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## generic properties of von karman equations Pavol QUITTNER


#### Abstract

The operator equation $f(w)=p$ connected with general boundary value problem for von Kármán equations is studied. It is proved that the singular sets $B=\left\{w ; f^{\prime}(w)\right.$ is not surjective $\}$ and $f(B)$ are nowhere dense and that for every $p \notin f(B)$ the number of elements of $f^{-1}(p)$ is finite and odd. Also a generic result for the global structure of the solution set of equation $f(\lambda, w)=p /$ where $\lambda$ is a bifurcation parameter/ is shown.
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1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We restrict ourselves to consider the domain with infinitely smooth boundary /see Definition 1/, but the main results are available under some assumptions also for an angular domain whose boundary is piecewise of $C^{3} /$ eee [1]/.

We shall use the notation and assumptions from [4] 80
'hat we just recall them.
Denote the partial derivatives by $w_{x}, w_{y}$, the outward ormal derivative by $w_{n}=w_{x} n_{x}+w_{y} n_{y}$, the tangential deriative by $w_{\tau}=-w_{x} n_{y}+w_{y} n_{x}$.

Denote further

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{2} w & =w_{x x x x}+2 w_{x x y y}+w_{y y y y} \\
{[u, v] } & =u_{x x} v_{y y}+u_{y y} v_{x x}-2 u_{x y} v_{x y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The boundary operators $M, T$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M w=\nu \Delta w+(1-\nu)\left(w_{x x} n_{x}^{2}+2 w_{x y} n_{x} n_{y}+w_{y y} n_{y}^{2}\right) \\
& T w=-(\Delta w)_{n}+(1-\nu)\left(w_{x x} n_{x} n_{y}-w_{x y}\left(n_{x}^{2}-n_{y}^{2}\right)-w_{y y} n_{x} n_{y}\right)_{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the Poisson constant $\nu \in\left\langle 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
For $u, v, \varphi \in W^{2^{2}}(\Omega)$ we define
$(u, v)_{W z_{0}^{2}}=\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{x x} v_{x x}+2 u_{x y} v_{x y}+u_{y y} v_{y y}\right) d x d y$,
$\|u\|_{0}=\left((u, u)_{W_{0}^{2} 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,
$(u, v)_{V}=(u, v)_{W_{O}^{2,2}}+\nu \int_{\Omega}[u, v] d x d y$,
$B(v ; u, \varphi)=\int_{\Omega}\left(v_{x y} u_{x} \varphi_{y}+v_{x y} u_{y} \varphi_{x}-v_{x x} u_{y} \varphi_{y}-v_{y y} u_{x} \varphi_{x}\right) d x d y$.
If $\varphi \in W_{o}^{2^{2}}(\Omega)$ we obtain $B(v ; u, \varphi)=B(v ; \varphi, u)=B(\varphi ; u, v)$.
Definition 1. Let $\Omega \subset E_{2}$ be a simply connected bounded domain. Let there exist a one-to-one mapping $\theta$ of $\langle 0, R)$ onto $\partial \Omega$ defined by $\theta: t \mapsto\left(\omega_{1}(t), \omega_{2}(t)\right)$
with the properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{i} \in C^{\infty}(\langle 0, R)), \quad i=1,2 \\
& \omega_{i+}^{(k)}(0)=\left.\lim _{t \rightarrow R-} \omega_{i}^{(k)} l_{t}\right), \quad i=1,2, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots \\
&-400-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(-\omega_{2}^{\prime}(t), \omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in\langle 0, R) \text { is the unit vector of the } \\
& \text { inner normal to } \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we say that $\Omega$ is of the class $C^{\infty}$.

Definition 2. Let $\delta>0$. Let the mapping

$$
(x, y):\langle 0, R) \times\langle 0, \delta\rangle \longrightarrow E_{2}
$$

be defined by $x:(t, s) \longmapsto \omega_{1}(t)-s \omega_{2}^{\prime}(t)$ $y:(t, s) \longmapsto \omega_{2}(t)+s \omega_{1}^{\prime}(t)$.
Denote by $\Omega \delta$ the image of $\langle 0, R) \times(0, \delta)$ in this mapping.

Throughout the paper let

$$
\Omega \in C^{\infty}, \quad \partial \Omega=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup \Gamma_{3}, \quad \Gamma_{i}=\theta\left(\gamma_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2,3
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the mapping from Definition 1 and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{i}, i=1,2,3$ are pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of $\langle 0, R$ ).

By [4] there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that the mapping ( $x, y$ ) from Definition 2 is a one-to-one mapping of $\langle 0, R) \times\left\langle 0, \delta_{0}^{\circ}\right\rangle$ onto $\bar{\Omega}_{\delta_{0}}$. We shall suppose that

$$
s_{x x}\left(s_{y}\right)^{2}+s_{y y}\left(s_{x}\right)^{2}-2 s_{x y} s_{x} s_{y}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{2}
$$

Let us denote by $V$ the closure of the set

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\left\{u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) ; \quad u=u_{n}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{2}\right\}
$$

in the norm of $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$.
The functions $k, m, r, \phi, P$ specifying the boundary problem are supposed to fulfil /with arbitrary real numbers $p>1, q>2 /$ :

```
\(k_{2} \in L_{p}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) ; \quad k_{2} \geq 0\) on \(\Gamma_{2}\),
    \(k_{31} \in L_{p}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) ; \quad k_{31} \geqslant 0\) on \(\Gamma_{3}\),
    \(k_{32} \in L_{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) ; \quad k_{32} \geq 0\) on \(\Gamma_{3}\),
    \(m_{2} \in L_{p}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right), \quad m_{3} \in L_{p}\left(r_{3}\right), \quad r_{3} \in L_{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), p \in L_{p}(\Omega)\),
    \(\phi_{0} \in w^{3-\frac{1}{2} \cdot q}(\partial \Omega), \quad \phi_{1} \in N^{2-\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2}(\partial \Omega)\),
```

$$
\phi_{1}=\phi_{0}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{3} \text {. }
$$

Then there exists a function $F \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ which satisfies the conditioms

$$
F=\phi_{0}, \quad F_{n}=\phi_{1} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

/see [6]/.
Let us introduce the following bilinear forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(w, \varphi)=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} k_{2} w_{n} \varphi_{n} d S+\int_{F_{3}}\left(k_{32} w \varphi+k_{31} w_{n} \varphi_{n}\right) d S, \\
& ((w, \varphi))=(w, \varphi)_{V}+a(w, \varphi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall suppose
(1.1) $\quad w \in V,((w, w))=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad w=0$.

Then $\|w\|=((w, w))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is an equivalent norm to $\|\cdot\|_{w^{2,2}}$ in $V$
/see [3]/.
Definition 3. The couple $(w, \phi) \in V \times W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ is said to be - wariational solution of the problem if
(1.2) $\quad((w, \varphi))=B(w ; \phi, \varphi)+\int_{\Omega} P \varphi d x d y+\int_{\Gamma_{3}}\left(r_{3} \varphi+m_{3} \varphi_{n}\right) d S+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} m_{2} \varphi_{n} d S$ holds for each $\varphi \in V$,
(1.3) $(\phi, \psi)_{w_{0}^{2,2}}=-B(w ; w, \psi)$ holds for each $\psi \in W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega)$,
(1.4) $\phi=\phi_{0}, \phi_{n}=\phi_{1}$ on $\partial \Omega$ in the sense of traces.

The sufficiently smooth variational solution defined above is the classical solution of the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{2} w=[w, \phi]+P \\
& \Delta^{2} \phi=-[w, w]
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=w_{r}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \\
w=0, \quad M w+k_{2} w_{-4}=m_{2} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
M w+k_{31} w_{n}=m_{3}, \quad T w+\left(w_{x} \phi_{y \tau}-w_{y} \phi_{x \tau}\right)+k_{32} w=r_{3} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{3}, \\
\phi=\phi_{0}, \quad \phi_{n}=\phi_{1} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2. REFORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let $w \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$. Using the HBlder inequality and the continuous imbedding $W^{\mathbf{2 4}}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ we obtain that $B_{w}: \psi \longmapsto B(w ; w, \psi)$ is a continuous linear functional on $w_{0}^{2 / 2}(\Omega)$ so that by the Riesz theorem
$\left(\exists!R(w) \in W_{0}^{2 / 2}(\Omega)\right)\left(\forall \psi \in W_{0}^{22}(\Omega)\right) \quad(R(w), \psi)_{W_{0}^{2,2}}=B(w ; w, \psi)$. Similarly
$\left(\exists!\tilde{F} \in W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega)\right)\left(\forall \psi \in W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega)\right) \quad(\tilde{F}, \psi)_{W_{0}^{2,2}}=(F, \psi)_{W_{0}^{2,2}}$,
$(\exists!C(w) \in V)(\forall \varphi \in V) \quad((C(w), \varphi))=B(w ; R(w), \varphi)$,
$(\exists!L(w) \in V)(\forall \varphi \in V) \quad((L(w), \varphi))=B(w ; F-\tilde{F}, \varphi) \quad$,
$(\exists!p \in V)(\forall \varphi \in V)((p, \varphi))=\int_{\Omega} P \varphi d x d y+\int_{\Gamma_{3}}\left(r_{3} \varphi+m_{3} \varphi_{n}\right) d S+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} m_{2} \varphi_{n} d S$.
Now we can reformulate the conditions (1.3) and (1.4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=-R(w)+F-\tilde{F} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting from (2.1) into (1.2) we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w)=p \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f: V \longrightarrow V: w \longmapsto f(w)=w+C(w)-L(w) \cdot
$$

The equation (2.2) is obviously equivalent to our problem.

## 3. PROPERTIES OF OPERATOR f

Lemma 1. The operators $C, L: V \rightarrow V$ are compact.
Proof. Let $\left\{w^{n}\right\} \subset V$ be bounded. We shall prove that $\left\{C\left(w^{n}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{L\left(w^{n}\right)\right\}$ are relatively compact in $V$.

We may assume $w^{n} \rightarrow w$ in $V, w_{x}^{n} \rightarrow w_{1}$ and $w_{y}^{n} \rightarrow w_{2}$ in $w^{1,2}(\Omega)$ /since $\left\{w_{x}^{n}\right\},\left\{w_{y}^{n}\right\}$ are bounded in $w^{1,2}(\Omega) /$. Using the compact imbeddings $W^{22}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$ one can easily prove $w_{1}=w_{x}, w_{2}=w_{y}$. By the compact imbedding $W^{2,2}(\Omega) \subset W^{1.4}(\Omega)$ and by the compactness of the operator $T: W^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\partial \Omega): u \mapsto u / \partial \Omega$ we have $w^{n} \rightarrow w$ in $w^{1,4}(\Omega), w_{x}^{n} / \partial \Omega \rightarrow w_{x} / \partial \Omega, w_{y}^{n} / \partial \Omega w_{y} / \partial \Omega$ in $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$. Thus $\quad\left\|R\left(w^{n}\right)-R(w)\right\|_{0}=\sup _{r \in w_{0}^{2}(\Omega), \| \gamma u_{0} \leqslant 1}\left|\left(R\left(w^{n}\right)-R(w), \psi\right)_{W_{0}^{22}}\right|=$ $=\sup \left|B\left(w^{n} ; w^{n}, \psi\right)-B(w ; w, \psi)\right|=\sup \left|B\left(\gamma ; w^{n}, w^{n}\right)-B(\psi ; w, w)\right| \leq$ $=\sup \int_{\Omega}\left(2\left|\psi_{x y}\right|\left|w_{x}^{n} w_{y}^{n}-w_{x} w_{y}\right|+\left|\psi_{x x}\right|\left|\left(w_{y}^{n}\right)^{2}-w_{y}^{2}\right|+\left|\psi_{y y}\right|\left|\left(w_{x}^{n}\right)^{2}-w_{x}^{2}\right|\right) d x d y \rightarrow 0$, since e.g.

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|Y_{x y}\right|\left|w_{x}^{n} w_{y}^{n}-w_{x} w_{y}\right| d x d y \leq
$$

$\leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\psi_{x y}\right|\left(\left|w_{y}^{n}\right|\left|w_{x}^{n}-w_{x}\right|+\left|w_{x}\right|\left|w_{y}^{n}-w_{y}\right|\right) d x d y \leq$
$\leq\|Y\|_{0}\left(\left\|w^{n}\right\|_{W^{1,4}}\left\|w^{n}-w\right\|_{W^{1,4}}+\|w\|_{W_{1,4}}\left\|w^{n}-w\right\|_{W^{1,4}}\right)$.
Similarly $\left\|C\left(w^{n}\right)-C(w)\right\|=\sup _{\varphi \in v,\|\varphi\| \leqslant 1}\left|\left(\left(C\left(w^{n}\right)-C(w), \varphi\right)\right\rangle\right|=$
$=\sup \left|B\left(w^{n} ; R\left(w^{n}\right), \psi\right)-B(w ; R(w), \psi)\right| \rightarrow 0$.
Finally, $\quad\left\|L\left(w^{n}\right)-L(w)\right\|=\sup _{\varphi \in V,\|\varphi\| \leq 1}\left|B\left(w^{n}-w ; F-\tilde{F}, \psi\right)\right| \leq$
$\leq \sup \left|B\left(w^{n}-w ; \tilde{F}, \psi\right)\right|+\sup \left|B\left(w^{n}-w ; F, \varphi\right)\right|$.
Clearly, $\quad \sup \left|B\left(w^{n}-w ; \tilde{F}, \varphi\right)\right|=\sup \left|B\left(\tilde{F} ; \psi, w^{n}-w\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$.
'sing the integration by parts we get sup $\left|B\left(w^{n}-w ; y, \psi\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 2. There exists a constant $K$ such that for each $w \in V$ the following estimate holds

$$
((C(w), w))-|((L(w), w))| \geq-\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}-K
$$

Proof. There exists a function $\xi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with the properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\xi=1 \\
\xi_{x}=\xi_{y}=0
\end{array}\right\} \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
& |B(w ; \xi F, w)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2} \quad \text { for each } v \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

/see [4], Lemma 5/.
Using the Riesz theorem we get
( $\exists!\widetilde{\left.\tilde{F} F \in W_{0}^{22}(\Omega)\right)\left(\forall \gamma \in W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega)\right) \quad(\widetilde{\xi} F, \psi)_{W_{0}^{22}}=(\xi F, \gamma)_{W_{0}^{2,2}} .}$
Since $\quad F-\widetilde{F}=\xi F-\widetilde{\xi} \widetilde{F}$, we have
$((C(w), w))-|((L(w), w))|=B(w ; R(w), w)-|B(w ; \xi F-\widetilde{\xi} F, w)| \geq$
$\geq B(w ; w, R(w))-|B(w ; \xi F, w)|-|B(w ; w, \widetilde{\xi})| \geq$
$\geq\|R(w)\|_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|R(w)\|_{0} \cdot\|\widetilde{\xi} F\|_{0}=$
$=-\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}+\|R(w)\|_{0}\left(\|R(w)\|_{0}-\|\tilde{\xi} F\|_{0}\right) \geq-\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}-\|\tilde{\xi} F\|_{0}^{2} \quad$.
Corollary. The operator $f$ is coercive.
Definition 4. Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces, $A: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous linear mapping, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a/nonlinear/ $C^{1}$ map.

The mapping $A$ is said to be a Fredholm mapping of index $p$ if $\operatorname{Im} A$ is closed, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A<\infty, \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} A<\infty$ and $p=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A-c o d i m \operatorname{Im} A$.

The map $f$ is said to be a Fredholm map of index $p$ if $f^{\prime}(x)$ is a linear Fredholm mapping of index $p$ for each $x \in X$. The map $f$ is said to be proper if $f^{-1}(K)$ is compact whenever $K \subset Y$ is compact.

Lemma 3. The operator $f$ is a Fredholm map of index zero.
Proof. Let weV. Since L, C are compact analytic operators, their derivatives $L^{\prime}(w), C^{\prime}(w)$ have to be compact mappings. Thus $f^{\prime}(w)=I d-L^{\prime}(w)+C^{\prime}(w)$ is the compact perturbation of the identity and hence it is a linear Fredholm mapping of index 0 .

Lemma 4. The operator $f$ is proper.
Proof. Let KCY be compact, let us choose a sequence $\left\{w^{n}\right\} \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$. Since $f$ is coercive, $\left\{w^{n}\right\}$ is bounded. According to Lemma 1 we may assume $\quad C\left(w^{n}\right) \rightarrow p^{1}, L\left(w^{n}\right) \rightarrow p^{2}$. Further $\left\{f\left(w^{n}\right)\right\} \subseteq K$ so that we may assume $f\left(w^{n}\right) \rightarrow p \in K$. Thus $w^{n}=f\left(w^{n}\right)-C\left(w^{n}\right)+L\left(w^{n}\right) \rightarrow p-p^{1}+p^{2}$ and hence $f^{-1}(K)$ is relatively compact. Since $f$ is continuous, $f^{-1}(K)$ is closed.

## 4. MODIFIED SMALE'S THEOREM

Let $X, Y$ be real Banach spaces, $U \subseteq X$ open, $M \subseteq U$. Let $f: U \rightarrow Y$ be a $C^{\prime}$ map. We shall denote the restriction of $f$ to M by $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{M}$. Further denote $B(f / M)=\left\{x \in M ; f^{\prime}(x)\right.$ is not surjective $\}$, $O(f / M)=\left\{y \in Y ;\left(\forall x \in M \cap f^{-1}(y)\right) \quad f^{\prime}(x)\right.$ is surjective $\}=Y-I(B(f / M))$, $B(f)=B(f / U), \quad O(f)=O(f / U)$.

Then $v\left(f / M_{1}\right) \supseteq O\left(f / M_{2}\right)$ for $M_{1} \subseteq M_{2}$ and $y \in O(f / M)$ for each $y \notin(x)$.

Theorem 1. Let $X, Y$ be real Banach spaces, $U_{1}, U_{2} \leq X$ open subsets, $U_{1} \subset U_{2}$. Let $f: U_{2} \rightarrow Y$ be a $C^{k} /$ resp. real analytic/ Fredholm map of index $p \geqslant 0, p<k$. Let $f^{-4}(K)$ be relatively compect /in $X /$ whenever $K \subset Y$ is compact.

Then the set $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{f} / \bar{U}_{1}\right)$ is a dense open subset of $Y$ and for every $y_{0} \in \mathcal{O}$ the set $f^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right) \cap U_{1}$ is a $C^{k} / r e s p$. analytic/ manifold of dimension $p$. If $p=0$ the set $f^{-4}\left(y_{0}\right) \cap U_{1}$ is finite /for $y_{0} \in \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. We shall prove that the set $\mathcal{O}$ is dense and open in $Y$; all remaining assertions follow from the implicit function theorem.

First we show that $f$ is a closed mapping.
Let $Z \subseteq U_{2}$ be closed /in $X /$, let $x_{n} \in Z, f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow y$.
Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is relatively compact, we may assume $x_{n} \rightarrow x \in Z$.
Then $f(x)=y, y \in f(Z)$. Consequently $f(Z)$ is closed.
Since $B\left(f / \bar{U}_{1}\right)$ is closed and $f$ is a closed mapping, the set $\mathcal{O}$ is open.

Let us choose $y \in Y$. Then $K=f^{-1}(y) n \bar{U}_{1}$ is compact. Let $x \in K$. By [2] /see the proof of Theorem C.1.3./ there exists a neighbourhood $U_{x}$ of $x$ such that the set $O\left(f / U_{x}\right)$ is dense. Let us choose $W_{x} \subset U_{x}$ a closed neighbourhood of $x$. Then the set $O\left(f / W_{x}\right)$ is open /since $B\left(f / W_{x}\right)$ is closed and $f$ is a closed mapping/ and dense /since $O\left(f / W_{x}\right) \supseteq \mathcal{O}\left(f / U_{x}\right) /$. Further choose an open set $V_{x}$ such that $x \in V_{x} \subset W_{x}$. Since $K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in K} V_{x}$, there exists a finite set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subseteq K$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_{i}}$. Let us denote $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_{i}}$. Since $O\left(f / W_{x_{i}}\right)$, $i=1, \ldots, n$ is dense and open and $O(f / G) \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} O\left(f / W_{x_{i}}\right)$, the set $O(f / G)$ is dense in $Y$. One can easily prove that there exists a neighbourhood $\tilde{U}$ of $y$ such that $\tilde{U} \cap f(\bar{U},-G)=\varnothing$. Then $\tilde{U} \cap \cup(f / G) \subseteq O$ and hence the set $\mathcal{O}$ is dense.

Lemma 5. Let the assumptions of Thearem 1 be fulfilled. Let $U_{1}=U_{2}=X, p=0$. Then card $f^{-1}(y) / i . e$. the number of elements of the set $f^{-1}(y)$ / is constant on every connected component of 0 .

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that card $f^{-1}(y)$ is a continuous function on $\mathcal{O}$.
Choose $y_{0} \in \mathcal{O}$; let $f^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\}$. By the implicit function theorem there exists an open neighbourhood $0_{i}$ of $x_{i}$ $/ i=1, \ldots, N /$ such that $f / O_{i}$ is a diffeomorphism. Thus card $f^{-1}(y)$ is a lower semicontinuous function and it remains to show that it is also upper semicontinuous.
Let us suppose $z_{n} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} O_{i}, \quad f\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow y_{0}$. We may assume $z_{n} \rightarrow z$. But then $f(z)=y_{0}, \quad z \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} O_{i}$, which contradicts the construction of $O_{i}$.

## 5. THE STRUCTURE OF THE SOLUTION SET

Theorem 2. Let $f: V \rightarrow V$ be the mapping defined in Section 2. Then $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}(f)$ is a dense open subset of $V$ and card $f^{-1}(p)$ is finite, odd and locally constant for $p \in \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. According to Lemmas 3,4,5 and Theorem 1 it remains to prove that card $f^{-1}(p)$ is odd /for $p \in \mathcal{O}$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{O}$. For $\mu \in\langle 0,1\rangle$ we define operators

$$
f_{\mu}: V \rightarrow V: w \mapsto w+\mu(C-L)(w)
$$

By Lemma 2 there exists a constant $K$ such that for every $w \in V$ and every $\mu \in\langle 0,1\rangle$ the following estimete holds

$$
\left(\left(f_{\mu}(w), w\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma}\|w\|^{2}-\ddot{i}
$$

Consequently, there exists an open bounded set $U$ in $V$ such that $p \in U, f^{-1}(p) \subseteq U$ nd $p \notin f_{\mu}(\partial U)$ for every $\mu$. By the homotopy invariance property of the Leray-Schauder degree we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{deg}(f, U, p)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}, U, p\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}, U, p\right)=1 . \\
\text { Since } \operatorname{deg}(f, U, p)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} i\left(w_{j}\right), \text { where }\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N}\right\}=f^{-1}(p)
\end{array}
$$ and $i\left(w_{j}\right)= \pm 1 / j=1, \ldots, N /$, we get that $N=\operatorname{card} f^{-1}(p)$ is an odd number.

Now let us consider /instead of (1.4)/ the following boundary conditions
(5.1) $\quad \phi=\lambda \phi_{0}, \quad \phi_{n}=\lambda \phi_{1}$
$/ \lambda$ being a real number/.
The operator $f=f^{\lambda}$ connected with the boundary conditions $(5.1)$ can be written in the form $f^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=I d+C^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}-L^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, where $C^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=C, L^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}=\lambda L$ and $C, L$ are operctors connected with the boundary conditions (1.4).

Let us define the following operator

$$
g: V \times E_{1} \rightarrow V:(w, \lambda) \mapsto f^{\lambda}(w)=w+C(w)-\lambda L(w) .
$$

## Theorem 3.

(i) The set $\mathcal{O}_{M}=\mathcal{O}(G / V \times\langle-M, M\rangle)$ is dense and open for any $M \in E_{1}$. For every $p \in \mathcal{O}_{M}$ the set $g^{-1}(p) \cap(V \times(-M, M))$ is un anelytic relatively compact manifold of dimension 1.
(ii) $\mathcal{O}(g)$ is a residuel set. For each $p \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ the set $g^{-1}(p)$ is a 1-dimensional anelytic manifold and there exicts a discrete set $D=D(p) \subset E_{q}$ such that the equetion $f^{\lambda}(w)=p$ has only a finite number of soiutions for any. $\lambda \notin D$.

Proof.
(i) $g$ is obviously a Fredholm map of index 1. By Lemma 2 we have

$$
\left(\left(C^{\lambda}(w), w\right)\right)-\left|\left(\left(L^{\lambda}(w), w\right)\right)\right| \geq-\frac{1}{2}| | w \|^{2}-K_{\lambda} .
$$

Thus for $|\lambda| \leq M$ we obtain

$$
((C(w), w))-|\lambda||((L(w), w))| \geq((C(w), w))-M|((L(w), w))|=
$$

$$
=\left(\left(C^{M}(w), w\right)\right)-\left|\left(\left(L^{M}(w), w\right)\right)\right| \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}-K_{M},
$$

$$
\text { hence } g / V x\langle-M, M\rangle \text { is coercive /i.e. } \lim _{\substack{|x| \rightarrow \infty \\ x \in V x\langle-M, M\rangle}} \frac{(g(x), x)}{|x|}=+\infty \text {, }
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a scalar product in $V \times E_{1}$ and $|x|=(x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} /$. Now one can easily prove /analogously as in Lemma 4/ that g/V $\times\langle-M, M\rangle$ is proper. Using Theorem 1 with $U_{1}=V \times(-M, M)$, $U_{2}=V \times(-M-\varepsilon, M+\varepsilon), \varepsilon>0$ we get our assertion.
(ii) $\mathcal{O}(g)=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_{n}$, hence $\mathcal{O}(g)$ is a residuel set. $g^{-1}(p)=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left((V \times(-n, n)) \cap g^{-1}(p)\right)$, hence $g^{-1}(p)$ is 1 -dimensional analytic manifold.

Let us consider the projection $\Pi: g^{-\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(\mathrm{p}) \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}:(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \longmapsto \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. $\Pi$ is an enalytic map, $\Pi$ is proper. Using [9] for the maps of the form $\Pi \circ \Lambda /$ where $\Lambda: E_{1} \rightarrow g^{-1}(p)$ is a local description of the manifold $g^{-1}(p)$ / we get that the set $D=E_{1}-\mathcal{O}(\Pi)$ is discrete. Our assertion now follows from the implicit function theorem.

Remark 1. The problem $g(w, \lambda)=p$ is often studied in the bifurcetion theory. Theorem 3 shows that for generic p there is no bifurcation /cf. [7]/.

Remark 2. Let us choose $p_{0} \in V$ and define the operator $h: V \times E_{1} \times E_{1} \rightarrow V:(w, \lambda, \mu) \longmapsto g(w, \lambda)+\mu p_{0} \quad$.

Analogously as in Theorem 3 we get that $\mathcal{O}(h)$ is a reaidual set, for each $p \in \mathcal{O}(h)$ the set $h^{-1}(p)$ is an analytic manifold of dimension 2 and $h^{-1}(p) \cap(V \times K)$ is compact if $K \subset E_{1} \times E_{1}$ is compact. Let us define the projection

$$
\Pi: n^{-1}(p) \rightarrow E_{1}: \quad(w, \lambda, \mu) \longmapsto \mu
$$

Then the set $E_{1}-\mathcal{O}(\Pi)$ is discrete and for each $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(\Pi)$ the set $g^{-1}\left(p+\mu p_{0}\right)$ is an analytic manifold of dimension 1 :

Let $p \notin \mathcal{O}(h)$. If there exists $\tilde{\mu} \in E_{1}$ such that $p+\tilde{\mu} p_{0} \in \mathcal{O}(h)$, then we can repeat our considerations and we get again that $g^{-1}\left(p+\mu p_{0}\right)$ is an analytic manifold for generic $\mu$.

## 6. THE SINGULAR SET B

Theorem 4. The set $B=B(f)$ is nowhere dense.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is nonempty and $f$ is surjective, there exists $w_{o} \notin B$. Choose $w \in V$ and define /for $x \in E_{1}$ / $T(x)=L-C^{\prime}\left(w_{0}+x\left(w-w_{0}\right)\right) \quad$.
Obviously
$w_{0}+\partial e\left(w-w_{0}\right) \in B \quad 1$ is an eigenvalue of $T(\partial)$. $T$ is on analytic mapping of $E_{1}$ into the set of compact linear mappings on $V$ and $I$ is not an eigenvalue of the operator $T(0)$. By [5]/Theorem VII.1.9/ the set

$$
\left\{\partial \in E_{1} ; 1 \text { is an eigenvalue of } T(\not x)\right\}
$$

is discrete. Thus $B$ is nowhere dense.
Corollary. The set $f^{-1}(f(B))$ is nowhere dense.

Proof. Choose $w \in V$ and its open neighbourhood $U$. Since $B$ is nowhere dense, there exists $\nabla \in U-B$. By the implicit function theorem there exists on open neighbourhood $\tilde{U}$ of $v / \tilde{U} \leftrightarrows U /$ such that $f / \tilde{U}$ is a diffeomorphism. Since $f(\tilde{U})$ is open, there exists $p \in f(\check{U}) \cap 0$. Let $z \in f^{-1}(p) \cap \tilde{U}$. Then $z \& f^{-1}(f(B))$ and $z \in U$.

Remark 3. If the operator (Id-L) is invertible then Theorem 4 can be proved in an elementary way:
We have $\quad f^{\prime}(\lambda w)=I d-L+\lambda^{2} C^{\prime}(w)$, consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda w \in B \quad \Longleftrightarrow(\exists v \neq 0) \quad(I d-L) v+\lambda^{2} c^{\prime}(w) v=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(\exists v \neq 0) \quad v+\lambda^{2}(I d-L)^{-1} c^{\prime}(w) v=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \text { is an eigenvelue of }(I d-L)^{-1} C^{\prime}(w) . \\
& \text { Since }(I d-L)^{-1} C^{\prime}(w) \text { is compact, the set }\left\{\lambda \in E_{1} ; \lambda w \in E\right\} \text { is }
\end{aligned}
$$ discrete.
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