\mathbf{A} = (S \times S, L, \epsilon)$ is an affine plane where $L = \{\{(x, y) \mid x = a\} \mid \alpha \in S\} \cup \{\{(x, y) \mid y = b\} \mid b \in S\} \cup \{\{(x, y) \mid y = x^o\} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$. In fact using (a), (b), Proposition 2 and the assumption card $S \ge 2$ we easily verify the axioms of affine planes: Any two points are contained in exactly one common line. Through each point it goes precisely one line

parallelly to a given line (i.e. disjoint to a given line or coinciding with it). There are three points which are not contained in the same line. Q.E.D.

Now choose in **A** a coordinatizing frame F consisting of the points O, J_x, J, J_y forming a parallelogram such that $O = (0, 0), J_x = (1, 0),$ $J = (1, 1), J_y = (0, 1)$ where 0, 1 are some distinct elements of $S^{.1}$) The Hall's coordinatization principle ([2]) gives the ternary operation τ : $S \times S \times S \to S$ where $\tau(x, 0, v) = v$ for all $x, v \in S$ and $\tau(x, u, v) =$ = y for $u \neq 0$ should mean that the point (x, y) lies on the line going through (0, v) parallelly to the line O(1, u). The derived addition and multiplication on S are defined by $x_t^* v = \tau(x, 1, v)$ and $x_t u = \tau(x, u, 0)$.

4. The linearity property $\tau(x, u, v) = x_{\tau}u_{\tau}^{+}v$ is valid. In fact, denote $\alpha^*: t \to t_{\tau}^+ v$ and $\alpha: x \to x_{\tau}u$. Then $\alpha^* \in \Sigma^*$, $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma^* \bigcirc \sigma: x \to x_{\tau}u_{\tau}^+ v$ belongs also to Σ , by (e). Since $0^{\sigma_{5\sigma}} = v$ and $1^{c^*c_{\sigma}} = u_{\tau}^+ v$ (by $0_{\tau}u = 0$ and $1_{\tau}u = u$), it follows $\sigma^* \bigcirc \sigma: x \to \tau(x, u, v)$. Thus $\tau(x, u, v) = x_{\tau}u_{\tau}^+ v$. Q.E.D.

5. The right inverse property $(b_i^*a)_i^* a'' = b$ holds for all $a \in S \setminus \{0\}$, $b \in S$ where $a'' \in S$ is determined by $a_i^*a'' = 1$. Consequently $a''_i a = 1$ (so that a'' can by denoted us usually by a^{-1}). In fact, let $a \neq 0$. Consider the inverse mapping $\alpha^{-1} : y \to y_i c_i^* d$ of the mapping $\alpha : x \to x_i a$. Here α must belong to Σ so that by (c) we have $\alpha^{-1} \in \Sigma$. From $0^{\alpha} = 0$ and $1^{\alpha} = a$ it follows d = 0 and c = a''. Thus $\alpha^{-1} \odot \alpha : x \to (x_i a)_i^* a''$. Let $a' \in S$ be determined by $a'_i a = 1$. Then for x = a' we obtain $(a')^{\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha} = a''$, i.e. a' = a''. Q.E.D.

6. (S, +) is a group. This follows at ence from the definition of + and from Proposition 1. The element 0 is neutral for the investigated group. Q.E.D.

7. The distributivity law $(a_t^{+}b)_t c = a_t^{-}c_t^{+}b_t^{-}c$ holds. In fact, choose $\beta: x \to x_t^{-}a_t^{+}b$ where $a, b \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Then β^{-1} has the form $x \to x_t^{-}a_t^{-+}c$ because for $\alpha: x \to x_t^{-}a$ we have $\alpha^{-1}: x \to x_t^{-}a^{-1}$ and consequently $\alpha^{-1} \bigcirc \beta, \alpha \bigcirc \beta^{-1}$ change all elements of S. Thus $\beta^{-1} \bigcirc \beta: x \to (x_t a^{-1}t_t^{+}c)_t^{-1}a_t^{+}b$. For x = 0 we obtain $0 = c_t^{-}a_t^{+}b$ and for $x = b_t^{-}a$ we have $b_t^{-}a_t^{+}x_t^{-}a = (b_t^{-}a_t^{-1}t_t^{-}c)_t^{-}a$, i.e. $b_t^{-}a_t^{+}c_t^{-}a = (b_t^{+}c)_t^{-}a$. The cases a = 0, b = 0 are easily to consider. Q.E.D.

The arguments of [3] imply now the commutativity of $\frac{1}{\tau}$ and as a final result, $(S, \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau})$ is shown to be a Veblen-Wedderburn system (in the sense of [4]) with the right inverse property. Conversely, if (S, +, .) is a Veblen-Wedderburn system with the right inverse property then the set Σ of all mappings $x \to x \cdot u + v(u \in S \setminus \{0\}, v \in S)$ and the set Σ^* of all mappings

¹) If **A** is an affine line with a general coordinatizing frame $\mathfrak{F} = OJ_x JJ_y$ then we shall use the denotation $OJ_x = \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$, $OJ_y = \eta_{\mathfrak{F}}$, $OJ = \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$. A parallelogram *ABCD* will be called \mathfrak{F} -distinguished if $AB \parallel CD \parallel \eta_{\mathfrak{F}}$, $DA \parallel BC \parallel \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $BD = \zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

 $x \to x + w(w \in S)$ satisfy conditions (a) to (f). The evident proof may be omitted. Of course, the alternative field is a particular case of the above Veblen-Wedderburn system. But no example of a proper (i.e. nondistributive and non-associative) Veblen-Wedderburn system with the right inverse property is known to the author.

As a complement we shall formulate still two assertions:

8. For the plane **A** of Proposition 3, $\sigma \in \Sigma$, $\sigma^* \in \Sigma^* \Rightarrow \sigma^{-1} \bigcirc \sigma^* \bigcirc \sigma^* \bigcirc \sigma \in \Sigma$. In fact, let $\sigma : x \to x_t^* u_{\tau} v$ and $\sigma^* : x \to x_t^* w (u \in S \setminus \{0\}, v \in S, w \in S)$. Then $\sigma^{-1} : x \to x_t^* u^{-1+} (_{\tau}^- v)_{\tau} u^{-1}, \sigma^{-1} \bigcirc \sigma^* \bigcirc \sigma : x \to (x_{\tau} u^{-1+} (_{\tau}^- v)_{\tau} u^{-1+} (_{\tau}^- v)^{-1+} (_{\tau}^-$

9. Let **A** be an arbitrary affine plane with a coordinatizing frame \mathfrak{F} . Let Σ be the set of all mappings $x \to \tau(x, u, v)$ with $u \in S \setminus \{0\}, v \in S$ where τ is the corresponding ternary operation. Then (c) \Leftrightarrow (I) where

(I) If ABCD is a variable \mathfrak{F} -distinguished parallelogram with the point A ranging over a line $m \operatorname{non} || \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{F}}$, then C ranges also over a line.

The proof may be omitted. This configuration theorem (I) can be used for a geometrical proof of Proposition 5.

2 Now we shall investigate the independence of the "inversing" operation and express the corresponding situations by some algebraic or geometric conditions.

Let **A** be the plane of Proposition 3. We shall suppose that the coordinatizing frame $\mathfrak{F} = OJ_x JJ_y$ introduced after Proposition 3 is now fixed. If $\mathfrak{F}' = OJ_x J'J'_y$ is a coordinatizing frame then define the *inversing* operation $i_{\mathfrak{F}'}$ as the mapping $S \setminus \{0\} \to S \setminus \{0\}$ which sends each element $a \in S \setminus \{0\}$ onto the element $a' \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $1 = \tau'(a', a, 0)$ where τ' is the ternary operation determined by \mathfrak{F}' .

10. The left inverse property $a^{-1}_{\tau}(a;b) = b$ is valid iff $\imath_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is independent on the change of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$, by fixed $\eta_{\mathfrak{F}}$. In fact, take $a \in S \setminus \{0\}, b \in S \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and construct the line $\{(x, y) \mid y = x_{\tau}b\}$, the points $(a, a_{\tau}b)$, (1, a;b), (1, b), the line $\{(x, y) \mid y = x_{\tau}(a;b)\}$ and finally the point $(a^{-1}, a^{-1}_{\tau}(a;b))$. The mentioned independence of $\imath_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is now expressed by $(a^{-1}, a^{-1}_{\tau}(a;b)) \in \{x, y\} \mid y = b\}$. Q.E.D.

11. The independence of $\imath_{\mathfrak{F}}$ on the choice of $\eta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ by fixed $\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a equivalent to

(II) If $A_0A_1A_2A_3A_4A_5$, $B_0B_1B_2B_3B_4B_5$ are polygonal lines with $A_0 = B_0 = (a, 0) \neq 0$; $A_1 = (a, a)$; $A_0A_1 \parallel A_2A_3 \parallel A_4A_5$; $A_1A_2 \parallel \|A_3A_4 \parallel \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$; $J \in A_2A_3$; $A_3 \in \zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$; $A_4 \in OA_2$, $A_5 \in \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$; $B_1 = (b, b) \neq 0$; $B_0B_1 \parallel B_2B_3 \parallel B_4B_5$; $B_1B_2 \parallel B_3B_4 \parallel \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$; $B_3 \in \zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$; $B_4 \in OB_2$; $B_5 \in \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$ then $A_5 = B_5$.

The proof is obvious.

12. Let A satisfy the harmonic point axiom ([5]). Then $v_{\mathfrak{B}'}$ is independent on the change of $\eta_{\mathfrak{B}'}$ by fixed $\zeta_{\mathfrak{B}'}$, as the latter of the same set of the sam

Proof. If suffices to verify the configuration theorem (I). Let all assumptions of (I) be satisfied; we have to show that $A_5 = B_5$. Both polygonal lines $A_0A_1A_2A_3A_4A_5$, $B_0B_1B_2B_3B_4B_5$ can be "shortened" onto $A_0A_1JA_3A_5$, $A_0B_1JB_3B_5$ where $A_1J \parallel A_3A_5$, $BJ \parallel B_3B_5$.²) Now $A_5 = B_5$ follows by the further lemma: Let Q be constructed using the polygonal line ABJCQ with $B = (b, b) \neq 0$, $JC \parallel AB$, $C \in \zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$, $CQ \parallel JB$, $Q \in \xi_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then $Q = (a^{-1}, 0)$. In fact, let h be the line through $(a^{-1}, 0)$ parallelly to BJ. The equations of JC and h are $y = (x_7^-1)_7$ $((b_7^-a)^{-1}b]$ and $y = (x_7a)^{-1}_7((b_7^-1)^{-1}b)$ respectively. The point of instersection C' of these lines lies on $\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ iff $x = (x_7^-1)_7((b_7a)^{-1}b) = (x_7a^{-1})_7((b_71)^{-1}b)$, i.e. iff $x_7(1^7b^{-1}a) = x_7a^{-1}$. By the distributivity law $x_7(y_7^+z) = x_7y_7x_7z$ we obtain in both cases $x = a^{-1}b$ so that C = C'. Q.E.D.

Corollary. If A satisfies the harmonic point axiom then $\imath_{\mathfrak{F}'}$ is independent on the choice of $\eta_{\mathfrak{F}'}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}'}$.

For the case $1 + 1 \neq 0$ this is proved by other methods in [6].

13. Let **A** be such that the left inverse property (cf. Proposition 10) be valid. Construct the polygonal line $A_0A_1A_2A_3A_4$ with $A_0 = (a, 0) \neq 0$, $A_1 = (1, a), A_2 = (1, 0), A_3 = (a^{-1}, 1), A_4 = (a^{-1}, 0)$. Then $A_0A_1 \parallel A_2A_3$

It is an open problem whether the independence of $i_{\mathfrak{F}}$ on the choice of $\eta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}}$ implies the remaining distributivity law.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Hall, The theory of groups, N. York 1959, § 20.7.
- [2] The same book, § 20.3.
- [3] G. Pickert, Projektive Ebenen, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1955, p. 91.
- [4] Cf. the cited Hall's book, § 20.4.
- [5] N. S. Mendelsohn, Non-Desarguesian plane geometries which satisfy the harmonic point axiom, Canad. Journ. Math. 8 (1956), 532-562; cf. p. 540.
- [6] Cf. Mendelsohn's article, pp. 550-551.