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# COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 
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## THE INTERIOR REGULARITY AND THE LIOUVILLE PROPERTY FOR THE QUASILINEAR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS <br> O. JOHN

Abstract: It is proved that the Liouville property of parabolic quasilinear system - i.e. the fact that each bounded weak solution in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$ is constant - implies the $c^{0 \cdot \alpha}$-regularity of all bounded weak solutions in arbitrary domain. Similar results for quasilinear elliptic systems wore established in [3] - [5].
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Denote $z=(t, x)=\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n+1}$ and let $u=$
$=\left(u^{1}, u^{2}, \ldots, u^{m}\right)$ be a vector function. We consider the system
(1) $\frac{\partial u^{1}}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}\left(\alpha_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(u) \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial x_{\beta}}\right)=0,1=1, \ldots, m$,
which we shall write for the sake of brevity as
(2)

$$
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}_{x}\left(A(u) D_{x} u\right)=0
$$

The coefficients $\alpha_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}$ are supposed to be continuous on $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ and
(3) $(A(u) \eta, \eta)=a_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(u) \eta_{x}^{i} \eta_{\beta}^{j}>0$ for all $\eta \neq 0, u \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$.

In what follows we shall write for the vector function
$u=\left\{u^{1}\right\}_{1=1}^{m} \quad u \in I_{2}(Q)$ instead of $u^{i} \in\left(I_{2} Q_{0} 1=1, \ldots, m\right.$.
Let $Q \subset R^{n+1}$ be a domain. not necessarily bounded. We
say that the function $u \in W_{2,10 c}^{0,1}(Q)$ is a weak solution of the system (1) in the domain $Q$ if for each $\varphi \in D(Q)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left[u \varphi_{t}-A(u) D_{x} \quad D_{x} \varphi\right] d z=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The space $W_{2,10 c}^{0,1}(Q)$ is the linear set of all functions $u$ such that $u^{i}$ and $D_{x} u^{i}$ are in $L_{2,10 c}(Q)$ for all $1=1, \ldots, m$. On each $Q^{\circ} c \subset Q, Q^{\bullet}$ bounded, the seminorm

$$
\|u\|_{0,1, Q^{\prime}}=\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}+\left\|D_{x} u\right\|_{L_{2}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)}
$$

can be introduced for all $\left.u \in \mathbb{W}_{2,100}^{0,1}(Q).\right)$
The system ( 1 ) is said to be regular in a domain $Q$ if each weak solution $u$ of ( 1 ) in $Q$ which is bounded belongs to $C^{0, \alpha / 2, \alpha}(Q)$.

The space $c^{0, \infty / 2, \alpha}(Q)$ is the inear set of all functions continuous on $Q$ for which on each compact $Q^{\circ} \subset \subset Q$ the expression $\sup \left\{\frac{\left|u(t, x)-u\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{/ 2}+\mid x-x^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha}} ;(t, x) \in Q^{\prime},\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in Q^{\prime},(t, x) \neq\left(t^{0}, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is Pinite.

Finally, we say that the system (1) has parabolic Liouville property if for each weak solution $u$ of (1) in the whole $R^{n+1}$ holds the implication
(5) $\|u\| L_{\infty}\left(R^{n+1}\right)<\infty \Longrightarrow u$ is a constant vector function.

Theorem 1. Let the system (1) have parabolic Liouville property. Then it is regular in each domain $Q \subset R^{n+1}$.

Proof. Denote for $R>0, z_{o} \in R^{n+1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(z_{0}, R\right)=\left(t_{0}-R^{2}, t_{0}+R^{2}\right) \times B\left(x_{0}, R\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$ is $n$-dimensional ball in $R^{n}$ with the radius $R$ and
the center $x_{0}$. Denote further by $u_{\varepsilon_{0}, R}$ the integral mean value (6) $\quad u_{z_{0}, R}=\operatorname{mes}^{-1} Q\left(\varepsilon_{0}, R\right) \quad\left(\int_{z_{0}}, R\right)^{u(z) d z .}$

As it was proved in [1], if for the weak solution $u$ of (1) holds in some point $z_{0} \in Q$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{R \rightarrow 0_{+}}\left[R^{-n-2} \int_{Q\left(x_{0}, R\right)}\left|u(z)-u_{z_{0}, R}\right|^{2} d z\right]=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exista $Q\left(z_{0}, \rho\right)$ such that $u \in C^{0, \alpha / 2, \alpha} Q\left(z_{0}, \rho\right)$. (The points for which (7) holds are called the regular points of the weak solution.)

So we want to prove that for each bounded weak solution $u$ of (1) the condition (7) is satisfied in all points $\varepsilon_{0} \in Q$.

Let $Q, u$ and $z_{0}$ be fixed, $Q\left(x_{0}, R\right) \subset \subset Q$. Substitute
(8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau=\frac{t-t_{0}}{R^{2}}, \xi=\frac{x-x_{0}}{R} \\
& u_{R}(\tau, \xi)=u\left(t_{0}+R^{2} \tau, x_{0}+R \xi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For an arbitrary constant vector $\phi$, we can transform

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{-n-2} \int_{Q\left(z_{0}, R\right)}\left|u(z)-u_{z_{0}, R}\right|^{2} d z \leqq  \tag{9}\\
\leqslant & R^{-n-2} \int_{Q\left(z_{0}, R\right)}|u(z)-\phi|^{2} d z= \\
= & \int_{Q(0,1)}\left|u_{R}(\tau, \xi)-\phi\right|^{2} d z d \xi .
\end{align*}
$$

(In the first inequality we used the fact that the functional $I(\phi)=\int_{Q\left(z_{0}, R\right)}|u(z)-\phi|^{2} d z$ attains its minimum in the point $\phi=u_{z_{0}, R^{\prime}}$ )

It is easy to see from (9) and (7) that $z_{0}$ is a regular point of $u$ if one can find a subsequence $\left\{u_{R_{n}}\right\}\left(R_{n} \rightarrow 0\right)$ of
$\left\{u_{R}\right\}$ such that
(10) $\quad u_{R_{n}} \rightarrow p$ in $L_{2}(Q(0,1))$,
(11) $p$ is a constant vector function.

To prove (10) and (11) we return to the system (1). Substituting into (4) for $t, x$ and $u$ from ( 8 ), we obtain that $u_{R}(\tau, \xi)$ solves the following aystem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{(Q)_{R}}\left[u_{R} \varphi_{\tau}-A\left(u_{R}\right) D_{\xi} u_{R} D_{\xi} \varphi\right] d \tau d \xi=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(Q)_{R}$ is the image of $Q$ in the transformation ( 8 ).
For $R \rightarrow 0+(Q)_{R}$ expands to the whole $R^{n+1}$, so that if we choose some fixed $K>0$, then $Q(0, K) \subset \subset(Q)_{R}$ for all $R$ smaller than some $R(K)$. So, choosing $\varphi$ with the support lying in $Q(0, K)$, we can see that each $u_{R}$ solves the system
(13) $\int_{Q(0, K)}\left[u_{R} \varphi_{\tau}-A\left(u_{R}\right) D_{\xi} u_{R} D_{\xi} \varphi\right] d \tau d \xi=0$,
if only $R<R(K)$.
Writing now in (13)

$$
A_{R}(\tau, \xi)=A\left(u_{R}(\tau, \xi)\right), R<R(K)
$$

we can see immediately that we can interpret (13) as a class of the linear parabolic systoms with the bounded and measurable coefficients. Because of both the estimate

$$
\left\|u_{R}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(Q(0, K))} \leqq\|u\|_{L_{\infty}(Q)}
$$

and the continuity of $A(u)$ we can deduce that the coefficionts $A_{R}$ are equi-bounded and that the corresponding systems have the same constant $\gamma$ of ellipticity:

$$
\left(\Lambda_{R}(\tau, \xi) \eta, \eta\right) \geqq \gamma|\eta|^{2} .
$$

(The constant $\gamma$ as well as the upper bound of $\left|A_{R}\right|$ depend only on $\|u\|_{L_{\infty}(Q)}{ }^{\text {. }}$

Using the lemmae 4 and 5 from [2] we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left\|u_{R}\right\|\right\| w_{2}^{1 / 2,1}(Q(0, K / 2)) \leq c\left\|u_{R}\right\| I_{2}(Q(0, K)) \leq  \tag{14}\\
& \quad \leqq c^{*}\left(K,\|u\|_{L_{\infty}(Q(0, K))}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{2}^{1 / 2,1}(Q(O, R))$ is a space of all measurable on $Q(O, R)$ functions w for which the expression $|i|=1 I \left\lvert\, \frac{1 / 2,1}{(Q(O, R))}\right.$ =
$=\|w\|_{L_{2}(Q(0, R))}+\left\|D_{x} w\right\|_{L_{2}(Q(0, R))}+$
$+B(0, R) \int_{-R^{2}}^{R^{2}} \int_{-R^{2}}^{R^{2}} \frac{\left(u(t, x)-\left.u(x, B)\right|^{2}\right.}{(t-s)^{2}} d t d s d x$
is finite.
Because of the compactness of the imbedding of $\mathrm{w}_{2}^{1 / 2,1}$ into $L_{2}$ it follows from (14) that we can choose the subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}=\left\{u_{R_{n}}\right\}$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightarrow p \text { in } L_{2}(Q(0, K / 2)) \\
& D_{x} u_{n} \rightarrow D_{x} p \text { in } L_{2}(Q(0, K / 2)), \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow p \text { almost everywhere in } Q(0, K / 2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the diagonal method (enlarging $Q(0, K / 2)$ ) we reach the subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}=\left\{u_{R_{n}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{R}\right\}$ with the following properties:

$$
u_{n} \rightarrow p \text { almost everywhere on } R^{n+1}
$$

(16) $\quad u_{n} \rightarrow p$ in each $L_{2}(\Omega), \Omega$ is bounded in $R^{n+1}$, $D_{x} u_{n} \longrightarrow D p$ in each $L_{2}(\Omega), \Omega$ is bounded in $R^{n+1}$.

From here it follows - after passing to the limit in (12) that $p$ is a weak solution of (1) in $R^{n+1}$, so that $p$ is a constant vector function because of Liouville parabolic property.

From (9) we get, putting $\phi=p$ and $R=R_{n}$, that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R^{-n-2} \int_{Q\left(z_{0}, R_{n}\right)}\left|u(z)-u_{z_{0}}, R_{n}\right|^{2} d z=0 .
$$

From here it follows immediately (7), q.e.d.
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