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A NOTE ON THE EXISTENCE OF MORE THAN ONE SOUUTION FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY LINEAR EQUATIONS
E. PODOLAK, Princet on

Abstract: Consider the nonlinear operator equation $I u+N(u)=I$ with nonlinearity satisfying $\mathbf{P}_{0} N\left(x_{0}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $\left\|x_{0}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ for $x_{0}$ in Ker $L, P_{0}$ being the projection onto Coker L. Under additiomal hypotheses we show that this equation has the property that for $\left\|P_{0} I\right\|$ sufficiently small, it has at least two solutions.
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Introduction. Consider the nonlinear operator equation
(A) $\quad L u+N(u)=P$
where $L$ is a linear Fredholm map of index zero between Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ and $N$ is a compact uniformly bounded map of $X$ into $Y$. Using the notation $X_{0}=\operatorname{Ker} L, P_{0}=$ projection onto Coker $L$, we decompose each $x$ in $X$ into $x_{0}+x_{1}$ where $X \neq X_{0} \oplus$ $\oplus X_{1}$ and $X_{1}$ is some complement of $X_{0}$ in $X$. We assume
(H.I) Given $E>0$ and $k \geq 0$ there exists $\rho>0$ such that if $\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq k$ and $\left\|x_{0}\right\| \geq \rho,\left\|P_{0} N\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$. In addition, suppose Ker $L$ is one-dimensional and
(H.2) For any $M$, there exists a number $R_{0}$ such that if $\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq M$ and $\left\|x_{0}\right\| \geq R_{0} P_{0} N\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right)$ and $P_{0} N\left(-x_{0}+x_{1}\right)$ are of opposite signs.

Then the followin result is known:
Theorem. Assuming (H.1) and (H.2), the equation (A) has a solution for each $f$ in the range of L. Furthermore there is a number $c$ depending on $P_{1} P$, where $P_{1}=I-P_{0}$ is the projection onto the range of $L$, such that for $\left\|P_{0} f\right\|<c\left(P_{1} f\right)$ (A) has a solution.

Examples of boundary-value problems where essentially this abstract result is used can be found in references [1], [2], and [3].

The generalization of this theorem to the case where dim Ker $L>1$ is easily seen. Let $\left\{x_{0 i}\right\} \quad i=1, \ldots, n$ be a fixed basis of unit vectors spanning Ker $L$ and let an arbitrary element of Ker $L$ be denoted by $a \cdot x_{0}$ where $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ $x_{0}=\left(x_{01}, \ldots, x_{o n}\right)$ and $a \cdot x_{0}=a_{1} x_{01}+\ldots+a_{n} x_{o n}$. Instead of (H.2) assume
(H.3) For any $M$ there exists a number $R_{0}$ such that
$\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leqslant M$ and $|a| \geq R_{0} i m p l y P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+x_{1}\right) \neq 0$
and letting $\phi(a)=P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}\right)$ be regarded as a map of $R^{n}$ into $R^{n}$, assume for $R \geq R_{0}$
(H.4) $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi, 0, D_{R}^{n}\right) \neq 0$ where $D_{R}^{n}$ is the ball of radius $R$ in $R^{n}$ and deg is the standard Brouwer degree.

Clearly for the case of a one-dimensional kernel, (H.3) and (H.4) are equivalent to (H.2). The result now reads as follows:

Theorem. Let $L$ and $N$ be as above with $N$ satisfying (H.1), (H.3), and (H.4). Then for each f, there is a number $c\left(P_{1} f\right)$ such that for $\left\|P_{o f} f\right\| c\left(P_{1} f\right)$, (A) has a solution.

A variant of this result has been proved and used by Mawhin in the study of periodic solutions of ordinary vector differential equations. (See [4] and [5]).

In this note we extend the results mentioned above by showing that for $\left\|P_{0} f\right\|$ sufficiently small and $\neq 0$, (A) has in fact at least two solutions.

Section 1. Here we formally state and prove our main result.

Theorem 1. Suppose $N$ satisfies (H.1), (H.3), and (H.4). Then for each $f$, there exists a number $c\left(P_{I} f\right)$ such that for $0<\left\|P_{0} f\right\|<c\left(P_{1} f\right)$, equation (A) has at least two solutions. Here $c\left(P_{1} P\right)$ is the same constant needed in the previously mentioned work.

To prove Theorem 1, using the standard method for semiJ.inear alternative problems, we rewrite (A) as
(1) $\quad F\left(x_{1}, a\right)=0$
where $F: X_{1} \times R^{n} \rightarrow X_{1} \times R^{n}$ is given by
(2) $F\left(x_{1}, a\right)=\left(x_{1}+L^{-1} P_{1}\left[N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+x_{1}\right)-f\right]\right.$,

$$
\left.P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+x_{1}\right)-P_{0} f\right)
$$

Here $P_{1}$ is the projection onto $L\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $L: X_{1} \rightarrow L\left(X_{1}\right)$ has an inverse which we have denoted as $L^{-1}$.
Let $D_{k}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, a\right):\left\|x_{1}\right\|+|a| \leqslant k\right\}$ and let $S_{k}$ be its boundary. Then we have
-Lemma 1. There exist constants $c$ and $k$ such that if $\left\|P_{0} f\right\|<c, \operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(F,(0,0), D_{k}\right) \neq 0$, where $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}$ is the LeraySchauder degree. Furthermore these constants depend on $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{f}$.

Proof. Let
(3) $H\left(x_{1}, a, t\right)=\left(x_{1}+t L^{-1} P_{1}\left[N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+x_{1}\right)-f\right]\right.$,

$$
\left.P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+t x_{1}\right)-P_{0} f\right)
$$

We claim that there exist constants, $c, k$ such that if $\left\|P_{0} f\right\|<c, H\left(x_{1}, a, t\right) \neq 0$ on $S_{k}$. This is easily seen since if the first component of $H$ is zero, by (3),
(4) $\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|L^{-1} P_{1}\right\|\left[\sup _{x \in X}\|N(x)\|+\left\|P_{1} \mathbb{f}\right\|\right] \equiv M$ and thus by hypothesis, there exists $R_{0}$ such that $P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+x_{1}\right) \neq 0$ for $\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leqslant M$ and $|a| \geq R_{0}$ so that on the bounded set $\left\{\left(x_{1}, a\right):\left\|x_{1}\right\| \leq M, R_{0} \leq|a| \leq R_{0}+M\right\}$ there is some constant $\alpha>0$ such that $\| P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+\right.$ $\left.+x_{1}\right) \|>\propto$. Thus picking $c=\propto$, if $\left\|P_{0} P\right\|<c$ and $k=M+R_{0}$ we have $H\left(x_{1}, a, t\right) \neq 0$. This gives us that $H\left(x_{1}, a, 0\right)$ is homotopic to $H\left(x_{1}, a, 1\right)$ on $S_{k}$. But $H\left(x_{1}, a, 1\right)=$ $=F\left(x_{1}, a\right)$ and
(5) $H\left(x_{1}, a, 0\right)=\left(x_{1}, P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}\right)-P_{0} f\right)$
so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}_{I S}\left(F,(0,0), D_{k}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{0} N\left(a \cdot x_{0}\right)-P_{0} f, 0, D_{k}^{n}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{deg}\left(\phi, 0, D_{k}^{n}\right) \neq 0 \text { by hypothesis (H.4). }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen from (4) and the subsequent inequalities that $c$ and $k$ depend on $P_{1} P$.

Lemma 2. If $P_{0} f \neq 0$, there is a $k_{1}$ depending on $P_{0} f$
such that $\operatorname{deg}_{I_{S}}\left(F,(0,0), D_{k_{1}}\right)=0$.
Proof. Let $k_{1}=M+\rho$ where $M$ is given by equation (4) and $\rho$ is given by hypothesis (H.1) with $\varepsilon=$ $=\left\|P_{0} f\right\|$.
Thus on $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{1}}$
$G\left(x_{1}, a, t\right)=\left(x_{1}+t L^{-1} P_{1}\left[N\left(a \cdot x_{0}+x_{1}\right)-p\right]\right.$,
$\left.t P_{0}\left(a \cdot x_{0}+x_{1}\right)-P_{0} f\right)$
is a non-vanishing homotopy be tween $F\left(x_{1}, a\right)$ and $G\left(x_{1}, a, 0\right)=$ $=\left(x_{1},-P_{0} f\right)$. But clearly $\operatorname{deg}_{I S}\left(G,(0,0), D_{k_{1}}\right)=0$
since $G$ is not surjective. Thus $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(F,(0,0), D_{k_{1}}\right)=0$.
Finally we have
Proof of Theorem 1. Given $f$, suppose $\left\|P_{0} f\right\|<c$, where $c$ is given in Lemma 1. Then there exists $k$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{\text {LS }}\left(F,(0,0), D_{k}\right) \neq 0$. But by Lemma 2 , there is a $k_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}\left(F,(0,0), D_{k_{1}}\right)=0$. Therefore there must be a zero of $F$ between $S_{k}$ and $S_{k_{1}}$. Thus we conclude that for $\left\|P_{0} \mathbb{f}\right\|<$ $<c, F$ must have at least two zeros.

Remark. Note that if $P_{0} P=0$, the proof of Lemma 2 breaks down, and in fact Prof. Fuxik has pointed out to me that the boundary-value problem with $P=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-u^{\prime \prime}-u+u\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{-1}=0 \\
u(0)=u(w)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

satisfying (H.1) and (H.2), is uniquely solvable.
I would like to express my thanks to Prof. Fucik for the current formulation of typothesis (H.1).
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