Jaroslav Resler Stability of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of variational inequalities

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 29 (1988), No. 3, 541--550

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106668

# Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 29,3 (1988)

### STABILITY OF EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS OF VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

#### Jaroslav RESLER

**Abstract:** There is studied the dependence of eigenvectors and eigenvalues of variational inequalities on continuous deformations of the cone. The lower semicontinuity of eigenvalues for general continuous deformations and continuity for continuous invertible deformations is proved.

Key words: Variational inequalities, eigenvalues, eigenvectors, sup min principle.

Classification: 49G05, 35J85, 35P30

0. Introduction. We shall study a variational inequality

u ∈ K ∩ S (A,K) (λu-Au, v-u)≩0 ∀ v ∈ K,

where K is a closed convex cone with vertex in the origin of a real separable Hilbert space H and A is a linear, completely continuous, symmetric and positive operator on H. We denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  the scalar product and the norm in H, respectively, and S=  $\{u \in H; \|u\| = 1\}$ . A real number  $\lambda$  is called an eigenvalue (and u the corresponding eigenvector) of the inequality (A,K) if there exists u satisfying (A,K).

It is well known (see [7]) that the inequality (A,K) has at least one but need not have more than one eigenvalue  $\mathcal{M}_1$  which can be found as follows:

(2) 
$$\mu_1 = \max_{u \in K \cap S} (Au, u)$$

Thus its dependence on the deformations of K can be studied directly.

The existence of the higher eigenvalues of (A,K) can be proved (under additional assumptions on A and K) by different methods (see [1],[2],[3],[4], [5],[6],[7]). We have chosen the variational method due to E. Miersemann ([3], [4],[5]) and we shall restrict ourselves to the existence and continuous dependence of the eigenvalues found by this approach. Thus Section 1 is devoted to the brief description of the sup min principle used for the definition of the k-th eigenvalue.

In Section 2, the concept of deformation of the cone K is introduced and there are proved theorems on lower semicontinuity and continuity of eigenvalues.

In Section 3 we deal with the behaviour of sets of eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues on deformed cones. These results are valid under more gneral conditions than in Section 2.

I am indebted to Jana Stará for many valuable advices during my work on the subject.

1. The sufficient conditions for the existence of higher eigenvalues. An abstract condition for the existence of higher eigenvalues is given in Theorem 1. Some assumptions on the cone and the operator A which guarantee that this abstract condition is satisfied, are given in Theorems 2 and 3.

Denote  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  the eigenvalues of A (numbered according to their magnitude  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \ldots \ge 0$ ) and  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  the corresponding eigenvectors. Let  $L_k$  be the span of the first k eigenvectors of the operator A and  $\mathfrak{M}_k = \{F \in K \cap S; F \text{ is compact, } F \text{ is not contractible within } H - L_k^{\perp}\}$ . The class  $\mathfrak{M}_k$  may be empty; if it is not the case put

(3) c<sub>k</sub>= sup min (Au,u). F**e M**<sub>k</sub> uéF

**Theorem 1** (see [4]). Let  $\mathcal{M}_k$  contain a set  $F_0$  such that

for a positive constant  $oldsymbol{\eta}$  .

Then  $c_k$  is an eigenvalue of the variational inequality (A,K).

**Remark.** Clearly,  $c_k > \lambda_{k+1}$ . If, moreover,

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\mathfrak{X}_{L}I-A) \not\subset K,$$

then  $c_k < \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k.$  Thus the eigenvalue  $c_k$  of (A,K) is not an eigenvalue of the operator A.

The next two theorems give conditions guaranteeing that the assumption of Theorem 1 holds.

**Theorem 2** (see [5]). Let there exist a closed linear subspace  $\widetilde{H}$  of the space H so that  $\widetilde{H} \subset K \subset H$ . Let  $\widetilde{P}: H \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\widetilde{H}$ .

Denote  $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \not\subseteq \ldots \not\subseteq \tilde{\lambda}_n \not\subseteq \ldots \not\subseteq 0$  the eigenvalues of the operator  $\tilde{A} = \tilde{P} A \wedge \tilde{H}$ . Let  $\tilde{a}_{k} > a_{k+1}$ . Then  $c_{k}$  is an eigenvalue of (A,K).

Theorem 3 (see [6]). Denote  $B_k = \{u \in L_k; u \| \neq 1\}$  $V_{L} = \{ v \in L_{L}^{\perp}; u + v \in K \mid \forall u \in B_{L}^{2} \}.$ 

Let there exist  $v_0 \in V_k$  so that

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k}^{-} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \geq \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \| \boldsymbol{v}_{0} \|^{2} - (A \boldsymbol{v}_{0}, \boldsymbol{v}_{0}).$$

Then  $c_k$  is an eigenvalue of (A,K).

2. The stability of the eigenvalues. The concepts of the deformation or of the invertible f-deformation are introduced in Definitions 1, 2 and some examples are given. The lower semicontinuity or continuity dependence of the eigenvalue on the deformation or on the invertible f-deformation of the cone K are proved in Theorems 4, 5, respectively.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\sigma_0 > 0$  and  $T: \langle 0, \sigma_0 \rangle \times K \cap S \longrightarrow S$  be such that 1) T<sub>0</sub>(u)=u ∀u∈K∩S 2) T is a continuous mapping 3) K<sub>d</sub> = { tT<sub>d</sub> (u); t≧0, u∈ K∧S} is a convex closed cone for every

 $\delta \in \langle 0, \delta_0 \rangle$ 

Then T is called the (admissible) deformation of the cone K.

Example 1. Let &, a, b>0 be such that 0< a- &, a< b, b+ & < 1. Denote by  $W^{1,2}((0,1))$  the Sobolev space of absolutely continuous functions with square integrable derivatives and by  $\tilde{K}$  a cone in  $W^{1,2}(\langle 0,1\rangle)$  which does not depend on the set M=  $\langle a - \alpha, b + \alpha \rangle$  (i.e. if two functions u, v  $\in W^{1,2}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)$  coincide on  $\langle 0,1 \rangle$ -M, then u  $\tilde{K}$  iff v  $\tilde{K}$ ). ( $\tilde{K}$  can be given as a set of functions satisfying some unilateral or bilateral boundary conditions.) Define:

 $K_{\mathbf{x}} = \{ \mathbf{u} \in \widetilde{K}; \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \langle \mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\delta} \rangle$ 

for  $\delta \in \langle 0, \frac{\phi}{2} \rangle$ .

Then there exists  $\mathbf{d}_0 \in (0, \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2})$  and a deformation  $T: \langle 0, \mathbf{d}_0 \rangle \times K \cap S \longrightarrow S$ transforming the cone  $K_n$  onto the cone  $K_{\delta}$  for every  $\delta \in \langle 0, \sigma_0 \rangle$ .

The mapping T from Example 1 can be defined as follows: Remark.

$$T_{\sigma}^{(u)(x)=\frac{u(x-\sigma \varphi(x))}{\|u(x-\sigma \varphi(x))\|_{W^{1,2}((0,1))}},$$
- 543-

where  $\phi$  is a continuous differentiable function on  $\langle 0,1 \rangle$  such that

 $\varphi \wedge \langle a - \frac{\omega}{2}, b + \frac{\omega}{2} \rangle \equiv 1, \varphi \wedge \langle 0, 1 \rangle - (a - \omega, b + \omega) \equiv 0, \varphi(x) \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  for every  $x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ .

In an analogous way the deformation can be defined for the cones:

or (for a measurable set M c (a,b))

etc.

Denote  $\mathfrak{M}_{ko'} = \{F \in K_{o'} \cap S; F \text{ compact, } F \text{ is not contractible within } H-L_{k}^{\perp}\}$ 

If  $\mathfrak{M}_k$  is not empty, we define:

Denote  $P_k: H \longrightarrow L_k$  the orthogonal projection of the space H onto  $L_k$ .

Lemma 1 (see [4]). Let  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_{k+1}$ . Then the inequality

(6) 
$$\|P_{k^{u}}\|^{2} \ge \frac{(Au, u) - \lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{k+1}}$$

holds for every  $u \in S$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let H, A, K, k satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1. Suppose  $\sigma_n > 0$  and T:  $\langle 0, \sigma'_n \rangle \times K \cap S \longrightarrow S$  be a deformation.

Then there exists  $\sigma_1 \in (0, \sigma_0^{\prime})$  such that for every  $\delta \in (0, \sigma_1^{\prime})$   $c_{k\sigma'}$  is an eigenvalue of the inequality  $(A, K_{\sigma'})$  and, moreover,

holds.

**Proof.** Let 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
. Let  $F_1 \in \mathcal{M}_k$  be such that  
min  $(Au,u) \ge c_k - \varphi$ ,  
 $u \in F_1$   
where  $\varphi = \min \{\frac{\varphi}{2}, \frac{\eta}{4}\}$ . According to Lemma 1 we have  
 $\|P_k u\| \ge \sqrt{\frac{3\eta}{4(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1})}}$ .

As  $F_1$  is compact, we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that:

where  $\tilde{\varphi} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3\eta}{4(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1})}} , \frac{\varphi}{2\lambda_1} \right\}$ . Since  $P_k$  is nonexpansive, we get  $\forall \times \varepsilon F_1 \quad \forall \sigma \in (0, \tilde{\sigma} > : \|P_k \mathsf{T}_{\sigma}(u)\| \ge \|P_k \mathsf{u}\| - \|\mathsf{T}_{\sigma}(\mathsf{u}) - \mathsf{u}\| > \tilde{\varphi}$ .

Thus  $T^{A}F_{1}$  is a homotopy of the set  $F_{1}$  onto the set  $T_{\sigma'}(F_{1})$  in the space  $H-L_{k}^{\perp}$ . Hence,  $T_{\sigma'}(F_{1}) \in \mathcal{M}_{k\sigma'}$ . Further it holds

and according to the choice of (

Theorem 4 implies that  $c_{ka}$  is an eigenvalue of the inequality  $(A, K_{a})$ .

From the same estimate (7) we get

**Remark.** Particularly, if A, K, k satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2 or 3 and if T is a deformation of the cone K, then  $c_{k,d'}$  is an eigenvalue of  $(A, K_{d'})$  for all sufficiently small positive d', although the assumptions of Theorem 2 or 3 need not be satisfied for A,  $K_{d'}$ , k.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\sigma_0 > 0$ , T:  $\langle 0, \sigma_0 \rangle \times K \wedge S \rightarrow S$  be a deformation of a cone K. Moreover, let there exist a nondecreasing function f:  $\langle 0, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ , continuous in zero, F(0)=0 such that

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \in \langle 0, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \rangle \quad \forall u \in K \land S :$$
  
$$|| \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1}^{(u)} - \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_2}^{(u)} || \leq f(|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2|).$$

Then we call T the f-deformation of the cone K.

If, moreover,  $T_{\sigma}$  is continuously invertible for every  $\sigma \in \langle 0, \sigma_0 \rangle$ , then we call T the invertible f-deformation of the cone K.

**Example 2.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{s}}(0,1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}'_{0} \in (0,\min\{\mathfrak{a},1-\mathfrak{a}\})$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \mathfrak{k}^{k,2}(\langle 0,1 \rangle)$  be a cone indpendent of the set  $\langle \mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{a}'_{0}, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{a}'_{0} \rangle$  (see Example 1). Define:

- 545 -

Then for any  $\mathbf{d}'$  sufficiently small there is an invertible f-deformation of the cone K onto K<sub>d'</sub> with  $f(\mathbf{d}') = L \sqrt{\mathbf{d}'}'$  (L being a positive constant).

Remark. The mapping I from the example can be defined as follows:

$$T_{\mathbf{d}'}(u)x) = \frac{u(x) + \mathbf{q}'(x)(u(a) - u(a + \mathbf{d}'))}{\|u(x) + \mathbf{q}'(x)(u(a) - u(a + \mathbf{d}'))\|} \frac{w^{k,2}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)}{w^{k,2}(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)}$$
(or  $T_{\mathbf{d}'}(u)(x) = \frac{u(x) + \mathbf{q}'(x)(u(a) - \min u(t))}{\|u(x) + \mathbf{q}'(x)(u(a) - \min u(t))\|}$ ),

where  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{W}^{k,2}(\langle 0,1 \rangle)$  is any function such that  $\mathbf{g} \wedge \langle \mathbf{a} - \frac{\mathbf{\sigma}_0}{2} \mathbf{a} + \frac{\mathbf{\sigma}_0}{2} \rangle \equiv 1, \ \mathbf{g} \wedge \langle 0,1 \rangle - \langle \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{\sigma}_0, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{\sigma}_0 \rangle \equiv 0$  $\mathbf{g} (\mathbf{x}) \in \langle 0,1 \rangle \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \langle 0,1 \rangle$ .

The next example deals with deformations of halfspace in the Hilbert space H.

**Example 3.** Let L be a positive constant,  $g \in S$ ,  $\sigma_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{3L})$ . Let  $h \in H$  and  $h \in L$ . Define

Then there exists f-deformation T transforming the cone K onto the cone  $K_{\sigma'}$  for  $f(\sigma)=9L\sigma'$  ( $\sigma \in \langle 0, \sigma_n \rangle$ ).

Remark. One of the possibilities of defining  $T_{a}$  is the following one:

$$\sigma^{(u)=\frac{\sigma^{(u,h)}}{1+\sigma^{(g,h)}}g}$$

For example for  $H=W_0^{1,2}(\langle 0,1\rangle)$ ,  $g_{\sigma'}(x)=\sinh|x-(a+\sigma')|+k_{1\sigma'}e^{x}+k_{2\sigma'}e^{-x}$  (where  $k_{1\sigma'}, k_{2\sigma'}$  are uniquely determined so that  $g_{\sigma}e_{0}W_0^{1,2}(\langle 0,1\rangle)$ ,  $h_{\sigma}=\frac{g_{\sigma}-g_{0}}{\sigma'}$  we get the mapping of the cone  $K=\{u\in W_0^{1,2}(\langle 0,1\rangle); u(a)\geq 0\}$  onto the cone  $K_{\sigma'}==\{u\in W_0^{1,2}(\langle 0,1\rangle); u(a+\sigma)\geq 0\}$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let the assumption of Theorem 1 be satisfied and let f be a function from Definition 2.

Then there exists  $d_1 \in (0, d_0)$  such that for every f-deformation of the

cone K and for every  $d' \in (0, d_1)$ ,  $c_{k o'}$  is an eigenvalue of the inequality  $(A, K_{d'})$  and

(B) 
$$c_k d \ge c_k - 2 \mathfrak{A}_1 f(d)$$
.

If T is, moreover, the invertible f-deformation, then

(9) 
$$|c_{k\sigma} - c_{k}| \leq 2 \lambda_{1} f(\sigma)$$

Sketch of the proof. Choose  $\sigma_1 \in (0, \sigma_0)$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \notin (0, \sigma_0)$ 

 $\leq \min \{\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1}}}, \frac{n}{4\lambda_1}\}$ . Let  $F_0$  be the set given in the assumption of Theorem 1. According to Lemma 1 we have

We have

$$\begin{array}{c} \min (AT_{\sigma}(u), T_{\sigma}(u)) \geq \min (Au, u) - \max |(AT_{\sigma}(u), T_{\sigma}(u) - u) + u \in F_{\sigma} \\ u \in F_{\sigma} \\ u \in K_{n}S \end{array}$$

+(AT<sub>G</sub> (u)-u),u) 
$$\geq \Im_{k+1} + \eta - 2\Im_1 \max_{u \in K \cap S} \|T_{G}(u) - u\| \geq \Im_{k+1} + \frac{\eta}{2}$$
.

Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and  $c_{k}\sigma$  is an eigenvalue of the inequality  $(A, K_{\sigma})$  for  $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_{1})$ .

Let  $ge(0, \frac{7}{16} \gamma)$  be arbitrary,  $F_1 \in m_k$  such that min  $(Au, u) \ge c_k - \varepsilon$ . Lemma 1 implies that

$$\forall u \in F_1: \|P_k u\| \geq \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1}}}$$

Similarly as before we can prove

$$\begin{split} \| \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{k}} \mathbb{T}_{\sigma} (\mathsf{u}) \| & \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{\mathsf{k}+1}}} , \\ \mathbb{T}_{\sigma} (\mathsf{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{k}} \sigma \end{split}$$

and

$$c_{k\delta} \leq c_{k} - \varepsilon - 2 \lambda_{1} f(\delta).$$

Since  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{7}{16} \eta)$  is arbitrary, (8) holds.

Let T be, moreover, invertible. Define the homotopy

- 547 -

$$\tilde{T}: \langle 0, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle \times K_{\tilde{\sigma}} \land 5 \longrightarrow S$$
 for every  $\tilde{\sigma} \in (0, \sigma_1)$ 

by

 $\tilde{\mathsf{T}}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} (\tilde{\mathsf{U}}) = \mathsf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \mathsf{T}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1} (\tilde{\mathsf{U}}).$ 

We prove easily that  $\tilde{T}$  is an f-deformation of the cone Kg onto the cone Kg r

Let  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in (0, \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{4})$ ,  $F_1 \in \boldsymbol{m}_{k\widetilde{\sigma}}$  be such that  $\min_{\widetilde{U} \in \widetilde{F}_1} (A\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}) \leq c_{k\widetilde{\sigma}} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ . In a similar way as before we prove that  $\widetilde{T}_{\widetilde{\sigma}} (\widetilde{F}_1) \in \boldsymbol{m}_k$  and  $c_k \leq c_{k\widetilde{\sigma}} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - 2\lambda_1 f(\widetilde{\sigma})$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \leq (0, \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{4})$  is arbitrary, the inequality

(10) 
$$c_k \leq c_k \vec{\sigma} - 2\lambda_1 f(\vec{\sigma})$$

holds.

From (8) and (10) we get (9).

3. Stability of eigenvectors. In this section we study the behaviour of the set of eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues on deformed cones. The results do not depend on the way how the eigenvalues were found and thus more general deformations of cones are considered.

Definition 3. Let K and K̃ be two convex closed cones. The number  $\Phi(K, \widetilde{K})$ : max {sup inf ແມ່ນ ເຊິ່ງ sup inf ແມ່ນ ເຊິ່ງ ແລະ ໂດຍ ເຊິ່ງ ເຊິ່ງ

will be called the distance between the cones K and  $\widetilde{K}$ .

#### Remark.

a)  $\Phi$  is a metric in the space of closed convex cones (it is the Hausdorff metric of their intersections with unit sphere).

b) If T is an f-deformation of the cone  $K_0$ , then for every  $\delta' \in \langle 0, \sigma'_0 \rangle$ ,  $\Phi(K_0, K_{\sigma'}) \notin f(\delta')$  holds.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $K_{d'}$ ,  $d' \in \langle 0, \sigma_0 \rangle$  be a system of closed convex cones such that  $\Phi(K_0, K_d) \rightarrow 0$  for  $d' \rightarrow 0_{+}$ . Let for every  $d' \in (0, d'_0)$ ,  $c_{d'}$  be an eigenvalue of the inequality  $(A, K_{d'})$ . Denote by  $V_{d'}$  the set of all eigenvectors of the inequality  $(A, K_{d'})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $c_{d'}$ . Let  $c_{d'}$  tend to a positive  $c_0$  for  $d' \rightarrow 0_{+}$ .

Then  $c_0$  is an eigenvalue of the inequality (A,K<sub>0</sub>), and

 $\lim_{\sigma \to 0^+} \sup \{ dist(u_{\sigma'}, V_{\sigma}); u_{\sigma'} \in V_{\sigma'} \} = 0.$ 

Lemma 2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 6 be satisfied and let  $\{u_{\sigma_{k}}^{\infty}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be an arbitrary sequence such that  $u_{\sigma_{k}} \in V_{\sigma_{k}}^{\infty}$ ,  $u_{\sigma_{k}} \longrightarrow u_{\sigma_{k}}^{\infty} = 0$ .

for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  . Then  $u_0 \in V_0$  and  $u_{\sigma} \rightarrow u_0$ .

**Proof of Lemma 2.** (We write in this proof  $c_k, u_k, v_k, K_k$  instead of  $c_{\sigma'_k}$ ,  $u_{\sigma'_k}, v_{\sigma'_k}, K_{\sigma'_k}$ .) Let  $v_0$  be an arbitrary element of  $K_0$ . According to the assumptions of Theorem 6 we find  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $v_k \in K_k$ ,  $||v_k|| = ||v_0||$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathbf{v}_k-\mathbf{v}_0\| & \notin 2 \|\mathbf{v}_0\| \, \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{K}_0,\mathbf{K}_k). \text{ Let } M \text{ be a positive constant such that } |\mathbf{c}_k| < \mathsf{M} \\ \text{for every } \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{N}. \text{ Since } \mathbf{c}_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{c}_0, \ \mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{S}, \ \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbf{B}, \end{split}$$

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} c_k(u_k, u_k) \ge c_0(u_0, u_0).$$

k**→∞** It holds

$$\begin{split} |(c_{k}u_{k}-Au_{k},v_{k}-v_{0})| &\leq 2(M+1)A1|) ||v_{0}|| \oint (K_{0},K_{k}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } k \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\varpi} , \\ (c_{k}u_{k}-Au_{k},v_{0}) \rightarrow (c_{0}u_{0}-Au_{0},v_{0}), \\ (Au_{k},u_{k}) \rightarrow (Au_{0},u_{0}). \end{split}$$

Since  $c_k, u_k$  are an eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenvector of the inequality (A,K\_k), we get

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} (c_{k}u_{k} - Au_{k}, v_{k} - u_{k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} [(c_{k}u_{k} - Au_{k}, v_{0}) + (c_{k}u_{k} - Au_{k}, v_{k} - v_{0}) - (c_{k}u_{k} - Au_{k}, u_{k})] = (c_{0}u_{0} - Au_{0}v_{0}) + (Au_{0}, u_{0}) - c_{0}(u_{0}, u_{0}) = (c_{0}u_{0} - Au_{0}, v_{0} - u_{0}). \end{array}$$

For every  $u_k$  we find  $\tilde{u}_k \in K_o$  such that  $\|\tilde{u}_k - u_k\| \le 2 \ (K_o, K_k)$ . Then  $\tilde{u}_k - u_o$  and since the cone  $K_o$  is weakly closed we get  $u_o \in K_o$ .

If we put  $v_0=2u_0$  in (13), we prove easily that  $\|u_0\| \ge 1$ . Hence, we get  $u_0 \le V_0$  and Lemma 2 is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 6.** It follows easily from Lemma 2 that  $V_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Since any sequence  $\{u_{\sigma}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  contains a subsequence  $\{u_{\sigma}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  so that  $u_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{}_{n_k} u_0$  for k = 1

 $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u_0 \in B$ , it follows from Lemma 2 for every  $\{u_0, j_n = 1, \dots, n \}$ 

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{dist} (u_{\sigma_n}, V_0) = 0$ 

and also for arbitrary  $u_{\sigma} \in V_{\sigma}$  ,  $\sigma \succ 0$ 

It means

Remark. It follows from Lemma 2 that the set V is weakly closed.

Remark. It can be shown that the following assertions do not hold:

b)  $\exists u_0 \in V_0 \exists \delta_0 > 0 \quad \forall \delta \in (0, \delta_0) \exists u_\delta \in V_\delta : u \longrightarrow u_0 \text{ for } \delta \longrightarrow 0 + .$ 

The counterexamples can be found in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

#### References

- M. KUČERA: A new method for obtaining eigenvalues of variational inequalities based on bifurcation theory, Čas. pěst. mat. 104(1979), 389-411.
- [2] M. KUČERA: Bifurcation points of variational inequalities, Czechoslovak Math. J. 32(107)(1982), 208–226.
- [3] M. KUČERA, J. NEČAS, and J. SOUČEK: The eigenvalue problem for variational inequalities and a new version of the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory, Nonlinear Analysis, A Collection of Papers in Honor of Erich H. Rothe, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1978.
- [4] E. MIERSEMANN: Über höhere Verzweigungspunkte nichtlinearer Variationsungleichungem Math. Nachr. 85(1978), 195-213.
- [5] E. MIERSEMANN: Höhere Eigenwerte von Variationsungleichungen, Beiträge zur Analysis 17(1981), 65-68.
- [6] E. MIERSEMANN: On higher eigenvalues of variational inequalities, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 24(1983), 657-665.
- [7] P. QUITNER: A note to E. Miesermann's papers on higher eigenvalues of variational inequalities, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 26 (1985), 665-674.
- [8] P. QUITNER: Spectral analysis of variational inequalities, To appear in Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae.
- [9] J. STARÁ, O. JOHN: Funkcionální analýza nelineární úlohy , SPN,Praha, 1986.

SVÚSS, 190 11 Praha - Běchovice, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 17.3. 1988)

- 550 -