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# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 

$$
8,3(1967)
$$

ON PAIRS OF MATRICES WITH PROPERTY L
Jị̌̌i KOPǍ̌EK, Praha

In (1] T.S.Motzkin and O.Taussky have proved the following theorem.

Theoreml. Let $A, B$ be two $n \times n$ matrices satisfying the conditions

1. $A$ and $B$ are hermitian.
2. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ and $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$, $\cdots, \mu_{n}$ of $A$ and $B$ respectively can be ordered in such a way that, for every $\alpha$ and $\beta$ real, $\alpha \lambda_{i}+\beta \lambda_{i}$ are all eigenvalues of the matrix $\alpha A+\beta B \quad$ (property L).

Then $A B=B A$.

The aim of this paper is to generalize this theorem, or, more precisely, to prove

Theorem 2. Let $A, B$ be two $n \times n$ matrices, satisfying the following conditions:

1. Both $A$ and $B$ have only real eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$ respectively $(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, and the matrix $\alpha A+\beta B$ can be diagonalized by a similarity transformation (depending in general on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ) for every real $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
2. A, B have the property $L$.

Then $A B=B A$ and $A$ and $B$ can be diagonalized by the same similarity transformation.

Proof. It is sufficient to construct a matrix $T$, det $T \neq 0$, such that $\tilde{A}=T^{-1} A T$ and $\tilde{B}=T^{-1} B T$ are hermitian. since $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ will also have the property $L$, they commute by Theorem 1 , and $s o$ do $A$ and $B$. For the construction of such a matrix we consider the matrix $A(\alpha)=\propto A+B$ and rearrange its eigenvalue $\alpha \lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}$ in $h \leqslant n$ groups in the following manner: two eigenvalues $\propto \lambda_{\mu}+\mu_{\mu}$ and $\propto \lambda_{\beta}+\mu_{n}$ belong to the sar me group if and only if $\lambda_{n}=\lambda_{k}, \mu_{n}=\mu_{*}$. It will be convenient to consider $A(\propto)$ for all complex numbers $\propto$. Since the both sides of the equality

$$
\operatorname{det}(x E-A(\alpha))=\prod_{n=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{n} \alpha-\mu_{i}\right)
$$

are polynomials in $\alpha$ we have that $\alpha \lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}$ are all eigenvalues of $A(\alpha)$ for all complex $\alpha$. Moreover, $A(\alpha)$ can be diagonalized by a similarity transformation $T^{-1}(\propto) A(\alpha) T(\alpha)$ for all complex $\propto$. This fact can be seen as follows.
If $\boldsymbol{l} \neq k$, the equality $\alpha \lambda_{l}+\mu_{l}=\alpha \lambda_{k}+\mu_{k}$ holds either for all complex $\propto$ (if $\lambda_{l}=\lambda_{\lambda}, \mu_{l}=\mu_{l}$ ) or for $\alpha=\alpha_{l k}=\frac{\mu_{l}-\mu_{l}}{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}}, I_{m} \alpha_{l k}=0$ (for $\lambda_{l} \neq \lambda_{k}$ ), or $\alpha \lambda_{l}+\mu_{l} \neq \alpha \lambda_{h}+\mu_{h} \quad$ for all complex $\propto$ (if $\lambda_{l}=\lambda_{h}$, $\left.\mu_{l} \neq \mu_{h}\right)$. Thus we have that, for every complex $\propto$ different from $\alpha_{\ell \&}$, the matrix $A(\alpha)$ has $k$ different eigenvalues $\alpha \lambda_{s_{1}}+\mu_{D_{1}}, \alpha \lambda_{s_{2}}+\mu_{s_{2}}, \ldots, \alpha \lambda_{\lambda_{p_{k}}}+\mu_{\Delta_{n}}$, each of them having the same multiplicity for all such $\alpha$ which we denote by $\rho_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, k$. Let $N_{j}(x)$ be the corresponding eigensubspace. Its dimension is $\rho_{j}$ because
the rank of the matrix $\left(\left(\alpha \lambda_{\nu_{j}+} \mu_{n_{j}}\right) E-A(\alpha)\right)$ being $\leqslant n-\rho_{j}$ for real $\alpha$, it is $\leqslant m-\rho_{j}$ also for comflex $\propto$ (its minors are polynomials in $\alpha$ ). Thus $\operatorname{dim} N_{j}(\alpha) \geqslant \rho_{j}$. But it $\operatorname{can}^{\prime} t$ be $>\rho_{j}$ for $\alpha \neq \alpha_{2 k_{i}}$ In each $N_{j}(\alpha)$, we can choose an orthonormal basis
$t_{1}^{i}(\alpha), t_{2}^{j}(\alpha), \ldots, t_{\rho_{j}}^{j}(\alpha)$. Moreover, if $\alpha_{0}$ is arbitrary complex number different from $\sigma_{\& 月}$, we can choose these
$t_{k}^{f}(\alpha)$ to be analytic in some neighbourhood of $\alpha_{0}$. These bases are not determined uniquely, one of them can be obtaine from another one by appropriate unitary transformation. Thus the matrix $T(\alpha)$ diagonalizing $A(\alpha)$ is not determined uniquely (the columns of $T(\alpha)$ are vectors $\left.t_{k}^{j}(\alpha), j=1,2, \ldots, h, r=1,2, \ldots, \rho_{j}\right)$, but it can be easily seen that the matrix $T(\alpha) T^{*}(\alpha)$ does not depend on the special choice of $t_{n}^{j}(\alpha)$ in each point $\alpha$ dipferent from $\alpha_{\ell f}$. Since $t_{i}^{j}(\alpha)$ can be chosen anallytic in some neighbourhood of each $\alpha \neq \alpha_{l k}$, we see that
$T(\alpha) T^{*}(\alpha)$ is analytic for all $\alpha \neq \alpha_{k h}$, and it is boondied. Thus it must be a constant regular matrix, say $C$. Thus we have obtained that there exists, for all $\alpha=\alpha_{\ell \&}$, a regular uniformly bounded matrix $T(\alpha)$ satisfying, for such $\propto$ the conditions
(1) $T(x) T^{*}(x)=C$,
(2) $T(\alpha) A(\alpha)=\alpha \Lambda+M$,

C being a hermitian regular matrix and

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda_{1} & & \\
& \lambda_{2} & \\
& & \lambda_{\lambda_{n}}
\end{array}\right), \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{1} & \\
& \mu_{2} \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \mu_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From (1) it follows that $\left\|T^{-1}(\alpha)\right\|=\left\|T^{*}(\alpha) C^{-1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|T^{*}(\alpha)\right\| C^{-1} \|$, and then
(3) $\left\|T^{-1}(x)\right\| \leqslant$ const.

Let $\alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n} \neq \alpha_{e n}, \alpha_{n} \neq 0$, be real and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$. We can assume that $T\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow T$ (taking an appropriate subsequence if it is necessary). From (3), $T$ is regular and $T^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \rightarrow T^{-1}$. From (1) and (2) we obtain
(4)
(5)

$$
T T^{*}=C
$$

$$
T^{-1} B T=M
$$

Moreover, we have, for all $\alpha_{n}$,

$$
A\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=T\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\alpha_{n} \Lambda+M\right) T^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)
$$

Multiplying by $T^{-1}$ and $T$, we get

$$
\alpha_{n} T^{-1} A T+T^{-1} B T=\alpha_{n} T^{-1} A T+M=
$$

$=T^{-1} T\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\alpha_{n} \wedge+M\right) T^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) T=T^{*} T^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\alpha_{n} \wedge+M\right) T^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n}\right) T$,
where we have used (1),(4),(5). Since the right-hand side and M are hermitian, and $\alpha_{n} \neq 0$ is real, $T^{-1} A T$ is also hermitian. Thus the matrix with above mentioned properties 10 constructed. By Theorem 1, $\widetilde{A} \widetilde{B}=\widetilde{B} \widetilde{A}$ where $\widetilde{A}=T^{-1} A T$ and $\tilde{B}=T^{-1} B T=M$ and thus

$$
A B=T \tilde{A} T^{-1} T \tilde{B} T^{-1}=T \widetilde{B} \tilde{A} T^{-1}=B A
$$

By Theorem 1 in [2] ( $p .10$ ), $A$ and $B$ can be diagonalized by the same similarity transformation. The proof is complete.
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