

Petr A. Biryukov

Cardinalities and ranks of  $\pi$ -bases in topological spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 21 (1980), No. 4, 769--776

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106042>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CARDINALITIES AND RANKS OF  $\pi$ -BASES IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Petr A. BIRYUKOV

**Abstract:** In this paper, relations between ranks and cardinalities of  $\pi$ -bases are studied in certain classes of topological spaces (the concept of rank was introduced independently by Nagata and Arhangel'skii). The existence of a dense subspace having a base of rank 1 in the Čech-Stone remainder of the integers is shown to be independent of ZFC. A new metrization theorem is given for dyadic spaces.

**Key words and phrases:**  $\pi$ -base, rank, compact space,  $P'$ -space.

Classification: 54A25, 54A35

---

### 0. Preliminaries

0.1. For standard terminology and notation, the reader is referred to [E] and [J]. Recall that a family  $P$  of non-empty open sets in a space  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$  if every non-empty open set in  $X$  contains a member of  $P$  and  $\pi$ -weight of  $X$  is  $\pi(X) = \min \{\text{Card } P : P \text{ is a } \pi\text{-base of } X\}$ . The letters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  below will denote ordinals and  $\tau$  will denote an infinite cardinal. Cardinals are identified as usual with corresponding initial ordinals and the first infinite cardinal is denoted by  $\omega$ .

0.2. A family  $F$  of sets is called an anti-chain if its members are mutually incomparable by inclusion. Let  $A$  be a

family of subsets of a set  $X$  and  $x \in X$ , rank of  $A$  at  $x$  is the cardinal  $r_x A = \sup\{\text{Card } F : F \text{ is an anti-chain in } A_x\}$ , where  $A_x = \{B \in A : x \in B\}$ ; and rank of  $A$  is the cardinal  $rA = \sup\{r_x A : x \in X\}$ . In particular,  $A$  is a family of rank 1 iff for each pair  $B_1, B_2$  of its members either  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are comparable or  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$  and  $A$  has countable rank if  $rA \leq \omega$ . All spaces below are supposed to be  $T_1$ .

1. Partial order arguments. Let  $\langle P, \leq \rangle$  be a partially ordered set. A subset  $D \subset P$  is called dense in  $P$  if for every  $p \in P$  there is an element  $q \in D$  such that  $q \leq p$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are two subsets of  $P$  such that for each  $a \in A$  there exists an element  $b \in B$  such that  $a < b$ , then  $A$  is said to strictly refine  $B$ . A subset  $A \subset P$  is called Noetherian if every  $B \subset A$  contains a maximal element. The following proposition is quite natural, but the author did not find it in the literature.

1.1. Lemma. Every partially ordered set  $\langle P, \leq \rangle$  contains a dense Noetherian subset.

Proof. Let  $P_0$  be a maximal anti-chain in  $P$  (recall that an anti-chain is a set of mutually incomparable elements). If we have defined  $P_\beta \subset P$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ , define  $P_\alpha$  as a maximal anti-chain in  $P$  such that  $P_\alpha$  strictly refines all  $P_\beta$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$ . The construction terminates by an ordinal  $\alpha^*$  for which  $P_{\alpha^*} = \emptyset$ . Let  $P^* = \cup\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha^*\}$ . If  $\alpha < \beta < \alpha^*$ ,  $x \in P_\alpha$ ,  $y \in P_\beta$ , then  $x \not\leq y$ , otherwise for some  $z \in P_\alpha$  we have  $x < y < z$  and we get a contradiction, for  $P_\alpha$  is an anti-chain. Let  $A \subset P^*$ ,  $\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha : A \cap P_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $x \in A \cap P_{\alpha_0}$ . By the previous observation  $x$  is a maximal element of  $A$ , hence  $P$  is Noe-

therian. For recognizing of  $P^*$  to be dense it suffices to prove that for each  $x \in P \setminus P^*$  there exists an element  $p \in P^*$  such that  $p < x$ . Put  $\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha : x \not\leq y \text{ for each } y \in P_\alpha\}$ . Then  $\alpha_0 < \alpha^*$  because of  $P_{\alpha^*} = \emptyset$ . For each  $\alpha < \alpha_0$  there exists  $x_\alpha \in P_\alpha$  such that  $x < x_\alpha$ . In view of maximality of  $P_{\alpha_0}$ ,  $x$  must be comparable with some  $p \in P_{\alpha_0}$ , then  $p < x$  by the definition of  $\alpha_0$ .

1.2. Corollary. Every  $\pi$ -base of an arbitrary topological space contains a Noetherian  $\pi$ -base.

1.3. Lemma. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\pi$ -base of a regular space  $X$ , then there exists a  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{P}^* \subset \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}^* = \bigcup\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha^*\}$  and for each  $\alpha < \beta < \alpha^*$  the family  $\{c \ell U : U \in P_\beta\}$  strictly refines  $P_\alpha$ .

*Proof*. We say that two elements  $U, V \in \mathcal{P}$  are equivalent if  $c \ell U = c \ell V$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}'$  be a set of representatives for this equivalence relation. Since  $X$  is regular,  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ . It remains now to apply the construction of Lemma 1.1 to  $\mathcal{P}'$ , ordered by inclusion.

1.4. Lemma. If a space  $X$  contains a dense first category subset, then for every  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $X$  there exists a  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{P}^* \subset \mathcal{P}$  representable by a union of countably many anti-chains. In particular, if  $X$  has a  $\pi$ -base of rank 1, then  $X$  has also a  $\mathcal{G}$ -disjoint  $\pi$ -base.

*Proof*. Let  $X = c \ell D$ , where  $D = \bigcup\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$  and every  $D_n$  is nowhere dense in  $X$ . As in Lemma 1.1, we put  $\mathcal{P}^* = \bigcup\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha^*\}$  with one more condition:  $(\bigcup P_n) \cap D_n = \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \omega$ . Since  $P_n$  remains maximal relative to the other conditions, we have as above that  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ .

Obviously  $\mathcal{P}_\omega = \emptyset$ , hence  $\mathcal{P}^* = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : n \in \omega \}$  and every  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$  is an anti-chain.

## 2. Rank and $\pi$ -weight

2.1. Theorem. If  $X$  is a separable space with a  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{P}$  of rank  $\tau \geq \omega$ , then  $\pi(X) \leq \tau$ .

Proof. Let  $D$  be a countable dense subset of  $X$  and let  $A$  be the set of all isolated points of  $X$ . If  $\text{cl } A = X$ , then  $\{ \{x\} : x \in A \}$  is a countable  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ . Otherwise let us consider the set  $U = X \setminus \text{cl } A$ . It suffices to prove that  $\pi(U) \leq \tau$ . It is clear that  $U$  has no isolated points, hence  $C = D \cap U$  is a dense first category set in  $U$ . Applying Lemma 1.4 to  $\mathcal{P}_U = \{ P \in \mathcal{P} : P \subset U \}$ , we obtain a  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{P}^* = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : n \in \omega \}$  for  $U$  such that every  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$  is an anti-chain. Clearly  $\text{Card } \mathcal{P}^* \leq \omega \cdot \text{Card } C \cdot \tau = \tau$ .

2.2. Theorem. If  $X$  is a regular space with a  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{P}$  of rank  $\tau \geq \omega$  and  $\tau^+$  is a calibre of  $X$ , then  $\pi(X) \leq 2^\tau$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{P}^* \subset \mathcal{P}$  be like in Lemma 1.3. If  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}^*$  is an anti-chain, then  $\text{Card } \mathcal{A} \leq \tau$  by the definition of rank and calibre. If  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}^*$  is a chain, then, as it is easily seen, the reverse inclusion is a well-ordering on  $\mathcal{C}$ . For every  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  denote by  $U^+$  the successor of  $U$  in this well-ordering. Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{ U \setminus \text{cl } U^+ : U \in \mathcal{C} \}$  is a disjoint family of non-empty open sets such that  $\text{Card } \mathcal{F} = \text{Card } \mathcal{C}$ . Hence,  $\text{Card } \mathcal{C} \leq c(X) \leq \tau$  and  $\text{Card } \mathcal{P}^* \leq 2^\tau$  is now a direct consequence of the combinatorial statement  $(2^\tau)^+ \rightarrow (\tau^+, \tau^+)^2$ , which is a particular case of the Erdős-Rado theorem:  $(2^\tau)^+ \rightarrow (\tau^+)_\tau^2$  [J].

2.3. Corollary. A dyadic space  $X$  with a  $\pi$ -base of

countable rank is metrizable.

Proof. Since  $w(X) = \pi(X)$  [P] and by Theorem 2.2  $\pi(X) \leq 2^\omega$ ,  $X$  is a continuous image of the Cantor cube  $D^{2^\omega}$  which is separable and so is  $X$ . By Theorem 2.1  $\pi(X) \leq \omega$  and hence  $X$  is second-countable.

Remark. As was noted in [GN], Kunen constructed a nonmetrizable compact space with a Noetherian base of countable rank.

3.  $P'$ -spaces. Recall that  $X$  is a  $P'$ -space if every non-empty  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$  has non-empty interior.

3.1. Definition [BPS]. An almost partition of  $X$  is a maximal disjoint family of open sets. A family of almost partitions is called a matrix. A matrix  $\theta$  is called a refining if it is well ordered by the refineness relation.

3.2. Lemma. If  $X$  is a Baire  $P'$ -space, then for any countable matrix  $\theta$  in  $X$  there exists an almost partition of  $X$  which refines every member of  $\theta$ .

Proof. Let  $\theta = \{G_n : n \in \omega\}$ ,  $G_n = \bigcup \theta_n$ . Then the set  $G = \bigcap \{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  is dense in  $X$ . For every non-empty open set  $U$  in  $X$  one can find  $V_n \in \theta_n$  such that  $U' = U \cap \bigcap \{V_n : n \in \omega\} \neq \emptyset$ . Setting  $U_0 = \text{Int } U'$ , we see that a maximal disjoint family of these  $U_0$ 's is obviously an almost partition with the desired property.

3.3. Theorem. Every Baire  $P'$ -space  $X$  with  $\pi(X) = \omega_1$  has a  $\pi$ -base of rank 1.

Proof. Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ . In view of Lemma 3.2, one can define by transfinite induction a refining matrix  $\theta = \{P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  such that for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$   $P_\alpha$

refines  $\{U_\alpha, \text{Int } X \setminus U_\alpha\}$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{P} = \cup \{ \mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \}$  is a  $\pi$ -base of rank 1 for  $X$ .

3.4. Theorem. Every compact  $P'$ -space  $X$  of the weight  $\omega_1$  has a dense subspace with a base of rank 1.

Proof. Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be a base of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is regular, one can modify the construction above to obtain a refining matrix  $\theta = \{\mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  such that for every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the family  $\{c \in U : U \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha\}$  refines all  $\mathcal{P}_\beta$  with  $\beta < \alpha$ . If  $\xi = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is a decreasing sequence with  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$ , then  $\bigcap \xi \neq \emptyset$ . If  $x, y \in \bigcap \xi$  and  $x \neq y$ , then for some  $\alpha$  we have  $x \in U_\alpha, y \notin U_\alpha$ . But  $x \in V_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$  refines  $\{U_\alpha, \text{Int } (X \setminus U_\alpha)\}$ , hence  $V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$  and  $y \notin V_\alpha$  - a contradiction. Thus  $\bigcap \xi$  is a singleton  $\{x_\xi\}$ . As above  $\mathcal{P} = \cup \{ \mathcal{P}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \}$  is a  $\pi$ -base of  $X$ , so the set  $A$  of all  $x_\xi$ 's is dense in  $X$  and therefore  $\{U \cap A : U \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is a base of rank 1 for  $A$ .

3.5. Corollary (CH). If  $X$  is a zero-dimensional locally compact Lindelöf space of the weight  $2^\omega$ , then its Čech-Stone remainder  $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$  has a dense subspace with a base of rank 1 (and, a fortiori,  $X^*$  has a  $\pi$ -base of rank 1).

Proof. It is easily seen that there are  $2^\omega$  clopen sets in  $X$ , so  $w(X^*) = 2^\omega = \omega_1$ . Since  $X^*$  is locally compact and realcompact [FG],  $X^*$  is a  $P'$ -space.

Let  $N^* = \beta \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  be the Čech-Stone remainder of the integers. Then  $N^*$  has a  $\pi$ -base of rank 1 [BPS]. It is quite remarkable that this fact is proved in ZFC, in view of the following result.

3.6. Theorem. The existence of a dense subspace with a base of rank 1 in  $N^*$  is independent of ZFC.

Proof. (a) Martin's Axiom implies: (A) A non-empty intersection of  $< 2^\omega$  open sets in  $N^*$  has a non-empty interior [BJ].

Let  $\{U_\alpha: \alpha < 2^\omega\}$  be a base of  $N^*$ . Assume that for some  $\alpha < 2^\omega$  we have defined a refining matrix  $\theta = \{\mathcal{P}_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$  such that every  $\mathcal{P}_\beta$  consists of clopen sets and refines  $\{U_\beta, N^* \setminus U_\beta\}$ . If  $V$  is a non-empty clopen set in  $N^*$ , pick  $V_\beta \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$  with  $V_\beta \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then  $\{V\} \cup \{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}$  is a centered family of clopen sets, hence  $V' = V \cap \bigcap \{V_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \neq \emptyset$ . By (A)  $\varphi(V) = \text{Int } V' \neq \emptyset$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$  to be a maximal disjoint subfamily of  $\{\varphi(V): V \text{ is clopen in } N^*\}$  that refines  $\{U_\alpha, N^* \setminus U_\alpha\}$ . Now the argument of Theorem 3.4 proceeds a dense subspace with a base of rank 1.

(b) Let  $D \subset N^*$  be a dense subspace with a base  $\mathcal{B}$  of rank 1. Then for any subfamily  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  either  $\bigcap \mathcal{A}$  is open in  $D$  or  $\mathcal{A}$  is a base of some point  $x \in D$  (it is a general fact about bases of rank 1 [AF]). No point of  $D$  has a countable base, hence for every  $x \in D$  and a countable  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_x$   $\bigcap \mathcal{A}$  is open in  $D$ , that is, all points of  $D$  are P-points in  $D$ , and so in  $N^*$ , since  $N^*$  is regular. However, the existence of a P-point in  $N^*$  is unprovable in ZFC (Shelah).

Question. Does every Baire (or even compact)  $P'$ -space of the  $\pi$ -weight  $2^\omega$  have a  $\pi$ -base of rank 1 (without any additional set-theoretic assumptions; cf. Theorem 3.3) ?

In conclusion, the author expresses his deep gratitude to Professor A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ for his attention to this work.



### References

- [AF] A.V. ARCHANGEL'SKIĭ, V.V. FILIPPOV: Prostranstva s bazami konečnogo ranga, Mat. Sbornik 1972, 87 (129), No. 2, 147-158 (Spaces with bases of finite rank).
- [B] D. BOOTH: Ultrafilters on a countable set, Ann. Math. Logic 2(1970), 1-24.
- [BPS] E. BALCAR, J. PELANT, P. SIMON: The space of ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{N}$  covered by nowhere dense sets (to appear).
- [E] R. ENGELKING: General Topology, PWN, Warszawa 1977.
- [FG] N.W. FINE, L. GILLMAN. Extension of continuous functions in: N. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66(1960), 376-381.
- [GN] G. GRUENHAGE, P. NYIKOS: Spaces with bases of countable rank, Gen. Top. Appl. 8(1978), No. 3.
- [J] I. JUHÁSE: Cardinal functions in topology, Math. Centre Tracts 34, Amsterdam 1975.
- [P] V.I. PONOMAREV: Spaces co-absolute with metric spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 21(1966), No. 4, 87-113.

Moscow State University  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Moscow B-231  
U S S R

(Oblatum 1.9. 1980)