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# Higher monotonicity properties of special functions: application on Bessel case $|\nu|<\frac{1}{2}$ 

Zuzana Došlá

Abstract. Suppose that the function $q(t)$ in the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+q(t) y=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is decreasing on ( $0, \infty$ ). We give conditions on $q$ which ensure that (*) has a pair of solutions $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ such that the $n$-th derivative ( $n \geq 1$ ) of the function $p(t)=y_{1}^{2}(t)+y_{2}^{2}(t)$ has the $\operatorname{sign}(-1)^{n+1}$ for sufficiently large $t$, and that the higher differences of sequences related to zeros of solutions of (*) are ultimately monotonic. In particular, we prove the conjecture of [5] for sufficiently large $t$.
Keywords: Higher monotonicity properties, ultimate monotonicity, Bessel functions
Classification: 34A40, 34C10

## 1. Introduction

The aim of the present paper is to study monotonicity properties of solutions of the second order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+q(t) y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the function $q$ decreasing to a positive constant. In general, $q$ is $n$-time monotonic function, i.e. $\operatorname{sgn} q^{(k)}(t)=(-1)^{k}, k=0, \ldots, n$ on $(a, \infty)$. This investigation is motivated by the following conjecture of L. Lorch and P. Szego [5, p. 51] given on the basis of the numerical evidence and the Sturm comparison theorem.

Conjecture. Let $c_{\nu k}$ denote $k$-th positive zeros of any Bessel function $C_{\nu}$ of order $|\nu|<\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} \Delta^{n} c_{\nu k}>0 \quad n=2,3, \ldots k=1,2 \ldots{ }^{*)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n$-th differences have the constant sign and (2) holds for all $n$ or $n$ up to $N$, we say that the sequence is completely monotonic or $N$-time monotonic, respectively.
M. Muldoon [8] proved the validity of (2) for $\frac{1}{3} \leq|\nu|<\frac{1}{2}$ but the method used there cannot be applied to the range $|\nu|<\frac{1}{3}$. We are successful in proving (2) for $|\nu|<\frac{1}{3}$ in the sense of ultimate monotonicity, i.e., for each $n$ fixed, (2) holds for all $c_{\nu k}, k=l_{n}, l_{n}+1, \ldots\left(l_{n}\right.$ integer) or, by other words, a finite number (depending

[^0]on $n$ ) of the first members of the sequence $\left\{c_{\nu k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ must be omitted in (2) (see Corollary 2).

Our approach is based on the following ideas:
(i) to study monotonicity properties of the function $p(t)=y_{1}^{2}(t)+y_{2}^{2}(t)$, where $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are suitable linearly independent solutions of (1). As was showed in $[5,8]$, this is closely related to the monotonicity properties, e.g. higher differences of zeros, of any solution of (1);
(ii) to investigate certain differential operators on the half-line $(a, \infty)$ for using [ 1 , Theorem 22.1 ${ }_{n}$ ] and [ 8 , Theorem 2.1]. To this end we make some constructions about the signs and asymptotics of monotonic functions and their quasiderivatives;
(iii) to investigate Bessel functions of order $|\nu|<\frac{1}{2}$ and other Sturm-Liouville functions as solutions of the differential equation of the form (1).

Originally, the idea to study $n$-time monotonic functions and sequences (as the spacing of zeros of special functions) in the theory of ordinary differential equations, was used in [1] and [5] for the case of $q(t)$ increasing (and in general, $q^{\prime}$ is $n$-time monotonic) with applications on Bessel functions of order $|\nu| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. These results were followed by a lot of papers and this case was in detail resolved, e.g. [6, 7, 8, $9,10]$. It is worth to note that the "nonsymmetry" of both cases of monotonicity $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ is caused by the properties of the composition of monotonic functions and sequences.

Our method and results cover the case $q$ converging to a positive constant with just the order $t^{\epsilon}$, as it corresponds to the Bessel functions. The case $q$ converging "slowly" to a non-negative constant will be solved in [12].

## 2. Statement of results.

Let us define the sequence $\left\{M_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}=\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}}[p(t)]^{-\alpha}|y(t)|^{\lambda} d t \quad i=0,1, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y(t)$ is an arbitrary (non-trivial) solution of (1), $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ denotes any sequence of consecutive zeros of any solution $z(t)$ of (1) which may or may not be linearly independent of $y(t), p(t)=y_{1}^{2}(t)+y_{2}^{2}(t)$ and $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ are linearly independent solutions of (1), $\lambda>-1$ and $\alpha<1+\frac{\lambda}{2}$.

By a special choice of numbers $\lambda, \alpha$ we get quantities of various geometrical meaning and describing oscillatory properties of any solution of (1), e.g. if $\alpha=\lambda=0$ then $M_{i}=\Delta t_{i}=t_{i+1}-t_{i}$.
Agreement. Throughout this paper, the symbol $f=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\alpha}\right)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ means the order properties of the best estimation, i.e. we write $f=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\alpha}\right) t \rightarrow \infty$ if
i) $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty}|f(t)| t^{\alpha}<\infty$, i.e. $f=O\left(t^{-\alpha}\right)$,
ii) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}|f(t)| t^{\alpha+\xi}=\infty$ for every $\xi>0$.

Theorem. Let $n \geq 0$ be a fixed integer. Let the function $q$ in (1) satisfy $q(\infty)>0$ and for $k=0,1, \ldots, n+2$

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{k} q^{(k)}(t) \geq 0, \quad 0<t<\infty  \tag{4}\\
q^{(k)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\epsilon)}\right) \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \epsilon>0 . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then (2) has a pair of solutions $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ such that the function $p(t)=y_{1}^{2}(t)+$ $y_{2}^{2}(t)$ satisfies $p(t) \rightarrow 1$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k} p^{(k+1)}(t) \geq 0, \quad \mu_{k}<t<\infty, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ is a nondecreasing sequence and $\mu_{k}=\mu_{k+1}$ only if $\mu_{k}=0$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(k)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\epsilon)}\right) \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad n \geq 4, k=1,2, \ldots, n-3 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding quantities $M_{i}$ defined by (3) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k} \Delta^{k+1} M_{i} \geq 0 \quad k=0, \ldots, n-3, i=l_{k}, l_{k}+1, \ldots, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{k}=l(k)$ is integer, $0=l_{0} \leq l_{1} \leq \cdots \leq l_{n-3}$ and $l_{k}=l_{k+1}$ only if $l_{k}=0$.
In particular, we have for the sequence $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=l(k)}^{\infty}$ of positive zeros of any soiution of (1)

$$
(-1)^{k} \Delta^{k+2} t_{i} \geq 0 \quad k=0, \ldots, n-3, n \geq 3, i=l_{k}, l_{k}+1, \ldots
$$

Remark 1. If the function $q$ satisfies (4) (i.e. $q$ is monotonic of order $n+2$ ), then $q^{(k)}=O\left(t^{-k}\right), k=1, \ldots, n+1$ (see [11]), but (5) need not hold. For example $h(t)=1+1 / \mathrm{lg} t$ is completely monotonic (i.e. (4) holds for $k=0,1, \ldots$ ) and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h^{\prime} t^{1+\epsilon}=-\infty$ for any $\epsilon>0$.

A typical example where our theorem is applicable, yields the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\beta t^{-\gamma}\right] y=0, \quad \beta, \gamma>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

P. Hartman [2, Theorem 11.2] proved that (9) has the solutions $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ such that $p(t)=y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k} p^{(k+1)}(t)>0 \quad k=0,1, \ldots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\gamma \leq 1$ and $\gamma=2$ (the case of Bessel functions), $p^{\prime}$ is not completely morotonic on ( $0, \infty$ ) for $\gamma>2$ (i.e. (10) does not hold) and the case $1<\gamma<2$ is not completely settled. Therefore this result of Hartman is partially completed by the following
Corollary 1. Equation (9) has a pair of solutions $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ such that $p(t)=$ $y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
(-1)^{k} p^{(k+1)}(t)>0 \quad \begin{cases}0<t<\infty & \text { for } 0<\gamma \leq 1 \text { or } \gamma=2 \\ \mu_{k}<t<\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a nondecreasing sequence and $\mu_{k}=\mu_{k+1}$ only if $\mu_{k}=0$. In particular,

$$
p^{\prime}>0 \text { and } p^{\prime \prime}<0 \text { for } t>\left[\frac{\beta(9 \gamma-2)}{\gamma+2}\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}
$$

Moreover, for the sequence $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=l(k)}^{\infty}$ of zeros of any solution of (9), the result (8) holds.

In the sequel, we adopt the usual notation for Bessel functions $C_{\nu}(t)=A J_{\nu}(t)+$ $B Y_{\nu}(t)$ and its positive zeros $c_{\nu k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$.
If we consider the generalized Airy equation (cf. [8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{(2 \nu)^{2}} t^{\frac{1}{\nu}-2} w=0 \quad\left(\frac{1}{\nu}-2>1\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

having a pair of solutions $w(t)=t^{1 / 2} J_{\nu}\left(2 \nu t^{1 /(2 \nu)}\right), t^{1 / 2} Y_{\nu}\left(2 \nu t^{1 /(2 \nu)}\right)$, then the derivative of the carrier $\frac{1}{(2 \nu)^{2}} t^{\frac{1}{\nu}-2}$ of (11) is completely monotonic for $\frac{1}{3} \leq \nu<\frac{1}{2}$.

If we reduce the Bessel equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{t} y^{\prime}+\left(1-\frac{\nu^{2}}{t^{2}}\right) y=0
$$

to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+\frac{\frac{1}{4}-\nu^{2}}{t^{2}}\right) z=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

having a pair of solutions $z(t)=t^{1 / 2} J_{\nu}(t), t^{1 / 2} Y_{\nu}(t)$ then the carrier of (12) is completely monotonic for $|\nu|<\frac{1}{2}$. Thus Theorem can be applied and the conjecture (2) is proved in the case of ultimate monotonicity.

Corollary 2. If $\lambda>-1$ and $|\nu|<\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} \Delta^{n}\left\{\int_{c_{\nu k}}^{c_{\nu k+1}}\left|t^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{\nu}(t)\right|^{\lambda} d t\right\} \geq 0 \quad n=0,1, \ldots ; k=l_{n}, l_{n}+1, \ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, (2) holds for fixed $n \geq 2$ and $k=l_{n}, l_{n}+1, \ldots$, where $\left\{l_{n}\right\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of integer numbers and $l_{n}=l_{n+1}$ only if $l_{n}=0$.
Remark 2. If $\frac{1}{3} \leq \nu<\frac{1}{2},-1<\lambda \leq 2$, then (13) holds for $k=1,2, \ldots$ (see Corollary 4.2.[8]).
3. On differential operators: signs and asymptotics.

We start with additivity of $\mathcal{O}$-symbols.
Lemma 1. Let $f=f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{1}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\alpha}\right), f_{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\beta}\right), \alpha<\beta$. Then there exists $T$ such that $\operatorname{sgnf}(t)=\operatorname{sgn} f_{1}(t)$ for $t \geq T$ and $f=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\alpha}\right)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof : It holds

$$
\operatorname{sgn} f=\operatorname{sgn}\left[t^{-\beta}\left(f_{1} t^{\alpha} t^{\beta-\alpha}+f_{2} t^{\beta}\right)\right]=\operatorname{sgn}\left(f_{1} t^{\alpha} t^{\beta-\alpha}+f_{2} t^{\beta}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} f_{1},
$$

since $\beta>\alpha>0$ and thus $f_{1} t^{\alpha} t^{\beta-\alpha} \rightarrow \infty$ and $f_{2} t^{\beta}<\infty$. Further, $f=O\left(t^{-\alpha}\right)$ and for $0<\xi \leq \beta-\alpha \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}|f| t^{\alpha+\xi} \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left|f_{1}\right| t^{\alpha+\xi}-\left|f_{2}\right| t^{\alpha+\xi}\right)=\infty$.

In what follows, we describe the sign and asymptotics of quasiderivatives of two functions. Note that this does not hold for $\epsilon=\delta=0$, corresponding to a slow convergence of $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)$ in (1).

The notation $(f D)^{k}(g)$ means that the differential operator $f(t) \frac{d}{d t}$ is applied $k$ times.

Lemma 2. Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Let the functions $f, g$ be such that $f(t)>0$, $f^{(k)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\epsilon)}\right), g^{(k)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\delta)}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon>0, \delta>0, k=1, \ldots, n$. Then $(f D)^{k}(g)=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\delta)}\right)$ and there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ such that $T_{k}=T_{k+1}$ only if $T_{k}=0$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(f D)^{k}(g)=s g n g^{(k)}$ on $\left(T_{k}, \infty\right)$.

Proof : Note that $f^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(1+\epsilon)}\right)$ implies $f$ bounded and the conclusion for $n=1$ is obvious.

Let $n \geq 2$. By [5, pp.57-58] it holds for $k=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f D)^{k}(g)=f^{k} g^{(k)}+\sum \Phi(k, t) g^{(\beta)} f^{\gamma} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(k, t)$ is a homogeneous form in $f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k-1)}$ whose typical term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{const}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots\left(f^{(k-1)}\right)^{\alpha_{k-1}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1 \leq \alpha_{1}, \beta, \gamma \leq k-1, \sum_{1}^{k-1} i \alpha_{i}+\beta=k$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq k-i$ for $i=2, \ldots, k-i+1$.
Let us investigate asymptotic properties of functions in the right-hand side of (14). Since $\left(f^{(i)}\right)^{\alpha_{i}}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\alpha_{i}(i+\epsilon)}\right)$ and $\sum_{1}^{k-1} \alpha_{i} \geq 1$, we have $(f D)^{k}(g)=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\delta)}\right)+$ $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\mu}\right)$, where $\mu=\sum_{1}^{k-1} i \alpha_{i}+\epsilon \sum_{1}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}+\beta+\delta=k+\epsilon \sum_{i}^{k-1} \alpha_{i}+\delta \geq k+\epsilon+\delta>k+\delta$.

Hence, applying Lemma 1 in (14), we get the existence of a sequence $\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{sgn}(f D)^{k}(g)=\operatorname{sgn} g^{(k)}$ on $\left(T_{k}, \infty\right)$.

Note that if the function $g$ is $n$-times monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ and $g \neq$ const, then $g^{(k)}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in(0, \infty), k=1, \ldots, n-1$ (see e.g. [9, Lemma 0.3$]$ ).
Let

$$
T_{k}=\min \left\{T: \operatorname{sgn}(f D)^{k}(g(t))=\operatorname{sgn} g^{(k)}(t) \text { for } t>T\right\}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n .
$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $T_{k+1}>T_{k}$ or $T_{k}=T_{k+1}>0$. Without loss of generality suppose $g^{(k+1)}(t)<0$ and $g^{(k)}(t)>0$ for $t \in(0, \infty), k=1, \ldots, n-2$. Putting $F_{k}=(f D)^{k}(g), k=1, \ldots, n-1$, we have $F_{k+1}=f D F_{k}$. If $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$ it holds

$$
D F_{k}<0 \text { for } t>T_{k+1}, \quad F_{k}>0 \text { for } t>T_{k} .
$$

Thus with respect to the continuity of $F_{k}$ and definition of $T_{k}$ we get $F_{k}\left(T_{k}\right)=0$ and $F_{k}(t)$ is decreasing for $t>T_{k} \geq T_{k+1}$, i.e., $F_{k}(t)<0$ for $t>T_{k}$, which is a contradiction with the definition of $T_{k}$.

Similarly, if $k=n-1$, then $F_{n-1}\left(T_{n-1}\right)=0, F_{n-1}(t)<0$ for $t>T_{n-1}$, $D F_{n-1}(t) \geq 0$ for $t>T_{n} \geq T_{n-1}$, which is the same contradiction as above.

The last auxiliary result concerns the composition of monotonic functions, in particular, if $f$ is an $n$-times monotonic function, then $f^{\lambda}$ is ultimately monotonic. It should be compared with [3, Theorems 5 and 8 ].

Lemma 3. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $\lambda \neq 0$ a real number. Let the function $f(t)$ satisfy $0<f(t)<\infty$ and $f^{(k)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\epsilon)}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon>0, k=1, \ldots, n$. Then $\left(f^{\lambda}\right)^{(k)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\epsilon)}\right), k=1, \ldots, n$ and there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\left\{T_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ such that $T_{k}=T_{k+1}$ only if $T_{k}=0$ and $\operatorname{sgn}\left[f^{\lambda}(t)\right]^{(k)}=\operatorname{sgn\lambda sgnf}{ }^{(k)}(t)$ for $T_{k}<t<\infty$.
Proof : The statement obviously holds for $n=1$. Let $n \geq 2$. We will prove by induction that for $k=1, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\lambda}\right)^{(k)}=\lambda f^{\lambda-1} f^{(k)}+\sum \Phi(k, t) f^{\gamma} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\gamma=\lambda-2, \ldots, \lambda-k$ and $\Phi(k, t)$ is of the form (15) with $1 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq k$, $\sum_{1}^{k-1} i \alpha_{i}=k, 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq k-i$ for $i=2, \ldots, k-i+1$.

Suppose the validity of (16) for $k$. Then $\left(f^{\lambda}\right)^{(k+1)}=\left[\left(f^{\lambda}\right)^{(k)}\right]^{\prime}=\lambda f^{\lambda-1} f^{(k+1)}+$ $\lambda(\lambda-1) f^{\lambda-2} f^{\prime} f^{(k)}+\sum \tilde{\Phi}(k+1, t) f^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma=\lambda-2, \ldots, \lambda-k-1$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(k+1, t)$ is a homogeneous form in $f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}$ whose typical term is const $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{\beta_{1}} \ldots\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{\beta_{k}}$, where $1 \leq \beta_{1} \leq k, \sum_{1}^{k} i \beta_{i}=k+1,0 \leq \beta_{i} \leq k-i+1$ for $i=2, \ldots, k-i+1$. Thus (16) holds for $k+1$.

Now according to (16) it holds $\left(f^{\lambda}\right)^{(k)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(k+\epsilon)}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\rho}\right)$ where $\rho=k+$ $\epsilon \sum_{1}^{k-1} \alpha_{i} \geq k+2 \epsilon$. (The equation $\sum_{1}^{k-1} i \alpha_{i}=k$ shows that at least two of the $\alpha$ 's must be $\geq 1$.) Hence we can apply Lemma 1 to the right-hand side of (16). The rest of the proof is analogous to that one of Lemma 2.

## 4. Proof of the Theorem.

The idea of the proof is based on Lemma 2 jointly with the following results
Theorem A. [1, Theorem 22.1n] Let $n \geq 0$. Let $q(t)$ be non-increasing, $q(\infty)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k}\left(q^{-1} D\right)^{k}\left(-2 q^{\prime} q^{-3}\right) \geq 0 \quad k=0, \ldots, n+1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1) has a pair of solutions $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ such that $p(t)=y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k}\left(q^{-1} D\right)^{k}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \geq 0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem B. [8, Theorem 2.1 where $W(t)=1]$. Let $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ be the independent solv』.ons of (1) on (a,b) and $p(t)=y_{1}^{2}(t)+y_{2}^{2}(t)$. Suppose that for $k=0,1, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p D)^{k}\left(p^{1+\frac{1}{2} \lambda-\alpha}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a constant sign $\epsilon_{k}(= \pm 1)$ on $(a, b)$, where $\lambda>-1, \alpha<1+\lambda / 2$. Then we have $s g n \Delta^{k} M_{i}=\epsilon_{k}(k=0,1, \ldots, n, i=1,2, \ldots)$.
Proof of the Theorem in Section 2: consists of the following steps:

$$
(4),(5) \xrightarrow{(a)}(18) \xrightarrow{(b)}(6),(7) \xrightarrow{(c)}(19) \rightarrow(8)
$$

Step (a). Let the function $q$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem. Then by Lemma 3 the functions $f=q^{-1}, g=\left(q^{-2}\right)^{\prime}=-2 q^{\prime} q^{-3}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2, from where $\operatorname{sgn}\left(q^{-1} D\right)^{k}\left(-2 q^{\prime} q^{-3}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(-2 q^{\prime} q^{-3}\right)^{(k)}=\operatorname{sgn}\left[\left(q^{-2}\right)^{k+1}\right]=$ $=\operatorname{sgn}\left(-q^{(k+1)}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} q^{(k)}=(-1)^{k}$ on $\left(T_{k}, \infty\right), T_{k} \leq T_{k+1}$ and $T_{k}=T_{k+1}$ only if $T_{k}=0$.

Hence, by applying Theorem A on $\left(T_{k}, \infty\right)$ we get the validity of (18) on $\left(T_{k}, \infty\right)$. Step (b). We use the result proved in (a) and show that (6) holds. Consider the functions $v=\int_{a}^{t} q d s=f(t)$ and $\tilde{p}(v)=p^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(v)\right)$, for which $f^{\prime}(t)=q(t)$ and $\tilde{p}(v)$ is $n$-times monotonic function of $t$ and $v$, respectively. By the rule for the composition of monotonic functions [6, pp. 1241-1242] it holds that $\tilde{p}(f(t))=p^{\prime}(t)$ is the $n$-time monotonic function of $t$.

We show next that for $k=1, \ldots, n-3$

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left|p^{(k)}\right| t^{k+\epsilon}<\infty  \tag{20}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left|p^{(k)}\right| t^{k+\epsilon+\xi}=\infty \quad \text { for every } \xi>0 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

To this end, recall that the function $p$ satisfies the Appell equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime \prime \prime}+4 q p^{\prime}+2 q^{\prime} p=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p^{\prime \prime \prime}>0$ for sufficiently large $t$, we get $-q^{\prime} p \geq 2 q p^{\prime}$ and in view of (5) $(k=1)$ and the fact that $p, q \rightarrow$ const, we have the validity of (20) for $k=1$. Supposing the validity of (20) for $k$ let us prove (20) for $k+1$. By differentiating (22) $k$-times, $k \leq n-2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{(k+3)}= & -4 \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i} q^{(i)} p^{(k+1-i)}-2 \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j} q^{(j+1)} p^{(k-j)}= \\
= & -4 q p^{(k+1)}-4 \sum_{i=1}^{k}\binom{k}{i} q^{(i)} p^{(k+1-i)}-2 q^{(k+1)} p- \\
& -2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j} q^{(j+1)} p^{(k-j)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the induction assumption and from (5) that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} q^{(i)} p^{(k+1-i)}=O\left(t^{-(k+1+2 \epsilon)}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} q^{(j+1)} p^{(k-j)},
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(k+3)}=-4 q p^{(k+1)}-2 q^{(k+1)} p+O\left(t^{-(k+1+2 \epsilon)}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q^{(k+1)} p=O\left(t^{-(k+1+\epsilon)}\right)$, sgnp $p^{(k+3)}=\operatorname{sgn} p^{(k+1)}$ for sufficiently large $t,(20)$ is
valid for $k+1$.
Finally, if $\xi \leq \min \{2, \epsilon\}$, then multiplying (23) by $t^{k+1+\epsilon+\xi}$, we get

$$
4 \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q\left|p^{(k+1)}\right| t^{k+1+\epsilon+\xi}=2 \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p\left|q^{(k+1)}\right| t^{k+1+\epsilon+\xi}=\infty
$$

because

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left|p^{(k+3)}\right| t^{k+1+\epsilon+\xi} \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left|p^{(k+3)}\right| t^{k+3+\epsilon}<\infty
$$

The proof is complete.
Step (c). In applying Lemmas 2 and 3 to obtain the last conclusion, we set $f=p$ $(p(t)>0), g=p^{a}, a>0$ real number. Then

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(p D)^{k}\left(p^{a}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(p^{a}\right)^{(k)}=\operatorname{sgn} p^{(k)}=(-1)^{k+1} \text { on } \mu_{k}<t<\infty,
$$

and the sequence $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ is nondecreasing such that $\mu_{k}=\mu_{k+1}$ only if $\mu_{k}=0$. Let $l_{k}=l(k)$ be the smallest integer such that $l_{k}$-th zero $t_{l(k)} \geq \mu_{k}$. Applying Theorem B on $\left(\mu_{k}, \infty\right)$ we get $\operatorname{sgn} \Delta^{k} M_{i}=(-1)^{k+1}$ for $k=1, \ldots, n-3, i=l_{k}, l_{k}+1, \ldots$ and $\operatorname{sgn} M_{i}=\operatorname{sgn} p^{\prime}=1$ for $i=0,1, \ldots$. The monotonicity property of $\left\{l_{k}\right\}_{0}^{n-3}$ follows from that one of $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}$.

If $\lambda=\alpha=0$ then $M_{i}=\Delta t_{i}$. The proof is complete.
Proof of Corollary 1: Let $q(t)=1+\beta / t^{\gamma}, \beta>0, \gamma>1, \gamma \neq 2$ (otherwise see Theorem 11.2 of [2]). By a routine computation we get from Theorem $\mathrm{A}, i=1,2$, $3 q^{\prime 2} \leq q q^{\prime \prime}$ for $t^{\gamma}>\beta(2 \gamma-1) /(\gamma+1)$ and $10 q^{\prime \prime} q^{\prime} q-15 q^{\prime 3}-q^{\prime \prime \prime} q^{2} \geq 0$ which is satisfied if $10 q^{\prime \prime} q^{\prime}-q^{\prime \prime \prime} q>0$. This inequality holds for $t^{\gamma}>\beta(9 \gamma-2) /(\gamma+2)$, hence the first one holds for the same $t$. Since $\frac{2 \gamma-1}{\gamma+1}<\frac{9 \gamma-2}{\gamma+2}$, we have the conclusion.

## 5. Concluding remarks

(i) We comment here our attempts to finish the proof of (2) on the whole interval $(0, \infty)$.

The first one ${ }^{*}$ consists in investigating $\Delta^{n} c_{\nu k}$ as a function of order $\nu$ for each fixed $k, n=1,2, \ldots$, as was done for $|\nu|>\frac{1}{2}$ in [4]. As it has been emphasized in [4, p. 95], some "balancing" in differential expression for $[f(g(t))]^{(n)}$ - similar to (14) - may still leave that expression of an appropriate sign without every term individually being of that sign. A similar idea was used de facto in Lemmas 2 and 3 for sufficiently large $t$ and leads to the ultimate monotonicity in the general case. This is the reason why we were not successful to resolve the whole interval $(0, \infty)$ in the case of Bessel functions even if knowing here explicitly the function $p(t)$ and the fact that $p^{\prime}$ is completely monotonic on ( $0, \infty$ ).

The second approach to the resolving (2) is based on the fact that every completely monotonic function and sequence can be expressed in the form of LaplaceStieltjes integral (see e.g. $[2,3,11]$ ). Taking into account the properties of $\left\{\mu_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n}$

[^1]in Theorem and the result of [2]**) this may turn out to be useful in proving (19) on the whole $(0, \infty)$.
(ii) We call attention to some further application of the method and results used in Section 3. In [5], in addition to the conjecture (2), conjectures concerning positive zeros of Legendre polynomials $P_{n}(\cos \theta)$, Hermite and Laguerre polynomials are given by making numerical checks. In the same manner, by Theorem B and Lemma 2, similar results may be established for these conjectures - that all differences of the zeros are non-negative.
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[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ ) The symbol $\Delta^{n} t_{k}$ means, as usual, the $n$-th (forward) differences of the sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$, i.e. $\Delta^{0} t_{k}=t_{k}, \Delta t_{k}=t_{k+1}-t_{k}, \Delta^{n} t_{k}=\Delta\left(\Delta^{n-1} t_{k}\right)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{*)}$ proposed to the author by Professor L. Lorch under personal communication.

[^2]:    ${ }^{* *)}$ Here the problem if $q$ completely monotonic implies $p^{\prime}$ completely monotonic leads to the question of the nonnegativity of solution of a certain Volterra integral equation for small $\boldsymbol{t}>\boldsymbol{0}$.

