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# SINGULAR SETS AND NUMBER OF SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR <br> BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS 

## PaVol Quittier

Abstract: The operator equation $F(u)=f$ connected with the Dirichlet problem

is investigated. It is proved (under some assumptions) that the singular sets $S=\left\{f ;\left(\exists u \in F^{-1}(f)\right) \quad F^{\prime}(u)\right.$ is not surjective $\}$ and $F^{-1}(S)$ are nowhere dense and that the number of elements of $F^{-1}(f)$ is finite, odd and locally constant for $f \notin S$. Further there are shown assumptions which guarantee that there exist right-hand sides $f$ such that card $F^{-1}(f)=1$.
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## 1. NOTATION AND FRELIMIINARIES

Te shall denote by $R$ the set of all real numbers, by $\mu=\mu_{k}$ the Lebesgue measure in $R^{k}$. For $q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right) \in R^{k}$ we define $|q|=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|q_{i}\right|$.

Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, let $y \in X, M \in R$. Then $\exists_{M}(y)=\{x \in X ;\|x-y\| \leqslant M\}$.

Throughout the paper let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $R^{N}(N \geqslant 1)$
with the Lipschitz boundary (see [1] or [3]). Denote by (X,\|•\|) the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with the norm induced by the scalar product

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{1}}(x) d x .
$$

Further denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ the norm in $I^{\alpha}(\Omega)$.
We shall write briefly $\int h$ instead of $\int_{\Omega} h(x) d x$.
The eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$ and the eigenfunctions $\nabla_{k}$ of the Dirichlet problem for the operator $\Delta$ on $\Omega$ have the following properties:
(1.1) $-\Delta \nabla_{k}=\lambda_{k} \nabla_{k} \quad$ in $\Omega$

$$
\nabla_{k}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leqslant \lambda_{3} \leqslant \ldots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\lambda_{k} \rightarrow \infty$,
(1.4) $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $X$,
(1.5) $\quad \nabla_{k}$ are real analytic functions,
(1.6) $\quad \nabla_{1}>0 \quad$ in $\Omega$.

Definition 1. Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces, $A: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous linear mapping, $F: X \rightarrow Y$ a (nonlinear) operator of the class $C^{1}$.

The mapping $A$ is said to be a Fredholm mapping of index 0 if $\operatorname{Im} A$ is closed and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} A<\infty$.

The operator $F$ is said to be a Fredholm map of index 0 if $F^{\prime}(x)$ is a linear Fredholm mapping of index 0 for each $x \in X$.

The operator $F$ is said to be proper if $F^{-1}(K)$ is compact whenever $K \subset Y$ is compact.

Proposition 1. Let $X, Y$ be real Banach spaces, let $F: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $C^{1}$ proper Fredholm map of index 0 . Then the set
$\mathcal{O}=\left\{y \in Y ; P^{\prime}(x)\right.$ is surjective for each $\left.x \in F^{-1}(y)\right\}$ is a dense open subset of $Y$ and for every $y \in \mathcal{O}$ the set $F^{-1}(y)$ is finite and its cardinal is locally constant on $\mathcal{O}$.

Proof. See [2] and [6].

The following proposition can be easily proved by induction.
Proposition 2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}$ be a nonempty domain, let $\mathrm{v}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a real analytic function. Denote $M=\{x \in \Omega ; v(x)=0\}$. Then either $\mu_{N}(M)=0$ or $M=\Omega$.
2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBIEM

An element $u \in X$ is the weak solution of (0.1) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}}+\int g(u) v=\int f v \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for each $v \in X$.
We shall suppose that $g: R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function satisfying (for $N=2$ ) the condition
(2.2) $|g(t)| \leq c\left(1+|t|^{\infty e}\right)$,
where $c$ and $x$ are positive constants, $x(N-2) \leq N+2$.
Using the imbedding theorems (see $[1,3]$ ) and the continuity of the operator of Nemyckij (see [8]) we get that the mapping $v \mapsto \int g(u) v$ is a continuous linear functional on $X$. By the Riesz theorem it can be represented by an element $G(u) \in X$, i.e. $(G(u), v)=\int g(u) v$ for each $v \in X$.

Similarly for $f \in \mathbb{W}^{-1,2}(\Omega) \quad(=$ the dual space to $X)$ we find a representative $\tilde{f} \boldsymbol{\in} \mathbf{X} ; \quad(\tilde{f}, v)=\int \tilde{f} v$ for each $v \in X$. In what follows we deal only with $\tilde{f}$ (as an element of $X$ ) so
that we shail write only $f$ instead of $\tilde{f}$.
Clearly, the problem (2.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u)=f, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $F: X \rightarrow X$ is defined by $F(u)=u+G(u)$.
3. PROPERTIES OF OPERATOR F

Using the imbedding theorems and the continuity of the operator of Nemyckij it can be proved the following assertion.

Lemma 1. Let $i$ be a natural number, let $\delta \in C^{i}(R)$ and let (for $N=2$ )
$\left|\varepsilon^{(i)}(t)\right| \leq c\left(1+|t|^{\alpha}\right)$,
niore $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and $(\alpha+i)(N-2)<N+2$.
Then $\tilde{G}$ is a compact operator of the class $C^{i}$ and $\left.{ }_{\left(G_{i}\right.}{ }^{(i)}(u)\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{i}\right), v\right)=\int g^{(i)}(u) u_{1} \ldots u_{i} v$.

Corollary. Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled. $\cdots$-.en $F$ is a Fredholm nap of index 0 .

Froor. $F^{\prime}(u)$ is a compact perturbation of the identity for wiy $u \in X$.

Lemma 2. Let $\underset{|t| \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf } \frac{g(t)}{t}>-\lambda_{1}$. Then $F$ is coercive.
Proof. There exist $\varepsilon>0 \quad\left(\varepsilon<\lambda_{1}\right)$ and $K>0$ such that $\frac{(i t)}{t} \geqslant-\lambda_{1}+\varepsilon$ for $|t| \geqslant K$. Since $|g(t)| \leqslant M$ on $\langle-K, K\rangle$, we get $\therefore, u)=\|u\|^{2}+\int g(u) u=\|u\|^{2}+\int_{|u|<k} g(u) u+\int_{|u| \equiv k} g(u) u \geq$ $\because:\left\|^{2}-\Omega \mathrm{K} \mu(\Omega)+\left(-\lambda_{1}+\varepsilon\right) \int u^{2} \geqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{1}}\right\| u \|^{2}-M K \mu(\Omega)$, $\therefore$ : $\quad$ is coerciva.

Lemma 3. Let the assumptions of Lemmas 1 and 2 be fulfilied. Then $F$ is proper.

Proof. Let $K \subset X$ be compact. Choose a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subseteq F^{-1}(K)$. Since $F$ is coercive, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and we may assume $G\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow h$. Further $F\left(u_{n}\right) \in K$ so that we may assume $F\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow f$. Then $u_{n}=F\left(u_{n}\right)-G\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow f-h$, i.e. $F^{-1}(K)$ is relatively compact. $F^{-1}(K)$ is closed, since $F$ is continuous.

In case that $F \in C^{1}(X)$ we shall denote $B=\left\{u \in X ; F^{\prime}(u)\right.$ is not surjective $\}, S=P(B), \quad \mathcal{O}=X-S$. The elements of the set $\mathcal{O}$ are called regular values of $F$.

Construction. Let $g$ satisfy the assumptions of Lema 1, let $g^{\prime}(t)>-\lambda_{k+1}$ for each $t \in R$ and let $\underset{|t| \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf } \frac{g(t)}{t}>-\lambda_{k+1}$. Put $\tilde{X}=\left\{u \in X ; u \perp v_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ and denote $P: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ the orthogonal projection. Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}+P G\left(\tilde{u}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} v_{i}\right)=\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i}$ are fixed real numbers, $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{X}$ is an unknown. Denote $\tilde{G}(\tilde{u})=\Gamma G\left(\tilde{u}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} v_{i}\right), \tilde{F}(\tilde{u})=\tilde{u}+\tilde{G}(\tilde{u})$.
Then $\tilde{G}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a compact operator of the class $C^{i}$ and similarly as for $F$, we get that $\tilde{F}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a proper Fredholm map of index 0 . The set $\tilde{B}=\left\{\tilde{u} \in \tilde{X} ; \tilde{F}^{\prime}(\tilde{u})\right.$ is not surjective $\}$ is empty, since for $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \tilde{X}, \tilde{v} \neq 0$ we have $\left(\tilde{F}^{\prime}(\tilde{u}) \tilde{v}, \tilde{v}\right)>\|\tilde{v}\|^{2}-\lambda_{k+1} \int \tilde{v}^{2} \geq 0$.
By [5] we get that $\tilde{F}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a global diffeomorphism so that the solution $\tilde{u}$ of (3.2) can be written in the form

$$
\tilde{u}=h\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, \tilde{f}\right)
$$

there $h$ is of the class $c^{i}(l y$ ile imrineit function theorex.
and for fixed $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k} \quad h$ is a diffeomorphism of $\tilde{X}$ onto $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$.
Thus the problem $F(u)=\mathcal{f}$ (for $u=\tilde{u}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} \nabla_{i}, f=\tilde{f}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i} \nabla_{i}$ )
is equivalent to the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
P F(u) & =P P \\
\left(F(u), v_{i}\right) & =\left(f, v_{i}\right) \quad i=1, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{u}=h\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, \tilde{f}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
t_{i}=F_{i}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right) \quad \quad i=1, \ldots, k
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{i}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)=F_{i}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, \tilde{f}\right) \equiv \\
\equiv s_{i}+\left(G\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_{j} v_{j}+h\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}, \tilde{f}\right)\right), v_{i}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Further

$$
u \in B \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial s_{j}}\right)=0 .
$$

In what follows we shall observe the notation introduced above.
4. THE STRUCTURE OF THE SOLUTION SET FOR THE COERCIVE OPERATOR F

Theorem 1. Let $g \in C^{1}(R), \liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t}>-\lambda_{1}$ and let (for $\mathbb{N}=2$ )
$\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant c\left(1+|t|^{\alpha}\right)$, where $\alpha \geq 0, \quad(\alpha+1)(N-2)<N+2$.
(i) Then $\mathcal{O}$ is a dense open subset of $X$, for every $f \in \mathcal{O}$ the set $F^{-1}(f)$ is finite, its number of elements is odd and locally constant.
(ii) If $U \subseteq O$ is a domain, then $F^{-1}(U)=G_{1} U \ldots \cup G_{k}$, where $G_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ are pairwise disjoint domains, $F\left(G_{i}\right)=U$ and $\operatorname{card}\left(F^{-1}\left(f_{1}\right) \cap G_{i}\right)=\operatorname{card}\left(F^{-1}\left(f_{2}\right) \cap G_{i}\right)$ for any $f_{1}, f_{2} \in U$.

If $U$ is simply connected, then $F / G_{i}$ is a homeomorphism. Proof.
(i) According to Proposition 1, Lemmas 1,2 and 3 it remains to prove that card $F^{-1}(f)$ is odd for $f \in \mathcal{O}$. Choose $f \in \mathcal{O}$. For $\nu \in\langle 0,1\rangle$ we define
$F_{\nu}: X \rightarrow X: u \mapsto u+\nu G(u)$. Analogously as in Lemma 2 we get that there exist positive constants $\delta$ and $C$ such that $\left(F_{\nu}(u), u\right) \equiv \delta\|u\|^{2}-C \quad$ for each $\quad \nu \in\langle 0,1\rangle$ and $u \in X$. Consequently, there exists $P>\|f\|$ such that $F^{-1}(f) \subseteq B_{P}(0)$ and $f \notin F_{\nu}\left(\partial B_{P}(0)\right)$ for any $\nu \in\langle 0,1\rangle$. By the homotopy invariance property of the Leray-Schauder degree we get $1=\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{0}, B_{P}(0), f\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{1}, B_{P}(0), f\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(F, B_{P}(0), f\right)$. Let $F^{-1}(f)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$. Since $\quad 1=\operatorname{deg}\left(F, B_{P}(0), f\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} i\left(u_{j}\right)$, where $i\left(u_{j}\right)= \pm 1$, $k$ has to be an odd number.
(ii) Let $U \subseteq O$ be a (nonempty) domain. Then $F^{-1}(U)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i}$, where $G_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint domains.

First we show that $F\left(G_{i}\right)$ is closed and open in $U$. By the implicit function theorem $F / G_{i}$ is a local homeomorphism, hence $F\left(G_{i}\right)$ is open. Choose $f \in \overline{F\left(G_{i}\right)} \cap U$. Then there exist $u_{n} \in G_{i}, F\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow f . \quad$ Since $F$ is proper, we may assume $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\text {. }}$ Then $F(u)=f, G_{i}$ is closed in $F^{-1}(U)$, thus $u \in G_{i}, f \in P\left(G_{i}\right)$, i.e. $F\left(G_{i}\right)$ is closed in $U$.

Consequently, $F\left(G_{i}\right)=U$ for any $G_{i} \neq \varnothing$ so that $F^{-1}(U)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}$ (since $\mathrm{F}^{-4}(\mathrm{f})$ is finite for $f \in \mathcal{O}$ ).

Using the implicit function theorem and the properness of $F$ one can easily prove that $\operatorname{card}\left(F^{-1}(f) \cap G_{i}\right)$ is a continuous function on $U$ so that $\operatorname{card}\left(F^{-1}(f) \cap G_{i}\right)$ is locally constant.

If $U$ is simply connected, then $F / G_{i}$ is a homeomorphism by $[5,7]$.

Remark 1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled and let, moreover, $g^{\prime}(t)>-\lambda_{k+1}$ for each $t \in R$. Then
$X=\operatorname{Im} F^{\prime}(u)+\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{i} v_{i} ; c_{i} \in R\right\}$ for any $u \in X$.
Applying [6] (Theoreme 1.1) to the mapping

$$
\varphi: R^{k} \times X \rightarrow X:\left(\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right), u\right) \mapsto F(u)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} v_{i}
$$

we get that the set $\mathcal{O}^{k, f}=\left\{\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right) \in R^{k} ; \quad f+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} v_{i} \in \mathcal{O}\right\}$
is dense and open in $R^{k}$ (for any $f \in \mathbb{X}$ ).
Remark 2. Let $g$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1, let $g^{\prime}(t) \geqslant-\lambda_{1}$ for each $t \in R$ and suppose there exist $t_{n}>0$, $s_{n}>0$ such that $g^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)>-\lambda_{1}, g^{\prime}\left(s_{n}\right)>-\lambda_{1}$. Then $B \subseteq\{0\}$ so that the function $F_{1}$ (from Construction in §3 with $k=1$ ) is a homeomorphism (since $F_{1}(R)=R$ and $F_{1}^{\prime}(s) \neq 0$ for $s \neq 0$ ). Thus $F: X \rightarrow X$ is a. global homeomorphism (cf. [5]).

## 5. THE SINGular set b

Example 1. Let $\mathbb{N}=1, \Omega=(a, b)$, let $g$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 and, moreover, $g(t)=-\lambda_{k} t$ for $|t| \leqslant M$. Then $\{u \in X ;|u| \leq M$ in $\Omega\} \in B$. Since the imbedding $\pi \subseteq I^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is continuous, $B$ contains a neighbourhood of 0 in $X$.

Theorem 2. Let $i$ and $g$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1, let $u_{0} \in B$. Denote $V=\operatorname{Ker} F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), V_{0}=V-\{0\}$.
(i) Let $i=2$ (so that $F \in C^{2}(X)$ ) and let
$(\exists u \in \mathbb{F})\left(\forall v \in V_{0}\right) \quad\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)(v, u), v\right) \neq 0$.
Then there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\left\{u_{0}+t u ;|t|<\varepsilon\right\} \cap B=\left\{u_{0}\right\}$.
(ii) Let $i=3$, let $F^{4}\left(u_{0}\right)(v, v)=0$ for each $v \in V$ and let $\left(\exists u_{1} \in \mathbb{X}\right)\left(\exists u_{2} \in X\right)\left(\forall v \in V_{0}\right) \quad\left(F^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(v, v, u_{1}\right), u_{2}\right) \neq 0$.
Then $u_{0} \notin$ int $B$.
Proof.
(i) Suppose the contrary, i.e. there exist $s_{n} \in R$ and $w_{n} \in X$
such that $\quad s_{n} \rightarrow 0,\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1, \quad F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u\right) w_{n}=0$.
Then $\quad F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) w_{n}=\left(F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u\right)\right) w_{n}=O\left(s_{n}\right)$
(i.e. $\left.\left\|F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) w_{n}\right\| \leq C s_{n}\right)$, thus $w_{n}=z_{n}+0\left(s_{n}\right)$, where $z_{n} \in V$,
$\left\|z_{n}\right\|=1$. Since $\operatorname{dim} V<\infty$, we may assume $w_{n} \rightarrow z \in V_{0}$.
Define $t(s)=\left(F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+s u\right) z, z\right)$, then $t^{\prime}(0)=\int g^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right) u z^{2} \neq 0$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(s_{n}\right) & =\left(F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u\right) z, z\right)=\left(F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u\right)\left(z-w_{n}\right), z\right)= \\
& =\left(\left(F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u\right)-F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)\left(z-w_{n}\right), z\right)=0\left(s_{n}\right)\left\|z-w_{n}\right\|=o\left(s_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives us a contradiction.
(ii) Suppose there exist $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}} \in \mathrm{R}$ such that $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow 0$,
$w_{n} \in \operatorname{Ker} F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u_{1}\right), \quad\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1, \quad\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u_{1}\right)\left(w_{n}, w_{n}\right), u_{2}\right)=0$.
Then again $w_{n}=z_{n}+O\left(s_{n}\right), z_{n} \in V_{0}$ and we may assume $w_{n} \rightarrow z \in V_{0}$.
Define $T(s)=\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}+s u_{1}\right)(z, z), u_{2}\right)$, then $T^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Neverthe-
less, $\quad T\left(s_{n}\right)=\left(\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u_{1}\right)-F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)\left((z, z)-\left(w_{n}, w_{n}\right)\right), u_{2}\right)-$ - $\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(w_{n}, w_{n}\right), u_{2}\right)=o\left(s_{n}\right)$,
since $\left\|F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}+s_{n} u_{1}\right)-F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right\|=O\left(s_{n}\right),\left\|z-w_{n}\right\|=o(1) \quad$ and
$\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(w_{n}, w_{n}\right), u_{2}\right)=\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(z_{n}+0\left(s_{n}\right), z_{n}+0\left(s_{n}\right)\right), u_{2}\right)=$
$=\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(z_{n}, z_{n}\right), u_{2}\right)+\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(z_{n}, u_{2}\right), o\left(s_{n}\right)\right)+o\left(s_{n}\right)=o\left(s_{n}\right)$.
Thus we have a contradiction and therefore in each neighbourhood IJ of $u_{0}$ there exists $\tilde{u}_{0}$ such that
$\left(F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)(w, w), u_{2}\right) \neq 0 \quad$ for each $w \in \operatorname{Ker} F^{\prime}\left(\tilde{u}_{0}\right)-\{0\}$.
Uaing (i) we get $\tilde{u}_{0} \not \operatorname{int} B$ so that also $u_{0} \notin$ int $B$.
Example 2. Let $N \leqslant 3, g(t)=\alpha \operatorname{arctg}(t), \alpha \in R$. We shall prove that the set $B$ is nowhere dense.

Since $B$ is empty for $\alpha \geqslant 0$, we may assume $\alpha<0$.
Let $u_{0} \in B$. Denote $V=$ Ker $F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), \quad V_{0}=V-\{0\}$.
If $\int g^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right) v^{2} u_{0} \neq 0$ for each $v \in V_{0}$, then $u_{0} \neq$ int $B$.
Suppose $\int g^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right) v^{2} u_{0}=0$ for some $v \in V_{0}$. Since
$g^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=-\frac{2 u_{0}}{\left(1+u_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}$, we get $u_{0} \nabla \equiv 0$. For any $w \in X$ we have
$0=\left(F^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) \nabla, w\right)=(v, w)+\int \frac{\alpha v w}{1+u_{0}^{2}}=(v, w)+\int \alpha v w$,
thus $\alpha=-\lambda_{k}, \quad \nabla=\nabla_{k}$. Using Proposition 2 we get $u_{0} \equiv 0$.
Hence $F^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)(z, z)=0$ and $\left(F^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(u_{0}\right)(z, z, v), v\right)=-\int 2 \alpha z^{2} v^{2} \neq 0$ for any $z \in \nabla_{0}$, thus $u_{0} \notin$ int $B$.

Remark 3. If $B$ is nowhere dense, then the set $F^{-1}(S)$ is nowhere dense.
6. EXISTENCE OF RIGHT-HAND SIDES WITH A UNIQUE SOLUTION

Lemma 4. Let $X$ be a real Banach space, let $G: X \rightarrow X$ be a compact $C^{1} \operatorname{map},\|G(x)\| \leqslant K$ for each $x \in X$. Put $F=I d+G$, $B=\left\{x \in X ; F^{\prime}(x)\right.$ is not surjective $\}$ and $\mathcal{O}=X-F(B)$. Let $B$ be bounded. Then $y \in \mathcal{O}$ and card $F^{-1}(y)=1$ for each $y \in X$ whose norm is sufficiently large.

Froof. It is clear that for $F$ the assertions of Theorem 1 are valid. Since $B$ is bounded, we have $F(B) \subset B_{M}(0)$. Choose $y \in X,\|y\|>M+4 K$. We shall prove that $\operatorname{card} F^{-1}(y)=1$.

Denote $U=\operatorname{int}\left(B_{4 K}(y)\right)$ and choose $x_{0} \in F^{-1}(y)$. If $x \in F^{-1}(y)$ then $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\| \leq 2 K$ and $F\left(B_{2 K}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \subset U$, thus $F^{-1}(U)$ is a domain. Since $U$ is simply connected, $F$ is a homeomorphism of $F^{-1}(U)$ onto $U$. Consequently, card $F^{-1}(y)=1$.

Theorem 3. Let $g \in C^{1}(R)$, let $g, g^{\prime}$ be bounded, $g^{\prime}(t)>-\lambda_{k+1}$ for each $t \in R$ and let $\underset{|t| \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf } g^{\prime}(t)>-\lambda_{1}$. Then $(\exists K, \varepsilon>0)(\forall f \in X) \quad(\|f\|>K \quad \&\|P f\|<\varepsilon\|f\|) \Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{O}, \operatorname{card} F^{-1}(f)=1$.

Proof.

1. We show $\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i} v_{i} \in \mathcal{O}$ for $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) \in R^{k}$ sufficiently large.

Suppose there exist $u_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}+\tilde{u}_{n} \in B$ such that $F\left(u_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}, \quad\left|t^{(n)}\right| \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\tilde{u}_{n}+P G\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and $g$ is bounded, the sequence $\left\{\tilde{u}_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and hence $\left|s^{(n)}\right| \rightarrow \infty$.

Choose $w_{n} \in \operatorname{Ker} F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right),\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$. We may assume $w_{n} \rightarrow w \quad$ (so that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $I^{2}(\Omega)$ ) and $\frac{s_{i}^{(n)}}{\left|s^{(n)}\right|} \rightarrow s_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k$. Denote $v=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{a}_{i} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot$ By Proposition 2 the set $\{x \in \Omega ; \quad \nabla(x)=0\}$ has measure zero.

Since $\int g^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) w_{n}^{2}=-\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}=-1$ and $g^{\prime}$ is bounded, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int g^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) w^{2} \rightarrow-1 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further $u_{n}=\left|\theta^{(n)}\right|\left(v+z_{n}\right)$, where $z_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{s_{i}^{(n)}}{\left|s^{(n)}\right|}-s_{i}\right) v_{i}+\frac{\tilde{u}_{n}}{\left|s^{(n)}\right|} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\underset{|t| \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf } f^{\prime}(t)>-\lambda_{1}$, there exist $\eta>0 \quad\left(\eta<\lambda_{1}\right)$ and $M>0$ such that $g^{\prime}(t)>-\lambda_{1}+\eta$ for $|t|=M$.
There exists $\delta>0$ such that $\int_{N} w^{2}<\frac{\eta}{2 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{k+1}}$ for any $N \subset \Omega$ measurable, $\mu N<\delta$, and there exists $v>0$ such that the measure of the set $A_{1}=\{x ;|v(x)|<2 v\}$ is less than $\frac{\delta}{2}$. The measure of the set $A_{2}=\left\{x ;\left|z_{n}(x)\right| \geqslant v\right\}$ is also less than $\frac{\delta}{2}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$. For $\left|s^{(n)}\right|>\frac{M}{v}$ and $x \notin A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ we have $\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geqslant m$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int g^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) w^{2} & \geq-\lambda_{k+1} \int_{A_{1} \cup A_{2}} w^{2}+\left(-\lambda_{1}+\eta\right) \int w^{2}>-\frac{\eta}{2 \lambda_{1}}+\frac{-\lambda_{1}+\eta}{\lambda_{1}}\|w\|^{2} \geq \\
& \geq-1+\frac{\eta}{2 \lambda_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives us a contradiction (according to (6.1)).
2. We show that card $F^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i} v_{i}\right)=1$ for $t$ sufficiently large.

Define $H: R^{k} \rightarrow R^{k}: s \mapsto\left(F_{1}(s, 0), \ldots, F_{k}(s, 0)\right)$
( $F_{i}$ are functions from Construction in §3).
Then $H$ is a $C^{1}$ map, $H=I d+D$, where $D$ is compact and bounded (on $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{k}}$ ). The set $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{H}}=\left\{\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{s})\right.$ is not surjective $\}$ is bounded (since $H\left(B_{H}\right)$ is bounded). Using Lemma 4 we get our assertion.
3. Ne prove the assertion of the theorem.

Suppose there exist $f_{n} \in X, \quad\left\|f_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty, \frac{\left\|P f_{n}\right\|}{\left\|f_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0$
such that $f_{n} \notin 0$ or $\operatorname{card} F^{-1}\left(f_{n}\right) \neq 1$.
Te may assume $f_{n} \notin \mathcal{O}$ (ctherwise we choose $f_{n}^{*} \in \operatorname{Sn}\left(f_{n},(\operatorname{Id}-P) f_{n}\right)$ ).

Then there exist $u_{n} \in B, F\left(u_{n}\right)=f_{n}$. We have

$$
f_{n}=\tilde{f}_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{(n)} v_{i}, \quad u_{n}=\tilde{u}_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}^{(n)} v_{i} .
$$

Since $\frac{\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|}{\left\|f_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|f_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\frac{\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|}{\left|t^{(n)}\right|} \rightarrow 0$, $\left|t^{(n)}\right| \rightarrow \infty,\left|s^{(n)}\right| \rightarrow \infty, \frac{\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|}{\left|s^{(n)}\right|} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ ( $g$ is bounded).

Now we get a contradiction analogously as in the first part of the proof.

Example 3. Let $N \leq 3, g(t)=\alpha \operatorname{arctg}(t), \alpha \in R$.
Using Remark 2 we get that the operator $F(\cdot)=F(\alpha, \cdot)$ is
a global homeomorphism for $\alpha \geqq-\lambda_{1}$. From Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory it follows that card $\mathrm{F}^{-1}(0) \geqslant 2 k+1$ for
$\alpha \in\left(-\lambda_{k+1},-\lambda_{k}\right)$. Nevertheless, by Theorem 3 there exists $f$ such that $\operatorname{card} F^{-1}(f)=1$.

Further suppose $\alpha>-\lambda_{2}$.
Let us consider $\tilde{\mathrm{f}}=0$ in Construction (§3) and denote
$K(s, \alpha)=F_{1}^{\prime}(s)$. Then $K\left(0,-\lambda_{1}\right)=0, \quad \frac{\partial K}{\partial \alpha}(0, \alpha)=\int v_{1}^{2}>0$.
By the implicit function theorem for each $s$ in a neighbourhood of 0 there exists an unique $\alpha(s)$ in a neighbourhood of $-\lambda_{1}$ such that $K(s, \alpha(s))=0$. We get $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)<0$. In a way analogous to that in the first part of the proof of Theorem 3 one can prove that assumptions $\alpha_{n} \nearrow-\lambda_{1}, K\left(s_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)=0$ imply $s_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Thus for $\alpha \in\left(-\lambda_{1}-\varepsilon,-\lambda_{1}\right)$ there exist exactly 2 solutions $s_{1}(\alpha)<0<s_{2}(\alpha)$ of the equation $F_{1}^{\prime}(s) \equiv K(s, \alpha)=0$. Since card $F_{1}^{-1}(0) \geqslant 3$, there exist $t_{1}(\alpha)<0<t_{2}(\alpha)$ such that the equation $F(u)=t v_{1}$ (which is equivalent to the equation $F_{1}(s)=t$ ) has exactly
(i) 3 solutions for $t \in\left(t_{1}(\alpha), t_{2}(\alpha)\right)$
(ii) 2 solutions for $t \in\left\{t_{1}(\alpha), t_{2}(\alpha)\right\}$
(iii) 1 solution for $t \notin\left\langle t_{1}(\alpha), t_{2}(\alpha)\right\rangle$.

Further $\quad v_{1} \in \mathcal{O}$ iff $t \notin\left\{t_{1}(\alpha), t_{2}(\alpha)\right\}$.

## 7. PROBLEM IN RENONANCE

Let $g(t)=-\lambda_{m} t+g_{1}(t)$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1.
Let $\lambda_{m-1}<\lambda_{m}=\lambda_{m+1}=\ldots=\lambda_{m+p}<\lambda_{m+p+1}$ (where $p \geqslant 0$ and
$\lambda_{0}=0$ for $m=1$ ). Denote $W$ the linear hull of $\nabla_{m}, \ldots, \nabla_{m+p}$; let $Q: X \rightarrow W$ be the orthogonal projection. Put $V_{\mathscr{e}}=\left\{f \in X ;|(f, w)|<\boldsymbol{x} \int|w|\right.$ for each $\left.0 \neq w \in W\right\}$.
Then $V_{\partial e}=W^{\perp}+\mu W_{0}$, where $W_{0}$ is an open neighbourhood of 0 in $W ; W_{0}=\left\{f \in W ;|(f, w)|<\int|w|\right.$ for each $\left.0 \neq W \in W\right\}$.

The following assertion can be proved.
Theorem 4. Let $g_{1}$ be bounded and $g_{1}^{\prime}$ lower bounded. Let
$\liminf _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} g_{1}(t) t>0 \quad$ or $\quad \limsup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} g_{1}(t) t<0$.
(i) For each $M>0$ there exists $\rho>0$ such that for any $f \in X$ with $\|f\| \leq M$ and $\|Q f\| \leqslant \rho$ there exists a solution of the problem $\quad F(\boldsymbol{u})=\mathbf{f}$.
(ii) Let $\underset{|t| \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf }\left|g_{1}(t)\right|=x>0$. Then for any $f \in V_{\partial e}$ there exists a solution of the problem $F(u)=f$; the set $O_{x e}=O \cap V_{x e}$ is dense and open in $V_{x e}$ and for $f \in O_{x}$ the number of elements of $F^{-1}(f)$ is finite, odd and locally constant.
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