Jaroslav Drahoš Modifications of closure collections

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 11 (1970), No. 2, 379--384

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105283

### Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1970

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

11, 2 (1970)

# MODIFICATIONS OF CLOSURE COLLECTIONS Jaroslav PECHANEC, Praha

Let  $\mathscr{G} = \{(S_{U}, \tau_{U}); \rho_{UV}; X\}$  be a presheaf of closure spaces over X (i.e.  $\rho_{UV}: (S_{U}, \tau_{U}) \rightarrow (S_{V}, \tau_{V})$ are continuous maps),  $\alpha = \{\tau_{U}; U\}$  its closure collection. If for every U and every open covering  $\mathcal{V}$ of U there is  $\tau_{U} = \underbrace{\lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}}}_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_{V}$ , we call  $\alpha$  projective collection.

To every  $\alpha$  there exists a finest projective collection  $\alpha'$  coarser than  $\alpha$  (see also [1]). The main result is Theorem (1.20) which shows how we can get the projective modification  $\alpha'$  of  $\alpha$  in case of locally compact X and finitely projective collection  $\alpha$ . From this follows a method of construction of the modification  $\alpha'$  to an arbitrary  $\alpha$  and,moreover, the characterization of projective collections (see (1.22, 23).

#### Notations.

0.1. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  the set of all open subsets of a topological space X 0.2. Let (X, t) be a closure space, M its subset.

- 379 -

A) Every filter base of t-neighborhoods of M is denoted by  $\Delta(M; t)$ .

B) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is such a filter in X that for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  there is  $M \subset F$ , we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter round M.

C) The relation "a closure  $\mathcal{M}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{V}$  " will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M} \leq \mathcal{V}$ .

0.3. In the set X, let us have a nonempty family  $\Omega$  of closures. The coarsest (finest) closure in X, finer (coarser) than every closure from  $\Omega$  will be denoted by <u>lime</u>  $\Omega$  (<u>lime</u>  $\Omega$ ).

0.4. Let  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ . By the symbol  $\Pi_{\mathcal{U}}(\Pi_{\mathcal{U}}^{\circ})$  we denote the set of all open coverings (of all finite open coverings) of the set  $\mathcal{U}$ .

0.5. Agreement. When speaking about a compact set in a topological space X, we suppose that X is Hausdorff.

### § 1. Projective modifications

(1.1) <u>Notations</u>. For a presheaf  $\mathcal{G} = \{(S_u, \tau_u); \rho_{uv}; X\}$ of closure space let

(1.2)  $\mu = \{\tau_{\mu}; U \in \mathcal{B}(X)\},\$ 

or briefly  $\mu$  { $\tau_u$  }. A collection  $\mu$  will be called closure collection of  $\mathcal{F}$  or briefly collection. Further, we say that  $\mu = {\tau_u}$  is finer than  $\mu' = {\tau'_u}$  (briefly  $\mu \in \mu'$ ), if every  $\tau_u$  is finer than  $\tau'_u$ . If  $\Omega \neq \beta'$  is a family of collections of the presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , then by  $\lim_{u \to \infty} \Omega$  resp.  $\lim_{u \to \infty} \Omega$  we denote the closure collection

(1.3) 
$$\mu^{1} = \{\lim_{u \in \Omega} \tau_{u}^{\alpha}\}, \operatorname{resp.} \mu^{2} = \{\lim_{u \in \Omega} \tau_{u}^{\alpha}\}.$$

From the properties of projective (inductive) limits it follows easily that  $u^1 = \{x_u^1\}$  and  $u^2 = \{x_u^2\}$ from (1.3) are again closure collections, i.e. that the maps  $\mathcal{S}_{uv}$ :  $(\mathcal{S}_u, x_u^i) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{S}_v, x_v^i)$  i = 1, 2 are all continuous.

(1.4) <u>Definition, notation.</u> If  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{T}_{n}$ , we have a collection of maps

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} = \{ \varphi_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}} ; \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}, \ \varphi_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}} : (S_{\mathcal{V}}, \tau_{\mathcal{V}}) \longrightarrow (S_{\mathcal{V}}, \tau_{\mathcal{V}}) \} . \end{split}$$
Then we call  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \{ \tau_{\mathcal{U}} \}$  projective, if for every  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{V} \in \Pi_{\mathcal{U}}$ 

(1.5) 
$$\tau_{U} = \underbrace{\lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}}}_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_{V}$$

with respect to the set of maps  $\varDelta_{\eta r}$  .

For a collection  $\mu$  let

(1.6)  $\Omega(\mu) = \{\gamma; \gamma \text{ is a projective collection}, \\ \mu \leq \gamma \}.$ 

(1.7) <u>Proposition</u>.  $(\mu) = (\mu) \Omega (\mu) e \Omega (\mu)$ .

(1.8) <u>Definition</u>. The collection will be called projective modification of *M*.

We can see that to every  $\mu$  there exists its projective modification (see also [1]).

(1.9) <u>Notation.</u> Let  $\mu = \{\tau_{u}\}$  be a collection. For any  $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  let us set

(1.10)  $\tau_{u,v} = \lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_V$  for  $\mathcal{V} \in \Pi_u$ ,

- 381 -

(1.11) 
$$\tau_{U}^{*} = \underline{\lim}_{V \in T_{U}} \tau_{U,V}$$
;  $(\omega^{*} = \{\tau_{U}^{*}, U \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$ .  
(1.12) Proposition. Let  $\omega = \{\tau_{U}^{*}\}$  be a collection.  
A) The maps  $\rho_{UV}: (S_{U}, \tau_{U}^{*}) \rightarrow (S_{V}, \tau_{V}^{*})$  are continuous and therefore  $\omega^{*}$  is a collection.  
B) There is  $\omega \leq \omega^{*} \leq \omega^{*}$ .  
() The equality  $\omega = \omega^{*}$  holds iff  $\omega = \omega^{*}$ .  
D) If  $(\omega^{*}) = \omega^{*}$ , there is  $\omega^{*} = \omega^{*}$ .  
(1.13) Definition. We say that a collection  $\omega = \{\tau_{U}^{*}\}$   
is finitely projective, if for every  $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and every  $\tilde{V} \in \Pi_{U}^{*}$  there is  
(1.14)  $\tau_{U}^{*} = \underline{\lim}_{V \in V} \tau_{V}^{*}$ .  
(1.15) Proposition. To every collection  $\omega$  there exists a collection  $\omega^{+}$  such that  
(a)  $\omega^{+} \geq \omega$ ,  
(b)  $\omega^{+}$  is finitely projective,  
(c) if  $\mathcal{V}$  is a collection satisfying (a,b), then  
 $\omega^{+} \leq \mathcal{V}$ ,  
(d) if we denote  $\tilde{\Omega}(\omega) = \{\mathcal{V}; \omega \leq \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}$  is a finitely projective collection  $i$ ,  
then  $\omega^{+} = \underline{\lim}_{M} \tilde{\Omega}(\omega)$ .  
(e) if we denote  $\omega^{+} = \{\tau_{U}^{+}\}$ , then for every  $U \in \mathcal{F}(X)$   
(1.16)  $\tau_{U}^{+} = \frac{\lim}{\mathcal{V} \in \pi_{U}^{+}} \tau_{U,V}^{*}$ .

- 382 -

te projective madification of  $\mu$  .

(1.18) Notation. For  $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $a \in S_u$ , let

(1.19)  $\mathcal{B}(a) = \{ \rho_{UV}^{-1}(W^V); V \in \mathcal{B}(U), \overline{V} \subset U \text{ is compact}, W^V \in \Delta(\rho_{UV}(a); \tau_V) \}$ 

It is clear that  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{a})$  is a filter base round  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $S_{\mathcal{U}}$ . These bases form there a closure which we denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . The set  $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$  is clearly a collection coarser than  $\mathfrak{M}$ . (1.20) <u>Theorem</u>. Let X be locally compact,  $\mathcal{G} = \{(S_{\mathcal{U}}, \tau_{\mathcal{U}}); \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}V}; X\}$  a presheaf over X, and  $\mathfrak{M} = \{\tau_{\mathcal{U}}\}$  its closure collection. If  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}^+$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}' = \mathfrak{M}^* = \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ . (1.21) <u>Corollary</u>. Let X be locally compact,  $\mathfrak{M}$  a collection. Then  $(\mathfrak{M}^+)^* = \mathfrak{M}'$ . (1.22) <u>Corollary</u>. If X is locally compact, then the collection  $\mathfrak{M}$  can be projectively modified in two steps. First, we do the finite projective modification  $\mathfrak{M}^+$  following (1.16), and then the modification  $(\mathfrak{M}^+)^*$  of  $\mathfrak{M}^+$ 

by the help of the bases  $\mathcal{B}(a)$  from (1.19).

(1.23) <u>Corollary</u>. If X is locally compact and  $\mu = \mu'$ , then for  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $a \in S_{u}$  the bases  $\mathcal{B}(a)$  and  $\Delta(a; \tau_{u})$  are equivalent. Therefore we have the following description of the projective collections  $\mu$  for a locally compact X: "  $\mu$  is projective iff it is finitely projective and the bases  $\mathcal{B}(a)$  from (1.19) and  $\Delta(a; \tau_{u})$  are equivalent for all  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $a \in S_{u}$  ".

- 383 -

(1.24) Example. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{(S_{\mathcal{U}}, \tau_{\mathcal{U}}); \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}; E_{\mathcal{M}}\}$  be a presheaf of some sets of continuous functions on  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{G}(E_{\mathcal{M}})$ ,  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}$  the closure of uniform convergence. Then for  $\mathcal{U} = \{\tau_{\mathcal{U}}\}$  one can easily find that (a)  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^{+}$ , (b)  $\mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{U}^{*} = \{\tau_{\mathcal{U}}'\}$ , where  $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}'$  for  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}(E_{\mathcal{M}})$  is the closure of locally uniform convergence.

It is clear that nothing will change in this example, if we take for X instead of  $E_m$  an arbitrary localy compact topological space.

#### Reference

[1] Z. FROLÍK: Structure projective and structure inductive presheaves. Celebrazioni archimedee del secolo XX:Simposio di topologia,1964.

Matematický ústav Karlova universita Praha 8 Karlín

Sokolovská 83

Československo

(Oblatum 5.12.1969)