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ONE REMARKABLE PROPERTY OF THE BICYCLIC SEMIGROUP
P. GORALCXK, Praha

Given an algebraic monoid $M=(X, e, \cdot)$ - a set X together with an associative multiplication possessing an identity element $e$, it may happen that from our knowledge of the multiplication on the left by a single element $a$ in $X$, i.e. from the amount of "information" about $\mathcal{M}$ represented by its left translation $\mathfrak{f}_{a}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}(x)=a \cdot x \text { for } 211 x \text { in } x, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can determine $M$ uniquely. That means, we can say, in a unique way, which element $e$ in $X$ is the identity element of $\mathbb{M}$, and, what is the product $x . Y$ of an arbitrary ordered pair $(x, y)$ of elementa of $X$. Let us call such an element $a$ in $X$ a left determining element and the left translation $f_{a}$ corresponding to it a determining left translation of $M$. Replacing $M$ by the monoid $M^{0 / L}$ opposite to $M$ we get the dual notions of a right determining element and of a determining right translation.
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Any monogeneous monoid } M=\langle a\rangle \text { is an example } \\
& \text { of a commutative monoid having (both left and right) } \\
& \text { determining element - just the generator } a \text {, in this } \\
& \text { case. A question was, whether there existed any non- } \\
& \text { commutative monoids possessing both a left and a right } \\
& \text { determining element - we shall call them non-commuta- } \\
& \text { tive (1,l)-monoids. The present paper aims in the proof } \\
& \text { that, essentially, the only one noncommutative (l,l)- } \\
& \text { monoid is the well known bicyclic semigroup } B=\langle a, b\rangle \\
& \text { with the identity } e \text { and the two generators } a, b \text { sa- } \\
& \text { tisfying the defining relation } \\
& a b=e \text {. }  \tag{2}\\
& \text { More precisely, we state } \\
& \text { Theorem 1. There are exactly two non-commutative } \\
& \text { (1,1)-monoids: the bicyclic semigroup } B \text { and } B^{0} \text { - the } \\
& \text { B with zero adjoined. } \\
& \text { More elementary description identifies B with } \\
& \text { the set } N \times N \text { of all ordered pairs ( } m, m \text { ) of non- } \\
& \text { negative integers supplied with the multiplication } \\
& \text { (3) }(m, n)(n, \infty)= \begin{cases}(n, \Delta-m+m) & \text { for } s \geq m, \\
(n+m-n, n) & \text { for } 力<m,\end{cases} \\
& \text { Then we have } a=(1,0), b=(0,1), e=(0,0) \text {. The } \\
& \text { left translation } f_{a} \text { has a form } \\
& \text { (4) } \dot{\mu}_{a}(\mu, \infty)=(1,0)(n, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(n, s-1) \text { for t } \geq 1, \\
(n+1,0) \text { for t }=0,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { and it is worth while to visualize it as follows: }
\end{align*}
$$



The whole proof will be carried out in a sequence of Statements 1 - 8 and it depends essentially on papers [1],[2],[3] whose results are restated here without proofs as Statements 1 - 4 .

A transformation system, or shortly a $T$-system, is a couple $(X, S)$, where $X$ is a set and $S \subset X^{X}$ is a set of transformations of the set $X$, i.e. the
members of 5 are mappings of the form $f: X \rightarrow X$. A $T$-system $(X, F)$ is a $T$-monoid if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{x} \in F \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{1} x$ is the identity transformation of $X$, and (6)

$$
£, g \in F \Longrightarrow f g \in F
$$

where $f g$ is a composite transformation written lefthand, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f g(x)=f(g(x)) \text { for } x \in X \text {. } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $T$-system $(X, S)$ there is defined a $T$-monoid ( $X, C(S)$ ) called the centralizer of $(X, S)$ by
(8) $C(S)=\left\{q \in X^{X} \mid f q=g \notin\right.$ for all $f$ in $\left.S\right\}$.

A point $s$ is a source (exact source) of a $T$-system ( $X, S$ ) if for every $x$ in $X$ there exists (unique) $f$ in $S$ with $f(o)=x$. For an algebraic monoid $M=(X, e, \cdot)$ designate by $(X, I(M))$ and $(X, R(M))$ its $T$-systems of all the left and all the right translations, respectively. Call a $T$-monoid $(X, F)$ a regular $T$-monoid if there exists an algebraic monoid $M=(X, e, \cdot)$ such that $F=I(M)$. A transformation $\pm$ contained in some regular $T$-monoid will be called a (potential) translation.

Statement 1. The following three assertions about a $T$-system ( $X, S$ ) are equivalent:
(A) $(X, S)$ is a regular $T$-monoid,
(B) ( $X, S$ ) is a $T$-monoid with an exact source,
(C) $(X, S)$ and $(X, C(S))$ have a common source.

If these assertions hold, then for each exact source $e$ of the regular $T$-monoid $(X, S)$ there exists a unique algebraic monoid $M=(X, e, \cdot)$ with $L(M)=S$, whose multiplication is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot y=f_{x}(y), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{x}$ is the unique member of $S$ with $f_{x}(e)=x$. Let a transformation $f: X \longrightarrow X$ be given. A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $X$ is stable with regard to $f$ if $f(A) \subset \mathcal{A}$. A transformation $g: A \rightarrow A$ is induced by $f$ on its stable subset $A$ if $g(x)=f(x)$ for every $x$ in $A$. The kernel $Q_{f}$ of $f$ is the union of all the subsets $A$ of $X$ such that $f(A)=A$, i.e. $Q_{f}$ is the greatest stable subset such that the transformation induced on it by $f$ is surjective. Of course, $Q_{f}$ may be empty. The kernel $Q_{f}$ of $f$ is called an increasing kernel if the transformation induced on it by $£$ is not injective and is called a bijective kernel otherwise.

For a given $x$ in $X$, the intersection of all stable subsets of $£: X \rightarrow X$ containing $X$ is the path $P_{f}(x)$ of $x$ formed by all iterates of $x$ by $f:$ (10)

$$
P_{f}(x)=\left\{f^{m}(x) \mid m \geq 0\right\}
$$

Two elementi $x, y$ of $X$ are $E_{f}$-equivalent if their paths meet, i.e. if $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(x)$ for some non-negative integers $m, n$. The relation $E_{f}$ on $X$ thus defined is an equivalence relation by which $X$ is decomposed into components of $£$. By $E_{f}(x)$ is denoted the component containing $x$. A tranaformation $£$ is
connected if all elements of $X$ are mutually $E_{f}$-equivalent, otherwise it is disconnected. Call $f: X \rightarrow X$ a quasi-connected transformation if it either is connected or has exactly two components one of which consists of a single point.

Statement 2. Any quasi-connected potential translation with bijective kernel and no one with an increasing kernel is a translation of a commutative monoid.

An element $x$ in $X$ is called a cylic element of $f: X \rightarrow X$ if $x \in P_{f}(f(x))$. The set $\mathcal{Z}_{f}$ of all cyclic elements of $f$ may be empty in the case $X$ is infinite. If $f$ has no cyclic elements then an equality $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(x)$ holds if and only if $m=m$.

Statement 3. A connected non-surjective transformation $£: X \rightarrow X$ with an increasing kernel is a potential translation if and only if
(i) $Z_{f}=\varnothing$,
(ii) there exist $e$ in $X$ and $h: Q_{f} \rightarrow Q_{f}$ such that $f^{m}(X) \subset Q_{f}$ whenever $f^{m}(e) \in Q_{f}$,
(11) $f \sin (x)=x$ for all $x$ in $Q_{f}$,
(12) $\quad$ \& $\left(Q_{f}\right) \cap P_{f}(e)=\varnothing$.

Call $f: X \rightarrow X$ an increasing transformation if it is surjective but not injective. It is "increasing" in the sense that for some proper subset $Y$ of $X$ it is $£(Y)=X$.

Statement 4. A connected increasing transformation $\pm: X \rightarrow X$ is a potential translation if and only if
$Z_{f}=\varnothing$ and there exists an element $e$ in $X$ and an injection $g$ in $C(f)$ such that
(13) $f(e)=g(e)$ and $g(t) \neq e$ for any $t$ in $X$ with $f(t)=e$.

Moreover, for any fixed $e$ and $g$ satiafying
(13) there exists a regular $T$-monoid ( $X, F$ ) such that $£ \in F$ and $q \in C(F)$.

For proofs of Statements 1 - 4 see [1],[2],[3].
Statement 5. Any determining left translation
$f: X \rightarrow X$ of some ( 1,1 )-monoid $M=(X, e, \cdot)$ is qua-si-connected. If it is disconnected, then $X-E_{f}(e)=\{x\}$ and $M=K^{0}$ (a monoid $K$ with zero adjoined), where $K=\left(E_{f}(e), e, \cdot\right)$ is a ( 1,1 )-submonoid of $M$ with the same determining elements (left or right) as $\mathcal{M}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}$ is the zero adjoined.

Proof: Assume $£$ disconnected and define a monoid $M^{\prime}=(X, e, *) \quad$ by

$$
x * y= \begin{cases}x \cdot y & \text { for } x \in E_{f}(e)  \tag{14}\\ x & \text { for } x \in X-E_{f}(e)\end{cases}
$$

The left translation $\&$ of $M$ corresponds to the element $f(e)$ contained in $E_{f}(e)$, hence $£$ is, by (14), also a left translation of $M^{\prime}$, and, since $£$ is a determining left translation of $M$, it ia $M=M^{\prime}$. By (14), $K=\left(E_{f}, e, \cdot\right)$ is a submonoid of $M$ and all elements in $X-E_{f}(e)$ are left zeros of $M$.

Now, $M$ has ulso a determining right translation $q$ which is disconnected, since $E_{f}(e)$ and $X$ -- $E_{f}(\ell)$ are disjoint stable subsets of every right
translation of $M$. So $q$ is a disconnected determiing left translation of a ( 1,1 )-monoid $M^{0 / t}$ opposite to $M$. By the same argument as applied above to $f$, we conclude that Mon must have a left zero, ie. $M$ has a right zero. It follows that $X-E_{f}(e)$ contains exactly one point, the bothsided outer zero $\boldsymbol{z}$ of $\mathbb{M}$. Clearly, elements determining $M$ are the same as those determining $K=M-\{\approx\}$,

Statement 5 enables us to regard only connected determining translations of ( 1,1 )-monoids since all disconnected ones can be obtained from them by a single piwed point extension.

Statement 6. A connected determining left translaion $\&: X \rightarrow X$ of a non-commutative (1,1)-monoid $M$ must be surjective.

Proof: Assume \& not to be surjective. By Statemont 2 , $f$ must have an increasing kernel, hence Statemont 3 applies.

Starting with $e$ and $k: Q_{f} \rightarrow Q_{f}$ satisfying the condition of Statement 3, we shall give a construction of a regular $T$-monoid $\left(X, F_{n}\right)$ containing $£:$

For every $x$ in $X$ define a non-negative integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x)=\min \left\{k \mid f^{k}(x) \in Q_{p}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Designate by $\gamma_{f}$ the set of all $x$ in $X$ such that $f^{\mu(x)}(x) \in P_{f}(e)$, i.e. $f^{\mu(x)}(x)=f^{m(e)}(e)$ some $m \geq 0$. Since $Z_{f}=\rho$ by Statement 3, such $m$ is unique and we can define for every $x$ in $V_{f}$ a non-
negative integer $d(x)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x)=m-\mu(x) \text { if } f^{m}(e)=£^{\mu(x)}(x) \text {. } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Z_{f}=\varnothing$, we can decompose $X$ into classes $T_{n, q}$ so that
$x \in T_{R, q}$ if and only if $\not \approx, q$ are the least non-negative integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{u(e)+k}(e)=f^{a}(x), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. if for some $\eta^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \leqslant \eta, q^{\prime} \leqslant q$, it holds $f^{\mu(e)+r^{\prime}}(e)=f^{R^{\prime}}(x)$, then $R^{\prime}=\left\{\right.$ and $q^{\prime}=q$.

$$
\text { Now, for every } X \text { in } X \text { define a transformation }
$$ $\varepsilon_{x}$ :

For $x \in V_{f}$ put

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{x}(e)=x,  \tag{18}\\
& f_{x}(t)=f^{d(x)}(t) \quad \text { for } t \neq e ;
\end{align*}
$$

for $x \in T_{\imath, q}-\gamma_{f}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{f}_{x}(e)=x  \tag{19}\\
& f_{x}(t)=h^{q^{u} f^{u(e)}+n}(t) \text { for } t \neq e .
\end{align*}
$$

The $T$-system $\left(X, F_{k}\right), F_{h}=\left\{f_{x} \mid x \in X\right\}$, has $e$ for its source and its centralizer is formed by a system of transformations $C\left(F_{k}\right)=\left\{q_{y} \mid y \in X\right\}$, defined as follows:

Put $g_{e}=1_{x}$ - the identity transformation, and for $y \neq e$ put
(20) $g_{y}(t)= \begin{cases}£^{d(t)}(y) & \text { for } t \in V_{f}, \\ h^{n} £^{\mu(e)+m}(y) & \text { for } t \in I_{m, n}-V_{f} .\end{cases}$

After checking mutual commutativity of $f_{x}$ and $g_{y}$ for arbitrary $x, y$ in $X$, it is seen immediately that $e$ is a common source of both $\left(X, F_{h}\right)$ and $\left(X, C\left(F_{h_{2}}\right)\right)$, hence by the "regularity condition" (C) of Statement 1 ( $X, F_{h}$ ) is a regular $T$-monoid, and $f=f_{f(e)} \cdot$

Let $h^{\prime}: Q_{4} \rightarrow Q_{f}$ be another transformation satiafying, together with the same $e$ as above, the conditins of Statement 3 and let us construct, by the construction just described, the corresponding regular $T$ monoid $\left(X, F_{h},\right), F_{h^{\prime}}=\left\{f_{x}^{\prime} \mid x \in X\right\}$. If $h^{\prime} \neq h$, then also $F_{k} \neq F_{k}$ : Assume $h^{\prime}(t) \neq h(t)$ in some point $t$ of $Q_{f}$. Choose some $x$ in $T_{0,1}-V_{f}$, e.g. $x=$ $=h f^{u(e)}(e)$, and $s$ in $Q_{f}$ such that $f^{\mu(e)}(s)=t$.
Then by (18) we have

$$
f_{x}(b)=h f^{\mu(e)}(p)=h(t),
$$

whereas

$$
f_{x}^{\prime}(s)=h^{\prime} f^{\mu(e)}(s)=m h^{\prime}(t),
$$

that is, $f_{x} \neq f_{x}^{\prime}$ and hence $F_{h} \neq F_{h}$.
Since $£$ is, by assumption, a determining translation, the two regular $T$-monoids $F_{h}$, and $F_{h}$ cannot be distinct. This means that the transformation $h: Q_{f} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow Q_{f}$ satisfying the conditions of Statement 3 must be unique. On the other hand, every choice function on the disjoint family of sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{-1}(x) \cap \mathbb{Q}_{f}\right)-P_{f}(e), \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}_{f} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

meets these conditions. It follows that each member of the family (21) must contain exactly one point, which amounts to saying that $T_{m, n} \cap Q_{f}$ consists of a single point $x_{m, n}$ for every pair $(m, n)$ of nonnegative integers. The assignment of ( $m, n$ ) to $x_{m, n}$ establishes an isomorphism between the transformation induced by $f$ on its kernel $Q_{f}$ and the transformation $f_{a}$ defined by (4). Note that $(0,0)$ is assigned to $f^{\mu(e)}(e)$ - the first of iterates of $e$ by $f$ which is contained in the kernel $Q_{f}$ of $f$.

We have proved, thus far, that the only regular $T$ monoid containing $f$ is ( $\left.X, F_{q}\right)$ described by (18), (19) with the only possible $h: Q_{f} \longrightarrow Q_{f}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(x_{m, n}\right)=x_{m, n+1} \text { for every } m, n \geq 0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show that ( $X, C\left(F_{h}\right)$ ) does not contain any determining translation. Using the description (20) of $C\left(F_{a}\right)$, we can easily see that for every $\psi$ in $V_{f}$ or in $T_{r, q}-V_{f}$ with $\mu(e)+\neq q \neq 1$ the transformations $g_{y}$ are not quasi-connected: For $y$ in $V_{f}$ as well as for any $y$ in $T_{n, q}-V_{f}$ with $u(e)+\eta-q \geq 0$ the sets $V_{f}$ and $X-V_{f}$ are disjoint infinite stable sets of $q_{y}$; for $y$ in $T_{p, q}-$ $-V_{f}$ with $d=a-(\mu(e)+\neq) \geqslant 2$ we have $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} T_{n, i d}$ and $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} T_{p, i d+1}$ disjoint stable sets of $g_{y}$.

Our last step it will be to show that also for an arbitrary $y$ in $T_{\neq \mu(\varepsilon)+れ+1}, \uparrow \geq 0$, fail to be determining translations of $C\left(F_{m}\right)$. Using (20), we have
(23) $q_{y}\left(x_{12+i+1, j}\right)=h^{j} f^{\mu(s)+1 h+i+1}(y)=h^{j}\left(x_{p+i, 0}\right)=x_{\mu+i, j}$ for all $i \geq 0$ and arbitrary $j \geq 1$. This means that all the points $x_{n+i, j}$ for $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$ are containe in the kernel $Q_{q_{y}}$ of $q_{y}$. Since we have
$q_{y}\left(x_{n, \mu(e)+1+1}\right)=x_{p, \mu(e)+t+2}=q_{y}\left(x_{p+1, \mu(e)+1+2}\right)$, the point $x_{n, \mu(e)+\pi+2}=g_{y}^{2}(e)$ cannot be the first iterate of $e$ by $q_{y}$ contained in the kernel of $g_{y}$. If $y=x_{n, \mu(\beta)+\Re+1}$ we are in precisely the same situation because of

$$
g_{y}\left(x_{1, \mu(\varepsilon)+k}\right)=x_{1, \mu(\varepsilon)+n+1}=g_{y}\left(x_{1+1, \mu(\varepsilon)+1+1}\right) .
$$

In the case $y \neq x_{\uparrow, \mu(\&)+\Re+1} \quad y$ is not in $Q_{f}$, therefore by (20) it is $g_{y}(t)=y$ only if $t \in V_{f}$ and $d(t)=$ $=0$. Since there is no $s$ with $g_{y}(s)=t$ for such $a$ $t$, it follows that neither $y=q_{y}(e)$ nor $e=q_{y}^{0}(e)$ is in the kernel of $g_{y}$.

So in ( $X, C\left(F_{f_{2}}\right)$ ) there is no determining transladion - a contradiction due to the assumption that $f$ is not surjective.

Statement 7.A connected and surjective determining left translation of a non-commutative ( 1,1 )-monoid $M$ must be isomorphic to the transformation $f_{a}$ given by (4).

Proof: By Statement 2, $f$ must be increasing. By Statement 4, we can choose an element $e$ in $X$ and an injection $g$ in $C(f)$ satisfying (13). Since, by Statement 4, $£$ has no cyclic points, every $x$ in $X$ determines uniquely the least non-negative integers $m(x), n(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{m(x)}(e)=f^{m(x)}(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines a decomposition of $X$ into classes $T_{m, n}$ such that $x \in T_{m, n}$ if and only if $m(x)=m, n(x)=m$.

Next we shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(T_{m, n}\right) \subset T_{m+1, n} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for all } m, n \geq 0
$$

From (13) it follows that for every $m$, $m \geqslant 0$, it is $g f^{m}(e)=f^{m} g(e)=f^{m+1}(e)=f f^{m}(e)$, thus $g\left(T_{m, 0}\right)=T_{m+1,0}$, since clearly $T_{m, 0}=\left\{f^{m}(e)\right\}$. From $f g(t)=g f(t)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) \in f^{-1}(q f(t)) \text { for } t \in X \text {. } \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t \in T_{0,1}=f^{-1}(e)$, then $g f(t)=g(e)=f(e)$, and, by (26), $g(t) \in f^{-1}(f(e))=T_{1,1} \cup\{e\}$. But by (13) it is $g(t) \neq e$, thus $g(t) \in T_{1,1}$ and hence $g\left(T_{0,1}\right) c$ $c T_{1,1}$.

If $t \in T_{m, 1}$ for $m \geq 1$, then it is $g f(t)=g f^{m}(e)=$ $=f^{m+1}(e)$, and, by $(26), g(t) \in f^{-1}\left(f^{m+1}(e)\right)=T_{m+1,1} \cup\left\{f^{m}(e)\right\}$. Since $g$ is injective, it follows from $q^{m m-1}(e)=f^{m}(e)$ and from $t \neq f^{m-1}(e)$ that $g(t) \neq q^{m}(e)$. Thus
$g(t) \in T_{m+1,1}$, and we conclude that $g\left(T_{m, 1}\right) \subset$ c $T_{n+1,1}$.

We have yet proved the inclusion (25) for $m=$ $=0,1$ and all $m \geq 0$. Assume that (25) holds for some $m \geq 1$ and for all $m \geq 0$. Since for any $t$ in $T_{m, n+1}$ it is $f(t) \in T_{m, n}$, we have $g f(t) \in T_{m+1, n}$, and, by (26), $g(t) \in f^{-1}\left(T_{n+1, m}\right)=T_{m+1, n+1}$, which completes the proof of (25).

From (25) it follows that no $T_{m, n}$ is void, since $T_{0, n} \neq \varnothing$ for all $n \geq 0$. On the other hand, each class $T_{m, n}$ contains at most one point: If $\left|T_{m, n}\right|>1$ for some $m, n$, choose $x$ in $T_{m, n}$ and $y$ in $T_{m+1, n}$ so that $y \neq g(x)$ and define $g^{\prime}$ by
(27) $g^{\prime}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}f^{k}(y) \text { for } t=f^{k}(x), k=0,1, \ldots, m-1, \\ g(t) \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$

We have $g^{\prime}(x) \neq g(x)$ while $g^{\prime}$ is easily shown to satisfy the conditions (13). By Statement 4, there exist regular $T$-monoids ( $X, F$ ) and ( $X, F$ '), both containing $f$, with $q$ in $C(F)$ and $q^{\prime}$ in $C\left(F^{\prime}\right)$. Since $g^{\prime} \neq g$, it is $C\left(F^{\prime}\right) \neq C(F)$ and thus $F^{\prime} \neq F$, in contradiction with $f$ being a determining translation of $M$.

Let us identify the set $X$ with the set $N \times N$ of all ordered pairs of non-negative integers so that ( $m, m$ ) denotes the single point contained in the class $T_{m, m}$. The transformation $f$ then coincides with $f_{a}$ described by (4).

Statement 8. The element ( 1,0 ) is a left determining element of the bicyclic semigroup $B$ as defined by (3).

Proof: The only possible choice of $e$ and of an injection $q$ in $C\left(f_{a}\right)$ satisfying (13) for $f_{e}$ given by (4) is $e=(0,0)$ and
(28) $g(m, n)=(m+1, n)$ for all $m, n \geq 0$. By Statement 4, there exists a regular $T$-monoid $(N \times N, F)$ with $f$ in $F$ and $g$ in $C(F)$. In $F$ there must be a transformation $h$ such that $\&(0,0)=$ $=(0,1)$. Since $f k(0,0)=(0,0)$, it is $f k(m, n)=$ $=(m, n)$ for all $m, n$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(0, n)=h(0, n+1) \text { for all } n \geq 0 \text {. } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using commutativity of $g$ and $h$ it follows from (28) and (29) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(m, n)=(m, n+1) \text { for all } m, n \geq 0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Statement 1, the unique multiplication on $N \times N$ with the identity $(0,0)$ for which $F$ is the system of all the left translations is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m, n)(r, \phi)=f_{(m, n)}(\kappa, \phi) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{(m, n)}$ is the only member of $F$ with $f_{(m, n)}(0,0)=$ $=(m, n)$. But clearly $f_{(m, n)}=h^{n} f^{m}$ and (31) is easily checked to give the same multiplication as (3), i.e. the multiplication in B.
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