Josef Mlček A representation of models of Peano arithmetic

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 14 (1973), No. 3, 553--558

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105508

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

## 14,3 (1973)

## A REPRESENTATION OF MODELS OF PEANO ARITHMETIC

J. MLČEK, Praha

Abstract: The following theorem is proved: algebraically closed field of char. 0 is saturated if and only if every countable model of Peano arithmetic can be embedded into it.

Key words: Peano arithmetic, algebraically closed field of char. 0, saturated model, embedding. AMS, Primary: 02H15 Ref. Z. 2.666

<u>Introduction</u>. In this paper, we shall present some results on embeddability of countable models of Peano arithmetic P into models of algebraically closed fields of characteristics 0.

This set-theoretical result should be compared with (and was inspired by) a recent result of Vopěnka saying that (under reasonable assumptions on existence of semisets) each countable model of P can be embedded into the field of real numbers by a semi-set embedding.

§ 0.<u>Notations.</u> Let  $\mathscr{U}$  and  $\mathscr{L}$  be structures of the same language.  $h: \mathscr{U} \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}$  denotes that h is an embedding of  $\mathscr{U}$  into  $\mathscr{L}$ . By  $\mathscr{U} \approx \mathscr{L}$  and  $\mathscr{U} \cong \mathscr{L}$  we mean that  $\mathscr{U}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathscr{L}$  and  $\mathscr{U}$  is elementa-

- 553 -

rily equivalent to  $\mathcal{L}$  respectively. By  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{U} \prec \mathcal{L}$  we mean that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a substructure of  $\mathcal{L}$ and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an elementary substructure of  $\mathcal{L}$  respectively.  $|\mathcal{U}|$  is the universe of  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $T\mathcal{U}$  is the complete theory of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Given a structure  $\mathcal{U}$  and theory T,  $\mathcal{U} \models T$  means that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a model of T. TF (TF<sub>0</sub>) is the theory of fields (TF of char. 0), ACF (ACF<sub>0</sub>) is the theory of alg. closed fields (ACF of char. 0).

The nonlogical symbols of P are  $0, 1, +, \cdot$ . The predicate < is defined by  $x < \psi = (3z + 0)(x + z - \psi)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the standard model of natural numbers. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be the structure of integers and complex numbers respectively.

If  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  are fields,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq |\mathcal{U}|$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$  is the least subfield of  $\mathcal{U}$ , containing  $|\mathcal{F}|$  and  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a field then  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

§ 1. Main results.

1.1. <u>Theorem</u>. Let  $\mathcal{L} \models ACF$ . Then (1) iff (2).

If U ⊨ P and card U = No, then there is h: U → L.
L is saturated.

1.2. <u>Corollary</u>. If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a countable model of P and if  $\mathcal{U}$  is an uncountable model of ACF<sub>0</sub> then  $\mathcal{U}$  is embeddable into  $\mathcal{U}$ .

1.3. <u>Corollary.</u> If  $\mathscr{O}$  is a countable model of P then  $\mathscr{O}$  is embeddable into the field  $\mathscr{C}$  of complex numbers.

- 554 -

§ 2. <u>Basic lemmas</u>. The following theorems are wellknown:

A) If  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  are fields,  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  and if S is a transcendence basis of  $\mathcal{U}$  over  $\mathcal{L}$  then  $\mathcal{U}$  is algebraic over  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  ([LA]).

B) Let  $\mathcal{U} \models TF$ , card  $|\mathcal{U}| \ge x_0$ . Then every algebraic extension of  $\mathcal{U}$  has the same cardinality as  $\mathcal{U}$  ([LA]).

C) Let  $\mathscr{U} \models TF$ . Then  $\overline{\mathscr{U}}$  is algebraic over  $\mathscr{U}$  ([LA]).

D) Let  $\mathcal{O} \models ACF$ , Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is saturated iff  $\mathcal{O}$  has infinite transcendence degree over its prime subfield ([SA]).

E) Let  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  be saturated structures of the same cardinality and  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \simeq \mathcal{F}$  ([SA]).

F) Let card  $\mathscr{U} = \mathfrak{K}_0$  and let T $\mathscr{U}$  be  $\omega$  -stable. Then there exists a saturated  $\mathfrak{L} \succ \mathscr{U}$  of the same cardinality as  $\mathscr{U}$  ([SA]).

2.1. Lemma. If  $\mathcal{U} \models \mathrm{TF}_o$  and if  $\mathrm{card} \ \mathcal{U} > \mathrm{H}_o$ then  $\mathcal{C}$  has infinite transcendence degree over its prime subfield.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $\mathcal{U}_p$  be the prime subfield of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then card  $\mathcal{U}_p = \mathcal{H}_0$ . Let S be a transcendence basis of  $\mathcal{U}$ over  $\mathcal{U}_p$ . By A)  $\mathcal{U}$  is algebraic over  $\mathcal{U}_p(S)_{;}$  by B) card  $\mathcal{U} = card \mathcal{U}_p(S) = max(\mathcal{L}_0, card S)$ .

It follows from this lemma and E) that any two un-

- 555 -

countable models of ACF of the same cardinality are isomorphic.

2.2. Lemma. Let  $\mathscr{B} \models ACF_o$  and let could  $\mathscr{I} > \mathscr{B}_o$ . Then there is a  $\mathscr{B}' \subseteq \mathscr{B}$  such that  $\mathscr{B}'$  is a saturated model of  $ACF_o$  of cardinality  $\mathscr{B}_o$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $\mathscr{L}_p$  be the prime subfield of  $\mathscr{L}_r$ , and let S be a transcendence basis of  $\mathscr{L}_r$  over  $\mathscr{L}_p$ . Let  $S' \subset S$ , card  $S' = \mathscr{H}_0$ . By A) - D)  $\mathscr{L}_r^* = \widetilde{\mathscr{L}_p}(S)$  has the required property.

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

(i) (2)  $\longrightarrow$  (1). By 2.2, we can assume that  $\mathscr{U}$  is countable. Let  $\mathscr{U}_R$  be "rationals over  $\mathscr{U}$  ".  $T\overline{\mathscr{U}}_R =$ = ACF<sub>0</sub> is  $\omega$  -stable. By F) there is an  $\mathscr{U}' \succ \overline{\mathscr{U}}_R$ , such that  $\mathscr{U}'$  is saturated and card  $\mathscr{U}' =$  card  $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_R = \mathscr{K}_0$ . By E)  $\mathscr{U}' \approx \mathscr{D}$ . Let  $\mathscr{H}$  be the isomorphism of  $\mathscr{U}'$  onto  $\mathscr{D}$ . Then  $\mathscr{H} \upharpoonright$  is the required embedding.

(ii) (1)  $\longrightarrow$  (2). Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be a countable model of P such that there is a sequence  $\{\mathscr{U}_i\}_{i\in\omega}$  of models of P such that

 $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{M}_{o} \subsetneq \mathfrak{M}_{1} \subsetneq \mathfrak{M}_{2} \subsetneq \ldots \varsigma \mathfrak{M}_{i} \varsigma \mathfrak{M}_{i+1} \subsetneq \ldots \varsigma \mathfrak{M}$ 

and let for each i,  $\alpha_i \in A_i - A_{i-1}$  and  $\mathscr{U} \models \underline{x} < 2_{i+1}$  for each  $x \in A_i$ .

For each i, let  $\mathcal{I}_i$  be "integers (positive, negative and zero) over  $\mathcal{U}_i$  ". Evidently

$$(*) \qquad 0 \neq x \in I_{i} \Longrightarrow a_{i} \cdot x \in I_{i-1}$$

Let  $h: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . We prove that  $h^{n}\{a_{i}\}_{i \in \mathcal{O}}$  is algebraically independent over  $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ .

Let m be the first number such that there are  $0 \neq \psi_j^2 \in |\mathcal{L}_p|, j = 1, 2, ..., m$ , satisfying the equality

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}^{m}} b_{j}^{2} \dots h(a_{j}) \cdots h(a_{m}) = 0$$

(where  $\nu_i(j) \in \mathbb{N}$  ). We can suppose that  $\nu_j \in |\mathcal{I}_p|$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_p$  are "integers of  $\mathcal{B}_p$  ". Moreover, there is a j such that  $\nu_m(j) = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  be the extension of  $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{U}_0$  onto  $\mathcal{I}_0$ . Then

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j=1}}^{m} \widetilde{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}_{j}^{*}) \cdot a_{j}^{\lambda_{j}(j)} \dots a_{m}^{\lambda_{m}(j)} = 0.$$

Put  $\widetilde{m}^{-1}(w_j^*) = w_j^* (\neq 0)$ , then there is a j such that  $v_n(j) = 0$ . Consequently

which contradicts to (\*).

## References

[LA] Serge LANG: Algebra, Addison-Wesley, 1965.

[SA] Gerald F. SACKS: Saturated Model Theory, W.A.Benjamin, 1972. Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Karlova universita Sokolovská 83 18600 Praha 8, Československo

•

(Oblatum 6.9.1973)