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# NOTE ON CERTAIN PARTITIONS OF POINTS IN R ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 

N. Poláková, Brno

(Received December 5, 1972)
Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a finite set of points in the $d$-dimensional Euclidean space $R^{\text {d }}$. In [4] Radon partitions of types $\{r, s\}$ (i.e. $\mathscr{X}$ admits a partition into non-empty subsets $\mathscr{X}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{X}_{2}$ such that card $\mathscr{X}_{1}=r$, card $\mathscr{X}_{2}=s$ and $\operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}_{1} \cap \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}_{2} \neq \varnothing$ ) are studied. In this note a similar question for the cone hulls (with certain singularity) is solved.

Let $o$ be the fixed origin and $\mathscr{X}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{f}\right)$ be an $f$-tuple of (not necessarily different) points in the $d$-dimensional Euclidean space $R^{\mathrm{d}}, f \geqq d+2$, for which $o \notin \mathscr{X}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{X}=d$. We say that $\mathscr{X}$ has the property $(r), r$ being a natural number, $1 \leqq r \leqq f-1$, if there exists $J \subset F=\{1,2, \ldots, f\}$ such that card $J=r$ and either $\operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}(J) \cap \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}(F-J)=\{0\}$ or cone $\mathscr{X}(J) \cap$ cone $\mathscr{X}(F-J)$ contains a ray. (By $\mathscr{X}(J)$ we denote the $n$-tuple ( $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{n}}$ ) with indices $J=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \subset F$.)

Let $x_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, f$ in a basis $\mathscr{K}$. We shall consider the $f$ by $d$ matrix

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{11} & \ldots & x_{1 \mathrm{~d}} \\
\ldots & \ldots & . \\
x_{\mathrm{f} 1} & \ldots & x_{\mathrm{fd}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and we put $L(X)=\operatorname{lin}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(\mathrm{d})}\right)$, where $x^{(\mathrm{i})} \in R^{\mathrm{f}}$ is the ith column in $X, D(X)$. its orthogonal complement in $R^{\text {f }}$. It is $\operatorname{dim} L(X)=d, \operatorname{dim} D(X)=f-d$.

Forming the matrix

$$
\bar{X}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{11} & \ldots & \alpha_{1 f_{-d}} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

whose columns $\alpha^{(j)}, j=1, \ldots, f-d$ form a basis of $D(X)$, we shall assign the $i$ th row $\bar{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \in R^{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{d}}$ of $\bar{X}$ to each $x_{\mathrm{i}}, i \in F$; the $f$-tuple $\bar{X}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ of these points in $R^{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}}$ is called a linear representation of $\mathscr{X}$ (see [5]).

By an affine representation (or Gale transform) we understand an $f$-tuple $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}=$ $=\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{\mathrm{f}}\right), \tilde{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{1 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}-1}\right) i=1, \ldots, f$ of points in $R^{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{d}-1}$, where the columns of the matrix

$$
\binom{\beta_{11} \ldots \beta_{1 f-d-1}}{\ldots \ldots}
$$

form a basis of the orthogonal complement of the $(d+1)$-space $\operatorname{lin}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(d)}, 1\right)$ in $R^{\mathrm{f}}$ (see [3], 5.4, $o \in \mathscr{X}$ possibly.)
$\left(^{*}\right)$ Under the assumption of $o \in \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}$ we denote by $K$ the $k$-face of the polytope $\operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}, \mathrm{l} \leqq k \leqq d$, for which $o \in \operatorname{relint} K$ and put $G=\left\{i \in F \mid x_{1} \in K\right\}, g=$ card $G$.

By $h(X)$ we shall denote the dimension of the projection of $D(X)$ on the coordinate ( $f-g$ )-space in $R^{\text {t }}$ determined by the axes with indices $F-G$ in the direction of
the complementary coordinate $g$-space; according to the definition we put $h(X)=-1$ if $G=\bar{F}$.

1. Under the situation $\left(^{*}\right)$ it is $h(X)=h\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, where $X$ or $X^{\prime}$ are the matrices belonging to $\mathscr{X}$ in two arbitrary Cartesian systems $\mathscr{K}$ or $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$ in $R^{\mathrm{d}}$, respectively, with the same origin o.

Proof. We simplify the denotation as follows: We denote by $G$ or $H=G \perp$ the coordinate $g$-space or its orthogonal complement, resp. and let $1,2, \ldots, g$ be the indices of the coordinate axes of $G$. We shall write briefly $D, D^{\prime}, h, h^{\prime}$ instead of $D(X), D\left(X^{\prime}\right), h(X), h\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

Putting $\beta=D \cap H, \beta^{\prime}=D^{\prime} \cap H$ we shall prove that $\operatorname{dim} \beta=\operatorname{dim} \beta^{\prime}$. To this purpose we denote the $g$ by $(f-d)$ matrix formed from the first $g$ rows of $\bar{X}$ or $\bar{X}^{\prime}$ by $X^{*}$ or $X^{\prime *}$, resp. Then it is $\beta=\left\{x \in R^{\mathrm{t}} \mid x=\bar{X} \bar{\lambda}\right.$, where $\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda=\left\{\lambda \in R^{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}} \mid X^{*} \lambda=\right.$ $=o\}\}, \quad \beta^{\prime}=\left\{x \in R^{\mathbf{t}} \mid x=\bar{X}^{\prime} \bar{\lambda}\right.$, where $\left.\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda^{\prime}=\left\{\lambda \in R^{t-d} \mid X^{\prime *} \lambda=o\right\}\right\}$. Since the columns of $X$ and $\bar{X}^{\prime}$ are linearly independent, it is $\operatorname{dim} \beta=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda, \operatorname{dim} \beta^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda^{\prime}$. Considering that $X^{\prime *}=X^{*} R$ for a suitable regular matrix $R$ (As $R$ we can take a regular matrix such that $\bar{X}^{\prime}=\bar{X} R$ which exists because the column vectors of both matrices form the spaces of the same dimension $f-d$ ), it is $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda=\operatorname{dim} \Lambda^{\prime}$ and hence $\operatorname{dim} \beta=\operatorname{dim} \beta^{\prime}$.

Replacing $H$ by $G$ we shall prove that $\operatorname{dim} \gamma=\operatorname{dim} \gamma^{\prime}$, where $\gamma=G \cap D, \gamma^{\prime}=$ $=G \cap D^{\prime}$. If we put $\delta=\beta_{\mathrm{D}}^{\perp}$ (the orthogonal complement of $\beta$ in $D$ ), $\varepsilon=\gamma_{\delta}^{\perp}, \delta^{\prime}=$ $=\beta^{\prime} \frac{\perp}{D^{\prime}}, \varepsilon^{\prime}=\gamma^{\prime} \frac{\perp}{\delta^{\prime}}$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \delta=\operatorname{dim} \delta^{\prime}, \operatorname{dim} \varepsilon=\operatorname{dim} \varepsilon^{\prime}$ and since $h=\operatorname{dim} \beta+$ $+\operatorname{dim} \varepsilon, h^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \beta^{\prime}+\operatorname{dim} \varepsilon^{\prime}$, it follows $h=h^{\prime}$.

Remark. Thus the number $h(\mathscr{X})$ can be defined by the relation $h(\mathscr{X})=h(X)$, where $X$ corresponds to arbitrary basis in $R^{\text {d }}$ with the origin $o$ (under conditions (*)).
2. $\mathscr{X}$ has the property $(r)$ if and only if there exists $J \subset F$, caxd $J=r$ and a hyperplane $H$ in $R^{\ell-\mathrm{d}}, o \in H$ such that $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(J) \subset H_{1}, \overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-J) \subset H_{2}$, where $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are closed halfspaces determined by $H$ and int $H_{1} \cap \bar{X}(J) \neq \varnothing \neq \operatorname{int} H_{2} \cap \bar{X}(F-J)$.

Such a separation is called the semiseparation of points.
Proof. I. Let $\mathscr{X}$ have the property ( $r$ ), i.e. there exists $J \subset F$, card $J=r$ and a point $b \in R^{\mathrm{f}}, b=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ such that $\sum_{i \in F} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}}=o, \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \geqq 0$ for $i \in J, \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \leqq 0$ for $i \in F-J$ and at least in one case there holds the inequality. Since $b \in D(X)$, it is $b=\sum_{j=1}^{f-d} \gamma_{\mathrm{j}} \alpha^{(\mathrm{j})}$. Put $c=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}}\right)$. It holds $\left(c, \bar{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\beta_{\mathrm{i}}$ for each $i \in F$. Thus the hyperplane in $R^{\text {f-d }}$ whose normal is determined by $c$ semiseparates the $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(J)$ and $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(\boldsymbol{F}-J)$.
II. On the contrary, let $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(J), \overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-J)$ be semiseparated by the hyperplane with $c=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}}\right)$ as its normal. Put $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(c, \bar{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for $i \in F, b=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$. Then $\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \geqq 0$ for $i \in J, \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \leqq 0$ for $i \in F-J$ and in both cases at leest one inequality appears. It holds $b=\sum_{j=1}^{f-d} \gamma_{i} \alpha^{(\mathrm{j})}$, and hence $\sum_{i=1}^{f} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}}=o$. From this it follows that $\sum_{i \in J} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the common point of cone $\mathscr{X}(J)$ and cone $\mathscr{X}(F-J)$. If $\sum_{i \in J} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}}=o$, it is $o \in$ $\operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}(J) \cap \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}(F-J)$ and if $\sum_{i=J} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} x_{1} \neq o$, then cone $\mathscr{X}(J)$ and cone $\mathscr{X}(F-J)$ have the common ray.
3. (see [2], 358). If the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{f}} \in R^{\mathrm{d}}$ satisfy the condition $o \in \operatorname{int}$ conv $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{f}}\right\}$, then there exist positive numbers $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, f$ such that $o=\sum_{i=1}^{f} \lambda_{i} x_{\mathrm{i}}$.
4. Under the situation $\left(^{*}\right)$ a hyperplane $H$ of $R^{\mathbf{t}-\mathrm{d}}, o \in H$ exists for which $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G) \subset$ $\subset H, \overline{\mathscr{X}}(G)$ lies in one of open halfspaces determined by $H$, cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)=\operatorname{lin} \overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-$ $-G)$ and $h(\mathscr{X})=\operatorname{dim}$ cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$.

Proof. Since $o \in \operatorname{relint} K$, it is (according to 3) $o=\sum_{i=1}^{f} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}}$ for a suitable ( $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) where $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ for $i \in G, \beta_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ for $i \in F-G$. From this it follows that $b=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \beta_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \in D(X)$ and thus $b=\sum_{j=1}^{f-d} \gamma_{\mathrm{j}} \alpha^{(\mathrm{j})}$ for some $c=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}}\right) ; c$ is the normal vector of the required hyperplane $H$ because of $\left(c, \bar{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\beta_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $i \in F$. Further on it holds that, for no supporting hyperplane of conv $\bar{X}$ through $o$, more than $g$ points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ lie in the corresponding open halfspace. (In fact, if more than $g$ points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ lay in the open halfspace determined by such hyperplane, then there would exist more than $g$ points of $\mathscr{X}$ lying in $K$.) From this it follows cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)=$ $\operatorname{lin} \overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$. We put $h^{*}(X)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{lin} \overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$. Then the equality $h^{*}(X)=h(\mathscr{X})$ holds. $\left(h^{*}(X)\right.$ equals the rank of the $f-g$ by $f-d$ matrix formed from the rows of $\bar{X}$ with indices $F-G$, which is also equal to the rank of the $f$ by $(f-d)$ matrix if we replace the rows with indices $G$ by the zero rows and hence it equals the dimension of the projection of $D(X)$ on the coordinate ( $f-g$ )-space.); q.e.d. Note that evidently $f-d>h(\mathscr{X}) \geqq-1$.
5. (see [3], 5.4. iii)

If $Z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is an $f$-tuple of points in $R^{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}-1}$ for which $\sum_{i=1}^{f} z_{1}=o$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{lin} Z=f-d-1$, then there exists an $f$-tuple $\mathscr{X} \subset R^{\mathrm{d}}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{aff} \mathscr{X}=d$ and $Z$ is its affine representation.
6. (see [3], 7.1.4)

If $P$ is a $k$-neighbourly d-polytope (i.e. each $k$-membered subset $K \subset$ vert $P$ forms a face $S$ of $P$ for which $K=\operatorname{vert} S$ ) and $k>\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2}\right]$, then $P$ is a d-simplex.

Note. Corollary. If $\mathscr{X}$ is the set of all vertices of some $d$-polytope (with $f$ vertices) and $f \geqq d+2$, then there exists $k \leqq\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2}\right]$ such that conv $\mathscr{X}$ is an $l$-neighbourly polytope for each $1 \leqq l \leqq k$ and for $l>k$ it is not $l$-neighbourly.
7. (see [4], lemma 2)

For each affine representation $\tilde{X} \subset R^{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}-1}, f \geqq d+2$ of an $f$-tuple $\mathscr{X} \subset R^{\mathrm{d}}$, $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{X}=d$ it holds:

Every open halfspace of $R^{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}-1}$ determined by a hyperplane $H, o \in H$ contains,
(i) at least one point of $\tilde{X}$; and some of them contains exactly one point if $\mathscr{X} \neq \operatorname{vert} P$ for every convex d-polytope $P$ with $f$ vertices,
(ii) at least $k+1$ points of $\tilde{X}$ if $\mathscr{X}=$ vert $P$ for some $k$-neighbourly convex d-polytope $P$ with $f$ vertices; and some of such halfspaces contains exactly $k+1$ points of $\tilde{X}$ if $P$ is $k$ - but not $(k+1)$-neighbourly convex d-polytope.
8. The range of the value $r$ for which the given f-tuple $\mathscr{X} \subset R^{\mathrm{d}}$ has the property ( $r$ ) forms the integer interval.

Proof. Let $H, o \in H$ be a hyperplane of $R^{\text {f-d }}$ that semiseparates $r$ points of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$. There exists a point $x \neq o$ such that $x \in H \cap$ int cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$. Let $\lambda$ a be any $(f-d-2)$ --space going through $o, x$ and lying in $H$. If $H$ rotates around $\lambda$ from $0^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$,
then for every $r^{\prime}, r \leqq r^{\prime} \leqq f-r$ there exists the position of $H$ such that $r^{\prime}$ points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ are semiseparated.
9. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}=\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ be an f-tuple of points in $R^{1}, l \geqq 1, f \geqq l+1, \operatorname{dim} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}=l$, $o \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{conv} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}$. Then for every natural number $r$ for which $\frac{f-l-1}{2}<r<\frac{f+l+1}{2}$ there exists a hyperplane containing o that semiseparates $r$ points from $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$; this interval cannot be enlarged.

Proof. In 8 it is shown that the range of $r$ is an interval. Since $o \in$ int conv $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$, there exist (see 3) numbers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{l}}>0$ such that $o=\sum_{i=1}^{f} \lambda_{i} \tilde{x}_{i}$. According to 5 there exists an $f$-tuple $\mathscr{X} \subset R^{\ell-1-1}$ such that the $f$-tuple $\lambda_{1} \tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p} \tilde{x}_{f}$ is its affine representation and $\operatorname{dim}$ aff $\mathscr{X}=f-l-1$. The semiseparation of $\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is equivalent to the semiseparation of ( $\lambda_{1} \tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\mathrm{p}} \tilde{x}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ). If $f=l+1, r$ points can be semiseparated for arbitrary $r, 1 \leqq r \leqq l$ because $\widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$ is the set of vertices of an $l$-simplex and $o \in$ int conv $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$. Thus the assertion holds.

Let $f \geqq l+2$. A) If $\mathscr{X}$ is the set of vertices of some convex ( $f-l-1$ )-polytope $P$ (card vert $P=f$ ), then there exists exactly one $k, 1 \leqq k \leqq\left[\frac{f-l-1}{2}\right]$ such that $P$ is a $k$-neighbourly polytope and not $m$-neighbourly for every $m>k$ (see 6). According to 7 (put $l=f-d-1$ ) every open halfspace in $R^{1}$ determined by a hyperplane going through $o$ contains at least $k+1$ points and some of them contains exactly $k+1$ points from $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$. In general, the semiseparation of $\left[\frac{f-l-1}{2}\right]+1$ points from $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$ is guaranteed and no less. B) If A) does not work, then $\mathscr{X}$ is not the set of vertices of the convex $(f-l-1)$-polytope with $f$ vertices and by 7 one point of $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$ can be semiseparated by a suitable hyperplane; q.e.d.
10. (see [4], theorem)

Let $\mathscr{X}$ be an f-tuple of points in $R^{\text {d }}$, card $\mathscr{X} \geqq d+3$. Then
(i) if $\mathscr{X}$ is not the set of vertices of a convex polytope with $f$ vertices, $\mathscr{X}$ has a Radon partition of the type $\{r, f-r\}$ for arbitrary $r=1, \ldots, f-1$.
(ii) If $\mathscr{X}$ is the set of a $k$-neighbourly convex polytope $P$, then there is no partition of the type $\{r, f-r\}$ for $r \leqq k$, and if $P$ is exactly $k$-neighbourly, then it admits Radon partitions for every $r, f-k-1 \geqq r \geqq k+1$.
11. (see [1], 3.2.)

If $y \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{conv} X, X \subset R^{\text {d}}$, then $y \in \operatorname{int}$ conv $Y$ where $Y \subset X$, card $Y \leqq 2 d$.
Let $\mathscr{X}$ be an $f$-tuple of points in $R^{\mathrm{d}}, f \geqq d+2$, $o \notin \mathscr{X} \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{X}=d$. Let us define for it the number $s(\mathscr{X})$ as follows:

1. In the case of $o \notin \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}$ put $s(\mathscr{X})=\frac{d-1}{2}$
2. In the case of $o \in \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}$, i.e. if $\left(^{*}\right)$ is fulfilled, we put
2.1. $s(\mathscr{X})=0$ for $g>2 k$
and for $g \leqq 2 k$ we define
2.2.1. $s(\mathscr{X})=\frac{g}{f-d}-1$ if $h(\mathscr{X})=0$ or $=-1$
2.2.2. $s(\mathscr{X})=\frac{d-g}{2}$ if $h(\mathscr{X})=f-d-1$
2.2.3. $s(\mathscr{X})=\min \left\{\frac{g}{f-d-h(\mathscr{X})}-1, \frac{f-g-h(\mathscr{X})-1}{2}\right\}$
if $1 \leqq h(\mathscr{X}) \leqq f-d-2$.
Theorem. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be an f-tuple of points in $R^{\mathrm{d}}, f \geqq d+2, o \notin \mathscr{X}, \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{X}=d$. Then for every natural number $r$ for which $s(\mathscr{X})<r<f-s(\mathscr{X}) \mathscr{X}$ has the property $(r)$ an this interval is the maximal one.

Proof. According to 8 the range of admissible value of $r$ is an interval.
Case 1. We choose a hyperplane $H$ in $R^{\text {d }}$ in order that it may strictly separate $o$ and conv $\mathscr{X}$ and project $\mathscr{X}$ from $o$ on $H$; we denote the projection by $\mathscr{X}^{\prime}$. It holds dim $\operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}^{\prime}=d-1$ (because of $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}=d$ ) and $\mathscr{X}$ has the property ( $r$ ) if and only if there exists $J \subset F$, card $J=r$ such that conv $\mathscr{X}^{\prime}(J) \cap \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}^{\prime}(F-J) \neq \varnothing$. If for some $i, j \in F, i \neq j$, it is $x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{j}^{\prime}, \mathscr{X}$ has the property $(r)$ for every $r=1,2, \ldots$, $f-1$. In other case the assumptions of 10 are satisfied because of card $\mathscr{X}^{\prime} \geqq$ $\geqq(d-1)+3$. From 10 and 6 it follows that $\mathscr{X}$ has the property $(r)$ for every $r$, $\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]+1 \leqq r \leqq f-\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]-1$ or, equivalently, for $\frac{d-1}{2}<r<f-\frac{d-1}{2}$. The remaining part of the assertion follows from the existence of the $\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$ - neighbourly polytope (see [3]).

Case 2.1. Under the situation $\left(^{*}\right)$ it is $o \in$ relint $_{\mathrm{k}} \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}(G)$ and card $G=g>2 k$. Hence (by 11) there exists $j \in G$ such that $o \in \operatorname{relint}_{k} \operatorname{conv} \mathscr{X}(G-\{j\})$ and the property $(r)$ for $r=1$ can be achieved by the choice of $J=\{j\}$.

Case 2.2.1. If $h(\mathscr{X})=-1$ (i.e. $G=F$ ), all the points of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ lie in the open half-space $\varrho$ determined by the hyperplane $H$ from 4. If $h(\mathscr{X})=0$, it is $x_{i}=o$ for at least one $i \in F$ and for the remaining $j \in F$ it is $x_{\mathrm{j}}=o$ or $x_{\mathrm{j}}$ lies in $\varrho$. In both cases, consequently, cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ is the sharp cone of dimension $f-d$. Let $E$ be the set of all $i \in F$ for which cone $\left\{\bar{x}_{i}\right\}$ is an extreme ray in cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ and $k_{i}$ be the multiplicity of that ray. Put $k=\min _{t \in E} k_{\mathrm{i}}$. Then there exists a hyperplane in $R^{t-d}$ going through o which semiseparates $\stackrel{t \in E}{k}$ points of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$. Since $\max k=\left[\frac{g}{f-d}\right]$ when max operates on all the $f$-tuples $\mathscr{X}$ for which $h(\mathscr{X})=0$ or $=-1$ (the inequality $\leqq$ is evident, the equality is proved by the following example), it is (by 2) $s(\mathscr{X})=\frac{g}{f-d}-1$; q.e.d.

Example. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be an $f$-tuple of points in $R^{\text {d }}$ its matrix $\bar{X}$ of which is the $f$ by $f-d$ matrix whose rows $x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, f$ are the vectors

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}_{1}=\ldots=\bar{x}_{\mathbf{k}}=(1,0,0, \ldots, 0) \\
\bar{x}_{\mathbf{k}+1}=\ldots=\bar{x}_{2 \mathbf{k}}=(0,1,0, \ldots, 0) \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\bar{x}\left(\mathbf{f}_{-d_{-1}}\right)_{\mathbf{k}+1}=\ldots=\bar{x}_{\mathrm{g}}=(0,0, \ldots, 0,1) \\
\bar{x}_{\mathbf{g}_{+1}}=\ldots=\bar{x}_{\mathrm{f}}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $k=\left[\frac{g}{f-d}\right]$, Such an $f$-tuple $\mathscr{X}, o \notin \mathscr{X}$ exists and has not the property $(r)$ for every $r<\left[\frac{g}{f-d}\right]$ because $\left[\frac{g}{f-d}\right]$ point $\sin \overline{\mathscr{X}}$ are the least number of points which may be semiseparated.

Case 2.2.2. Let $H$ be the hyperplane in $R^{\text {f-d }}$ from 4. Since for every hyperplane $H^{\prime} \neq H$ in $R^{\text {t-d }}$ going through o $H^{\prime} \cap H$ semiseparates in $H$ at least one point of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$ (because of cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)=H$ ), the least number of points in $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ that can be semiseparated equals the minimal number of points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$ which can be semiseparated by a hyperplane in $H$ going through $o$. According to 9 , for every $r$ where $\frac{d-g}{2}<r<f-\frac{d-g}{2}, r$ points of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ can be semiseparated and this interval cannot be enlarged. By 2 it is $s(\mathscr{X})=\frac{d-g}{2}$.

Case 2.2.3. First of all it holds $f-d \geqq \operatorname{dim}$ cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(G) \geqq f-d-h(\mathscr{X})$ and cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(G)$ is a sharp cone. Denote by $\tau(f-d-h)$-dimensional orthogonal complement to $h$-space cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$ and project the $g$-tuple $\bar{X}(G)$ on $\tau$ in the direction of this $h$-space; denote by $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{\tau}(G)$ the projected $g$-tuple. The semiseparation of some points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(G)$ by a hyperplane in $R^{\text {i-d }}$ going through the $h$-space cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$ is equivalent to the semiseparation of points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{\tau}(G)$ by a hyperplane in $R^{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{h}}$. According to the case $2.2 .1\left[\frac{g}{f-d-h}\right]$ points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}_{\tau}(G)$ can be semiseparated and this number is generally the minimal one. At the same time it equals the least number of points which can be semiseparated in $\bar{X}$ if the separating hyperplane contains cone $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(\boldsymbol{F}-G)$. If the separating hyperplane (note it by $H^{\prime}$ ) is not of this kind, then $H \cap H^{\prime}$ is such a hyperplane that in each of its open halfspaces there lies at least one point of $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$. According to 9 the semiseparation of $\leqq\left[\frac{f-g-h-1}{2}\right]$ points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}(F-G)$ by $H \cap H^{\prime}$ cannot be guaranteed and this estimation is the best one. This number is the same even for the semiseparation of points from $\overline{\mathscr{X}}$ Since every separating hyperplane in $R^{\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{d}}$ is one of the above types and the estimations in 9 and 2.2.1 are the best ones, our assertion follows from 2.
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