## Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Caroline

## Radovan Gregor <br> On rich monoids

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 16 (1975), No. 4, 735--743

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105662

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

# COMENFATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 

# 16,4 (1975) <br> <br> ON RICH MONOIDS 
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Radovan GREGOR, Praha

Abstract: The hereditarity of the poorness of monoids is studied in the first part of the paper. Every rich monoid is a submonoid of some poor monoid; moreover, every finitely generated free monoid is a submonoid of some poor monoid with only two generators. The remaining part demonstrates a sort of unreducibility of the whole problem of rich monoids to the monoids with two generators.
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Introduction. To describe the contents of the paper, let us first recall some notions. A category $C$ is called algebraic if there exists a full embedding of $C$ into some category of algebras and all their homomorphisms. A category is said to be binding ([1]) if every algebraic category can be embedded into it. A small category $C$ is said to be fich ([2],[3]) if the functor category Set ${ }^{c}$ is binding, otherwise it is called poos.

The complete characterization of rich thin categories (i.e. preorders) has been given in [2], its counterpart yet not being known for another important case of one-object categories - monoids. So far only apecial classes of rich monoids have been described, e.g., in [4] rich monoids
with two idempotent generators $\mathcal{S}, \Psi$ are characterized: Such a monoid is rich if and only if it has as a factormonoid one of the monoids $M_{k}$ defined by the identities $\varphi=\varphi^{2}=(\varphi \psi)^{k} \varphi, \psi=\psi^{2}=(\psi \varphi)^{k} \psi \quad$ with $k \geq 3$ 。

For an arbitrary monoid $M=\Sigma^{*} / Q$ defined by the set $\sum$ of its generators and the set $Q$ of identities in the alphabet $\Sigma$ we can consider the functor category Set ${ }^{\mathrm{M}}$ as a category of algebras with the set $\Sigma$ of unary operations fulfilling the identities from $Q$. Thus, the problem of rich monoids is just the question which monoids of unary operations are large (or, better, intricate) enough for the corresponding categories of algebras to be sufficiently comprehensive, i.e. to contain any algebraic category.

As to cardinality, every rich monoid has at least five elements [5], and every set of its generators has at least two elements. Since a large majority of the results on rich monoids obtained so far concerns the monoids with two generators, this could make the impression that the whole question of the richness of monoids might be reducible, in a sense, to the range of monoids with two generators. In the second paragraph of this paper we present an example demonstrating that this is not the case.

Since the factorization of monoids implies full embedding of the corresponding categories in the converse direction, the factormonoid of a poor monoid is poor. The first paragraph of the present paper is concerned with the behaviour of the richness of monoids as to their inc-

Iusions. While the commatative monoid with two generators is an example of the hereditarily poor monoid, we shall show that generally the poorness of monoids is not hereditary, and that even rich monoid is a submonoid of some poor monoid. Moreover, we shall show that every finitely generated free monoid can be embedded into some poor monoid with only two generators. The related questions concerning the "inheriting" of richness of monoids from their factormonoids are studied in [6].

I want to express my gratitude to doc. Vera Trnkova for her encouragement in my work.

1. The hereditarity of the poorness of monoide.

In view of the motivation based on unary operations, every monoid is supposed to be given by some set $\Sigma$ of its generators and some set $Q$ of identities in the alphabet $\Sigma$; then it is denoted by $\Sigma * / Q$. Let $M=\Sigma * / Q$. WE $\mathbb{Z}^{*}=\Sigma * / \varnothing$. Then $\left[W_{M}\right.$ denotes the element of $M$ in the usual sense; brackets and index $M$ a re sometimes omitted.

An object of a category $C$ is called rigid if its only endomorphism in $C$ is the identity. From [7] and [8] it follows that any binding category contains a proper class of mutually non-isomorphic rigid objects.

```
1.1. Proposition. Every monoid \(M\) can be embedded into some poor monoid.
Proof: Let \(M=\Sigma^{*} / Q\), denote by \(R\) the set of all identities of the form \(\sigma \alpha=\propto \sigma=\propto\) for all \(\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}\),
```

denote $M_{1}=\Sigma u\{\infty\} /$ Qu $R$. Then 1.1 follow fromi. 2 and 1.3 .
1.2. Lemma. The formula $f\left([\sigma]_{M}\right)=[\sigma]_{M}$ defines a homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M_{1}$, which is 1-1.

Proof is obvious.
1.3. Lemma. $M_{1}$ is poor.

Proof: Let $A=(X, \Sigma \cup\{\propto\}) \in \operatorname{Set}^{M_{1}}$ be a rigid algebra. Then $\alpha$ is its endomorphism, hence $\alpha=$ id. Consequently, $\sigma=1 d$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Thus every constant mapping of $X$ into itself is an endomorphism of $A$, which implies card $X=1$, in other words, the category Set ${ }^{M_{1}}$ has only trivial rigid objects.
1.4. Propogition. Buery finitely generated free monoid can be embedded into a poor monoid with two generators.

Proof: It is well known that every finitely generated free monoid can be embedded into the free monoid with two generators, so 1.4 follows from the next two lemmas.
1.5. Lemma. Let $M_{2}=\{\sigma, \tau\}^{*}, M_{3}=$
$=\{\alpha, \beta\}^{*} /\left\{\alpha \beta \alpha=\alpha^{2} \beta \alpha=\beta \alpha \beta \propto\right\}$. Then the formulas $g(\sigma)=$ $=[\alpha], g(\tau)=\left[\beta^{2}\right]$ define a homomorphism $g: M_{2} \rightarrow M_{3}$, which is 1-1.

Proof: The elements $\alpha \quad g\left(M_{2}\right) \subset M_{3}$ are represented only by auch words in the alphabet $\{\alpha, \beta\} \quad$ which do not contain the subword $\alpha \beta \propto$. But the identities defining $M_{3}$ cannot be applied to such words, hence, $g$ is 1-1.
1.6. Lemma. $M_{3}$ is poor .

Proof: Let $A=(X, \alpha, \beta) \in \operatorname{Set}^{M_{3}}$ be rigid algebra, $x \in X, y=\alpha \beta \propto(x)$. Then $\alpha(y)=\beta(y)=y$, hence the constant mapping of $X$ onto $y$ is an endomorphism of $A$, so $X=\{y\}$. Again, Set ${ }^{M_{3}}$ has only trivial rigid algebras.
1.7. Problem. Can every finitely generated (non-free) monoid be embedded into a poor monoid with two generators?

## 2. The rich monoid with three genergtors, whose each palr of elements generates a poor aubmonoide

Let $M_{4}=\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}^{*} / Q_{4}$, where

$$
Q_{4}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha=\alpha^{2}=\alpha \beta \alpha=\alpha \gamma \alpha=\alpha \beta \gamma \alpha=\alpha \gamma \beta \alpha \\
\beta=\beta^{2}=\beta \alpha \beta=\beta \gamma \beta=\beta \alpha \gamma \beta=\beta \gamma \alpha \beta \\
\gamma=\gamma^{2}=\gamma \alpha \gamma=\gamma \beta \gamma=\gamma \alpha \beta \gamma=\gamma \beta \alpha \gamma
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

2.1. Theorem. The monoid $M_{4}$ is a rich monoid with three generators, whose each submonoid with two generators as well as each of its factormonoids with two generators are poor.

Proof: is given in the following lemmas.
2.2. Lemma. The monoid $u_{5}=\{\mu, \nu\}^{*} /\left\{\mu=\mu^{2}=\mu \nu \mu \mu\right.$, $\left.\nu=\nu^{2}=\nu \mu \nu\right\}$ is poor.

Proof: We show that any rigid algebra $A=(X, \mu, \nu) \in$ $\in$ Set $^{M_{5}}$ has at most two elements. Suppose $X \neq \varnothing$. Define $K=\{x \in X ; \mu(x)=x\}, L=\{x \in X ; \nu(x)=x\}$. If
$K_{\cap} \mathcal{L} \neq \varnothing$, then the constant mapping of $X$ on some $x \in K \cap$ $\cap L$ is an endomorphism of $A$, hence $X=\{x\}$, i.e. card $X=1$. If $K \cap L=\varnothing$, choose $x \in X$ and denote $z=$ $=\mu(x), y=\nu(z)$. Then $\mu(z)=z=\mu(y), \nu(z)=$
$=y=\nu(y)$. Now one can verify that the mapping $f: X \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow X$ defined by
$f(t)=z$ whenever $t \in K$,
$f(t)=y$ otherwise
is an endomorphism of $A$. Hence $X=\{z, y\}$, i.e. card $X=2$.
2.3. Lemma. If $s, s^{\prime} \in M_{4}$, then $s=s^{2}=s s^{\circ} s$.

Proof: Since $M_{4}$ is symmetric with respect to $\propto$, $\beta, \gamma$, it is sufficient to verify the above equation only for $s \in\{1, \alpha, \alpha \beta, \alpha \beta \gamma\}, s^{\prime} \in M_{4}=$ $=\left\{1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha \beta, \alpha \gamma^{\prime}, \beta \alpha, \beta \gamma, \gamma \alpha, \gamma \beta, \alpha \beta \gamma, \alpha \gamma \beta, \beta \alpha \gamma \gamma, \beta \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \alpha, \gamma \alpha \beta, \gamma \beta \alpha\right\}$. The computation is rather long, but very easy and therefore left to the reader.
2.4. Lemma. i) Each submonoid with two generators of $M_{4}$ is poor. ii) Each factormonoid with two generators of $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ is poor.

Proof: i) By the previous lemma-it is a eactormonoid of the poor monoid $M_{5}$.
ii) Let $h: M_{4} \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism, $M$ having two generators $m, n$. Choose $p, q \in M_{4}$ so that $h(p)=m$, $h(q)=n$. Then $M$ is a factormonoid of the submonoid $M^{\prime}$ of $M_{4}$ generated by $p, q$. Since $M^{\prime}$ is poor, $M$ is also poor.

### 2.5. Lemmar $M_{4}$ is rich.

Proof: Let Graph denote the category of all directed graphs and their compatible mappings. According to [6], it is sufficient to construct a full embedding $\Phi$ :
: Graph $\rightarrow$ Set $^{M_{4}}$. Define

$$
\Phi(X, R)=(Y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma), Y=(R \times\{1,2,3\}) \cup(X \times\{4,5\}),
$$

denote by $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}: R \rightarrow X$ the projections. If $r \in R, x \in$ $\in X$, then we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(r, 1) & =\left(\prod_{1}(r), 4\right), \beta(r, 1)=(r, 2), \gamma(r, 1)= \\
& =\left(\pi_{2}(r), 4\right), \\
\alpha(r, 2) & =\beta(r, 2)=(r, 2), \gamma(r, 2)=(r, 3), \\
\alpha(r, 3) & =\beta(r, 3)=(r, 2), \gamma(r, 3)=(r, 3), \\
\alpha(x, 4) & =\gamma(x, 4)=(x, 4), \beta(x, 4)=(x, 5), \\
\alpha(x, 5) & =\gamma(x, 5)=(x, 4), \beta(x, 5)=(x, 5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can verify that $A=(Y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is really an algebra from $\operatorname{Set}^{M_{4}}$. Let $f:(X, R) \longrightarrow\left(X^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)$ be a compatible mapping. Define $\Phi(f)=g$, where $g(x, y, i)=(f(x), f(y), i)$ whenever $(x, y) \in R, i \in\{1,2,3\}, g(x, i)=(f(x), i)$ whenever $x \in X, i \in\{4,5\}$. Clearly, $\Phi$ is an embedding. We have to prove that $\Phi$ is full. Let $g: \Phi(X, R) \rightarrow \Phi\left(X^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)$ be a homomorphism. Denote $\Phi\left(X^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right)=\left(Y^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Since $X^{\prime} \times\{4\}$ is just the set of all $z \in Y^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}(z)=\gamma^{\prime}(z)=z$, we have $g(X \times\{4\}) \subset X^{\prime} \times\{4\}$. Define a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by $g(x, 4)=(f(x), 4)$. Then $g(x, 5)=g \beta(x, 4)=\beta^{\prime} g(x, 4)=\beta^{\prime}(f(x), 4)=(f(x), 5)$. Since $R^{\prime} \times\{2\}$ is just the set of all $z \in Y^{\prime}$ such that
$\alpha^{\prime}(z)=\beta^{\prime}(z)=z$, we have $g(R \times\{2\}) \subset R^{\prime} \times\{2\}$. Since $R^{\prime} \times\{2\}$ is just the set of all $z \in Y^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}(z) \in$ $\in X^{\prime} \times\{4\}, \beta^{\prime}(z) \in R^{\prime} \times\{2\}$, we have $g(R \times\{1\}) \subset R^{\prime} \times\{1\}$. Now, it is easy to show $g=\Phi(f)$.

The proof of the theorem is concluded.
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