Miroslav Katětov Projectively generated continuity structures: A correction

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 6 (1965), No. 2, 251--255

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105014

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 6,2 (1965)

## PROJECTIVELY GENERATED CONTINUITY STRUCTURES: A CORRECTION. M. KATETOV, Prahe

The author wishes to state that an error occurs in his note "On certain projectively generated continuity structures", Celebrazioni archimedee del secolo XX, Simposio di topologia, 1964; pp. 47-50 (referred to as [PG] in what follows). This error affects the validity of assertions concerning "Case (1)", i.e., the case where a compact topological space X and the module, denoted by  $\phi$ , of all continuous functions on X are considered.

In [PG], the following  $\Lambda$ -structure  $\mu_{\phi}$  on X has been introduced (by definition, a  $\Lambda$ -structure on a set X is a locally convex topology on the module  $\Lambda X$  of all finite formal linear combinations  $\sum \lambda_i x_i$  of elements  $x_i \in X$ ):  $\mu_{\phi}$ is the finest locally convex topology on  $\Lambda X$  for which every continuous linear form coincides with the linear extension  $\Lambda$  f of some continuous function f on X. Now, essertions made about  $\mu_{\phi}$  in [PG] should refer to another structure  $\nu_{i}$ described below; the outline of a proof of an assertion on  $\mu_{\phi}$ given in [PG] concerns, in fact, the structure  $\nu$  instead of  $\mu_{\phi}$ .

We are now going to state and prove propositions concerning  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}$  .

Proposition. Let X be a completely regular separated

topological space. Consider the topology  $\mathcal{Y}$  on A X generated by all mappings  $A T : A X \rightarrow E$ , where T is a continuous mapping of X into a locally convex topological linear (abbreviated l.c.t.l.) space E and A T is the linear extension of T. Then  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the finest locally convex topology on A X under which the natural embedding (assigning  $1 \cdot x \in AX$  to  $x \in X$ ) of X into A X is continuous. If F is a continuous mapping of X into a l.c.t.l. space E, then its linear extension  $A F : (A X, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow E$  is also continuous; if this condition holds for a l.c.t.l. space  $(A X, \mathcal{Y}')$  and the natural embedding of X into A X is continuous, then  $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{Y}$ .

<u>Definition</u>. The space  $(\Lambda X, \gamma)$  (or any space isomorphic to it) is said to be freely generated by the topological space X.

<u>Proof of Proposition</u>. It is clear that  $\nu$  is a locally convex topology and that the natural embedding  $\varepsilon : \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \Lambda \mathbf{X}$  is continuous (in fact, a homeomorphism). If  $(\Lambda \mathbf{X}, \nu')$  is a l.c.t.l. space and  $\varepsilon$  is continuous, then  $\Lambda \varepsilon : \Lambda \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \Lambda \mathbf{X}$  is one of the mappings  $\Lambda \mathbf{T}$  involved in the definition of  $\nu$ ; thus,  $\nu$  is finer than  $\nu'$ . The second assertion is obvious, for  $\Lambda \mathbf{F}$  is one of the mappings generating  $\nu$ . Let now the condition in question held for  $(\Lambda \mathbf{X}, \nu')$ . Since  $\varepsilon : \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow (\Lambda \mathbf{X}, \nu)$  is continuous, so is  $\Lambda \varepsilon : (\Lambda \mathbf{X}, \nu') \longrightarrow (\Lambda \mathbf{X}, \nu)$ ; hence  $\nu'$  is finer than  $\nu$  and, similarly,  $\nu$  is finer than  $\nu'$ .

<u>Conventions</u>. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a l.c.t.l. space, we denote by  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{X}$  its completion. - If  $\mathbf{X}$  is a compact topological space, and f is a continuous function on  $\mathbf{X}$ , then we denote by  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  the continuous linear extension of f to  $\mathcal{T}(\wedge \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y})$ .

- 252 -

<u>Theorem</u>. Let X be a compact topological space. There exists exactly one bijective linear mapping of  $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda X, \mathcal{Y})$ , the completion of the linear space freely generated by X, onto C(X)' such that, denoting by  $\delta_{\xi}$  the element of C(X)'assigned to  $\xi$ , we have  $\tilde{T}(\xi) = \delta_{\xi}(f)$  for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{T}(\Lambda X, \mathcal{Y})$  and any  $f \in C(X)$ .

<u>Proof</u>. I. Let  $\xi \in \pi(\Lambda X, \gamma)$ ; denote by  $G_{\xi}$  the mapping which assigns  $\tilde{f}(\xi)$  to  $f \in C(X)$ . We are going to show that  $G_{\xi} : C(X) \to R$  is continuous. Suppose the contrary; then there exist  $f_n \in C(X)$ , n = 1, 2, ..., such that  $|f_n| \to 0$ ,  $\tilde{f}(\xi) = 1$ . For every  $x \in X$ , we have  $\{f_n(x)\} \in (c_n)$ ; denote by F the mapping of X into  $(c_n)$ assigning  $\{f_n(x)\}$  to x. It is easy to see that F is continuous linear. Denote by G the continuous linear extension of F to a mapping of  $\pi(\Lambda X, \gamma)$  into  $(c_n)$ . Then G, restricted to  $\Lambda X$ , is one of the mappings generating  $\gamma$ ; therefore, for any  $h \in (c_n)^r$ ,  $h \in G$  is a continuous linear form on  $\pi(\Lambda X, \gamma)$ . Let  $h_n \in (c_n)^r$  assign  $\sigma_n$  to

 $\{\alpha_{j_k}\} \in (c_{\circ})$ . Then, clearly,  $h_m(G(z_{\circ})) = \tilde{f}_m(z_{\circ})$  for every  $z \in \Lambda X$ . From this it follows, by continuity, that  $h_m(G(\xi)) = \tilde{f}_m(\xi)$ . Since  $\tilde{f}_m(\xi) = 1$ , we obtain  $h_m(G(\xi)) = 1$ , n = 1, 2, ..., which is impossible, for  $G(\xi) \in (c_{\circ})$  . This contradiction proves that  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\xi}$  is a contimuous linear form on C(X), that is,  $\tilde{\sigma}_{\xi} \in C(X)^{\prime}$ .

II. Clearly, every continuous linear form g on  $\pi(\Lambda X, \gamma)$  is equal to some  $\tilde{f}$  with  $f \in C(X)$ . Thus, if  $\xi \in \pi(\Lambda X, \gamma)$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$ , then  $\tilde{f}(\xi) \neq 0$  for some  $f \in C(X)$ . This proves that the mapping which assigns  $\delta_{\xi}$  to

§ is one-to-one. - III. It remains to prove that, given an element  $\tau \in C(X)'$ , there exists a  $\oint c \ \pi (\land X, \checkmark)$  with  $G_{f} = \tau$ . Let  $\tilde{\tau}$  denote the measure on X corresponding to  $\tau$  (that is, we have  $\int f d \tilde{\tau} = \tau (f)$  for every f cc C(X)). Well known properties of integrals imply that if F is a continuous mapping of X into a Banach space E, then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a point  $z \ \epsilon \land X$ ,  $z = \sum \lambda_i x_i$ , such that  $|\int F d \tilde{\tau} - \sum \lambda_i F(x_i)| < \varepsilon$ . For any continuous mapping F of X into a Banach space and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let now  $U_{F,\varepsilon}$  denote the set of those points  $z = \sum \lambda_i x_i \notin \Lambda X$  for which  $|\int F d \tilde{\tau} - \sum \lambda_i F(x_i)| < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $U_{F, E}$  are non-void, it is clear that  $U_{F, E}$  form a base of a filter of . As it is easy to see, the mappings F of the just described kind generate the structure  $\gamma$ . Therefore, the filter of is a Cauchy filter. Let  $\xi$  be its limit point. It is easy to prove that  $\delta_{E} = \tau$ .

<u>Remark</u>. It is evident that the original topology of X is induced by  $\gamma$ . As for the structure  $(u_{\phi}, \phi = C(X),$ introduced in [PG], the situation is quite different, as shown by simple well-known examples. Let, e.g., H be the Hilbert space of sequences  $\{\xi_n\}, \sum |\xi_n|^2 < \infty$ . Let  $H_{ur}$  denote the same space endowed with the weak topology, and let  $X \subset H_{ur}$  consist of 0 and the points  $\ell_m = \{\sigma_n \}$ , where  $\sigma'_{n+1} = 1$  for k = n,  $\sigma'_{n+1} = 0$  for  $k \neq n$ . Then, clearly,  $x_n \to 0$  and  $x_n$  are isolated in X. Consider the identity mapping  $J: X \to H$ . It is easy to see that the line-

- 254 -

ar extension of J to  $\tilde{J}: (\Lambda X, \mu_{\phi}) \rightarrow H$  is continuous; thus  $\mu_{\phi}$  induces the discrete topology on X.

The structure  $(\alpha_{\phi}, \phi = C(X)$ , seems to possess some interesting properties. We intend to return to these elsewhere.

,