Ladislav Bican A remark on *n*-torsion-free modules

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 14 (1973), No. 2, 223--229

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105487

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

14,2 (1973)

## A REMARK ON n-TORSION-FREE MODULES

Ladislav BICAN, Praha

## Abstract:

D.R. Stone [7] has studied the m -purifies and related notions. Among other results he showed the existence of a ring having a torsion-free but not 2-torsion-free (left) module. In this note we shall extend this result to arbitrary m. So, the purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem: For any natural integer m there exists a ring R and a (left) R -module M which is m-torsion-free but not (m+4)-torsion-free.

Key-words:

purity, torsion-free module,  $\Gamma$ -flat, *m*-fir.

AMS, Primary: 16A50 Ref. Ž. 2.723.4

1. <u>Introduction</u>. The essential step of the proof uses an example of S. Jondrup [5].

**R** will always denote a ring with identity; all modules will be unitary and left modules.  $\mathbf{R}_{m}$  stands for the full matrix ring of degree m over  $\mathbf{R}$  and for a module **A**,  $\mathbf{A}^{m}$  means the *m*-th power of  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^{m} = \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{A}$ . It will be convenient to consider the elements of  $\mathbf{A}^{m}$  as the (*m*, 4) -matrices (i.e. the column vectors).

- 223 -

2. <u>Purities</u>. Let  $\Gamma$  be a class of couples (F, U)where U is a submodule of a free module F. We say that a monomorphism  $A \xrightarrow{i} B$  is  $\Gamma$ -pure if for any commutative diagram

$$(*) \qquad \begin{array}{c} u & \xrightarrow{\chi} F \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} B \end{array}$$

with  $(F, U) \in \Gamma$ ,  $\chi$  the canonical embedding, there exists a homomorphism  $\psi: F \longrightarrow A$  with  $\psi \chi = \varphi$  (see [6]). Let  $\Gamma_m$  be the class of all couples (F, U) where U is a submodule of a free module F and both F and U can be generated by m elements. D.R. Stone [7] has called a monomorphism  $A \xrightarrow{i} B$  m-pure if the induced monomorphism  $A^m \longrightarrow B^m$  is  $\Gamma_1$ -pure over  $R_m$  (see also (1.52) in [6]).

2.1. <u>Proposition</u>. A monomorphism  $A \xrightarrow{i} B$  is m-pure iff it is  $\Gamma_m$ -pure.

Proof. We shall consider the diagrams (\*) and

where  $A \in R_m$ ,  $\chi^{\prime}$  is the canonical embedding and  $\iota^{\prime}$  is induced by  $\iota$ .

First suppose is m -pure and let (\*) be a commu-

- 224 -

tative diagram with  $(F, U) \in \Gamma_m$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m$ be the free generators of F and  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_m$  the generators of U (it is easy to see that in the following there is no loss of generality in the assumption F has exactly m free generators; some of  $u_i$ 's can be zeros, in general). Writing  $u_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{ij} x_j$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m, we put  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ ,  $\varphi'(A) = (\varphi(u_1), \varphi(u_2), ..., \varphi(u_m))$  and  $h'(I) = (h(x_1), h(x_2), ..., h(x_m))$  where I is the identity of  $R_m \cdot \varphi'$  and h' induce the homomorphisms  $\varphi': R_m A \rightarrow$  $\rightarrow A^m$ , h':  $R_m \rightarrow B^n$  since for  $C = (c_{ij})$ , CA = 0 we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cdot \varphi'(A) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (c_{jj} \varphi(u_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{n} (c_{nj} \varphi(u_j)) = (\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{1j} h(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{ji} x_i), \dots \\ \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{uj} h(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ji} x_i)) = CA \cdot h'(I) = 0 .$$

Further

$$h^{*}(A) = A \cdot h^{*}(\mathbf{I}) = A \cdot (h(x_{1}), \dots, h(x_{n})) =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{1j} h(x_{j}), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{nj} h(x_{j})\right) = (h(u_{1}), \dots, h(u_{n})) = (\varphi(u_{1}), \dots, \varphi(u_{n})) = \varphi^{*}(A)$$

and (\*\*) commutes. By hypothesis there exists  $\psi': \mathbb{R}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$ with  $\psi'\chi' = \varphi'$ . If  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$  is the image of I under  $\psi'$  then we define  $\psi: \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$  by  $\psi(x_i) = a_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m. It is easy to see that  $\psi\chi = \varphi$  and

1) Here and in the following  $\varphi'(A)$  is assumed to be a (1, m)-matrix.

- 225 -

hence i is  $\Gamma_m$  -pure.

Conversely suppose i is  $\Gamma_m$  -pure and let (\*\*) be a commutative diagram with  $A = (\alpha_{ij}), \varphi'(A) = (a_1, a_2, ...$ ...,  $a_m$ ),  $h'(\mathbb{I}) = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_m)$ . It follows from the commutativity of (\*\*) that  $a_i = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j \neq i}}^{m} \alpha_{ij} k_j$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m. Let F be a free module with  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  as free generators and let U be a submodule of F generated by  $u_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i,i} x_{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m \quad . \quad \text{From} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} u_{i} = 0$ it follows  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i u_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \alpha_{i,i}) x_i = 0$ hence the homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Defining  $h: F \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by  $h(x_i) = k_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m, one can easily verify the commutativity of (\*). By hypothesis there exists  $\psi$  : :  $F \longrightarrow A$  with  $\psi \chi = \varphi$ . It is easy to see that for  $\psi': \mathbb{R}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  defined by  $\psi'(\mathbb{I}) = (\psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_m))$ there is  $\psi' \chi' = \phi'$  and therefore the proof is finished.

3. <u>Flatness</u>. Following [6] we shall say that a module E is  $\Gamma_m$  -flat if for any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} \rightarrow i \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  the monomorphism i is  $\Gamma_m$  -pure.

Owing to [7], Prop. 3.2 we can say that a module E is torsion-free if it is  $\Gamma_1$  -flat and it is *m*-torsion-free if  $E^m$  is torsion-free over  $R_m$ . By Proposition 2.1 and [7], Prop. 3.3, we have:

3.1. Proposition. A module E is m-torsion-free iff

- 226 -

it is  $\Gamma_n$  -flat.

For completeness we shall introduce the following:

3.2. Lemma. A module E is  $\Gamma_m$  -flat iff there exists a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} F \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  with E free and  $i \quad \Gamma_m$  -pure.

Proof. See (1.12) in [6].

Recall that a ring R is called (left) m -fir (m free ideal ring) if any left ideal of R generated by melements is a free module of uniquely determined rank (see [4]).

3.3. Lemma. Any left ideal of an m -fir is  $\Gamma_m$  -flat. <u>Proof</u>. Consider the commutative diagram

with exact rows,  $(P, U) \in \Gamma_m$ , P free and I a left ideal of R. This diagram induces the commutative diagram

with exact rows where I'  $\subseteq$  I is a left ideal of R having m generators. Hence the second row splits by some  $\pi: \{ \exists m \ h, \exists m \ l \ \} \longrightarrow U'$  (since R is an m-fir). For  $\psi = \pi h: F \longrightarrow U'$  we have  $\psi \chi = \pi h \chi = \pi \iota \varphi = \varphi$ 

- 227 -

and it suffices to use Lemma 3.2.

4. The proof of Theorem. Let m be a natural integer and let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra ( $\mathbb{K}$  is a commutative field) on the 2(m + 1) generators  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m + 1, and defining relation  $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} X_i Y_i = 0$ . There is shown in [5] that  $\mathbb{R}$  is an m-fir and the left ideal I of  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_{m+1}$  is not flat. It remains only to show that I is not  $\Gamma_{m+1}$ -flat mince it is  $\Gamma_m$ -flat by Lemma 3.3.

Let  $0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{\sigma} I \longrightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence where F is free with  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{m+4}$  as free generators,  $\sigma$  is defined by  $\sigma(Z_i) = Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\dots, m + 1$ , and i is the canonical embedding of K == Ker  $\sigma$  into F. It is not too hard to derive from the definition of R that K is generated by  $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} X_i Z_i$ . Therefore i is not  $\Gamma_{m+4}$  -pure since the converse would lead to the projectivity and hence to the flatness of I.

4.1. <u>Corollary</u>. For any natural integer m there exist a ring R and an R -module monomorphism which is m-pure but not (m+1)-pure.

<u>Proof</u>. The monomorphism i from the above proof has the desired property.

## References

[1] H. CARTAN, S. EILENBERG: Hemological algebra, 1956.

- 228 -

[2] S.U. CHASE: Direct product of modules, Trans.Amer.Math. Soc. 97(1960).457-473.

[3] P.M. COHN: Free ideal rings, J.Alg.1(1964),47-69.

- [4] P.M. COHN: Dependence in rings. II. The dependence number, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.135(1969),267-279.
- [5] S. JØNDRUP: pp. rings and finitely generated flat ideals, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.28(1971),431-435.
- [6] A.P. MIŠINA, L.A. SKORNJAKOV: Abelevy gruppy i moduli, Moskva 1969.
- [7] D.R. STONE: Torsion-free and divisible modules over matrix rings, Pacif.J.Math.35(1970),235-253.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 26.4.1973)