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# ON SOME PROPERTIES OF GENOMORPHISMS OF C-ALGEBRAS 

JANA MERVARTOVÁ, Brno

(Received February 16, 1981)


#### Abstract

The genomorphism concept is a generalization of the homomorphism concept. In this article some properties of genomorphisms of connected mono-unary algebras are studied.


## 1. BASIC CONCEPTS

### 1.1. Notation.

(1) If $A$ is a set, we denote by $|A|$ the cardinal number of $A$.
(2) Let $A, B$ be nonempty sets and $\varphi$ a mapping of $A$ into $B$. Then we write $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ and, further, we denote by $\mathrm{id}_{A}$ the identity map of $A$ onto $A$.
(3) Ord denotes the class of all ordinal numbers. If $\alpha \in$ Ord then we put $W_{\alpha}=$ $=\{\beta \in \operatorname{Ord} ; \beta<\alpha\}$. Finally, we denote by $N$ the set of all finite ordinal numbers.
(4) Let $\infty_{,} \infty_{1}, \infty_{2} \notin$ Ord. If $M$ is an arbitrary set of ordinal numbers, then we denote by $\leqq$ the order relation on $M \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ such that its restriction $\leqq \cap(M \times M)$ to $M$ is the natural order relation of ordinal numbers and that for each $\alpha \in M$ is $\alpha<\infty_{1}<\infty_{2}$.
(5) Let $p, q \in N, p \neq 0$, then $p / q$ denotes that $p$ is a divisor of $q$.
(6) Let $A, B$ be nonempty sets, $\varphi$ a partial map from $A$ into $B$. Let $\emptyset \neq C \subseteq A$, $\emptyset \neq D \subseteq B$. Then we put:
(a) $\operatorname{dom} \varphi=\{x \in A ; \exists y \in B: \varphi(x)=y\}$,
(b) $\varphi(C)=\{\varphi(x) \in B ; x \in C \cap \operatorname{dom} \varphi\}$,
(c) $\varphi^{-1}(D)=\{x \in \operatorname{dom} \varphi ; \varphi(x) \in D\}$.

Further, we denote by $\left.\varphi\right|_{C}$ the restriction of $\varphi$ to $C$ (i.e. the mapping of $C \cap \operatorname{dom} \varphi$ into $B$ ).
(7) Let $A$ be a nonempty set, $\mathscr{A}$ is the family of $n$-ary partial operations $\alpha_{n}$
defined, for $n \in N$, on a nonempty subset of $A^{n}$. Then we denote by the ordered pair $(A ; \mathscr{A})$ a partial universal algebra.

If $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq A$, then $[M ; \mathscr{A}]$ denotes the subalgebra of $(A ; \mathscr{A})$ generated by $M$ (in usual sense). If $M=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ for some $k \in N-\{0\}$, we write $[M ; \mathscr{A}]$ as $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} ; \mathscr{A}\right]$.
1.2. Definition. Let $A=(A ; \mathscr{A}), B=(B ; \mathscr{O})$ be partial universal algebras. A mapping $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be generative if for each $\alpha_{i} \in \mathscr{A}$ of arity $r_{i}>0$ and each $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r_{i}}\right) \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha_{i}$ it holds that $\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r_{i}}\right)\right) \in\left[\varphi\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(a_{r_{i}}\right) ; \mathscr{B}\right]$. $\varphi$ is said to be congruential if for each $\alpha_{i} \in \mathscr{A}$ of arity $r_{i}>0$ and each ( $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r i}$ ), $\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{p_{i}}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha_{i}$ with the property $\varphi\left(a_{j}\right)=\varphi\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ for each $1 \leqq j \leqq r_{i}$ it follows

$$
\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r_{i}}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{r_{i}}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$\varphi$ is said to be a genomorphism, if it is both generative and congruential.
1.3. Lemma. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=(A ; \mathscr{A}), \boldsymbol{B}=(B ; \mathscr{B})$ be partial universal algebras, $\varphi: A \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow B$ be generative, $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq A$. Then $\varphi([S ; \mathscr{A}])=[\varphi(S) ; \mathscr{B}]$.

Proof see [1], paragraph 2, lemma 2.

## 2. UNARY ALGEBRAS

2.1. Definition. Let $A$ be a nonempty set, $f$ a partial map from $A$ into $A$. Then the ordered pair $(A ; f)=\boldsymbol{A}$ is called a mono-unary algebra.
2.2. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a mono-unary algebra. We put $f^{0}=\mathrm{id}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$. Suppose that we have defined a partial map $f^{n-1}$ from $A$ into $A$ for $n \in N-\{0\}$. We denote by $f^{n}$ the following partial map from $A$ into $A$ : if $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n-1}$ and $f^{n-1}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ then we put $f^{n}(x)=f\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)$.
2.3. Lemma. Let $(A ; f)$ be a mono-unary algebra. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) If $n \in N-\{0\}, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$, then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ for each $m \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $m \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$.
(b) Let $n \in N, x \in A$ be arbitrary. Then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$, if and only if $f^{p}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{q-p}$ for each $p, q \in N, 0 \leqq p \leqq q \leqq n$.
(c) If $m, n \in N, x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, f^{m}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$, then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+n}, f^{m+n}(x)=$ $=f^{n}\left(f^{m}(x)\right), x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}, f^{n}(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ and $f^{m}\left(f^{n}(x)\right)=f^{n}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)$.

Proof see [2], 1.6.
2.4. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a mono-unary algebra and let $x \in A$ be arbitrary. Then we define $[x]_{(A, f)}=\left\{f^{n}(x) ; x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}, n \in N\right\}$.
2.5. Remark. From 1.1. (7), 2.1. and 2.4. it follows immediately that $[x ; f]=$ $=\left([x]_{(A, f)} ;\left.f\right|_{[x]_{(\Lambda, f)}}\right)$ for each $x \in A$.
2.6. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a mono-unary algebra. For arbitrary $x, y \in, A$ we put $(x, y) \in \varrho(A, f)$, if and only if there are $m, n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ $y \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}(y)$. If $\varrho(A, f)=A \times A$, then $(A ; f)$ is called a connected unary algebra and we denote it briefly a c-algebra.
2.7. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra and $x \in A$ be arbitrary. Then we define $Z(x)=\left\{y \in A\right.$; there is an infinite set $N(y) \subseteq N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}(x)=y$ for each $n \in N(y)\}$. Now we put $Z(A, f)=Z(x)$, where $x \in A$ is an arbitrary element, $R(A, f)=|Z(A, f)|$.

Remark. The definition 2.7. is correct - see [2], 2.5. and 2.6.
2.8. Lemma. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra and $Z(A, f) \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in A$ is arbitrary, then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ for each $n \in N$ and $Z(A, f) \subseteq[x]_{(A, f)}$.

Proof. By 2.7. $R(A, f) \neq 0$. Suppose first that $x \in Z(A, f)$. Then $x=f^{k \cdot R(A, f)}(x)$ for each $k \in N$ by [2], 2.12.(a). From 2.3.(a) it follows that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ for each $n \in N$. Now, let $A-Z(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in A-Z(A, f)$ be arbitrary. If $x_{0} \in Z(A, f)$ is arbitrary, then there are $m, n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{m}(x)=$ $=f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ by 2.6., and we obtain $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ for each $n \in N$ by 2.3.(a). We put $n_{0}=$ $=\min \left\{n \in N ; x_{0} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}\right.$ and $\left.f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{m}(x)\right\}$. Clearly $n_{0} \leqq R(A, f)$ and $f^{n_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \in$ $\in Z(A, f)$ by [2], 2.10. and 2.11.(a), which implies $x_{0}=f^{R(A, f)}\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $=f^{R(A, f)+n_{0}-n_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{R(A, f)-n_{0}}\left(f^{n_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=f^{R(A, f)-n_{0}}\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=f^{R(A, f)-n_{0}+m}(x)$ by the above, 2.3.(b), (c) and [2], 2.11.(a). Thus, $x_{0} \in[x]_{(A, f)}$ by 2.4 .
2.9. Lemma. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra, $A-Z(A, f) \neq \emptyset, x \in A-Z(A, f)$ arbitrary. If $x^{\prime} \in[x]_{(A, f)}$ and $x \in\left[x^{\prime}\right]_{(A, f)}$ for some $x^{\prime} \in A$, then $x=x^{\prime}$.

Proof. By 2.4. there are $k, l \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k}, x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{l}, x^{\prime}=f^{k}(x)$ and $x=f^{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $x=f^{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=f^{l}\left(f^{k}(x)\right)=f^{l+k}(x)$ by 2.3.(b), (c). If $l+k>0$, then $x \in Z(A, f)$ by [2], 2.8.(a) which is a contradiction. Therefore $l+k=0$ and $x=x^{\prime}$ by 2.2.
2.10. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra. We put $A^{\infty}=\{x \in A$; there is a sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ such that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}=x$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=$ $=x_{i}$ for each $\left.i \in N\right\}, A^{0}=\left\{x \in A ; f^{-1}(x)=\emptyset\right\}$. Let $\alpha \in$ Ord, $\alpha>0$ and suppose that the sets $A^{x}$ have been defined for all $x \in W_{\alpha}$. Then we put $A^{a}=$ $=\left\{x \in A-\bigcup_{x \in W_{\infty}} A^{x} ; f^{-1}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in W_{0}} A^{x}\right\}$.
2.11. Lemma. Let $(A ; f)$ be a $c$-algebra, $A^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in A^{\infty}$ be arbitrary. Then
(a) $[x]_{(A, f)} \subseteq A^{\infty}$,
(b) If $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ is such a sequence that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, x_{0}=x$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N$, then $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq A^{\infty}$.

Proof.
(a) Since $\left(A^{\infty} ;\left.f\right|_{A^{\bullet}}\right)$ is a subalgebra of $(A ; f)$ by [2], 2.15.(a), $f^{n}(x) \in A$ for each $n \in N$ with the property $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ by 2.5., thus, by $2.4 .,[x]_{(A, j)} \subseteq A^{\infty}$.
(b) Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}$ be an arbitrary sequence having required properties (its existence follows from 2.10.).

Now, $x_{0}=x \in A^{\infty}$ by the assumption. Let $n \in N-\{0\}$ be arbitrary. We put $\bar{x}_{0}=x_{n}, \bar{x}_{j}=x_{n+j}$ for each $j \in N$. By the assumption, $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i+n} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $f\left(\bar{x}_{i+1}\right)=f\left(x_{i+1+n}\right)=x_{n+i}=\bar{x}_{i}$ for each $i \in N$ which implies $x_{n} \in A^{\infty}$ by 2.10
2.12. Remark. By [2], 2.15.(b), $Z(A, f) \subseteq A^{\infty}$ for $A^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$.
2.13. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra. Then we put $A^{\infty 1}=A^{\infty}-Z(A, f)$, $A^{\infty 2}=Z(A, f)$.

Notation. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra. Then we put $\vartheta(A, f)=\min \{\vartheta \in$ Ord; $\left.A^{2}=\emptyset\right\}$. Note that the number $\vartheta(A, f)$ have been defined correctly - see [2], 2.18. and 2.19.
2.14. Theorem. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra, then $A=\bigcup_{x \in W_{\partial(A ; f)} \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}} A^{x}$ with disjoint terms.

Proof see [2], 2.22.
2.15. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra. We define a map $S(A, f): A \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow$ Ord $\cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ by the condition $S(A, f)(x)=x$ for each $x \in A^{x}, x \in W_{s(A, f)} \cup$ $\cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\} . S(A, f)(x)$ is called the degree of $x$.

Notation. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra, $x \in A-A^{0}$ arbitrary. If $\alpha \in$ Ord $\cup$ $\cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ and $S(A, f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\alpha$ (or $\leqq$ or $>$ or $\geqq$ ) for each $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$, then we write $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$ (or $\leqq$ or $>$ or $\geqq$ respectively).
2.16. Lemma. Let $(A ; f)$ be a $c$-algebra, $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ord}, x \in A-A^{\infty}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $S(A, f)(x)=\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha \leqq S(A, f)(x)$ and $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$.
(b) If $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$, then $S(A, f)(x) \leqq \alpha$.

Proof see [2], 2.25.(a), (c).
2.17. Lemma. Let $(A ; f)$ be a c-algebra, $x_{1} \in A-A^{\infty}$ and let $x_{2} \in\left[x_{1}\right]_{(1, f)}-\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ be arbitrary. Then $S(A, f)\left(x_{1}\right)<S(A, f)\left(x_{2}\right)$.

Proof. By 2.4. there exists $n \in N$, by 2.2. and by the assumption $n \neq 0$, with the property $x_{1} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{n}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}$. Since $S(A, f)\left(x_{1}\right) \in W_{a(A, f)} \subseteq$ Ord by 2.13. and 2.14., we have $S(A, f)\left(x_{2}\right)=S(A, f)\left(f^{n}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=S(A, f)\left(x_{1}\right)+n>$ $>S(A, f)\left(x_{1}\right)$ by [2], 2.26.(a).

## 3. GENOMORPHISMS OF C-ALGEBRAS

3.1. Notation. Let $A=(A ; f), B=(B ; g)$ be mono-unary algebras. Then we denote by $G(A, B)$ the set of all genomorphisms of $\boldsymbol{A}$ into $\boldsymbol{B}$.
3.2. Lemma. Let $A=(A ; f), B=(B ; g)$ be mono-unary algebras. Then $\varphi \in$ $\in G(A, B)$ if and only if

1. for each $x \in \operatorname{dom} f \varphi(f(x)) \in[\varphi(x) ; g]$ holds,
2. $\varphi(f(x))=\varphi\left(f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for each $x, x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ having the property $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. This assertion follows immediately from 1.2. and 2.1.
3.3. Definition. Let $(A ; f)$ be a mono-unary algebra, $x \in A$ arbitrary. Then we put $C_{k}(x)=\left\{x^{\prime} \in A ; x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k}\right.$ and $\left.f^{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x\right\}$ for each $k \in N$ and $C(x)=$ $=\bigcup_{k \in N} C_{k}(x)$.
3.4. Lemma. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=(A ; f), \boldsymbol{B}=(B ; g)$ be c-algebras, $\varphi \in G(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B})$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{A}$ such that there is $k_{0} \in N-\{0\}$ with the property $\varphi\left(f^{k_{0}}(x)\right)=\varphi(x)$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) $\varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+k_{0}}(x)\right)$ for each $m \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+k_{0}}$,
(b) $\varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+n k o}(x)\right)$ for each $m \in N$ and $n \in N-\{0\}$ such that $x \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+n k o}$,
(c) if $k_{0}=1$, then $\varphi([x ; f])=\varphi(x)$.

Proof.
(a) By the assumption, the assertion holds for $m=0$ by 2.2. Now, let the assertion hold for some $m \in N$ with the property $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+1+k_{0}}$. Then $\varphi\left(f^{m+1}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f\left(f^{m}(x)\right)\right)$ by 2.3.(b), (c), (a), $\varphi\left(f\left(f^{m}(x)\right)\right)=\varphi\left(f\left(f^{m+k_{0}}(x)\right)\right)$ by 3.2. and the induction hypothesis and $\varphi\left(f\left(f^{m+k_{0}}(x)\right)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+1+k_{0}}(x)\right)$ by 2.3.(c).
(b) Let $m \in N$ be arbitrary such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+k_{0}}$. Then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ by 2.3.(a) and $\varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+k_{0}}(x)\right)$ by (a). Let the assertion hold for some $n \in N-\{0\}$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+(n+1) k_{0}}$. Then, by 2.3.(a), $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}$ and $\varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=$ $=\varphi\left(f^{m+n k 0}(x)\right)$ by the induction hypothesis. Further, from 2.3.(a) and (a) it follows $\varphi\left(f^{m+n k_{0}}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{\left(m+n k_{0}\right)+k_{0}}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+(n+1) k_{0}}(x)\right)$.
(c) By 2.5. it is sufficient to prove that $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(f^{k}(x)\right)$ for each $k \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k}$. This holds for $k=1$ by the assumption. Let the assertion hold for some $k \in N-\{0\}$ with the property $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k+1}$. Then $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k+k 0}$ and we obtain $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(f^{k}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{k+k_{0}}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{k+1}(x)\right)$ by (a) and the induction hypothesis.
3.5. Lemma. Let $A=(A ; f), B=(B ; g)$ be c-algebras and $\varphi \in G(A, B)$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) If $x \in A$ is an arbitrary element, then $\varphi(C(x)) \subseteq C(\varphi(x))$.
(b) Let $x_{2} \in\left[x_{1}\right]_{(A, f)}-\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{2}\right) \notin Z(B, g)$. Then $\varphi\left(\left[x_{1} ; f\right]\right)=$ $=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)$.
(c) If $Z(A, f) \neq \emptyset$ and for some $x \in Z(A, f) \varphi(x) \notin Z(B, g)$, then $\varphi(Z(A, f))=$ $=\varphi(x)$.

Proof.
(a) Let $x^{\prime} \in C(x)$ be arbitrary. By 3.3., there exists $k \in N$ such that $x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k}$
and $f^{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x$ which implies $x \in\left[x^{\prime} ; f\right]$ by 2.5. From 3.2. and 1.3. it follows that $\varphi(x) \in\left[\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) ; g\right]$, thus, by 2.5 ., there is $l \in \vec{N}$ having the property $g^{l}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\varphi(x)$. Hence, $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in C(\varphi(x))$ by 3.3.
(b) By the assumption and 2.4. there exists $k \in N-\{0\}$ with the property $x_{1} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k}$ and $f^{k}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}$. It is sufficient to prove that $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ because this implies $\varphi\left(\left[x_{1} ; f\right]\right)=\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)$ by 3.4.(c). Indeed, $x_{2} \in\left[f\left(x_{1}\right) ; f\right]$ by 2.5. because $x_{2} \neq x_{1}$ and $\varphi\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \in\left[\varphi\left(x_{1}\right) ; g\right]$ by 3.2. Further, from 3.2. and 1.3. it follows $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{2}\right) \in\left[\varphi\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right) ; g\right]$, thus, by 2.5. and 2.9., $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$.
(c) If $R(A, f)=1$, then the assertion follows directly from 2.7. Let $R(A, f)>1$ and $x^{\prime} \in Z(A, f)-\{x\}$ be arbitrary. From 2.5., 2.8. and [2], 2.10. it follows that $\left[x^{\prime} ; f\right]=[x ; f]=Z(A, f)$. Hence, $x \in\left[x^{\prime} ; f\right]$ and $x^{\prime} \in[x ; f]$ and $\varphi(x) \in\left[\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) ; g\right]$, $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in[\varphi(x) ; g]$ by 3.2. and 1.3. which implies $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ by 2.5. and 2.9. Therefore, $\varphi(Z(A, f))=\varphi(x)$.
3.6. Lemma. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=(A ; f), \boldsymbol{B}=(B ; g)$ be c-algebras such that $R(B, g) \neq 0$, and let $\varphi \in G(A, B)$. Let $x \in A$ be such that there exists $x^{\prime} \in[x]_{(A, f)}-\{x\}$ with the property $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in Z(B, g)$. Then there is $l \in N-\{0\}$ such that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $=\varphi\left(f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for each $x^{\prime} \in[x]_{(A, f)}$ and such that $l \neq 1$ implies that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right), \ldots$, $\ldots, \varphi\left(f^{l-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are mutually distinct for each $x^{\prime} \in[x]_{(A, f)}$ and $l / R(A, f)$ for $R(A, f) \neq 0$.

Proof. By 2.4. there is $k \in N-\{0\}$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k}$ and $f^{k}(x)=x^{\prime}$. From 2.5., [2], 2.10.; 3.2. and 1.3. it follows that $\varphi([x ; f]) \subseteq Z(B, g)$. Let us consider $l=\min \left\{k \in N-\{0\} ; \varphi\left(f^{k}(x)\right)=\varphi(x)\right\}$ (its existence is evident). We show that $l$ have all required properties:

1. From 3.4.(a) it follows that $\varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+t}(x)\right)$ for each $m \in N$ with the property $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+l}$ which implies that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(f^{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for each $x^{\prime} \in[x]_{(A, f)}$ by 2.4. and 2.3.(b), (c). Further, if $l=1$, then for $R(A, f) \neq 0 l / R(A, f)$ trivially.
2. Let $l>1$.
(a) From the minimality of $l$ it follows that $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi\left(f^{k}(x)\right)$ for each $k \in$ $\in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$.
b) Further, let us admit that there are $i, j \in N, 1 \leqq i<j \leqq l-1$, such that $\varphi\left(f^{i}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{j}(x)\right)$. Hence $\varphi\left(f^{i}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{j}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{j-i}\left(f^{i}(x)\right)\right)$ by 2.3.(b), (c) and $\varphi\left(f^{m+i}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m}\left(f^{i}(x)\right)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+(j-i)}\left(f^{i}(x)\right)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{m+j}(x)\right)$ for each $m \in N$ with the property that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+j}$ by 2.3.(b), (c) and 3.4.(a) which implies, for $m=k$ such that $l=j+k$, that $\varphi\left(f^{k+i}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{k+j}(x)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{l}(x)\right)=\varphi(x)$ where $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{i+k}$ by 2.3.(a). Since $k \in N-\{0\}$ (because $j<l$ ) and $1 \leqq i+k<$ $<j+k=l$ by the assumption, $\varphi\left(f^{k+i}(x)\right)=\varphi(x)$ is a contradiction to (a). Therefore, $\varphi(x), \ldots, \varphi\left(f^{l-1}(x)\right)$ are mutually distinct elements of $Z(B, g)$.
(c) Now, we prove that for each $m \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+l} \varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right), \ldots$, $\ldots, \varphi\left(f^{m+l-1}(x)\right)$ are mutually distinct: by (b), this assertion holds for $m=0$. Let $m \in N$ be such that $\varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(f^{m+l-1}(x)\right)$ are mutually distinct and
$x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m+l}$. Then $\left\{\varphi\left(f^{m+1}(x)\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(f^{(m+1)+l-2}(x)\right), \varphi\left(f^{(m+1)+l-1}(x)\right)\right\}=$ $=\left\{\varphi\left(f^{m+1}(x), \ldots, \varphi\left(f^{m+l-1}(x)\right), \varphi\left(f^{m+l}(x)\right)\right\}=\left\{\varphi\left(f^{m+1}(x)\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(f^{m+l-1}(x)\right)\right.\right.$, $\left.\varphi\left(f^{m}(x)\right)\right\}$ with the mutually distinct elements by 1., 2.3.(c) and the induction hypothesis. However, from 2.3.(b), (c) and 2.4. it follows that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(f^{l-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are mutually distinct for each $x^{\prime} \in[x]_{(A, f)}$.
(d) Let $R(A, f) \neq 0$ and $x^{\prime} \in Z(A, f)$ be arbitrary. Clearly $l \leqq R(A, f)$. (See (c) and [2], 2.11.(a)). If $l=R(A, f)$, then $l \mid R(A, f)$ trivially. Let $l<R(A, f)$ and suppose on the contrary that $l \backslash R(A, f)$. Then there are $i, j \in N-\{0\}, j<l$ such that $R(A, f)=i l+j$. Now, from 1., 2.3.(b), (c), 3.4.(b) and [2], 2.11.(a) it follows that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(f^{R(A, f)}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{i l+j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{i l}\left(f^{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)=\varphi\left(f^{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ which is a contradiction to (c) by 2.8. Thus, $l / R(A, f)$.
3.7. Lemma. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=(A, f), \boldsymbol{B}=(B ; g)$ be c-algebras, $A^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi \in G(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B})$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) If there is a sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq A^{\infty}$ such that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}$, $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N$ and if $\left|\varphi\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N}\right)\right|>1$, then $B^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$ and $\left(\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq$ $\subseteq B^{\infty}$.
(b) If there exists $x \in A^{\infty}$ with the property $\varphi(x) \notin B^{\infty}$, then $\varphi\left(A^{\infty}\right)=\varphi(x)$.
(c) If $\left|\varphi\left(A^{\infty}\right)\right|>1$, then $\varphi\left(A^{\infty}\right) \subseteq B^{\infty}$.

Proof.
(a) By 2.5., $x_{i} \in\left\lfloor x_{i+1} ; f\right]$ for each $i \in N$ which implies $\varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \in\left[\varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right) ; g\right]$ for each $i \in N$ by 3.2. and 1.3., thus for each $i \in N$ there is $l_{i} \in N$ such that $\varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right) \in$ $\in \operatorname{dom} g^{l_{i}}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)=g^{l^{i}}\left(\varphi\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right)$. By the assumption, there are $i_{1}, i_{2} \in N, i_{1} \neq i_{2}$ such that $\varphi\left(x_{i_{1}}\right) \neq \varphi\left(x_{i_{2}}\right)$. Let, for example, $i_{1}<i_{2}$. Then $x_{i_{1}} \in\left[x_{i_{2}}\right]_{(A, f)}-\left\{x_{i_{2}}\right\}$ by 2.3.(b) and 2.4. and there exists $i \in N, i_{1} \leqq i \leqq i_{2}-1$ such that $l_{i} \neq 0$. We put $n_{0}=\min \left\{i \in N ; l_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Then, for $n_{0} \neq 0, l_{k}=0$ for each $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, n_{0}-1\right\}$ by the above, i.e. $\varphi\left(x_{k}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{n_{0}}\right)$ for each $k \in\left\{0, \ldots, n_{0}\right\}$, and $l_{k} \neq 0$ for each $k \geqq n_{0}$ : suppose on the contrary that there is $j \in N, j \geqq n_{0}$, with the property $l_{j}=0$. Since $l_{n_{0}} \neq 0$, then $j>n_{0}$. By the above and 2.2. $\varphi\left(f\left(x_{j+1}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(x_{j}\right)=$ $=g^{l}\left(\varphi\left(x_{j+1}\right)\right)=g^{0}\left(\varphi\left(x_{j+1}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(x_{j+1}\right)$ which implies $\varphi\left(\left[x_{j+1} ; f\right]\right)=\varphi\left(x_{j+1}\right)$ by 3.4.(c), thus $l_{k}=0$ for each $k \in N, k \leqq j$, by 2.2. and 2.5. Hence $l_{n_{0}}=0$ which is a contradiction. Now, we may put $y=y_{0}, m_{0}=0, m_{i}=m_{i-1}+l_{n_{0}+i-1}$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}$ and $\varphi\left(x_{n_{0}+i}\right)=y_{m_{\mathrm{t}}}$ for each $i \in N, y_{m_{i}-k}=g^{k}\left(y_{m_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)$ for each $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, l_{n_{0}+i-1}\right\}$ and each $i \in N-\{0\}$ in virtue of 2.3.(a), (b). From 2.3.(a), (b) and the above it follows that $y_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} g$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}$. Further, if $j \in N$ is arbitrary, then there is $i \in N$ such that $j=m_{i}-k$ for some $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, l_{n_{0}+i-1}\right\}$ and we obtain $y_{j}=y_{m_{i}-k}=g^{k}\left(y_{m_{i}}\right)=g\left(g^{k-1}\left(y_{m_{i}}\right)\right)=g\left(y_{m_{i}-k+1}\right)=g\left(y_{j+1}\right)$ by 2.3.(a), (c). Thus, $y_{i}=g\left(y_{i+1}\right)$ for each $i \in N$. From the above it follows that $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{n_{0}}\right)=y_{0}=y \in B^{\infty}$, hence $B^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$. Finally, $\left(\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq B^{\infty}$ by 2.11 .(b).
(b) Let $x \in A^{\infty}$ with the property $\varphi(x) \notin B^{\infty}$ be arbitrary but fixed and let us
consider an arbitrary element $x^{\prime} \in A^{\infty}-\{x\}$. By 2.6. there are $m, n \in N$ such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} f^{m}, x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{n}$ and $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. We put $f^{m}(x)=f^{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\bar{x}$. By 2.4., $\bar{x} \in[x]_{(A, f)}, \bar{x} \in\left[x^{\prime}\right]_{(A, f)}$ and by 2.11.(a) $\bar{x} \in A^{\infty}$. Further, there is a sequence $\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq A^{\infty}$ such that $\bar{x}=\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}, f\left(\bar{x}_{i+1}\right)=\bar{x}_{i}$ for each $i \in N$ and $x \in\left\{\bar{x}_{i} ; i \in N\right\}$. To prove it we take an arbitrary sequence $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq A^{\infty}$ such that $x=x_{0}, x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom} f$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N$ (its existence follows from 2.10. and 2.11.(b)) and in virtue of 2.11(a) and 2.4. we put $\bar{x}_{i}=f^{m-i}(x)$ for each $i \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$ and $\bar{x}_{m+i}=x_{i}$ for each $i \in N-\{0\}$. Now, if there is $i_{0} \in N-\{0\}$ such that $\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right) \neq \varphi\left(\bar{x}_{i_{0}}\right)$, then $\left(\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in N} \subseteq B^{\infty}$ by (a), thus $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right) \in B^{\infty}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\varphi\left(\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)_{i \in N}\right)=\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right)$ which implies. $\varphi(\bar{x})=\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{m}\right)=\varphi(x) \notin B^{\infty}$. Similarly we can prove that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $=\varphi(\bar{x})$ because, by the above, $\varphi(\bar{x}) \notin B^{\infty}$. Thus, $\varphi(x)=\varphi(\bar{x})=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Since $x^{\prime}$ has been selected arbitrary, we have $\varphi\left(A^{\infty}\right)=\varphi(x)$.
(c) Suppose on the contrary that there is $x \in A^{\infty}$ such that $\varphi(x) \notin B^{\infty}$. Then $\varphi\left(A^{\infty}\right)=\varphi(x)$ by (b), thus $\left|\varphi\left(A^{\infty}\right)\right|=1$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $\varphi\left(A^{\infty}\right) \subseteq B^{\infty}$.
3.8. Lemma. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=(A, f), B=(B, g)$ be c-algebras, $\varphi \in G(A, B)$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) If $x_{2} \in\left[x_{1}\right]_{(A, f)}, S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \neq \infty$, then $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)$.
(b) If $x_{2} \in\left[x_{1} ; f\right]$, then $S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \leqq S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$.
(c) Let $x \in A$ be such that $S(A, f)(x) \in \operatorname{Ord}-\{0\}$ and $S(A, f)(x)>S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$. Then there exists $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$ having the property $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(x)$.
Proof.
(a) From 2.4., 3.2. and 1.3. it follows that $\varphi\left(x_{2}\right) \in\left[\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right]_{(B, g)}$. If $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right) \neq$ $\neq \varphi\left(x_{2}\right)$, then $S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \neq S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$ by the assumption, 2.14, 2.15. and 2.17. which is a contradiction. Thus $\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{2}\right)$.
(b) By 2.5. there is $k \in N$ such that $x_{1} \in \operatorname{dom} f^{k}$ and $f^{k}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}$. If $k=0$. then the assertion holds trivially. Let $k \in N-\{0\}$. Then $\varphi\left(x_{2}\right) \in\left[\varphi\left(x_{1}\right) ; g\right]$ by 3.2, and 1.3. If $S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \in\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ then the assertion follows from 2.5., 2.13., 2.11.(a), 2.12. and [2], 2.10.

If $S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \in W_{9(A, f)}$, then the assertion follows from 2.5., 2.14., 2.15. and [2], 2.26.(a).
(c) Let $S(A, f)(x)=1$. Then $S(B, g)(\varphi(x))=0$ and, by 2.10, 2.15. and 3.5.(a), $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(x)$ for each $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$. Let $S(A, f)(x) \in \operatorname{Ord}-\{0,1\}$ and $S(A, f)(x)>$ $>S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$. We denote by $\alpha$ the ordinal number $S(A, f)(x)$. Suppose that the assertion holds for each $x^{\prime} \in A$ with the property $S(A, f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\alpha$. By 2.16.(a), $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<\alpha$. Assume first that there is $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$ with the property $S(A, f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)>S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Now, the induction hypothesis implies that there exists $x_{0} \in f^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ with the property $\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, thus $\varphi\left(\left[x_{0} ; f\right]\right)=\varphi\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ by 3.4.(c). Hence $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(f^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ by 2.5. and 2.3.(c). Let
$S(A, f)\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqq S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for each $x^{\prime} \in \dot{f}^{-1}(x)$. By (b) and 2.5. we obtain $S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leqq S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$ for each $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$. If there exists $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$ such that $S(B, g)(\varphi(x))=S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$, then $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ by the assumption, (a) and 2.14. Finally we prove that $S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)<S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$ for each $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$ cannot occur: in this case, $S(A, f)(x)>S(B, g)(\varphi(x))>S(B, g)\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \geqq$ $\geqq S(A, f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for each $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$, thus $S(A, f)\left(f^{-1}(x)\right)<S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$ and from 2.16.(b) it follows that $S(A, f)(x) \leqq S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$ which is a contradiction to the assumption that $S(A, f)(x)>S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$.
3.9. Lemma. Let $\boldsymbol{A}=(A ; f), \boldsymbol{B}=(B ; g)$ be c-algebras, $\varphi \in G(A, B)$ and $x \in A$ be such that $S(A, f)(x)>S(B, g)(\varphi(x))$. Then $\varphi([x ; f])=\varphi(x)$.

Proof. By 1.1.(4), 2.10., 2.13. and 2.15. the following cases can occur:
(1) $S(B, g)(\varphi(x))=\infty_{1}$. Then $S(A, f)(x)=\infty_{2}$ and the assertion follows from 2.5., 3.5.(c) and [2], 2.10.
(2) $S(B, g)(\varphi(x)) \in$ Ord.
(a) If $S(A, f)(x) \in\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$, then the assertion follows from 2.5., 2.8., 2.10., 2.11.(a), 2.12., 2.13., 2.14., 3.7.(b) and from [2], 2.10., 2.15.(a).
(b) If $S(A, f)(x) \in \operatorname{Ord}$, then $S(A, f)(x) \neq 0$ by the assumption, from 3.8.(c) it follows that there is $x^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(x)$ with the property $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(x)$ and the assertion follows from 3.4.(c).

## Acknowledgement

The author is indebted to Doc. RNDr. V. Novák, CSc., for his kind assistance at the preparation of this publication.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Blum, E. K., Estes, D. R.: A generalization of the homomorphism concept, Algebra Universalis 7
(1977), 143-161, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.
[2] Kopě̌ek, O.: Homomorphisms of partial unary algebras, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 26 (101), 1976, 108-127, Praha.
[3] Kopeček, O., Novotný, M.: On some invariants of unary algebras, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 24 (99), 1974, 219-246, Praha.
[4] Ježek, J.: Universalni algebra a teorie modelû, Praha, Matematický seminá SNTL, 1976.
J. Mervartová

61400 Brno, Lozibky 61
Czechoslovakia

