Ivo Vrkoč Liouville formula for systems of linear homogeneous Itô stochastic differential equations

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 1, 141--146

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105840

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

19,1 (1978)

LIOUVILLE FORMULA FOR SYSTEMS OF LINEAR HOMOGENEOUS ITÔ STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Ivo VRKOČ, Praha

Abstract: Let X(t) be the fundamental matrix solution of Itô equation (1) and D(T) = det X(T). The process D(t)is a solution of (2) and hence given by (6). It is shown that X(t) is regular and a formula for solutions of nonhomogeneous linear Itô equations is derived.

Key words: Linear Itô stochastic equations, Liouville formula, fundamental matrix solutions, variation of constants formula.

AMS: 60H10 Ref. Ž.: 9.655

The general system of linear homogeneous Itô stochastic differential equations can be written in the vector form

(1)
$$dx = A(t)xdt + \sum_{j=1}^{k} B^{(j)}(t)xdw_{j},$$

where x is an n-dimensional vector, A(t), $B^{(j)}(t)$, j = 1,......,k, are matrix functions of the type $n \times n$ defined on $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$, $w_j(t)$ are stochastically independent Wiener processes.

Assume that ||A(t)||, $||B^{(j)}(t)||$ are measurable and locally bounded on $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$. A matrix function X(t) of the type nx n defined on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$, $t_0 \ge 0$ is called a fundamental matrix solution of (1) if the columns of X(t) are solu-

- 141 -

tions of (1) on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ and $X(t_0)$ is the unit matrix. The existence and unicity of the solutions of (1) is proved in [1], [2]. Denote $D(t) = \det X(t)$.

Theorem 1. The process D(t) is a solution of

(2)
$$dD = [tr A(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,j} (B_{pp}^{(j)}(t)B_{qq}^{(j)}(t) - B_{pq}^{(j)}(t)B_{qp}^{(j)}(t)]] D(t)dt + D(t) \sum_{j} tr B^{(j)}(t)dw_{j}.$$

<u>Proof</u>. Let $x_i^{(j)}(t)$ be the i-th element of the j-th column of X(t). The determinant D(t) can be written by the wellknown formula

(3)
$$D(t) = \sum_{j_1 \cdots j_n} \epsilon(j_1, \dots, j_n) x_1^{(j_1)}(t) \dots x_n^{(j_n)}(t),$$

where the indices j_1, \ldots, j_n assume the values of all permutations of 1,...,n, $\varepsilon(j_1, \ldots, j_n) = 1$ or -1 if j_1, \ldots, j_n is an even or an odd permutation, respectively. Applying the Itô formula to (3) we obtain

(4)
$$dD = \sum_{j_{1} \cdots j_{n}} e(j_{1}, \dots, j_{n}) \left[\sum_{p=1}^{n} x_{1}^{(j_{1})} \dots x_{p-1}^{(j_{p-1})} \right]$$
$$\cdot dx_{p}^{(j_{p})} x_{p+1}^{(j_{p+1})} \dots x_{n}^{(j_{n})} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q} x_{1}^{(j_{1})} \dots x_{p-1}^{(j_{p-1})}$$
$$\cdot dx_{p}^{(j_{p})} x_{p+1}^{(j_{p+1})} \dots x_{q-1}^{(j_{q-1})} dx_{q}^{(j_{q})} x_{q+1}^{(j_{q+1})} \dots x_{n}^{(j_{n})}$$

Due to (1) we obtain

$$dx_{p}^{(j_{p})}dx_{q}^{(j_{q})} = \sum_{j} (\sum_{k} B_{pk}^{(j)} x_{k}^{(j_{p})} \ge B_{q\ell}^{(j)} x_{\ell}^{(j_{q})})dt$$

and equation (4) can be rewritten as

(5)
$$dD = \sum_{p} det Q^{(p)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,j} det R^{(p,q,j)} dt,$$

- 142 -

where $Q^{(p)}$ are matrices of the type $n \times n$ defined by

$$Q_{ij}^{(p)} = \mathbf{x}_i^{(j)}$$
 if $i \neq p$ and $Q_{pj}^{(p)} = d\mathbf{x}_p^{(j)}$,

 $R^{(p,q,j)}$ are matrices of the type $n \times n$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{u,v}^{(o,q,j)} &= x_{u}^{(v)} \text{ if } u \neq p \text{ and } u \neq q, \\ R_{u,v}^{(p,q,j)} &= \sum_{k} B_{pk}^{(j)} x_{k}^{(v)}, \ R_{q,v}^{(p,q,j)} &= \sum_{k} B_{qk}^{(j)} x_{k}^{(v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (5) can be easily transformed (by using well-known properties of determinants) into

$$dD = D(t) (\sum_{p} A_{pp} dt + \sum_{p,j} B_{pp}^{(j)} dw_{j}) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q,j} (B_{pp}^{(j)} B_{qq}^{(j)} - B_{pq}^{(j)} B_{qp}^{(j)}) D(t) dt$$

which is the same equation as (2).

<u>Conclusion 1</u>. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. If X(t) is the fundamental matrix solution of (1), $X(t_o) = I$ (I is the unit matrix) then

(6) det X(t) = D(t) = exp {
$$\int_{t_0}^{t} tr A(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} \int_{t_0}^{t} tr(B^{(j)}(\tau))^2 d\tau + \sum_{j} \int_{t_0}^{t} tr B^{(j)}(\tau) dw_j(\tau)$$

The formula for D(t) follows immediately from (2) and the Itô formula.

<u>Conclusion 2</u>. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. If X(t) is the fundamental matrix solution of (1), $X(t_0) = I$ then the probability that X(t) is regular for all $t \in \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ is equal to one.

- 143 -

This conclusion follows directly from formula (6). Conclusion 2 implies that the inverse matrix $X^{-1}(t)$ exists almost everywhere.

<u>Conclusion 3</u>. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. If X(t) is the fundamental matrix solution of (1), X(t_o) = I then to every $T > t_o$ and $\infty \ge 1$ there exists $c \ge 0$ such that $E \parallel X^{-1}(t) \parallel^{\infty} \le c$ for $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$.

<u>Proof.</u> If $X^{-1}(t)$ exists then $X_{k,\ell}^{-1} = (-1)^{k+\ell} \det X^{(\ell,k)} / det X$ where $X^{(\ell,k)}$ is the submatrix of X corresponding to the element $x_{\ell}^{(k)}$. Since det $X^{(\ell,k)} = \sum e (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell-1}, j_{\ell+1}, \dots, j_n) \prod_{\substack{s \neq \ell \\ s \neq \ell}} x_s^{(j_s)}$ where $j_1, \dots, j_{\ell-1}, j_{\ell+1}, \dots, j_n$ are permutations of 1,2,..., $k - 1, k + 1, \dots, n$ we can derive an estimate

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{\det \mathbf{X}^{(l,\mathbf{k})}}{\det \mathbf{X}} \right|^{\infty} \leq ((n-1)!)^{\infty}$$

$$\cdot \mathbf{j}_{1}, \cdots \mathbf{j}_{\ell-1}, \mathbf{j}_{\ell+1}, \cdots \mathbf{j}_{n} \quad \mathbf{E} \left[\prod_{\mathbf{s} \neq \ell} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}})} \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{X}} \right]^{\infty} \leq$$

$$\leq ((n-1)!)^{\alpha-1} \qquad \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{j}_{\ell-1}, \mathbf{j}_{\ell+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{j}_{n}}}{\prod_{\mathbf{s} \neq \ell} n \sqrt{\mathbf{E} \left| \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}) \approx n} - n \sqrt{\mathbf{E} \frac{1}{|\det \mathbf{X}|^{\infty}n}} \right|}$$

. .

where E is the mathematical expectation. It is proved in [2] that to every $T > t_0$, $\propto \ge 1$ there exists $C \ge 0$ such that $E \| \mathbf{x}(t) \|^{\alpha n} \le C$ for $t \le \langle t_0, T \rangle$ where $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is a solution of (1) fulfilling $\| \mathbf{x}(t_0) \| \le 1$. Using (6) we obtain that also $E \frac{1}{|\det X|^{\alpha n}} \le C_1$ for $t \le \langle 0, T \rangle$. Inequality (7) implies $E \frac{\det X^{(\ell,k)}}{\det X|^{\alpha}} \Big|_{\alpha} \le ((n-1)!)^{\alpha} C^{\frac{n-1}{n}} C^{\frac{1}{n}}_{1}$ and the statement of

- 144 -

Conclusion 3 easily follows.

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let A(t), $B^{(j)}(t)$, $w_j(t)$, j = 1, ..., k fulfil the conditions of Theorem 1 and let $\alpha(t)$, $\beta_j(t)$, j = 1, ..., k be n-dimensional vector functions defined on $\langle 0, \infty \rangle$ such that $\| \alpha(t) \|$, $\| \beta_j(t) \|^2$ are locally integrable. Denote by X(t) the fundamental matrix solution of (1), $X(t_0) = I$. If x_0 is a nonstochastic vector then the process

$$x(t) = X(t)x_{o} + X(t)\int_{t_{o}}^{t} x^{-1}(\tau)(\alpha(\tau) - \sum_{j} B^{(j)}(\tau) \beta_{j}(\tau) d\tau + X(t)\int_{t_{o}}^{t} x^{-1}(\tau) \sum_{j} \beta_{j}(\tau) dw_{j}(\tau)$$

is the solution of the nonhomogeneous Itô equation

$$dx = A(t)xdt + \sum_{j=1}^{k} B^{(j)}(t)xdw_{j} + \infty(t)dt + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{j}(t)dw_{j}$$

fulfilling $x(t_{0}) = x_{0}$.

<u>Proof.</u> With respect to Conclusion 2 the process $X^{-1}(\tau)$ exists and the integrals converge. Denote $J_1(t) = X(t)x_0$, $J_2(t) = X(t) \int_{t_0}^t X^{-1}(\tau)(\alpha(\tau) - \sum_j B^{(j)}(\tau) \beta_j(\tau))d\tau$ and $J_3(t) = X(t) \int_{t_0}^t X^{-1}(\tau) \sum_j \beta_j(\tau)dw_j(\tau)$. The process $J_1(t)$ is evidently the solution of (1) fulfilling $J_1(t_0) = x_0$. Using the Itô formula we obtain that $J_2(t)$ is the solution

$$dJ_2 = AJ_2 dt + \sum_j B^{(j)}J_2 dw_j + (\infty - \sum_j B^{(j)} \beta_j)dt$$

of

fulfilling $J_2(t_0) = 0$ and the process $J_3(t)$ is the solution of

- 145 -

 $dJ_3 = AJ_3 dt + \underset{j}{\Xi} B^{(j)}J_3 dw_j + \underset{j}{\Xi} B^{(j)}\beta_j dt + \underset{j}{\Xi} \beta_j dw_j$

fulfilling $J_3(t_0) = 0$.

<u>Remark</u>. The theorems and the conclusions are valid even if A(t), B(t), $\infty(t)$, $\beta_j(t)$ are nonanticipative stochastic processes fulfilling the above conditions with probability 1.

References

- [1] A. FRIEDMAN: Stochastic differential equations and applications, Vol.1, Academic Press, New York, London, 1975.
- [2] I.I. GIHMAN, A.V. SKOROHOD: Introduction to the theory of stochastic processes (Russian), Nauka, Moskva 1965.

Matematický ústav ČSAV

Žitná 25, 11567 Praha 1

Československo

(Oblatum 4.1. 1978)