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# Contact problems with given time-dependent friction force in linear viscoelasticity 

Jirí Jarušek


#### Abstract

The existence, unicity, continuous dependence of the solution on the given friction force, and the boundedness independent of the friction force is proved.
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The dynamic case of the contact problem with friction considered in the framework of the Coulomb law has been remaining unsolved in spite of its importance in applications (cf. [5],[6],[7]). The present paper solves the question of the existence of solutions of the problem with a given friction which is time dependent. This problem, which is an auxiliary problem to the previously mentioned one, cf. [3], was solved only for the time-independent friction-force (cf. [2]). To solve the time-dependent case, the viscoelasticity according to the suggestion of J.Nečas is included, which allows us to execute the necessary energy-type estimation. We do not need, however, the 2nd energy inequality which can hardly be derived.

## 1. CLASSICAL FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let $\Omega \subset R^{N}, N \geq 2$ be a domain with a Lipschitz boundary $\Gamma, \Gamma=\Gamma_{u} \cup \Gamma_{c}, \Gamma_{u} \cap$ $\Gamma_{c}=\emptyset, \overline{\text { Int } \Gamma_{\alpha}}=\overline{\Gamma_{\alpha}}, \alpha=u, c$, mes $\Gamma_{c}<+\infty$. Put $Q=(0, T) \times \Omega, S_{u}=(0, T) \times \Gamma_{u}$, $S_{c}=(0, T) \times \Gamma_{c}$. For a velocity $u: Q \rightarrow R^{N}$ and the corresponding displacement $U$, $U(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} u(\tau, x) d \tau$, we define the small strain tensor $e_{i j}(w)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)$, $i, j=1, \ldots, N, w=u, U$, and the stress tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i j}(u)=a_{i j k l}^{0} e_{k l}(U)+a_{i j k l}^{1} e_{k l}(u), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symetry $a_{i j k l}^{x}=a_{j i k l}^{x}=a_{k k i j}^{x}, i, j, k, l=1, \ldots, N, x=0,1$, holds on $Q$ and the usual ellipticity and continuity conditions for $a_{\kappa}, A_{\kappa}, B \in(0,+\infty), x=0,1$, independent of $(t, x) \in Q$ and $\xi \in R^{N^{2}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{x} \leq \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}} a_{i j k l}^{\kappa} \xi_{i j} \xi_{k l} \leq A_{\star}, \quad \frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}} \frac{\partial a_{i j k l}^{0}}{\partial t} \xi_{i j} \xi_{k l}<B  \tag{2}\\
& (t, x) \in Q, \quad \xi \in R^{N^{2}} \backslash\{0\}, \quad x=0,1
\end{align*}
$$

are fulfilled. The classical formulation of the problem is the following: For given $f: Q \rightarrow R^{N}, u^{0}: \Omega \rightarrow R^{N}, v^{0}: S_{u} \rightarrow R^{N}$ and $G: S_{\mathrm{c}} \rightarrow R^{1}$ look for $u: Q \rightarrow R^{N}$ such that all the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{u}_{i}=\frac{\partial \tau_{i j}(u)}{\partial x_{j}}+f_{i} \text { on } Q, \quad i=1, \ldots, N,  \tag{3}\\
& u \equiv v^{0} \text { on } S_{u}, \\
& u(0, .)=u^{0} \text { on } \Omega, \\
& u_{n} \leq 0, \quad T_{n}(u) \leq 0, \quad T_{n}(u) u_{n}=0 \text { on } S_{c}, \\
& \left|T_{t}(u)\right| \leq-G, \quad\left(\left|T_{t}(u)\right|+G\right)\left|u_{t}\right|=0, \\
& \left|T_{t}(u)\right|=-G \neq 0 \Rightarrow \underset{\lambda \equiv \lambda(x, t) \leq 0}{\exists} u_{t}=\lambda T_{t}(u) \text { on } S_{c} .
\end{align*}
$$

As usual, $T(u)=\left(\tau_{i j}(u) n_{j}\right)$ in (3), where $n$ is the unit outer normal vector, the terms with the subscripts $t$ and $n$ are the corresponding tangential and normal components, respectively. By the dot we denote the time derivate.

We remark that in the contact problem with friction in the sense of the Coulomb law, the coefficient of the friction $\mathcal{F}$ is given instead of the friction force $G$ and we look for a solution of (3) such that $G=\mathcal{F} T_{n}(u)$.
2. VARIATIONAL FORMULATION EXISTENCE AND UNICITY OF A SOLUTION

Put $\mathcal{H}=L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right), \mathcal{H}_{0}=\left\{v \in \mathcal{H} ; v / S_{u}=0\right\}, \mathcal{H}_{1}=H^{1}\left(Q ; R^{N}\right)$. Denote by $(.,),.\langle.,\rangle,.[.,$.$] the L_{2}\left(Q ; R^{N}\right)-, L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{c} ; R^{1}\right)-$ and $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)-$ scalar products, respectively. The corresponding duality pairings based on those scalar products will be denoted in the same way. Let for $v^{0} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S_{u} ; R^{N}\right)$ and $u^{0} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{n}^{0} / S_{c} \leq 0$ the following condition hold:
(C) There is $\tilde{v}^{0} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$ such that $\tilde{v}^{0} / S_{u}=v^{0}, \tilde{v}^{0}(0,)=.u^{0}$ and $\tilde{v}^{0} / S_{c}=0$.

Let us denote

$$
B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right):=\left\{v:\langle 0, T\rangle \rightarrow L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right) ; \sup _{t \in\{0, T)}\|v(t, .)\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)}<+\infty\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{x}(u, v)=\int_{Q} a_{i j k l}^{x} e_{i j}(u) e_{k l}(v) d x d t \quad \text { for } u, v \in \mathcal{H}, x=0,1,  \tag{4}\\
\mathcal{K}:=\left\{v \in \tilde{v}^{0}+\mathcal{H}_{0} ; v_{n} / S_{c} \leq 0\right\}, \quad C^{+}:=\left\{g \in L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{c}\right) ; g \geq 0\right\} .\right. \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The variational formulation of the problem $(G)$ for $u^{0} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right), v^{0}$ defined above, $-G \in C^{+}$and $f \in \mathcal{H}^{*}$ is the following:
Look for $u \in \mathcal{K} \cap B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)$ weakly continuous from $\langle 0, T\rangle$ into $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}$ and for every $v \in \mathcal{H}_{1} \cap \mathcal{K}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\dot{u}, v-u)+a^{1}(u, v-u)+a^{0}(U, v-u)+\langle-G,| v_{t}\left|-\left|u_{t}\right|\right\rangle \geq(f, v-u) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $U(t, x)=\int_{0}^{t} u(\tau, x) d \tau$ and the term $(\dot{u}, u)$ represents $\frac{1}{2}\left([u(., T), u(., T)]-\left[u^{0}, u^{0}\right]\right)$.

The existence of a solution of the problem ( $G$ ) will be performed via its regularization. We define

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
|x| ; & |x| \geq \varepsilon  \tag{7}\\
-\frac{|x|^{4}}{8 \varepsilon^{3}}+\frac{3|x|^{2}}{4 \varepsilon}+\frac{3}{8} \varepsilon ; & |x| \leq \varepsilon
\end{array} \text { for } \quad \varepsilon>0, \quad \varphi_{0}(x)=|x|\right.
$$

Clearly, for every $\varepsilon \geq 0 \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is convex and for each $x \in R^{N} \quad 0 \leq \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)-\varphi_{0}(x) \leq \frac{3}{8} \varepsilon$ and for every $\varepsilon>0 \quad \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in C_{2}\left(R^{N}\right)$. We state the problem $(G)_{\varepsilon}$ look for $u \in \mathcal{H} \cap B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)$ weakly continuous from $\langle 0, T\rangle$ into $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ such that $u(0,)=.u^{0}$ on $\Omega, u / S_{u}=v^{0}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}$ and for every $v \in \mathcal{H}_{1} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}$ the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\dot{u}, v)+a^{1}(u, v)+a^{0}(U, v)+\left\langle-G \varphi_{e}^{\prime}\left(u_{t}\right), v_{t}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\langle u_{n}^{+}, v_{n}\right\rangle=(f, v) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
The problem $(G)_{\varepsilon}$ will be solved by means of the usual Galerkin approximation for $-G \in C^{+} \cap L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right)$. Due to the corresponding existence and unicity theorem concerning the ordinary differential equation theory, it is easy to see that the appropriate approximate finite-dimensional problems $(G)_{\varepsilon, m}$ have a unique solution $u_{\varepsilon, m}$ for every $\varepsilon>0$ and $m$ positive integer, where $u_{\varepsilon, m} \in \tilde{v}^{0}+X_{m}, \quad X_{m}$ is the corresponding approximate space (cf. [1]). Putting $v=u_{\varepsilon, m}-\tilde{v}^{0}$ into (8), using the usual Korn-inequality and Gronwall-lemma arguments, we can derive the energy-type a priori estimation

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|u_{\varepsilon, m}\right\|_{B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)}+\left\|u_{\varepsilon, m}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\left(u_{\varepsilon, m}\right)_{n}^{+}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(S_{e}\right)}^{2}<  \tag{9}\\
&<c \equiv c\left(u^{0}, v^{0}, f, a^{1}, A_{1}, a_{0}, A_{0}, \mathcal{B}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is independent of $\varepsilon$ and $m$. As $-G \in C^{+}$and $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is convex, $\varepsilon>0$, we can derive the following inequality from (8)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\dot{u}_{\varepsilon, m}, v-u_{\varepsilon, m}\right)+a^{1}\left(u_{\varepsilon, m}, v-u_{\varepsilon, m}\right)+a^{0}\left(U_{\varepsilon, m}, v-u_{\varepsilon, m}\right)+\left\langle-G, \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{t}\right)-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(u_{\varepsilon, m}\right)_{t}\right)\right\rangle+  \tag{10}\\
+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\langle\left(u_{\varepsilon, m}\right)_{n}^{+}, v_{n}-\left(u_{\varepsilon, m}\right)_{n}\right\rangle \geq\left(f, v-u_{\varepsilon, m}\right), \quad v \in \tilde{v}^{0}+X_{m} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us suppose that $X_{m}$ are chosen in such a way that $X_{1} \subset X_{2} \subset \ldots, \overline{\bigcup_{m \in N} X_{m}}=$ $\left\{v \in H^{1}\left(Q ; R^{N}\right) ; v / \Gamma_{u}=0\right\}$, and $\overline{\bigcup_{m \in N} \stackrel{\circ}{X}_{m}}=\stackrel{\circ}{H^{1}}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$, where $\stackrel{\circ}{X}_{m}=X_{m} \cap$ $\stackrel{\circ}{H^{1}}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ (time-constant). The closures are taken in the corresponding spaces.

By means of (2) and the Hölder inequality we obtain from (8) for every $v(t,.) \equiv$ $u_{\varepsilon, m}(t,)+w,. \quad w \in \stackrel{\circ}{X}_{m_{0}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon, m}\left(t_{1}, x\right)-u_{\varepsilon, m}\left(t_{2}, x\right)\right) w(x) d x\right| \leq\left(\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\|f(t, .)\|_{H^{-1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)}^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\right.  \tag{11}\\
& +k)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|w\|_{H^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)} \forall t_{1}, t_{2} \in\langle 0, T\rangle, m \geq m_{0}, \varepsilon>0
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ is independent of $\varepsilon$ and $m \geq m_{0}$ due to (9).
For fixed $\varepsilon>0$ let us take a suitable sequence $m_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $u_{\varepsilon, m_{k}} \longrightarrow u_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and $u_{\varepsilon, m_{k}}(t,)-.\psi_{t}$ in $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ for every $t \in \mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is a countable dense subset of $\langle 0, T\rangle$. The last convergence is strong in $H^{-1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$. For $t_{i} \in \mathcal{T}, \quad i=$ $1,2,(11)$ holds for $\psi_{t_{i}}$ instead of $u_{\varepsilon, m}\left(t_{i},.\right), i=1,2$, and for every $w \in \stackrel{o}{H^{1}}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$. We define $\psi_{t}$ for every $t \in\langle 0, T\rangle$ such that the mapping $t \mapsto \psi_{t}$ is continuous from $\langle 0, T\rangle$ into $H^{-1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$. Let $t \in\langle 0, T\rangle \backslash \mathcal{T}$ and $m_{k_{r}}$ be such a subsequence that $u_{m_{k r}}(t,.) \rightharpoonup \omega$ in $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$. Then $u_{m_{k r}}(t,.) \rightarrow \omega$ in $H^{-1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$, but from (11) $\omega=\psi_{t}$ in the sense of $H^{-1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ and as $\stackrel{o}{H}^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ is dense in $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$, $\omega=\psi_{t}$ in $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$. As it holds for every convergent subsequence of $\left\{u_{m_{k}}(t,).\right\}$ for every $t \in\langle 0, T\rangle$, the whole $\left\{u_{m_{k}}(t,).\right\}$ must tend to $\psi_{t}$ for every $t \in\langle 0, T\rangle$. Therefore $\psi_{t}=u_{\varepsilon}(t,$.$) on \langle 0, T\rangle$ and $\frac{\partial u_{c}, m_{k}}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{*}$. As $-G \in C^{+}$and $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ is convex, $\varliminf_{m_{k} \rightarrow+\infty}\left(-G, \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(u_{\varepsilon, m_{k}}\right)_{t}\right)\right\rangle \geq\left\langle-G, \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(u_{e}\right)_{t}\right)\right\rangle$. Thus for such $u_{\varepsilon}$ the inequality (10) holds for every $v \in \mathcal{H}_{1} \cap \mathcal{H}_{0}+\tilde{v}^{0}$.

Clearly, the set $\left\{u_{\varepsilon} ; \varepsilon>0\right\}$ remains bounded in $B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right) \cap$ $\cap L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)$ and equicontinuous in $C_{0}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)$. Therefore the mapping $t \mapsto u_{\varepsilon}(t,$.$) is L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$-weakly continuous on $\langle 0, T\rangle$ for every $\varepsilon>0$. The term ( $\dot{u}_{e}, v-u_{\varepsilon}$ ) has the following meaning: $\left[u_{\varepsilon}(T,),. v(T,).\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[u_{\varepsilon}(T,),. u_{\varepsilon}(T,).\right]-$ $\left[u^{0}, v(0,).\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{0}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)}-\left(u_{\epsilon}, \dot{v}\right)$ for $v \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$.

Now for a suitable sequence $\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$, we can find $u_{\varepsilon_{k}} \rightharpoonup u$ both in $\mathcal{H}$ and in $B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)$ in the previous meaning, i.e. $u_{\varepsilon_{k}}(t,.) \rightarrow u(t,$.$) for every$ $t \in\langle 0, T\rangle$. Clearly, the mapping $t \mapsto u(t,$.$) remains weakly continuous from \langle 0, T\rangle$ into $L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$. Considering

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left\{-G, \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\varphi_{0}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\rangle \leq \frac{3}{8} \varepsilon\|G\|_{L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right)}, \text { mes } S_{c}, \quad \varepsilon>0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can easily see that $\varliminf_{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left(-G, \varphi_{e_{k}}\left(\left(u_{\varepsilon_{k}}\right)_{t}\right)\right\rangle \geq\left\langle-G, \varphi_{0}\left(u_{t}\right)\right\rangle$ due to the convexity of $\varphi_{0}$ and the non-negativity of $-G$. Performing the limit procedure in (10), we can easily see that for such $u$ the inequality (6) holds for every $v \in \mathcal{H}_{1} \cap \mathcal{K}$. As $u \in \mathcal{K}$ (because $\left\|u_{e, m}^{+}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(S_{e}\right)}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ ), $u$ is a solution of (G). The existence theorem for $G \in C^{+} \cap L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right)$ is proved.

Let $G_{1}, G_{2} \in L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right) \cap C^{+}$, and let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be some respective solutions of (G) for $G=G_{1}$ and $G=G_{2}$. We can suppose that $v^{0}, f, G_{1}, G_{2}$ are defined on the interval $\langle 0, T+\eta\rangle, \eta>0$, and the solutions are calculated on the same interval. For $t \in(-\infty, 0)$ we define $u_{i}(t, x)=u^{0}(x), i=1,2$. Let $\tilde{u}_{i, \theta}$ be the appropriate time mollifier of $u_{i}, i=1,2$, (cf. [4]; $\vartheta$ denotes the radius of the support of the kernel).

As $\tilde{u}_{i, \vartheta}, \vartheta \in(0, \eta)$, belong to $\mathcal{H}_{1} \cap \mathcal{K}$, we can put $v=\tilde{u}_{2, \vartheta}$ into the variational inequality (6) with $G=G_{1}$ and $v=\tilde{u}_{1, \otimes}$ into its version for $G=G_{2}$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\dot{u}_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2, \vartheta}-u_{1}\right)+\left(\dot{u}_{2}, \tilde{u}_{1, \vartheta}-u_{2}\right)+a^{1}\left(u_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2, \vartheta}-u_{1}\right)+a^{1}\left(u_{2}, \tilde{u}_{1, \vartheta}-u_{2}\right)+  \tag{13}\\
& +a^{0}\left(U_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2, \vartheta}-u_{1}\right)+a^{0}\left(U_{2}, \tilde{u}_{1, \vartheta}-u_{2}\right)+\left\langle-G_{1},\right|\left(\tilde{u}_{2, \vartheta}\right)_{t}\left|-\left|u_{1 t}\right|\right\rangle+ \\
& \quad+\left\langle-G_{2},\right|\left(\tilde{u}_{1, \vartheta}\right)_{t}\left|-\left|u_{2 t}\right|\right\rangle \geq\left(f, u_{1}-u_{2}+\tilde{u}_{2, \vartheta}-\tilde{u}_{1, \vartheta}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration in time can be considered on $\left\langle 0, t_{0}\right\rangle$ for arbitrary $t_{0} \in\langle 0, T\rangle$. As $\left(\dot{u}_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2, \theta}\right)+\left(\dot{u}_{2}, \tilde{u}_{1, \vartheta}\right)=\left(\dot{u}_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2, \theta}\right)+\left(\dot{\tilde{u}}_{2, \vartheta}, u_{1}\right)=\left[u_{1}\left(t_{0},.\right), \tilde{u}_{2, \vartheta}\left(t_{0},.\right)\right]-$ [ $\left.u^{0}, \tilde{u}_{2, \phi}(0,).\right]$ (the properties of mollifiers) and due to the appropriate weak continuity of the mapping $t \mapsto u_{2}(t,$.$) , it holds$

$$
\left[u_{1}(t, .), \tilde{u}_{2, v}(t, .)\right] \rightarrow\left[u_{1}(t, .), u_{2}(t, .)\right] \quad \forall t \in\langle 0, T\rangle .
$$

Since for $\vartheta \rightarrow 0 \quad\left|\left(\tilde{u}_{i \vartheta}\right)_{t}\right| \rightarrow\left|u_{i t}\right|, \quad i=1,2$, e.g. in $L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right)$, the limit procedure in (9) yields the following inequality for $-G_{1},-G_{2} \in L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right) \cap C^{+}$:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sup _{t \in\langle 0, T\rangle} \frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{1}(t, .)-u_{2}(t, .)\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)}^{2}+a^{1}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}, u_{2}-u_{1}\right)+a^{0}\left(U_{2}-U_{1}, u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \leq  \tag{14}\\
\leq\left\langle G_{2}-G_{1},\right| u_{1 t}\left|-\left|u_{2 t}\right|\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Due to the boundedness of $\frac{\partial a^{0}}{\partial t}$ (cf.(2)) and the Gronwall lemma, we obtain from (14) immediately that

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{0}\left(a_{1}, A_{0}, \mathcal{B}\right)\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)}^{2} \leq  \tag{15}\\
& \quad \leq c_{1}\left\|G_{1}-G_{2}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{c}\right)\right)}\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right), \quad c_{0}, c_{1}>0
\end{align*}
$$

using the trace theorem and the Korn inequality. Of course $c_{0}, c_{1}$ does not depend on $u_{i}, G_{i}, i=1,2$. (15) yields the uniqueness of the solution of (G) for $-G \in$ $L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right) \cap C^{+}$as well as the existence of a solution of (G) for each $-G \in C^{+}$. In fact, $C^{+} \cap L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right)$ is dense in $C^{+}$in the norm of $L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{c}\right)\right)$. Putting $v=\tilde{v}^{0}$ into ( G ) we can easily prove the global boundedness of solutions of (G) (independent of $-G \subset C^{+} \cap L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right)$ ) both in $\mathcal{H}$ and in $B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right.$ ). From it and (15) the existence of a solution of (G) for a general $-G \in C^{+}$is clear due to the completeness of the appropriate spaces. Moreover (15) holds for $-G_{1},-G_{2} \in C^{+}$, thus the uniqueness and the continous dependence is proved on the whole $C^{+}$. The arguments to prove the global boundedness of solutions of (G) on $C^{+}$are also the same as on $C^{+} \cap L_{2}\left(S_{c}\right)$. Thus we have proved the folloving theorem:
Theorem. Let $u^{0} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{n}^{0} / S_{c} \leq 0$, let $v^{0} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(S_{\mathrm{u}} ; R^{N}\right)$ such that the condition ( $C$ ) is fulfilled, $f \in \mathcal{H}^{*}$ and $-G \in C^{+}$. Then there exists a unique solution $u$ of the problem $(G)$. The operator $-G \mapsto u$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-Hölder continuous on $C^{+}$
as an operator from $L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{c}\right)\right)$ into $\mathcal{H} \cap B\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)$ and its range is bounded in both spaces.

## Remark:

1. In fact, we have proved that for the solution $u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ belongs to the dual space to the space $\left\{v \in \mathcal{H} \cap C_{0}\left(0, T ; L_{2}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right) ; \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in \mathcal{H}^{*}\right\}$, as all the terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
[u(t, .), v(t, .)], t \in\langle 0, T\rangle,\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, u\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

are reasonable for such $v$. Naturally, it belongs also to $L_{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)$. But the open problem is, whether $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L_{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)\right)^{*}\right)$.
2. The condition (C) can be replaced by the assumption $\mathcal{K}_{0} \neq \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{K}_{0}:=$ $\left\{v \in \mathcal{H} ; v / S_{u}=v^{0}, v(0,)=.u^{0}, v_{n} / S_{c} \leq 0\right\}$. Then we take a fixed $\tilde{v}^{0} \in \mathcal{K}_{0}$ for the proof. The assertion of the theorem, however, is proved only on $C_{\eta}^{+}:=\left\{g \in C\right.$; $\left.\operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{supp} g, S_{u}\right) \geq \eta\right\}$ for $\eta>0$ arbitrary.
3. Let us consider the Duvaut modification of the Coulomb law of friction, where $G=\mathcal{F} T_{n \theta}(u)$ with $T_{n \theta}(u)$ being the mollifier (taken both in time and in the space variables) of $T_{n}(u) / S_{c}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ (the coefficient of friction) such that $\mathcal{F} \in L_{\infty}\left(S_{c}\right) \cap H^{e}\left(S_{c}\right)$ for some fixed $\varepsilon>0, \mathcal{F} \geq 0$ on $S_{c}$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}, S_{u}\right)>0$. The existence of a solution of such a contact problem with friction is an easy consequence of our theorem and the Schauder fixed point theorem. In fact, the uniform boundedness of $u$ in $\mathcal{H}$ independent of $G \in-C^{+}$yields the same boundedness of $T_{n}(u)$ in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(S_{c}\right)$, thus the wellknown properties of mollifiers give us the global boundedness of $T_{n \vartheta}(u)$ in $H^{e}\left(S_{c}\right), \varepsilon>0$, and $T_{n \vartheta}(u) \in-C^{+}$for every $G \in-C^{+}$.
4. If $\Gamma=\Gamma_{c} \cup \Gamma_{u} \cup \Gamma_{T}$, where on $S_{T}=(0, T) \times \Gamma_{T}$ the stress is prescribed and mes $\Gamma_{u}>0$, the proof of our theorem requires only an easy modification.
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