

Djairo Guedes de Figueiredo

On the range of nonlinear operators with linear asymptotes which are not invertible

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 3, 415--428

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105568>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE RANGE OF NONLINEAR OPERATORS WITH LINEAR ASYMPTOTES
WHICH ARE NOT INVERTIBLE

Djairo Guedes de FIGUEIREDO, Brasília

Abstract: Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear self-adjoint operator in a real Hilbert space H , with a closed range and a finite dimensional null-space. Assume that there exists a sequence (λ_m) of positive real numbers in the resolvent set of A , such that $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$. Let $N: H \rightarrow H$ be a compact mapping which is not necessarily bounded, but it could have some sublinear growth for $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$, see inequality (5). Also assume some asymptotic condition on N with respect to the null-space of A , see condition (C). Under these hypotheses it is shown that the equation $Au + Nu = h$ has a solution; this theorem is applied to prove some results on the existence of solution for the nonlinear Dirichlet problem.

Key words: Dirichlet Problem for nonlinear elliptic equations. Compact operators, completely continuous operators. Mappings of type (M), coerciveness, perturbations of bounded linear self-adjoint operators.

AMS: 35J60, 47F05, 47H15

Ref. Ž. 7.978.5

§ 1. Introduction. Recently Nečas [1] published a paper with a title like the one above, where he proved the following result.

Theorem. "Let H be a real Hilbert space, $A: H \rightarrow H$ a linear bounded self-adjoint operator, with a closed range and a finite dimensional nullspace $N(A)$. Let $N: H \rightarrow H$ be a compact (on general nonlinear) mapping such that

$$(1) \quad \|Nu\| \leq K$$

for all $\mu \in H$, and a fixed constant $K > 0$. Assume that, for each $nv \in N(A)$, $\|nv\| = 1$, the limit

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{L}(nv) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (nv, N(\mu + tnv));$$

exists uniformly with respect to bounded sets of μ . Finally suppose that, for each $nv \in N(A)$, $\|nv\| = 1$, we have

$$(3) \quad (nv, h) < \mathcal{L}(nv),$$

where $h \in H$ is given. Then the equation

$$(4) \quad Au + Nu = h$$

has a solution $\mu \in H$.

An extension of this result was obtained by Fučík, Kučera and Nečas [2], when they relaxed (1) and (2). In this note we propose to extend these results and also present a simpler technique to proving this type of results. The main idea of the proof is a sort of perturbation argument used in similar situations by the author [3], Hess [4], and surely others. Like 2 we shall handle nonlinear mappings that are not bounded. And we present three different results according to the type of "continuity" imposed on N : compactness, weak continuity or type M . As for the linear mapping A we essentially take the same hypotheses as 1. In Section 5 we apply our results to the type of boundary value problem for semi-linear elliptic equations discussed in [2].

We would like to thank Prof. L. Nirenberg for supplying us with the preprint of paper [2].

§ 2. Equation with a compact nonlinear part. A mapping $N: X \rightarrow Y$ between two normed spaces X and Y is said to

compact if (i) it is continuous in the norm topologies, and (ii) it takes bounded sets of X into relatively compact sets of Y . In this section we shall study the solvability of the equation

$$(4) \quad Au + Nu = h,$$

where h is a given element in a real Hilbert space H , and $N: H \rightarrow H$ is a compact mapping. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear self-adjoint operator in a real Hilbert space H , with a closed range $R(A)$ and a finite dimensional null-space $N(A)$. Assume that there exists a sequence (λ_m) of positive real numbers in the resolvent set of A , such that $\lambda_m \rightarrow 0$. Let $N: H \rightarrow H$ be a compact mapping such that

$$(5) \quad \|Nu\| \leq c \|u\|^\alpha + K,$$

for all $u \in H$, where $c > 0, K > 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$, are fixed constants. Assume that the following condition holds:

(C) Given $\eta \in N(A), \|\eta\| = 1$, and sequences $t_m \rightarrow +\infty, \psi_m \rightarrow \psi, \psi_m \in N(A), x_m \in R(A), \|x_m\| \leq K_1$, where K_1 is a constant, we have

$$(6) \quad (\eta, \eta) > \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} (N(t_m \psi_m + t_m^\alpha x_m), \eta).$$

Then equation (4) has a solution $u \in H$.

Remark. If we have the information that there exists a sequence of negative real numbers λ_m in the resolvent set of A , then the inequality (6) is replaced by

$$(6') \quad (h, y) < \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup (N(t_m v_n + t_m^\infty x_m), y).$$

Proof: Consider first the approximant equations

$$(7) \quad Au_m - \lambda_m u_m + Nu_m = h,$$

which we prove now that it is solvable for each m . Indeed,

(7) is equivalent to

$$(8) \quad u_m = (A - \lambda_m)^{-1} (h - Nu_m).$$

The mapping $T: H \rightarrow H$ defined by $Tu = (A - \lambda_m)^{-1} (h - Nu)$ is compact and

$$\|Tu\| \leq c_1 (\|h\| + \|Nu\|) - c_2 \|u\|^\alpha + c_3,$$

in view of (5). Thus for $\|u\| = R$, with R sufficiently large, we have $\|Tu\| \leq R$. So, by a version of the Schauder fixed point theorem, T has a fixed point u_m , which is a solution of (7).

Next we claim that the sequence (u_m) is bounded.

Suppose for the moment that this has been proved and let us complete the proof. In virtue of the hypotheses on A , we see that $H = N(A) \oplus R(A)$. So let us write $u_m = v_m + w_m$, where $v_m \in N(A)$ and $w_m \in R(A)$. Passing to subsequences we may assume that $v_m \rightarrow v$ and $w_m \rightarrow w$, where " \rightarrow " denotes convergence in the norm and " \rightharpoonup " denotes weak convergence. We may also assume that $Nu_m \rightarrow g$. So we get from (7) that $Aw_m \rightarrow h - g$. Since the mapping A restricted to $R(A)$ is a linear homeomorphism, we obtain that

$w_m \rightarrow w$. Let us denote $u = v + w$. So $u_m \rightarrow u$, and from (7) we obtain

$$Au + Nu = h,$$

that is, u is a solution of (4).

In order to complete the proof, let us assume, by contradiction, that $\|u_m\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let us write $u_m = v_m + w_m$, where $v_m \in N(A)$ and $w_m \in R(A)$. Denoting by P the orthogonal projection of H onto $R(A)$, we obtain from (7)

$$(9) \quad Aw_m - \lambda_m w_m + PN u_m = Ph.$$

Since A restricted to $R(A)$ is a linear homeomorphism we have from (9):

$$\|w_m\| \leq c_4 [|\lambda_m| \|w_m\| + c_5 \|u_m\|^\alpha + K + \|h\|]$$

or

$$(10) \quad \|w_m\| \leq c_5 \|u_m\|^\alpha + c_6,$$

for m sufficiently large. Now, let us denote $U_m = u_m / \|u_m\|$, $V_m = v_m / \|u_m\|$ and $W_m = w_m / \|u_m\|$, so that $U_m = V_m + W_m$. Going to subsequences, if necessary, we may assume in view of the finite dimensionality of $N(A)$ that $V_m \rightarrow y$, and, in view of (10), that $W_m \rightarrow 0$. So $U_m \rightarrow y$ and $\|y\| = 1$. Next, we obtain from (7) that

$$(11) \quad (AU_m, y) - \lambda_m (U_m, y) + \frac{1}{\|u_m\|} (Nu_m, y) = \frac{1}{\|u_m\|} (h, y).$$

Since A is self-adjoint, $(AU_m, y) = (U_m, Ay) = 0$, because $y \in N(A)$. Thus from (11) it follows that

$$\lambda_m \|u_m\| (U_m, y) = (Nu_m - h, y) .$$

So for m sufficiently large we have

$$(12) \quad (Nu_m - h, y) > 0 .$$

Now observe that

$$u_m = \|u_m\| V_m + w_m , \quad w_m = \|u_m\|^\alpha z_m$$

where z_m is bounded in view of (10). Thus, it follows from (12) that

$$\liminf (Nu_m, h) \geq (h, y) ,$$

which contradicts condition (C).

§ 3. Equation with a weakly continuous nonlinear part.

A mapping $N: H \rightarrow H$ in a Hilbert space H is said to be weakly continuous if $x_m \rightarrow x$ then implies that $Nx_m \rightarrow Nx$.

Theorem 2. Same statement as in Theorem 1, except that the compactness of N is replaced by the assumption that N is weakly continuous.

Proof follows the same steps. The only differences are (i) The fixed point of T is guaranteed by the following well known result. "Let $T: H \rightarrow H$ be a weakly continuous mapping such that the boundary of a ball of radius R centered at the origin is mapped into the ball. Then T has a fixed point". This is a result that can be easily proved by Galerkin approximations, i.e., projection onto finite dimen-

sional subspaces. (ii) Once the sequence (u_m) has been proved to be bounded, we complete the proof in a simpler way. Namely, going to a subsequence, we may assume that $u_m \rightharpoonup u$. Since $Au_m \rightarrow Au$ and now $Nu_m \rightarrow Nu$, we pass to the limit in (7), and obtain that this u is a solution of (4).

§ 4. Equation with a nonlinear part of type (M). A mapping $N: H \rightarrow H$ in a Hilbert space H is said to be of type (M) if the following conditions hold:

(M₁) If a sequence (u_m) in H converges weakly to an element u , the sequence $Nu_m \rightarrow w$ and $\limsup (Nu_m, u_m) \leq (w, u)$, then $Nu = w$.

(M₂) N is continuous from finite dimensional subspaces of H to H endowed with its weak topology. The concept of mappings of type (M) was introduced by Brezis [5] on a more general set up. This class includes all the hemicontinuous monotone mappings and the class of pseudomonotone mappings introduced in [5]. We recall the following results, and refer to [6] for proofs.

Proposition 1. Let N be a mapping of type (M) in the Hilbert space H . Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear monotone operator. Then $A + N$ is of type (M).

Proposition 2. Let $T: H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded mapping of type (M). Suppose that T is coercive, that

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(Tu, u)}{\|u\|} = \infty$$

Then the range $R(T)$ of T is all of H .

Now we state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3. Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear monotone operator in a Hilbert space H , with a closed range $R(A)$, and a finite dimensional nullspace $N(A)$. Let $N: H \rightarrow H$ be a mapping of type (M) such that

$$(13) \quad \|Nu\| \leq c \|u\|^\alpha + K,$$

for all $u \in H$, where $c > 0, K > 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ are fixed constants. Assume that the following condition holds:

(C_M) Given $y \in N(A), \|y\| = 1$, and sequences $t_m \rightarrow +\infty, y_m \rightarrow y, y_m \in N(A), x_m \in R(A), \|x_m\| \leq K_1$, where K_1 is a constant, we have

$$(14) \quad (h, y) < \limsup (N(t_m y_m + t_m^\alpha x_m), y).$$

Then equation (4) is solvable in H .

Proof: We use the approximant equations

$$(15) \quad Au_m + \frac{1}{m} u_m + Nu_m = h,$$

which we claim is solvable for each m . Indeed, by Proposition 1, the mapping $T = A + \frac{1}{m} I + N$ is of type (M). It follows from the boundedness of A and from (13) that T is bounded. Also from the monotonicity of A and from (13) it follows that T is coercive. So Proposition 2 may be applied, and there is a solution u_m of (15).

As in the previous theorems one has to prove that the

quence (u_n) is bounded. Let us assume that this is the case and let us complete the proof. Going to a subsequence we may assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$. So $Au_n \rightarrow Au$, and $Nu_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} - Au$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (Nu_n, u_n) &= (\mathfrak{h} - Au_n - \frac{1}{n} u_n, u_n) \leq \\ 5) &\leq (\mathfrak{h}, u_n) - \frac{1}{n} \|u_n\|^2 + (Au, u) - (Au_n, u) - (Au, u_n), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the monotonicity of A . So

$$\limsup (Nu_n, u_n) \leq (\mathfrak{h} - Au, u)$$

together it allows us to use the fact that N is of type (N) to get $Nu = \mathfrak{h} - Au$. That is u is a solution of (4).

Finally, the boundedness of (u_n) is proved just like Theorem 1.

§ 5. Application to boundary value problems. We shall indicate now the application of our Theorem 1 to proving the existence of weak solutions of the Dirichlet problem for the equation

$$17) \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} (-1)^{|\beta|} D^\beta (a_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\alpha u) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha (g_\alpha(D^\alpha u)) = f,$$

where f is a given function of $L^2(\Omega)$, Ω a bounded open domain in \mathbb{R}^n . This is exactly the problem discussed by Nečas, Fučík and Kučera. Our aim in including this problem here is to illustrate the use of Theorem 1, which we believe provides a quicker proof for the existence of solutions. In a paper under preparation we are able to discuss (17) with more general nonlinear part.

Let us denote by $(,)$ the inner product in L^2 and by $(,)_m$ the inner product in H_0^m . For definition of H_0^m and results on the linear Dirichlet problem see, for example, Friedman [7] or Nečas [8].

A weak solution of the generalized Dirichlet problem for (17) is a function $u \in H_0^m$ such that

$$(18) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} (a_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u, D^\beta \varphi) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} (g_\alpha (D^\alpha u), D^\alpha \varphi) = (f, \varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in H_0^m$.

The following assumptions are made on the linear part:

(A₁) The coefficients $a_{\alpha, \beta}$, for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m$, are bounded measurable real functions defined in Ω . The coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}$, $|\alpha| = |\beta| = m$, are uniformly continuous.

(A₂) The linear operator is uniformly strongly elliptic, i.e., there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(19) \quad \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} a_{\alpha\beta}(x) \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \geq c |\xi|^{2m}$$

for $x \in \Omega$ (a.e.) and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Under these assumptions, we use the Riesz-Fischer representation theorem to define the operator $A: H_0^m \rightarrow H_0^m$ by

$$(20) \quad (Au, \varphi)_m = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} (a_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u, D^\beta \varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in H_0^m$, which is linear, bounded, self-adjoint and has a discrete spectrum. Let us assume that 0 is an eigenvalue. It is known that the nullspace of A, $N(A)$ is finite

dimensional. We shall also assume a hypothesis on the unique continuation of elements in the nullspace of A :

(A₃) The only $w \in N(A)$, such that $D^\alpha w$, for some $|\alpha| \leq \mu$, vanishes on a set of positive measure is $w = 0$.

For the non linear part we assume:

(N₁) The functions $g_\alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, and there exist constants $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $K_1 \geq 0$, $K_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$(21) \quad |g_\alpha(b)| \leq K_1 |b|^\kappa + K_2$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $|\alpha| \leq \mu$.

$$(N_2) \quad 2(m - \mu + 1) > n.$$

Under these assumptions, we use the Riesz-Fischer theorem to define the mapping $N: H_0^m \rightarrow H_0^m$ by

$$(22) \quad (Nu, \varphi)_m = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mu} (g_\alpha(D^\alpha u), D^\alpha u),$$

which is compact, and there are constants $c > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$(23) \quad \|Nu\|_m \leq c \|u\|_m^\kappa + K$$

for all $u \in H_0^m$. The compactness of N follows from the compact embedding of H^m into H^{m-1} , and estimate (23) can be proved using Cauchy-Schwarz's and Hölder's inequalities.

Now observe that (18) is equivalent to

$$(24) \quad (Au, \varphi)_m + (Nu, \varphi)_m = (h, \varphi)_m, \text{ for all } \varphi \in H_0^m$$

where $h \in H_0^m$ is such that $(h, \varphi)_m = (f, \varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in H_0^m$. The existence of such an h is guaranteed by the Riesz-Fischer theorem. So the generalized Dirichlet problem is equivalent to the functional equation

$$Au + Nu = h$$

in H_0^m .

Finally, we make the following assumption on the nonlinear part.

(N₃) The two limits below exist as extended real numbers, that is, in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{+\infty\}$:

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} g_\alpha(b) = g_\alpha^+ \text{ and } \lim_{b \rightarrow -\infty} g_\alpha(b) = g_\alpha^- ,$$

with the following provisos (i) if some g_α^+ is $+\infty$ (resp. $-\infty$) then the corresponding g_α^- is $-\infty$ (resp. $+\infty$), (ii) if some g_α^+ is $+\infty$ (resp. $-\infty$) then any other g_β^+ is either finite or $+\infty$ (resp. $-\infty$).

Under assumption (N₃) we see that

$$(25) \quad l(v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} g_\alpha^+ \int_{D^\alpha v > 0} D^\alpha v + g_\alpha^- \int_{D^\alpha v < 0} D^\alpha v$$

is defined as an extended real number, for each $v \in N(A)$.

Now state the main theorem of this section

Theorem 4. Assume (A₁), (A₂), (A₃), (N₁), (N₂), (N₃).

Suppose that for each $v \in N(A)$, $\|v\|_m = 1$

$$(26) \quad (f, v) < l(v) .$$

Then the generalized Dirichlet problem (18) has a solution $u \in H_0^m$.

Proof: It is enough to use Theorem 1. By the remarks made previously in this section, all the conditions of that theorem, except (C), have been checked. Observe that since 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of A , then we can obtain sequences (λ_m) in the resolvent set of A made up of either positive real numbers or negative ones. Now we check condition (C). Let $v \in N(A)$, $\|v\|_m = 1$, $v_m \rightarrow v$, $v_m \in N(A)$, $w_m \in R(A)$, $\|w_m\| \leq K_3$, $t_m \rightarrow +\infty$. Then

$$(27) \quad (N(t_m v_m + t_m^{Re} w_m), v)_m = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \int g_\alpha (t_m D^\alpha v_m + t_m^{Re} D^\alpha w_m) D^\alpha v$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \left[\int_{D^\alpha v > 0} g_\alpha (t_m D^\alpha v_m + t_m^{Re} D^\alpha w_m) D^\alpha v + \int_{D^\alpha v < 0} \text{same expression} \right]$$

The integrands in the last term of (27) converge pointwisely a.e. to $g_\alpha^+ D^\alpha v$ in $D^\alpha v > 0$ and $g_\alpha^- D^\alpha v$ in $D^\alpha v < 0$. Here we have used (N_2) to guarantee that $D^\alpha w_m$ is bounded in the supremum norm in view of the Sobolev imbedding theorem. Now using the dominated convergence theorem, in the case of g_α^+ finite, or Fatou's lemma, in the case of a g_α^+ infinite, we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (N(t_m v_m + t_m^{Re} w_m), v)_m = l(v).$$

So (26) implies condition (C). And the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

Remarks. i) (26) can be replaced by

$$(f, v) > l(v)$$

for all $v \in N(A)$, $\|v\|_m = 1$.

ii) Theorem 4 has, as corollaries, Theorems 3.1 and

3.2 of [2] under less stringent hypotheses.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. NEČAS: On the range of nonlinear operators with linear asymptotes which are not invertible, Comment. Math.Univ.Carolinae 14(1973),63-72.
- [2] S. FUČÍK, M. KUČERA, J. NEČAS: Ranges of nonlinear asymptotically linear operators (to appear).
- [3] D.G. de FIGUEIREDO: Some remarks on the Dirichlet problem for semilinear elliptic equations, Trabalho de Matematica no 57,Universidade de Brasília.
- [4] P. HESS: On a theorem by Landesman and Lazer (to appear).
- [5] H. BREZIS: Équations et inéquations non-linéaires dans les espaces vectoriels en dualité, Ann.Inst.Fourier(Grenoble)18(1968),115-175.
- [6] D.G. de FIGUEIREDO and C.P. GUPTA: Nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein type involving unbounded monotone linear mappings, J.Math.Ann.Appl. 39(1972),37-48.
- [7] A. FRIEDMAN: Partial differential equations, Holt-Reinhardt-Winston,New York,1969.
- [8] J. NEČAS: Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques, Prague 1967.

Universidade de Brasília

Brasília,

Brasil

(Oblatum 6.5.1974)