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THE STRUCTURE OF TORSION-FREE RINGS
Vlastimil DLAB, Canberra
(Preliminary communication)

Throughout this brief communication, $R$ denotes an associative ring, not necessarily with unity and $M$ a left R-module. Let us recall that an R-module $N$ of $M$ is called essential in $M$ if
$N \cap X \neq 0$ for every non-zero R-submodule $X$ of $M$, and that $M$ is called uniform, if every R-submodule $N \subseteq M$ is essential in $M$. By an essential extension of a ring $R$, we shall understand here any ring $\bar{R}$ containing the ring $R$ as an essential R-submodule; $R$ is then called the essential subring of $\bar{R}$. In accordance with [2] (or [3]), an R-module $M$ is said to be torsion-free if there is no non-zero element of $M$ whose order (annihilator) is essential in $R$; thus, a (left) torsion-free ring is just a ring with zero singular ideal in the terminology of R.E.JOHNSON [9]. Finally, a ring $R$ containing a direct sum of its uniform (left) ideals which is essential in $R$ will be called (left) tidy; alternatively, $R$ is tidy if and only if each of its (left) ideals contains a uniform (left) ideal of $R$.

In its simplified form, the main result relating injective hulls of torsion-free tidy rings and matrix rings can be presented as follows (cf.(10]).

Theorem S. A ring $R$ is torsion-free and tidy if and only if its (up-to-an-isomorphisin unique) maximal essential extension is a direct product of full rings $\boldsymbol{J r}^{\circ}(C, D)$ of finite-row $c \times c$ matrices over division rings $D$.

A straightforward simple proof of Theorem $S$ is offered in [6]; the basic idea of the proof goes back to [1].The following routine lemma provides the intermediary step towards the matrix representation.
 a unital $R_{1}$-module $M$. Then the endomorphism ring $\mathcal{E}_{M}=$ $=\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{1}}(M, M)$ is isomorphic to the ring of all $\Omega \times \Omega$ matrices $\left(\varphi_{\omega} \cdot \omega^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that, for every $\omega^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \Omega$,
(i) $\varphi_{\omega^{\prime} \omega^{\prime \prime}} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{1}}\left(M_{\omega^{\prime}}, M_{\omega^{\prime \prime}}\right)$
and
(ii) $\left\{m \in M_{\omega} \mid m \in \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{\omega^{\prime} \omega} \quad\right.$ for all but a finite number of $\left.\omega^{\prime} s\right\}=M_{\omega^{\prime}}$.

For, a torsion-free ring $R$ can be essentially embedded in a ring $R_{1}$ with unity. Furthermore, the injective hull $H(R)$ of the unital $R_{1}$-module $R$ is an essential extension of $R$ which is (canonically) isomorphic to the endomorphism ring $\varepsilon_{H(R)}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{1}}(H(R), H(R))$. Since $R$ is tidy, there is a direct sum $\underset{\omega}{\oplus} U_{\omega}$ of uniform left ideals which is essential in $R$ and thus, denoting by $H\left(U_{\omega}\right)$ an injective hull of $U_{\omega}$ in $H(R), G=\underset{\omega}{\oplus} H\left(U_{\omega}\right)$ is essential in $H(R)$. It can be shown that $\varepsilon_{H(R)} \cong \varepsilon_{G}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{1}}(G, G)$ and an application of Lemma provides the desired conclusion.

Now, we can improve the theorem showing that the cardinalities $C$ and the division rings $D$ are determined uni-
quely by the ring $R$ (for a full account we refer to [5]); the cardinalities $\subset$ are, in fact, the respective $\pi$-ranks of $R$ introduced in [3] (or [4]) corresponding to the "generalized primes" $\pi$ of ${ }^{+} R$. The latter concept can be extended to a general ring in the following manner.

Definition. Two uniform R-modules $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are said to be $\sim$-gquiyalent if they contain non-zero R-submodules $V_{1} \subseteq U_{1}$ and $V_{2} \subseteq U_{2}$ which are R-isomorphic. Let $U$ be a uniform $R$-module; the set $\mathcal{P}_{u}$ of all orders of elements $x$ belonging to uniform R-modules $X \sim$-equivalent to $U$ is said to be a generalized prime of $R$ (associated with $U$ ). A generalized prime $P$ of $R$ is said to be rele vant if $\mathcal{P}$ contains left annihilators of non-zero elements of $R$ 。

Denoting by $\Pi_{0}^{R}$ the set of all relevant generalized primes of a torsion-free ring $R$, one can show - applying the methods of [3] or [4] - that the $\mathcal{P}$-rank $r_{\mathcal{P}}(R)$ of $R$ is an invariant of $R$ for every $\mathcal{P} \in \Pi_{0}^{R}$ and that, similarly to the unital case, a division ring is associated with every $\mathcal{P} \in \Pi_{0}^{R}$, viz. $D_{\mathcal{P}}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(U, U), U \in \mathcal{P}$. Notice that if $R$ is tidy, evidently $\sum_{\mathcal{P}_{\in} \Pi_{0}^{R}} \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(R)=\mu(R)$.

The refined form of Theorem $S$ then reads:
Theorem R. Let $R$ be a torsion-free tidy ring; for every $\mathcal{P} \in \Pi_{0}^{R}$, let $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}=\mu_{\mathcal{\beta}}(R)$ and $D_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the division ring associated with $\mathcal{P}$. Then the (up-tc-an-isomorphism $u-$ nique) maximal essential extension $\bar{R}$ of $R$ is the direct product

$$
\bar{R}=\prod_{\mathcal{P} \in \pi_{0}^{R}} \operatorname{src}^{0}\left(c_{\mathcal{P}}, D_{\mathcal{P}}\right)
$$

 $D_{\rho}$ ) over $D_{\mathcal{P}}$.

On the other hand, every essential subring $R$ of the direct product

$$
\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} み \xi^{\circ}\left(\tau_{\omega}, D_{\omega}\right)
$$

of the (non-zero) full $\mathcal{C}_{\omega} \times \mathcal{C}_{\omega}$ finitemrow matrix rings $\gamma 亡^{\circ}\left(C_{\omega}, D_{a}\right)$ over a division ring $D_{\omega}$ is a torsion-free tidy ring $R$ such that the set $\Pi_{0}^{R}$ of all relevant generalized primes of $R$ can be indexed by $\Omega$ and $c_{\omega}=\mu_{p_{\omega}}(R)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$; moreover, $D_{\omega}$ is the division ring associated with $\mathbb{P}_{a}$.

In conclusion, let us point out that if $R$ is a direct sum $R=\underset{\omega}{\oplus} U_{\omega}$ of uniform torsion-free left ideals, then the embedding of $R$ into the direct product $\bar{R}$ of matrix rings described in the theorems can be chosen in such a way that the ideals $U$ lie in the respective (uniform) "column" ideals of $\bar{R}$. This may help substantially when applying the theorems to derive results of the type of WEDDERBURN-ARTIN and GOLDIE Theorems (cf.[5]). And the theorems can be favourably applied to obtain such results; this is a consequence of the fact that they provide a good, viz. essential, embedding into a direct product of full matrix rings over division rings of every ring which can possibly be "closely" embedded into such matrix rings. This is the fact on which the particular value of the theorems rests. To illustrate this feature, let us formalate the following version of GOLDIE's results ([7], [8]) which can be derived from our Theorem R quite simply (uaing the fact that a full matrix ring $\mathcal{P} \nmid(k, D)$ of finite
$k \times k$ matrices over a division ring $D$ is a (classical) left quotient ring for an essential subring $R$ of $\operatorname{sor}(\mathrm{ke}$, $D$ ) if and only if $R$ is prime (or semiprime); see [5]).

Corollary. Let $R$ be a toreion-free tidy semiprime (ar prime) ring such that the ranks $r_{\mathcal{P}}(R)$ are finite for all $\mathcal{P} \in \Pi_{0}^{R}$. Then, $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon} \Pi_{0}^{R} \not \partial \mathscr{L}\left(\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(R), D_{\mathcal{P}}\right)$ is the classical left quotient ring for $R$ (or, $\Pi_{0}^{R}=\{\mathcal{P}\}, \mu_{\rho}(R)=\mu(R)$, $D_{\mathcal{P}}=D_{R}$ and $\mathscr{O L}\left(H(R), D_{R}\right)$ is the classical left quotient ring for $R$, respectively).

On the other hand, if $\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \not A^{H}\left(H_{\omega}, D_{\omega}\right)$ with integers $k_{\omega} \geq 1$, is the classical left quotient ring for a ring $R$, then $R$ is a torsion-free tidy semiprime ring such that the elements of $\Pi_{0}^{R}$ can be indexed by $\Omega$ and $r_{\rho_{\omega}}(R)=$ $=k_{\omega}$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$; if, in particular, $\Omega$ consists of a single element, then $R$ is prime. References
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