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## STABILITY AND SADDLE-POINT PROPERTY FOR A LINEAR AUTONOMOUS FUNCTIONAL PARABOLIC EQUATION Jarosiav MILOTA


#### Abstract

A linear parabolic functional differential equatition $\bar{\sigma}(t)+A u(t)=L u_{t}$ with infinite delay is investigated under assumptions that $A$ is a sectorial operator in a Banach space $X$ and $L$ is a continuous linear operator from a space $Y$ of continuous functions with fading memory norm into $X$. Values of functions from $Y$ are in the domain of fractional power $A^{\infty}, 0 \leq \infty<1$. The theorem on stability and the saddle-point property are proved.
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§ 1. Introduction and results. Two main difficulties occur in the investigation of linear functional differential equations with infinite delays, namely:
(i) The choice of a phase space on which the solution operator $T(t)$ is considered. For example, it is necessary for asymptotic stability to endow a phase space with a property of fading memory (compare e.g. the results of [4] with [7]). Spaces with fading memories were introduced by several authors (see e.g. [3]) and their properties were generalized in an axiomatic way in [7]and later on in [10].
(ii) The solution operator $T(t)$ forms a $C_{0}$-semigroup but it is difficult to obtain some information about its infinitesimal generator B. T. Naito has shown in [13] that asymptotic properties
of $T(t)$ can be deduced from a localization of the essential spectrum of $T(t)$ and properties of the point spectrum of $B$.

In this paper we follow the main idea of T. Naito for a partial functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}(t)+A u(t)=L u_{t} . \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that $A$ is a sectorial operator in a Banach space $X$ with a compact resolvent. The shift of $u$ is denoted by $u_{t}$, i.e. $u_{t}(s)=u(t+s)$ for $s E(-\infty, 0]$. In applications a linear operator L can depend on lower space derivatives but not on the highest ones. In other words, $L$ is defined on a space $Y^{\infty}$ of functions which map the interval $(-\infty, 0]$ into $X^{\alpha}$ for $0 \leq \infty<1$, where $X^{\alpha}$ is the domain of the fractional power $A^{\infty}$ endowed with the graph norm. The spaces $Y^{\propto}$ have the properties of an abstract phase space from [7] and [10]. Some estimates for the operators A are given in Section 2.

In Section 3 we shall prove that the question (E) determines a dynamical system $T(t)$ on the space $Y^{D C}$ and this system forms a $C_{o}$-semigroup. We remark that this problem for finite delays is generally investigated in the recent paper [12]. If a resolvent of A is compact then the system $T(t)$ differs by a compact operator from the solution operator of the homogeneous equation $\left(E_{0}\right) \quad \dot{v}(t)+A v(t)=0$. On the base of the $R$. Nussbaum formula for the radius of an essential spectrum ([14]) we obtain an estimate for the essential spectrum of $T(t)$ (Proposition 2). The main part of Section 4 is devoted to the investigation of the point spectrum of the generator $B$ what leads to Theorem 2. As a corollary of this main result the sufficient conditions for asymptotic stability of the equation (E) are given (Corollary 1). Conclusions of Theorem 2 also allow to
decompose the space $Y^{\alpha}$ into the direct sum $Y_{1} \oplus Y_{2}$ of $T(t)$-invariant subspaces (Corollary 2). The space $Y_{1}$ has a finite dimension and $T(t) \varphi$ behaves like a solution of a totally unstable ordinary differential equation for $\mathcal{\xi} \in Y_{1}$. These results correspond to those ones for ordinary functional differential equations with finite delays as in [6].

We note that in [15] K. Schumacher has recently proved the existence of a resolvent operator for the equation (E) in which A cen be time dependent. The stability for the equation (E) in which $L$ is defined on $Y_{1}$ (i.e. L can depend on the highest derivatives) has been also recently investigated in [1], but only for finite delays and Hilbert spaces.

The author expresses many thanks to $H$. Petzeltová for helpful discussions.
§ 2. Preliminaries. Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $A$ be a sectorial operator in $X$, i.e. (see [5],[8]) A is a closed operator with a dense domain $\mathscr{Q}(A)$ and the spectrum of $A$ lies outside of a sector $S_{a, \omega}:=\{\lambda \in C ; \omega \leq \mid \arg (\lambda-a)!\in \pi\}$ for some $a>0, \omega<\pi / 2$, and there is a constant $M$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\lambda I-A)^{-1}\right\| \frac{M}{|\lambda-a|} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for the resolvent of $A$ and $\lambda \in S_{a, \omega}$. Under these properties, - A generates a $C_{0}$-semigroups $e^{-A t}$ which has an analytic extension into a domain $\dot{\mathscr{X}}:=\{z \in C ;|\operatorname{Arg} z|<\pi / 2-\omega\}$. All fractional powers $A^{\infty}$ are defined, and, moreover, there is a constant c (in the sequel we shall denote by $c$ an arbitrary constant) such that
(2.2)

$$
\left\|A^{\alpha} e^{-A t}\right\| \leq c e^{-\operatorname{aRe} t}(\operatorname{Re} t)^{-\infty}
$$

for any $t \in$ Int $\mathscr{\mathscr { R }}$. We denote by $X^{\alpha}$ the domain of $A^{\alpha}$ endowed with the graph norm.

We need the following generalization of the estimate ( 2,1 ).

Proposition 1. Let $A$ be a sectorial operator for which (2.1) holds. Then for arbitrary $0<\alpha<1, \Delta<\pi-\omega$, there is a constant $c$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{\infty}(\lambda I+A)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \frac{c}{|\lambda+a|^{1-\alpha}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true for $|\arg (\lambda+a)| \leqslant \Delta$
Proof. As $(\lambda I+A)^{-1}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda s} e^{-A s}$ ds for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-a$, we have

$$
A^{\alpha}(\lambda I+A)^{-1}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda s} A^{\alpha} e^{-A s} d s .
$$

Let $\lambda=\tau+i \theta$ with $\tau>-5, \sigma \leq 0$. Choose $\vartheta=(0, \pi / 2-\omega)$.
The Cauchy theorem yields the following expression

$$
A^{\infty}(\lambda I+A)^{-1}=e^{i \vartheta} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda r e^{i \vartheta}} A^{\infty} e^{-A r e^{i \vartheta}} d r
$$

Define $F_{\vartheta}(\lambda)$ by the integral on the right hand side. According to the estimate (2.2), F is an analytic function in the domain $M_{\mathcal{V}}:=\{\lambda \in C ; \operatorname{larg}(\lambda+a)+\vartheta \mid<\pi / 2\}$, and there is c such that $\left\|F_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(\lambda)\right\| \leq c|\lambda+a|^{\alpha-1}$ for all $\lambda \in M_{\boldsymbol{N}}$. But $M_{\boldsymbol{N}} \in \rho(-A)$ and $A^{\alpha}(\lambda I+A)^{-1}=e^{i \hat{\imath}} F_{\vartheta}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \operatorname{M} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cap\{\lambda \in C ; \operatorname{Re}(\lambda+a)>0\}$. By the uniqueness theorem, this equality is valid on the whole set Mar. Since the same idea can be used also for $\sigma \succeq 0$, $\boldsymbol{v} \in(-\pi / 2+\omega, 0)$, the estimate (2.3) follows.
§ 3. A dynamical system. As a space of solutions of the equation (E) we choose $Y_{\gamma, \infty}(T):=\left\{u:(-\infty, T\} \rightarrow X^{\infty} ; u\right.$ is continuous on ( $-\infty$, T?
$\left.\|u\|_{Y_{\gamma, \alpha}(T)}:=\sup _{i \in\{\infty, Y]}\left\|e^{\gamma t} u(t)\right\|_{\alpha}<\infty\right\}$
for $0<\alpha<1$ and a certain positive number $\gamma$. For the sake of simplicity we denote $Y_{\gamma, c}(0)$ by $Y$ and this space will be the basic phase space for the eq ation (E). We consider this equation together with an initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=\varphi \in Y \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution (in the space $Y_{\gamma, \propto}(T), T>0$ ) of an integral equation
(IE) $u(t)=e^{-A t} \varphi(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-A(t-s)} L u_{s} d s, u_{0}=\varnothing$,
is said to be mild solution to the equation (E). We define a strong solution to (E) as a function $u \in Y_{\gamma, \infty}(T)$ for some $T>0$ such that $\dot{u}(t)$ exists, $u(t) \in \mathscr{D}(A)$, and (E) is satisfied for any $t \in(0, T)$. A strong solution is a mild one as well.

Theorem 1. Let operators satisfy the following conditions:
(H1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}A \text { is a sectorial operator in } X \text { with the property (2.1) for } \\ 0 \leq 0 ; \\ L \text { is a continuous linear operator from } Y \text { into } X .\end{array}\right.$ Then for any $\oint \in Y$ there exists a unique mild solution to the equation (E) which satisfies the initial condition (3.1). This solution is defined on the interval ( $-\infty,+\infty$ ). Moreover, if $\varphi(0) \varepsilon X^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$, and $e^{\mathcal{F}^{*}} f^{(\cdot)}$ is a Hölder continuous function on the interval $(-\infty, 0]$ into $x^{\infty}$, then this solution is also a strong solution to (E).

Proof. (i) To prove the local existence to (IE) we choose $T>0, r>0$ and $\operatorname{set} Z(r):=\left\{u \in Y_{\gamma, \infty}(T) ; u_{0}=f, \| u(t)-\right.$ $-\varphi(0) \|_{\alpha} \leqslant r$ for $\left.t \in\{0, T]\right\}$. A map $t \rightarrow u_{t}$ is a continuous map of $\left[0, T\right.$; into $Y$ for any $u \in Y_{x, \infty}(T)$, and the right hand side of (IE) determines (for sufficiently small $T>0$ ) a contraction of $Z(r)$
into itself.
(ii) We shall prove the global existence of a solution using a Gronwall type estimate. Suppose that for some $\mathcal{F}, \psi \in Y$ the corresponding solutions $u(\cdot, j), u(v, \psi)$ exist on the interval ( $-\mathcal{E}, T$ ) and let $v(t):=\left\|u_{t}(\varphi)-u_{t}(\psi)\right\|_{Y}, w(t):=\sup _{0 \leqslant s \leq t} v(s)$. With help of (2.2) we have
$v(t)=e^{-\gamma t} \sup _{\Delta \leq t} \| e^{\gamma s}\left[u(s, \S)-u(s, \psi)\left\|_{\alpha} \leq s^{-\gamma t}\right\| \Phi-\psi \|_{Y}+\right.$
$+e^{-\gamma t} \sup _{0 \leq 0 \leq t} \| e^{\gamma s}\left[e^{-A s}(\dot{\rho}(0)-\psi(0))+\int_{0}^{i} e^{-A(s-\hat{\theta})} L\left(u_{\tilde{i}}(\varphi)-\right.\right.$
$\left.-u_{6}(\Psi)\right) d \sigma\|\leq c\| \varphi-\psi^{r}\left\|_{Y}+c\right\| L \| t^{1-\alpha_{w}}(t)$.
If $\Delta$ is such that $c\|L\| t^{1-\alpha} \leq 2^{-1}$, then
(3.2) $\quad v(t) \leqq w(t)<2 c \| c \rho-\psi^{*} i_{Y}$
for $t \in[0, \Delta], t \leq T$. In the space $Y$ the fundamental estimate of [7] holds, namely
(3.3) $\left\|x_{t}\right\|_{Y} \leq e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}\left\|x_{\tau}\right\|_{Y}+\sup _{\tau \leq \Delta=t}\|x(s)\|_{\alpha}$ for $\tau \leq t \leq T$ and $x \in Y_{\gamma^{+}, \infty}(T)$. This means that the estimate (3.2) can be iterated and therefore the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t) \leq 2 c e^{b t}\|\varphi-\psi\|_{Y} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on the whole interval $[0, T)$, where $b=\Delta^{-1} \log 2 c$ is independent on $t, T$.

Suppose now that a solution $u(\cdot, \varphi)$ to the solution (IE) exists on the interval ( $-\infty, T$ ) and $T$ is finite. By (3.4) for $\psi=0$, this solution is bounded on the interval $[0, T)$. Choose $\beta \in(\alpha, 1)$ and $\sigma^{r}>0$. For $t \in\left[\sigma^{r}, T\right)^{\prime}$ we have
$\|u(t, \varphi)\|_{\beta} \leq\left\|A^{\beta-\alpha} e^{-A t} A^{\alpha} \varphi(0)\right\|+V \int_{0}^{t} e^{-A(t-s)} L u_{s} d s \|_{\beta} \leq$
$4 c \delta^{\alpha-\beta}\|\varphi\|_{Y}+c T^{1-\beta} \leqslant c$.
Therefore for $d^{v} \leqslant \tau \leqslant t<T$ we obtain

$$
\|u(t, \rho)-u(\pi, \dot{\rho})\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\left(e^{-A(t-\tau)}-I\right) u(\tau)\right\|_{\alpha_{0}}+
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left\|\int_{\tau}^{t} e^{-A(t-s)} L u_{s} d s\right\|_{\kappa} \leqslant c(t-\tau)^{f-\alpha}+c(t-\imath)^{1-\alpha} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\left\|\left(e^{-A t}-I\right) x\right\|_{\infty} \equiv c t^{i-\alpha}\|x\|_{\beta}$ for $x \in X^{\beta}, 0 \doteq \infty \doteq \beta$
(see [8]). The estimate (3.5) shows that $\underset{i p l}{\lim } u(t)$ exists in the space $X^{a \prime}$ and therefore the solution $u$ can be continued behind the point $T$.
(iii) With respect to the general theorem on the regularity of a mild solution to a nonhomogeneous equation $\dot{v}(t)+A v(t)=f(t)$ (see e.g. [8], Lemma 3.2.1) it is sufficient to prove that the map. $t \rightarrow L u_{t}$ is Hölder continuous from $L O, T$ ) into $X$, i.e., by the additional assumptions on $\oint$, a solution $u(\cdot, \varphi)$ is Hölder continuous from $[0, T)$ into $X^{\infty}$. With help of (2.2) and a local boundedness of $u_{t}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t)-u(s)\|_{\alpha} \leqslant\left\|\left(e^{-A(t-s)}-I\right) A^{-\varepsilon} e^{-A s} A^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \varphi(0)\right\|+ \\
& +\left\|\int_{0}^{s}\left(e^{-A(t-s)}-I\right) e^{-A(s-\sigma)} L u_{G} d \sigma\right\|_{\alpha}+ \\
(3.6) & +\left\|\int_{\sigma}^{t} e^{-A(t-\sigma)} L u_{\sigma} d \sigma\right\|_{\alpha} \leqslant c(t-s)^{\varepsilon}\|\varphi(0)\|_{\alpha+\varepsilon}+ \\
& +c(t-s)^{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\left\|u_{\sigma}\right\|_{Y}}{(s-\sigma)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} d \sigma}+c \int_{s}^{t} \frac{\left\|u_{\sigma}\right\|_{Y}}{(t-\sigma)^{\alpha}} d \sigma \leqslant c(t-s)^{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leqslant s \leqslant t<T$.

Corollary. Let the hypotheses (H1) be satisfied and let $u(\cdot, \varphi)$ be a mild solution to (IE) on the interval ( $-\infty,+\infty$ ). If $T(t) \varphi$ denotes $u_{t}(\varphi)$ then $T(t)$ is a $C_{0}$-semigroup on the space $Y$.

We denote by $S(t)$ the solution operator to the equation ( $E_{0}$ ) in the space $\gamma$, i.e. $S(t) \varphi:=v_{t}(\varphi)$, where $v(\cdot, \varphi)$ is a solution to ( $E_{0}$ ) with (3.1).

Lemma 1. Let the hypotheses (Hl) be satisfied together with (H2) A has a compact resolvent in $X$.

Then for any $t \in[0,+\infty)$ the operator $T(t)-S(t)$ is a compact map from $Y$ into $Y$.

Proof. Since $[T(t)-S(t)] \varphi(\vartheta)=0$ for $\vartheta \varepsilon(-\infty,-t)$ it is sufficient to prove that the map
$\Phi \varphi: \tau \rightarrow \hat{0}_{0}^{\tau} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{A}(\tau-\tilde{\sigma})} \operatorname{LT}(\sigma) \varphi d \sigma, \tau \in[0, \mathrm{t}]$, is compact as a map of $Y$ into $C\left([0, t] ; X^{\alpha}\right)$. This can be shown by the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a bounded set in $\gamma$ then functions from $\Phi(\mathcal{B})$ are equicontinuous because of (3.6). According to (2.2) and (3.4) a set $\Phi(\beta)(\tau)$ is bounded in $X^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$ for $\propto<\infty+\varepsilon<1$. Since the hypothesis (H2) implies that the imbedding of $X^{\propto+\varepsilon}$ into $X^{\infty}$ is compact (see e.g. [8]), the result follows.
§ 4. Spectrum of $T(t)$ and of its generator. For a closed operator $B$ with a dense domain in a Banach space $X$ we denote $N_{k}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, B):=$ $:=\operatorname{Ker}(\lambda I-B)^{k}$ and $N(\lambda, B):={ }_{\lambda} \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} N_{k}(\lambda, B)$. We shall use the notion of an essential spectrum in the sense of $F$. Browder ([2]), i.e. $\lambda$ is said to belong to the essential spectrum of $B(\lambda, \varepsilon$ ess $(B)$ ) whenever at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(i) ( $\lambda I-B$ ) is not closed;
(ii) the dimension of $N(\lambda, B)$ is infinite;
(iii) $\lambda$ is a limit point of the spectrum of $B$.

The radius of ess(B) will be denoted by $r_{e}(B)$. R. Nussbaum proved in [14] that
(4.1) $\quad r_{e}(B)=\inf \{k \in R ; \propto(B(M)) \leqslant k \propto(M)$ for every bounded set $M$,
where $\approx(M)$ is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness of $M$.

If $\lambda_{0}$ belongs to the spectrum of $B$ but not to the essential spectrum then $\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue of $B$ (denotation $\lambda_{0} \in P_{\sigma}(B)$ ) and the dimension of $N\left(\lambda_{0}, B\right)$ is said to be the multiplicity of $\lambda_{0}$. We note that $\lambda_{0}$ is a pole of the resolvent of $B$ as well and the projector $P$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}(\lambda I-B)^{-1} d \lambda \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\Gamma\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ is a sufficiently small circle with the center in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}$ ) decomposes the space $X$ into two $B$-invariant subspaces and $R(P)=$ $=N\left(\lambda_{0}, B\right)$. Moreover, there exists $n_{0}$ such that $N_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}, B\right)=$ $=N_{n_{0}}\left(\lambda_{0}: B\right)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and $R(I-P)=R\left(\lambda_{0} I-B\right)^{n_{0}}$.

Proposition 2. Let the hypotheses (H1), (H2) be satisfied. Let $T(t)$ be the solution operator to the equation (IE). Then for the radius of its essential spectrum the estimate

$$
r_{e}(T(t)) \leqslant c e^{-\min (a, \gamma) t}
$$

holds.
Proof. By the R. Nussbaum result (4.1) and Lemma 1, we have $r_{e}(T(t))=r_{e}(S(t))$. Obviously, $r_{e}(S(t)) \leq\|S(t)\|$, and $\|S(t) \varphi\|_{Y}=e^{-\gamma t} \max \left[\sup _{s \in-t}\left\|e^{\gamma(t+\theta)} \varphi(t+\vartheta)\right\|_{\alpha}\right.$,

$$
\sup _{-t \leq v \leq 0}\left\|e^{\gamma(t+\vartheta)} e^{-A(t+v)} \varphi(0)\right\|_{\alpha} .
$$

Thus the estimate (2.2) yields the result.
In the sequel $B$ will stand for the infinitesimal generator of the $C_{0}$-semigroup $T(t)$.

Lemma 2. Let the hypotheses (H1) be satisfied. Then:
(i) If $B \varphi=\lambda \varphi$ with $\varphi \neq 0$ then $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\gamma$ and $T(t) \varphi=$ $=e^{\lambda t} \varphi$. Moreover, $\varphi(\vartheta)=e^{\lambda \vartheta} d$, where $d \in \mathscr{D}(A)$ and it solves the characteristic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\lambda) d:=\lambda d+A d-L\left(e^{\lambda \vartheta} d\right)=0 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\gamma$ and (4.3) has a nontrivial solution, then $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ (B).
(iii) If $\mu \in P_{5}(T(t))$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then there exists a finite number of $\lambda \in P_{\sigma}$ (B) such that $e^{\lambda t}=\mu$.

Proof. (i; The function $z(t)=T(t) \varphi$ is a solution to $z(t)=T(t) B \varphi=\lambda z(t)$, i.e. $z(t)=e^{\lambda t} \varphi \quad$ By the definition of $T(t)$, we have $z(t)(\vartheta)=u_{t}(\vartheta, \varphi)=u_{t+v}(0, \varphi)=e^{\lambda(t+\vartheta)} \varphi(0)$ for $t+\vartheta \geq 0$. Thus $\varphi(\vartheta)=e^{\lambda \vartheta} \varphi(0)$ for any $\vartheta \leq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-$ - $\gamma$. The function $u(t, \varphi)$ solves (IE) and the function $s \rightarrow L\left(e^{\boldsymbol{\lambda s}} \varphi\right)=e^{\boldsymbol{\lambda s}} L(\varphi)$ has a bounded derivative on the interval $[0, T], T<\infty$. This means (see the third part of the proof of Theorem 1) that $u(t, \varphi)$ is a strong solution to (E), i.e. $\varphi(0) \in \mathscr{D}(A)$ and

$$
\frac{d}{d t} e^{\lambda t} \varphi(0)+A\left(e^{\lambda t} \varphi(0)\right)=L\left(e^{\lambda t} \varphi\right) .
$$

Hence $d=\varphi(0)$ solves the characteristic equation (4.3).
(ii) Under the assumption the function $\varphi(\vartheta)=e^{\lambda \vartheta} d \in Y$ and $T(t) \varphi=e^{\lambda t} \varphi$. By the definition of the generator, $B \varphi=\lambda \varphi$.
(iii) With the exception to the number of $\lambda$, the assertion can be found in [9], Th. 16.7.2. All solutions to the equation $e^{\lambda t}=\mu$ have the form $\lambda_{\pi}=t^{-1} \log \mu+i 2 \pi n t^{-1}$. As $A$ is a sectorial operator, all $\lambda_{n},|n| \geq n_{0}$, belong to the resolvent set of -A . This means that for this $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n}$ the equation (4.3) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=(\lambda I+A)^{-1} L\left(e^{\lambda \vartheta} d\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{n}{ }^{6} P_{6}(B)$ then there is a solution $d$ of (4.4) such that $\|d\|_{\alpha}=\left\|e^{\lambda_{n} n^{2}} d\right\|_{\gamma}=1$. But from the estimate (2.3) we get

$$
1=\|\alpha\|_{\alpha}=\left\|A^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{n} I+A\right)^{-1} L\left(e^{\lambda_{n} v} d\right)\right\| \leq c\|L\|\left|\lambda_{n}+a\right|^{1-\infty} .
$$

As the right hand side tends to zero for $|n| \rightarrow \infty$, the result follows.

More information about the structure of spaces $N_{k}(\lambda, B)$ is included in the following lemma. Notice that $D^{(j)}(\lambda) d:=$ $:=\frac{d^{j}}{d \lambda^{j}} D(\lambda) d=-L\left(\vartheta^{j} e^{\lambda \vartheta} d\right)$ for $j>1$.

Lemma 3. Let the hypotheses (H1) be satisfied and let
$\operatorname{Re} \lambda>-\gamma$. Then
(i) $x \in N_{k}(\lambda, B)$ if and only if
(4.5) $\quad x(\vartheta)=e^{\lambda \vartheta} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k-j}}{(k-j)!} \vartheta^{k-j} d_{j}$,
where $d_{1}, . ., d_{k} \in \mathscr{D}(A)$ satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell!} D^{(\ell)}(\lambda) d_{j-\ell}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $x$ is of the form (4.5) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(t) x=e^{\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{k=1} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} t^{j}{ }_{x-j} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(4.R)

$$
x_{j}(\vartheta)=e^{\lambda \vartheta} \sum_{l=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{j-\ell}}{(j-\ell)!} \vartheta^{j-\ell} d_{\ell}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction. For $k=1$ the assertion is true according to Lemma 2. Suppose first that $x \in N_{k+1}(\lambda, B)$ and set $y=\lambda x-B x \in N_{k}(\lambda, B)$. Therefore, by (4.7),

$$
T(t) y=e^{\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} t^{j} y_{k-j}
$$

Solving the differential equation $\frac{d}{d t} T(t) x=\lambda T(t) x-T(t) y$, we find
(4.9) $T(t) x=e^{\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} t^{j} x_{k+1-j}$,
where $x_{k+1}=x, x_{j}(\vartheta)=y_{j}(\theta)=e^{\lambda, \vartheta \sum_{\ell=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{j-\ell}}{(j-\ell)!} \vartheta^{j-\ell} d_{\ell}, ~}$
$j=1, \ldots, k$, and $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ satisfy (4.6). Taking $t=-\mathcal{V}>0$ in (4.9) we obtain
$x(0)=e^{-\lambda \vartheta} x(\vartheta)+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\ell+1-j} \frac{(-1)^{k-j-\ell}}{j!(k+1-j-\ell)!} \vartheta^{k+1-\ell} d_{\ell}=$ $=e^{-\lambda \theta} \times(\vartheta)+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{k+1-\ell}}{(k+1-\ell)!} \vartheta^{k+1-\ell} d_{\ell}$.

It remains to prove that $d_{k+1}:=x(0)$ fulfils the relation

$$
D(\lambda) d_{k+1}+\sum_{\ell=1}^{h} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell!} D^{(\ell)}(\lambda) d_{k+1-\ell}=0
$$

But this follows by substituting

$$
x(t)=e^{\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{h} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} t^{j}{ }_{d_{k+1-j}}
$$

(set $\theta=0$ in (4.9)) into the equation (E).
Conversely, let $x$ be given by (4.5) with $k+1$. Put

$$
\varphi(t)=e^{\lambda t} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} t^{j} x_{k+1-j},
$$

where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k+1}$ satisfy (4.8). Then it is easy to prove that $\varphi$ is a solution to (E) which satisfies the initial condition $\varphi(0)=$ $=x_{k+1}=x$. As the initial problem for (E) has a unique solution, $\varphi(t)=T(t) x$ and thus $B x=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(t)-\varphi(0)}{t}=\lambda x-x_{k}$. By the inductive assumption, $x_{k} \in N_{k}(\lambda, B)$ and $x \in N_{k+1}(\lambda, B)$ follows.

We remark that the explicit form of $N_{2}(\lambda, B)$ yields a condition on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ to be a simple eigenvalue of B. It follows from (4.7), (4.8) that $T(t) x$ is a solution of a system of ordinary differential equations in the Jordan canonical form for $x \in N(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, B)$.

Corollary. Under the assumptions of Lemma 3, the space $N_{k}(\lambda, B)$ is $T(t)$-invariant and $N_{k}(\lambda, B) \subset N_{k}\left(e^{\lambda t}, T(t)\right)$.

Theorem 2. Let the hypotheses (H1), (H2) be satisfied. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ the set $G=\{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in C ; \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\lambda}>-\min (a, \gamma)+\varepsilon\}$
contains only a finite number of points of $P_{\sigma}(B)$ and all of these points are of the finite multiplicity.

Proof. (i) The set $G$ is a subset of the resolvent set of the operator - A and there, nre the equation (4.3) is equivalent to (4.4). If we denote the right hand side of (4.4) as $F(\lambda) d$, we have $\lambda_{0} \in P_{\sigma}(B) \cap G$ if and only if $l \in P_{\sigma}\left(F\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)$. But the operator $F\left(\lambda_{0}\right): X^{\alpha} \rightarrow X^{\alpha}$ is compact what implies that 1 is an isolated point of the spectrum of $F\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$. It is easy to see that the function $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \rightarrow F(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is analytic in $G$. According to the Smulyan theorem ([16] or [11], Th. 7.1.9) there are two possibilities:
$G \subset P_{\sigma}(B)$ or $P_{\sigma}(B)$ is isolated in $G$. By Lemma 2 , the first case is impossible. Similar arguments as in the end of the proof of Lemma 2 show that $P_{\sigma}(B) \cap G$ is finite.
(ii) Now, we prove that $\lambda \in P_{\sigma}(B) \cap G$ is of the finite multiplicity. According to Corollary of Lemma 3, the multiplicity of
$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ cannot exceed the multiplicity of $e^{\boldsymbol{\lambda t}} \in \mathrm{P}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\left(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{t})\right.$ ). For $\mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o}}$ we have
$\left|e^{\lambda t}\right| \geq e^{(-\min (a, \gamma)+\varepsilon) t}>c e^{-t \min (a, \gamma)} \geq r^{(T(t))}$.
This means that $e^{\lambda t} \notin \sigma_{\text {ess }} T(t)$ for sufficiently large $t$ and the proof is complete.

The last theorem has two important corollaries:
Corollary 1 (asymptotic stability). Let the hypotheses (H1), (H2) be satisfied and let $\operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\lambda}<0$ for any solution to the characteristic equation (4.3). Then 0 is an asymptotically stable solution to (E). Moreover, there is $\sigma^{\sigma}>0$ and a constant $c$ such that (4.10)

$$
\|T(t)\| \leq c e^{-\delta t} .
$$

Proof. By assumptions and Theorem 2, $\Delta:=\sup \operatorname{Re} P_{\sigma}(B)<0$. This means that $\sup \left\{|\lambda| ; \lambda \in P_{\sigma}(T(t))\right\}=e^{\Delta t}$ (Lemma 2). With respect to an estimate of radius of an essential spectrum
(Proposition 2) there is $\delta_{1}>0$ and a constant $c$ such that $r(T(t)) \leqslant c e^{-\delta_{1} t}$ This implies the result by standard arguments (see Lemma 7.4.2 in [6]).

Corollary 2 (saddle-point property). Let the hypotheses (H1), (H2) be satisfied. Then there exists a decomposition $Y=Y_{1} \oplus Y_{2}$ such that
(i) $Y_{1}$ has a finite dimension;
(ii) $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ are $T(t)$-invariant;
(iii) the zero solution is asymptotically stable for $T(t) / Y_{2} ;$
(iv) $Y_{1} \subset D(B)$ and $B / Y_{1}$ is a continuous linear operator generating a group which is an extension of $T(t)) / Y_{1}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2, the set $\sigma_{+}^{\prime}:=\left\{\lambda \in P_{G}\right.$ (B); $\operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq 0\}$ is finite and for any $\lambda_{0} \in \sigma_{+}$the projector $P\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$, which is given by (4.2), has a finite dimensional range $N\left(\lambda_{0}, B\right)$. The projector $P\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ commutes with $T(t)$ as well. If we set $P=$ $=\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{+}} P(\lambda)$ then $P$ is a continuous projector onto $Y_{1}=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma_{+}}^{\oplus} N(\lambda, B)$ with Ker $P=Y_{2}$ and the spaces $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ satisfy (i) - (iv).
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