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$$
11,3 \text { (1970) }
$$

ON THE METHCD OF LEAST SQUARES OF FINDING EIGENVALUES and EIgenfunctions of some symmetric operators,il

K. NAJZAR, Praha

In [1], we studied the method of least squares for approximating the eigenvalues of a DS-operator. From the results of [1] it follows that the approximation $\lambda^{(n)}$ to an eigenvalue $\lambda$ depends on a parameter $\mu$, i.e., $\lambda^{(n)}=\lambda^{(n)}(\mu)$ and we can obtain upper or lower bounds of $\lambda$ for appropriate choice of $\mu$. In this paper, we shall consider the problem of the optimum choice of the $\mu$ which leads to an error $\lambda^{(n)}(\mu)-\lambda$ of minimum absolute value. For the case in which $A$ is a bounded below operator we shall show that the Ritz's approximation to the smalleat eigenvalue of $A$ is "a limit's case" of the approximations obtained from applying the method of least squares. Finally, we shall consider the problem of approximating the eigenfunctions of a DSoperator using the method of least squares.

We assume throughout that $A$ be a DS-operator with its domain a real separable filbert apace $H$, i.e., $A$ is a symmetric operator in $H$ such that the set of its eigenvalues is of the first category on the real a-
xis and the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is the closure of this set. Let $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ be an enumeration of distinct aigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$. Further, we assume that $\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a totally complete system.

1. In this section we shall consider the problem of the optimum choice of $\mu$. Let $\lambda^{(n)}(\mu)$ be defined by
(1) $\lambda^{(n)}(\mu)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mu+q_{n}(\mu) \text { for } \mu<\lambda_{j}, \\ \mu-q_{n}(\mu) \text { for } \mu>\lambda_{j},\end{array}\right.$ where
(2) $q_{n}(\mu)=\min _{\mu \neq 0} \sum_{\mu} \frac{\|A \mu-\mu \mu\|}{}$
and $\lambda_{j}$ is a fixed eigenvalue of $A$.
We remark that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\operatorname{imf}_{t \in \sigma(A)} \mid t$ - $\mu \mid$. (Theorem 3 of [1],p.318). Before proving Theorem 1, we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 1. The function $\lambda^{(n)}(\mu)$ is monotone increasing in each of the intervals $I_{1}=\left(-\infty, \lambda_{j}\right)$ and $I_{2}=\left(\lambda_{j,}+\infty\right)$.

Proof. Firstly, assume that $\mu_{0}<\mu_{1}, \mu_{1} \in I_{1} \cdot$ It follows from the definition of $q_{n}(\mu)$ in (2) that there exists $\mu_{1} \in \mathscr{E}\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ such that $\left\|\mu_{1}\right\|=1$ and $Q_{n}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\|A \mu_{1}-\mu_{1} \mu_{1}\right\|$. Then
(3) $\lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=\mu_{1}+\left\|A \mu_{1}-\mu_{1} \mu_{1}\right\|=\mu_{1}+\sqrt{\left\|A \mu_{1}\right\|^{2}-2 \mu_{1}\left(A \mu_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)+\mu_{1}^{2}}$.

Let $f(\lambda)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)=\lambda+\sqrt{a-2 \lambda b+\lambda^{2}}, \lambda \in(-\infty,+\infty) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\left\|A \mu_{1}\right\|^{2}$ and $b=\left(A \mu_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$.
As $a \geqslant b^{2}$, the function $f(\lambda)$ is real and monotone increasing in $(-\infty,+\infty)$. Evidently, $\lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{1}\right)=$ $=f\left(\mu_{1}\right)$. Therefore, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\mu_{0}\right) \leqslant f\left(\mu_{1}\right)=\lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{1}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we note that

$$
\left\|A \mu_{1}-\mu_{0} \mu_{1}\right\| \geq q_{n}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

and from (4) it follows

$$
f\left(\mu_{0}\right) \geq \mu_{0}+q_{n}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=\lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

so that $\lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \leqslant \lambda(n)\left(\mu_{1}\right)$.
In the case $\mu_{0}<\mu_{1}, \mu_{0} \in I_{2}$ one finds similarly

$$
\lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \leq \lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{1}\right)
$$

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3
of [l] is the following
Theorem 1. Suppose an eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$ of $A$ is not an accumulation point of $\sigma(A)$. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}$, $\mu_{4}$ be real numbers such that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{j}+t_{j-1}\right) \leqslant \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\lambda_{j}<\mu_{3}<\mu_{4} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{j}+t_{j+1}\right)
$$

where

The.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a) } \lambda_{-}^{(n)}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \leq \lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{3}\right) \leq \lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{4}\right) \leq \lambda_{i} \leq \\
& \leq \lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{1}\right) \leq \lambda^{(n)}\left(\mu_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{4}^{(n)}\left(\lambda_{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\lambda_{-}^{(n)}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j}-q_{n}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$,
$\lambda_{+}^{(n)}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j}+q_{n}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) ;$
b) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{-}^{(n)}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{+}^{(n)}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j}$.

In words, this theorem says that the best upper approximation to $\lambda_{j}$ is obtained when $\mu=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{j}+t_{j-1}\right)$ and the best lower approximation when $\mu=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{i}+t_{j+1}\right)$.
2. Let $A$ be a DS-operator which is bounded below. Let $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{3}<\ldots$ be an enumeration of its distinct eigenvalues with an increasing order of values and $\mu$ be such a real number that $\mu<\lambda_{1}$. It follows from Theorem 1 that we shall obtain the best approximatimon to $\lambda_{1}$ from above when $\mu \rightarrow-\infty$. The next theolrem gives an important information on the limit of the function $\lambda^{(n)}(\mu)$ when $\mu \rightarrow-\infty$.

Theorem 2. Let A be a DS-operator which is bourdied below. Let $\lambda_{1}$ be the smallest eigenvalues of $A$. Then
where $\lambda^{(m)}(\mu)$ is the approximation to $\lambda_{1}$.
Proof. Suppose that $\mu<\lambda_{1}$. Therefore, from (1) and (2) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{(n)}(\mu)=\mu+q_{n}(\mu) \leqslant \mu+\|A \mu-\mu \mu\| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\mu \in \mathscr{L}\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \quad$ such that $\|u\|=1$.
Select $u \in \mathscr{L}\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m},\|\mu\|=1$ and define $f(\lambda)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)=\lambda+\sqrt{a-2 \lambda b+\lambda^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\|A \mu\|^{\mathbf{2}}$ and $b=(A \mu, u)$.
It follows from (8) and (7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow-\infty} \lambda^{(n)}(\mu) \leqslant \lim _{\mu \rightarrow-\infty} f(\mu) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified that

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow-\infty} f(\mu)=(A \mu, \mu)
$$

Since $\mu$ is an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{L}\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \quad$ such that $|\mu|=1$, it follows from (9) and (10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow-\infty} \lambda^{(n)}(\mu) \leq \min _{\substack{ \\|\mu|=1}}(A \mu, \mu) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 4 of [1], we have

Therefore, by (9) and (10) we find

Remark 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, let $\Lambda^{(n)}$ be the approximation to $\lambda_{1}$ obtained from applying the Ritz's method to the subspace $H_{n}=\mathcal{X}\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. By Theorem 4 of [1], we have

$$
\Lambda^{(n)}=\min _{\|\mu\|=1}(A \mu, \mu)
$$

and $\lambda_{1} \leqslant \Lambda^{(n)} \leqslant \lambda^{(n)}(\mu)$ for every $\mu$ with $\mu \leq \lambda_{1}$.
From Theorem 2 we can deduce that the approximation to the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ by the Ritz's method is "a limit's case" of the approximations by the method of least squares, i.e., $\lim _{\mu \rightarrow-\infty} \lambda^{(n)}(\mu)=\Lambda^{(n)}$ for any positive integer $n$.
3. In this section we shall consider the problem of approximating the eigenfunctions of DS-operator. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mu=0$. We shall suppose that the eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $A$ satiafy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\left|\lambda_{1}\right|<\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leq\left|\lambda_{3}\right| \leq \ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lambda_{1}$ is a simple eigenvalue.
The following lemma is needed.
Lemma 2. With the assumption (13), let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of normalized functions belonging to $\mathscr{D}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A w_{n}\right\|=\left|\lambda_{1}\right|$. Then there exists a convergent subsequence $\left\{\psi_{m_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that its limit is an eigenfunction of $A$ belonging to $\lambda_{1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 of [1]
$v_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} v_{i}^{(n)},\left\|A v_{n}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}^{2} \cdot\left\|v_{i}^{(n)}\right\|^{2}$,
where $v_{i}^{(a)}$ is the projection of $v_{n}$ on $H_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ is the closure of a linear manifold generated by the
eigenfunctions of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$. Since $v_{n}$ is a normalized function, we have $\left\|A v_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{i}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot\left\|v_{i}^{(n)}\right\|^{2} \geqslant\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left\|v_{i}^{(n)}\right\|^{2} \geq 0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left\|v_{i}^{(n)}\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{1}^{(n)}\right\|^{2}=1 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $\varphi_{1}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ such that $\left\|\Phi_{1}\right\|=1$. Then $v_{1}^{(n)}=$ $=\left(v_{n}, \varphi_{1}\right) \varphi_{1}$ and from (15) it follows $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left(v_{n}, \varphi_{1}\right)\right|^{2}=1$.

This implies that the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains a subsequence $\left\{v_{m \&}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(v_{m m_{n}}, \varphi_{1}\right)=e, \quad|e|=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\left\|v_{n_{p e}}-e \varphi_{1}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\left\|v_{i}^{\left(m_{n}\right)}\right\|^{2}+\left[\left(v_{n_{\infty}}, \varphi_{1}\right)-e\right]^{2} .
$$

Hence, by (15) and (16) the subsequence $\left\{v_{m_{m}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has the limit e $\mathscr{g}_{1}$ and the proof is completed.

Remark 2. In this argument we have assumed that the $\lambda_{1}$ is a simple eigenvalue of $A$. However, multiple eigenvalues do not give rise to any special difficulties.

Remark 3. Lemma 2 is not valid in the case when $\lambda_{2}=-\lambda_{1}$. To prove this we denote $\varphi_{1}, \mathscr{\Phi}_{2}$ the normalized eigenfunctione corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{1}, \lambda_{2}$, respectively. Now, let us make a apecial choice of $v_{m}$ as
follows:

$$
v_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right), n=1,2, \ldots .
$$

Then $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$ and $A v_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{1}\left(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2}\right)$,
whence $\left\|A v_{n}\right\|=\left|\lambda_{1}\right|$ and $v_{n}$ is not an eigenfunction of $A$.

Corollary. Let $\mu_{n}$ be a normalized function belonging to $\mathscr{L}\left\{\mathbb{Y}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ such that

Under the hypotheses of Lemma 2 the sequence $\left\{\mu_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ contains a convergent subsequence and every convergent subsequence has a limit normalized eigenfunction of $A$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$. It follows that the sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains at most two accumuration points. These points are $\varphi_{1}$ and $-\varphi_{1}$, where $g_{1}$ is a normalized eigenfunction of $A$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$. If we assume that $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has one accumulation point, it follows from Lemma 2 that the sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is converging.

The next theorem gives a useful information on the construction of the approximation of the eigenfuncion $\boldsymbol{g}_{1} \cdot$

Theorem 3. Let $A$ be a DS-operator and $\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$
a totally complete system. Suppose the eigenvalues
$\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $A$ satisfy the relations $0<\left|\lambda_{1}\right|<\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leq\left|\lambda_{3}\right| \leq \ldots$
and that the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is simple. Consider the
functions $\mu_{n} \in \mathscr{L}\left\{\mathscr{V}_{i}\right\}_{=1}^{m}, n=1,2$,..with the following properties
1)
2)

$$
\left\|\mu_{n}\right\|=1,
$$

3) 

$$
\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right) \geq 0
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{\mu_{m}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a normalized eigenfunction of $A$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ 。

Proof. To prove this theorem, assume the contrary. Let $\mathscr{S}_{1}$ be on eigenfunction of $A$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ such that $\left\|g_{1}\right\|=1$. Suppose that $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is not converging. Then by Corollary it follows that $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has two accumulation points $\varphi_{1}$ and $-\mathscr{S}_{1}$. Define the sets $M, N$ as follows:
$M$ consists of all $\mu_{n}$ for which $\left(\mu_{m}, \varphi_{1}\right) \geq 0$, $N$ consists of all $\mu_{n}$ for which $\left(\mu_{m}, \varphi_{1}\right)<0$. From Corollary it follows that $M$ and $N$ have the accumulation points $\varphi_{1}$ and $-\varphi_{1}$, respectively. Since $\cdot\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}=M \cup \mathcal{N}$, there exists $\mu_{m} \in \dot{M}$ and $\mu_{m+1} \in N$ such that

$$
\left\|\mu_{m}-\varphi_{1}\right\|<\frac{1}{2},\left\|\mu_{m+1}+\varphi_{1}\right\|<\frac{1}{2}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad\left(\mu_{m}, \mu_{m+1}\right)=\left(\mu_{m}-\varphi_{1}, \mu_{m+1}\right)+\left(\varphi_{1}, \mu_{m+1}+\varphi_{1}\right)-1 \leqslant \\
& \leqslant\left\|\mu_{m}-\varphi_{1}\right\|+\left\|\mu_{m+1}+\varphi_{1}\right\|-1<0 \\
& \text { and this contradicts the assumption 3). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4. Theorem 3 is not true for the case $\lambda_{2}=-\lambda_{1}$.

Remark 5. In the case of the multiple eigenvalue $\lambda_{1} \quad$ Theorem 3 is valid, if we assume that $\left(\mu_{n}, \mu_{m+1}\right) \geq$ $\geq \varepsilon>0$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$.

Remark 6. Let $A$ be a DS-operator and let $\lambda_{\&}$ be a simple eigenvalue of $A$. Suppose $\lambda_{k}$ is not an accumulation point of the spectrum $\sigma(A)$. Let $\mu$ be a real number such that

$$
\left|\mu-\lambda_{k}\right|<\inf _{\substack{t \in \delta(A) \\ t \neq \lambda_{k}}}|\mu-t|
$$

Then a convergence theorem similar to Theorem 3 can be established, if we apply Theorem 3 with ( $A-\mu I$ ) and $\lambda_{j}-\mu$ in place of $A$ and $\lambda_{j}$, respectively.

Under the assumptions as in Theorem 3, we now study the problem of determining $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the system $\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is orthonormal and $\mu_{1}=\Psi_{1}$. Let $q_{n}^{2}$ be the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $A_{n}=$ $=\left\{\left(A \Psi_{i}, A \Psi_{j}\right) u_{i, j=1}^{n}\right.$, i.e., $q_{m}^{2}=\min _{\|\mu\|=1}\|A \mu\|_{i=1}^{2}$. To find $\mu_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \Psi_{i}, n>1$, we must determine the solution of the equations
(17) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}^{(n)} \cdot\left[\left(A \Psi_{j}, A \Psi_{i}\right)-\delta_{i \xi} q_{m}^{2}\right]=0, j=1, \ldots, n$ for the $n$ unknowns $\alpha_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{(n)}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i}^{(n)} \alpha_{i}^{(n-1)} \geq 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that the solution $\alpha^{(n)}=\left(\alpha_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{(n)}\right)$
of (17) is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}_{n}^{2}$. If the rank of the matrix $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\mathcal{A}_{n}-q_{n}^{2} \cdot I_{n}$ ( $I_{n}$ denotes the identity matrix) is equal to $n-1$, it follows from (17), (18) and (19) that the conditions 1) -
3) of Theorem 3 determine a unique function $\mu_{n}$.

Now, we discuss the rank $n_{n}$ of the matrix $\mathcal{B}_{n}$.
 an orthonormal basis for the apace of the solutions of (17). Define $V_{k}$ to be a fe-dimensional space spanned by $\left\{\mu_{m}^{(L)}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ where $\mu_{n}^{(i)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i j}^{(n)}$. $\Psi_{j}$. Then we have

Lemma 3. Under the hypotheses as in Theorem 3, let the rank of the matrix $J_{n}$ be equal to $n$ - be, $1 \leq h \leq m$. Then
(Au, $\left.A_{v}\right)=q_{n}^{2} \cdot(\mu, v)$ for any $\mu, v g V_{\mu}$.
Proof. Let $q_{m}=\min _{\mu u \sum_{i}=1}$ NAull.Using the definition of $\mu_{n}^{(i)}$, we have

$$
\left(\dot{A} \mu_{m}^{(i)}, A \Psi_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i i}^{(n)} \cdot\left(A \Psi_{j}, A \Psi_{m}\right)=q_{n}^{2} \cdot \sigma_{m i}^{(n)}, i=1, \ldots, k
$$ and hence

(20) $\left(A u_{n}^{(i)}, A_{n}^{(j)}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{n} \alpha_{n j}^{(n)} \alpha_{n}^{2} \cdot \alpha_{n i}^{(n)}=q_{n}^{2} \cdot \delta_{i j}^{n}$.

Since $\left\{\mu_{n}^{(i)}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis for $V_{k}$, it follows from (20) that $(A \mu, A v)=q_{n}^{2} \cdot(\mu, v)$ for any $\mu, v \in V_{m}$. This proves the lemma.

As a consequence of Lemma 3, we have
Theorem 4. With the assumptions of Theorem 3, let the system $\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be orthonormal. Then there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that the rank of the matrix $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\left\{\left(A Y_{i}, A \Psi_{j}\right)-\delta_{i j} \cdot q_{m}^{2}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$ is equal to $n-1$ for $m a n_{0}$,ie., $q_{n}^{2}$ is a simple eigenvalue of the matrix $\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{\left(\mathbb{A} \Psi_{i}, A \Psi_{j}\right)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$.

Proof. Let us denote the rank of $\beta_{n}$ by $\mu_{n}$. Suppose that there exists an infinite set $N$ of porilive integers such that $\kappa_{n}<n-1$ for $n \in N$. Now, it follows from Lemma 3 that there exist $\mu_{n}$, $v_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1) } \begin{array}{l}
u_{n}, v_{n} \in \mathcal{X}\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n},\left\|u_{n}\right\|=\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1, \\
\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\left(A u_{n}, A v_{n}\right)
\end{array},=\text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $\left\|A \mu_{m}\right\|=\left\|A v_{n}\right\|=q_{n}$
for any $n \in N$. Consequently, $\lim _{n \in \mathbb{C}}\left\|A \mu_{n}\right\|=$ $=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A v_{n}\right|=\left|\lambda_{1}\right|$.
It follows from Lemma 2 that we can choose convergent sequences $\left\{v_{n_{i}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\mu_{m_{z}}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ from $\left\{\mu_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, respectively, ouch that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n_{i}}=\mu_{0}$
and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} v_{n_{i}}=v_{0}$, where $\mu_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ are the normalized eigenfunctions corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$. From thie we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{0}, v_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $\lambda_{1}$ is a simple eigenvalue of $A$. Consequently, $\left|\left(\mu_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|=1$ and this contradicts (21).

Remark 7. With the assumptions of Theorem 3, the number $q_{n}^{2}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the algebraic eigenvalue problem $\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}-\sigma \mathcal{B}_{n}\right) \mu=0$, where $\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{\left(A \Psi_{i}, A \Psi_{j}\right)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$ and $B_{n}=\left\{\left(\Psi_{i}, \Psi_{j}\right)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$ and there exists a positive integer $m_{0}$ such that the $q_{n}^{2}$ is simple for $n \geq n_{0}$. From this it follows that the conditions 1) - 3) of Theorem 3 determine a unique function $\mu_{n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$.
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