Michael David Rice Metric-fine, proximally fine, and locally fine uniform spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 17 (1976), No. 2, 307--313

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105696

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

17,2 (1976)

METRIC-FINE, PROXIMALLY FINE, AND LOCALLY FINE UNIFORM

SPACES

Michael D. RICE, Fairfax

Abstract: The following main result is established in the paper. A metric-fine (measurable) proximally fine space is locally fine if and only if the space is proximally fine and each uniformly locally finite cozero (Baire) cover is a uniform cover if and only if each hypercozero(hyperBaire) set is a cozero (Baire) set.

Key Words:and Phrases: Metric-fine, measurable proximally fine, cozero-fine, Baire-fine, locally fine uniform spaces; uniformly locally finite uniform cover; cozero set, Baire set, hypercozero set, hyperBaire set.

AMS: Primary 54E15, 54 C50 Ref. Z.: 3.962 Secondary 54H05

This paper originated in the attempt to establish that metric-fine proximally fine spaces were locally fine. This question has since been answered in the negative by the author $([R]_3)$. (A negative answer to this question is also implicit in $[Fr]_3$, in view of the correction by [P].) The main contributions of the present work are Theorem 2.1, which shows that the condition hypercozero=cozero guarantees the locally fine property for metric-fine proximally fine spaces and Theorem 2.2.

- 307 -

In general, the notation employed is found in $[R]_{1-5}$ and [I], and is consistent with the terms used in $[Fr]_{1-8}$. uX denotes a uniform space. If u and v are uniformities, u/v is the quasi-uniformity having covers of the form $\{V_g \cap U_t^s\}$ as a basis, where $\{V_g\} \in v$, $\{U_t^s\} \in u$, for each s. uX is locally fine if $u/u + u^{(1)} = u$ and locally sub-M-fine if u(eu = u, where eu has the basis of countable u-covers. A function $uX \xrightarrow{f} vY$ is ULUC if f/U_g is uniformly continuous for each member of $\{U_g\} \in u$.

Theorem 1.1: These statements are equivalent.

(i) uX is metric-fine and each bounded ULUC function.
is uniformly continuous. (uX is locally e-fine metric-fine
in the sense of [Fr]₂.)

(ii) uX is metric-fine and hypercoz (uX) = coz (uX).

(iii) Each & -uniformly discrete cozero cover is a uniform cover.

(iv) uX is locally sub-M-fine and each uniformly locally countable cozero cover is a uniform cover.

<u>Proof</u>: The equivalence of (i) - (iii) has been established in $[Rl_5]$ and $[Frl_2]$, Theorem 3, while (iv) follows from (i) using $[Frl_2]$, Theorem 3, and the definition of locally e-fine. We sketch a proof of (i) \longrightarrow (iv) that also enables us to establish 2.2. Let $\{\cos f_t\}$ be a uniformly locally countable cozero cover with respect to $\mathcal{G}_p(\varepsilon)$, where φ is uniformly continuous. Let $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i, \mathcal{U}_i =$ $= \{\mathbb{U}_{s,i}: s \in S_i\}$, be a G-uniformly discrete uniform refinement of $\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}(\varepsilon/4)$, with \mathcal{U}_i discrete with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_i}(\varepsilon_i), \ {\varphi_i}$ uniformly continuous, $\varepsilon_i < \varepsilon$. For

- 308 -

se S_i , define the cozero sets $V_{s,i} = \{x: \varphi_i(x,U_{s,i}) < \varepsilon_i/8\}$ and the countable family $C_{s,i} = \{\cos f_i: \cos f_i \cap V_{s,i} \neq \emptyset\}$. Write $C_{s,i} = \{S_{s,i}^j: j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ define $T_{s,i}^j = S_{s,i}^j \cap V_{s,i}$; then the cozero family $\{T_{s,i}^j: s \in S_i\}$ is uniformly discrete for each j, so by (ii) $C_i^j = \bigcup 4T_{s,i}^j: s \in S_i\}$ is a cozero set. Define $B_i = \{x: \varphi(x,U_{s,i}) > \varepsilon_i/16$ for all $s \in S_i\}$ and let $\mathcal{V}_i = \{C_i^j: j \in \mathbb{N} \notin \bigcup f \in B_i\}$. By (iii), $\mathcal{V}_i \in u$. Define $H_i = \bigcup \{U_{s,i}: s \in S_i\}$ and set $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{V}_{i/H_i}$. Note that \mathcal{A}_i is a uniform cover of H_i . Finally, $\mathcal{U}_i \wedge \mathcal{A}_i < \{\cos f_i\}_{H_i}$; hence $\{\cos f_i\} \in u/eu = u$ since uX is metric-fine.

Assume that (iv) is satisfied. Then each countable cozero cover is uniform and uX is locally sub-M-fine, so uX is metric-fine ([R]₅). Let $X \xrightarrow{f} [0,1]$ be a ULUC function with respect to $\mathcal{U} = \{U_g\}$, where \mathcal{U} is a uniformly locally finite cozero cover (which may be assumed since uX is metric-fine). If $\{H_i\}$ is a finite open cover of [0,1], then $\{U_g \cap \cap f^{-1}(H_i)\}$ is a uniformly locally finite cozero cover that refimes $\{f^{-1}(H_i)\}$; hence by (iv) f is uniformly continuous and (i) is established.

<u>Theorem 1.2:</u> Assume that uX has a basis of finite dimensional uniform covers. Then each countable (resp. finite) cozero cover is a uniform cover and hypercoz (uX) = coz (uX) if and only if each uniformly locally countable (resp. uniformly locally bounded) cozero cover is a uniform cover.

<u>Proof</u>: Using the notation in 1.1 and the fact ([I], 4.25) that each uniform cover has a uniform refinement which is the finite union of uniformly discrete families, we may assume $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i$, where i ranges over a finite set. The proof of 1.1 now proceeds unaltered to the conclusion that $\{ \cos f_t \}$ is a uniform cover, since it is uniform on each member of the finite uniform cover $\{H_i\}$.

<u>Note</u> (i): The uniformly locally bounded assumption in 1.2 cannot be replaced by uniformly locally finite. The referee points out that if φ is the usual metric on R and is the fine uniformity on R, then $\varphi \vee p \propto$ satisfies the conditions in 1.2 for uniformly locally finite, but each such cover is not uniform (since $\propto \neq \varphi \vee p \propto$).

<u>Note</u> (ii): Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 remain valid (using the preceding proofs) if one replaces coz (uX) by Baire (uX) and metric-fime by measurable.

Theorem 2.1: These statements are equivalent.

(i) uX is cozero-fine and locally fine

(ii) uX is cozero-fine and hyper coz (uX) = coz (uX)

(iii) uX is proximally fine and each uniformly locally finite cozero cover is a uniform cover.

<u>Proof</u>: Using 1.1 and the fact that cozero-fine is equivalent to metric-fine and proximally fine ($[Hl_3, 5.3 \text{ or} [Fr]_6$, Theorem 5), one easily establishes the implications (i) \rightarrow (ii) \rightarrow (iii). Assume that (iii) is satisfied. Let $uX \xrightarrow{f} M$ be a cozero function to the metric space M. Since uX is proximally fine, f is uniformly continuous once it is shown that $f^{-1}\{H_i\} \in u$ for each finite open cover $\{H_i\}$ of M. But each $H_i \in \text{coz}(M)$, so $f^{-1}\{H_i\}$ is a finite cozero cover; hence $f^{-1}\{H_i\} \in u$ by (iii). Thus uX is cozero-fine and

- 310 -

has a basis of point-finite uniform covers.

To show that uX is locally fine, it suffices to show that $p(u^{(1)}) = pu$, for uX is proximally fine and $u^{(1)}$ is a uniformity since uX has a point-finite basis. Choose $\mathcal{U} \in e p(u^{(1)})$. There exists $\mathcal{V} = \{V_s \cap U_t^s \} \in u^{(1)}$ and a finite cover $\{H_i\}$ such that $\mathcal{V} < \{H_i\} < \mathcal{U}$. Define $S_{s,i} =$ $= \bigcup \{U_t^s: V_s \cap U_t^s \subset H_i\}$ and set $\mathcal{G}_s = \{S_{s,i}\}$. Each \mathcal{G}_s is a finite uniform cover (since $\{U_t^s\} < \mathcal{G}_s$); hence $\mathcal{W} =$ $= \{V_s \cap S_{s,i}\} \in pu/u$ and $\mathcal{W} < \mathcal{U}$. Since uX is metric-fine we may assume that $\{V_s\}$ is a uniformly locally finite cozero cover, so by (iii) \mathcal{W} , and hence \mathcal{U} , is a uniform cover and $p(u^{(1)}) = pu$.

Theorem 2.2: These statements are equivalent.

(i) uX is Baire-fine and locally fine.

(ii) uX is Baire-fine and hyperBaire (uX) = Baire (uX).

(iii) uX is proximally fine and each uniformly locally finite Baire cover is a uniform cover.

(iv) uX is proximally fine and each &-uniformly locally finite Baire cover is a uniform cover.

<u>Proof</u>: The equivalence of (i) - (iii) may be established using the comments following 1.2 and the proof technique of 2.1. To establish (i) \longrightarrow (iv), let $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup \mathcal{U}_i$ be a Baire (= cozero) cover, where \mathcal{U}_i is uniformly locally finite with respect to $\mathcal{V}_i \in u$. Define $B_i = \bigcup \{ U \in \mathcal{U}_i \}$. Then one easily shows that B_i is a cozero set since uX is locally fine (if $U = coz(f_U)$, then $B_i = coz(f)$, where $f = \sum f_U$). Also \mathcal{U}_{i/B_i} is a uniform cover of B_i (for its restriction to

- 311 -

each member of \mathcal{V}_i has a finite Baire refinement and uX is measurable and locally fine). Hence uX measurable implies $\mathcal{U} = \{B_i \cap U: U \in \mathcal{U}_i\} \in u/eu = u$.

The reader should compare (i) and (ii) of 2.2 with Theorem 3 of $[Fr]_7$. It has been mentioned that there exist Baire-fine spaces that are not locally fine $([R]_{2,3})$. In fact, the smallest measurable uniformity u satisfying hyper-Baire (uX) = Baire (uX) which contains the product uniformity of X = D^{ω_1} , where D is uniformly discrete and |D| = $= \omega_1$, is not locally fine ($[Fr]_2$, p. 246). On the other hand, ($[R]_2$, 2.6) establishes that if the smallest measurable uniformity u containing a metric uniformity satisfies hyper Baire (uX) = Baire (uX), then uX is locally fine.

References

[Fr] ₁	z.	FROLIK:	Measurable	uniform	spaces,	Pacific	J.
-	Math. 55(1974),93-105.						

- [Fr]₂ Z. FROLÍK: Locally e-fine measurable spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 196(1974), 237-247.
- [Fr] Z. FROLÍK: Baire sets and uniformities on complete metric spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 13(1972), 137-147.
- [Fr]₅ Z. FROLÍK: Interplay of measurable and uniform spaces, Proc. 2nd Symposium on Topology, Budva, Yugoslavia, 1972 (Beograd 1973), 98-101.
- [Fr]₆ Z. FROLÍK: A note on metric fine spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 46(1974), 111-119.

[Fr] ₇	Z. FROLIK: Topological methods in measure theory
-	and the theory of measurable spaces, Proc.
	3rd Prague Symp. on General Topology, 1971
	(Academia, Prague, 1972), 127-139.
[Fr] ₈	Z. FROLIK: Seminar in Uniform Spaces (Directed by
Ũ	Z. Frolik), Czechoslovak Academy of Scien-
	ces, 1973-1974.
(H),	A.W. HAGER: Some nearly fine uniform spaces, Proc.
1	London Math. Soc. 28(1974), 517-546.
[H]2	A.W. HAGER: Measurable uniform spaces, Fund. Math.
-	77(1972), 51-73.
[H] ₃	A.W. HAGER: Uniformities induced by proximity, co-
5	zero, and Baire sets, to appear Trans.
	Amer. Math. Soc.
[I]	J.R. ISBELL: Uniform sp ces, Amer. Math. Soc., Pro-
	vidence, 1964.
[P]	D. PREISS: Completely additive disjoint systems of
	Baire sets are of bounded class, Comment.
	Math. Univ. Carolinae 15(1974), 341-344.
[R],	M.D. RICE: Metric-fine uniform spaces, to appear
÷.	Proc. London Math. Soc.
[R]2 3	M.D. RICE: Uniformities in the descriptive theory
لروب	of sets I,II, to appear Amer. J. Math.
[R]4	M.D. RICE: Finite dimensional covers of metric-fi-
·	ne spaces, to appear Czech. J. Math.
[R] ₅	M.D. RICE: Covering and function-theoretic proper-
	ties of uniform spaces, Doctoral disserta-
	tion, Wesleyan University, June, 1973.

.

George Mason University

Department of Mathematics

Fairfax, Virginia 22030

(Oblatum 26.7. 1974)

. Qi

- 313 -