

Tulsi Dass Narang

On f -best approximation in topological spaces

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 21 (1985), No. 4, 229--233

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107238>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON f -BEST APPROXIMATION IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

T. D. NARANG*, Amritsar
(Received December 2, 1983)

Abstract. If K is a non-empty closed subset of a Hausdorff topological space X and f a continuous real-valued function on $X \times X$ then an element $k_0 \in K$ is said to be an f -best approximation to x in K if $f(x, k_0) = \inf \{f(x, k) : k \in K\}$. The set-valued map which takes each $x \in X$ to its set of its f -best approximants is called the f -best approximation map. In this paper we discuss the existence of f -best approximation, uniqueness of f -best approximation and the continuity of the f -best approximation map in Hausdorff topological spaces.

Key words. f -best approximation, f -projection, f -proximal, f -Chebyshev, f -boundedly compact, γ -compact and f -convex set.

By using the existence of elements of f -best approximation in Hausdorff topological spaces, certain results on fixed points were proved by Pai and Veermani in [6]. Here we shall also discuss the existence of f -best approximation, uniqueness of f -best approximation and the continuity of the f -best approximation map in Hausdorff topological spaces. We start with a few definitions.

Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and f a continuous real-valued function on $X \times X$. Let K be a non-empty closed subset of X .

An element $k_0 \in K$ is said to be f -nearest to x in K or f -best approximation to x in K [6] if $f(x, k_0) = f(x, K) \equiv \inf \{f(x, k) : k \in K\}$.

The set-valued mapping $P_f : x \rightarrow P_f(x) \equiv \{k_0 \in K : f(x, k_0) = f(x, K)\}$ is called the f -best approximation map or f -projection [6] supported on K .

The set K is said to be f -proximal (respectively f -Chebyshev) [6] if $P_f(x) \neq \emptyset$ (respectively $P_f(x)$ is a singleton set) for each x in X .

The set K is said to be inf -compact at a point $x \in X$ [6] if each minimizing net $\{k_\alpha\}$ in K (i.e. $f(x, k_\alpha) \rightarrow f(x, K)$) has a convergent subnet converging in K .

K is said to be inf -compact [6] if it is inf -compact at each point $x \in X$.

In case X is a metric space and $f = d$, the metric on X , the notion of inf -compactness of K coincides with the well known notion of approximative compactness (see [7]) of K . In this case, f -nearest elements to x in K are usually called elements of best approximation to x in K .

* The author is thankful to the U.G.C., India for financial support.

The mapping f is said to be *inf-compact at a point* $x \in X$ if the sub-level sets

$$S_r = \{y \in X : f(x, y) \leq r\}$$

are compact for each $r \in \mathbf{R}$. f is said to be *inf-compact* if it is inf-compact for each $x \in X$.

The set K is said to be *f-boundedly compact* if for each $x \in X$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}$, $K \cap S_r$ is compact.

The set K is said to be γ -compact if for each $x \in X$, there exists $\gamma > f(x, K)$ such that $K \cap S_\gamma$ is compact.

Let X and Y be two topological spaces, then a mapping $g : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ (the collection of all subsets of Y) is called *upper-Kuratowski semi-continuous* if the relations

$$\liminf_{\alpha} x_\alpha = x, \quad y_\alpha \in g(x_\alpha), \quad \liminf_{\alpha} y_\alpha = y$$

imply $y \in g(x)$.

g is called *upper-semi-continuous (lower-semi-continuous)* if the set

$$g^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X : g(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$$

is closed (open) for each closed (open) set A in Y .

Throughout the following, we assume that X is a Hausdorff topological space, f is a continuous real-valued function on $X \times X$ and K is a non-empty closed subset of X .

Proposition 1. Consider the following statements:

- (i) f is inf-compact,
- (ii) K is f -boundedly compact,
- (iii) K is γ -compact,
- (iv) K is inf-compact,
- (v) K is f -proximal.

We have (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since f is inf-compact, $S_r = \{y \in X : f(x, y) \leq r\}$ is compact for each $x \in X$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}$. This implies that $K \cap S_r$ is compact for each $x \in X$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}$ as K is closed.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $x \in X$. Choose any $\gamma > f(x, K)$. Consider the set $K \cap S_\gamma$. This is compact, so K is γ -compact.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $x \in X$ and $\{k_\alpha\}$ be a minimizing net in K i.e. $f(x, k_\alpha) \rightarrow f(x, K)$. Since K is γ -compact, there exists $\gamma > f(x, K)$ such that $K \cap S_\gamma$ is compact. Since $\gamma > f(x, K) = \liminf_{\alpha} f(x, k_\alpha)$, $\{k_\alpha\}$ is eventually in $K \cap S_\gamma$. Compactness of $K \cap S_\gamma$ implies that the new net, obtained by deleting those k_α 's which do not lie in $K \cap S_\gamma$, will have a convergent subnet in K . Hence K is inf-compact.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v). Let $x \in X$. By the definition of $f(x, K)$, we can extract a net $\{k_\alpha\}$ in K such that $\liminf_{\alpha} f(x, k_\alpha) = f(x, K)$. Now K being inf-compact at x , $\{k_\alpha\}$ has

a convergent subnet $\{k_\beta\}$ converging to $k_0 \in K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, K) &= \lim_{\beta} f(x, k_\beta) \\ &= \underline{\lim} f(x, k_\beta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq f(x, k_0), \text{ as } f \text{ being continuous, is lower-semi-continuous} \\ \geq f(x, K).$$

Hence $f(x, k_0) = f(x, K)$ and so $k_0 \in P_f(x)$.

It is well known (see e.g. [7]) that for a proximal set in a metric space, the metric projection is upper-Kuratowski-semicontinuous and for approximatively compact sets it is upper-semicontinuous. For f -proximal sets we have the following two propositions:

Proposition 2. *If a subset K of X is f -proximal then P_f is upper-Kuratowski-semicontinuous.*

Proof. Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in X such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0$, $y_\alpha \in P_f(x_\alpha)$, and $y_\alpha \rightarrow y_0$. Since K is closed, $y_0 \in K$. We claim that $y_0 \in P_f(x_0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} y_\alpha \in P_f(x_\alpha) &\Rightarrow f(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = \inf_{z \in K} f(x_\alpha, z) \Rightarrow \lim_{\alpha} f(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} \inf_{z \in K} f(x_\alpha, z) \\ &\Rightarrow f(x_0, y_0) \Rightarrow \inf_{z \in K} f(x_0, z), \text{ as } f \text{ is continuous} \Rightarrow y_0 \in P_f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. *If K is inf-compact then P_f is upper-semicontinuous.*

Proof. Let A be a closed subset of X . We want to show that the set $F = \{x \in X : P_f(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed. Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in F such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x_0$. Then $P_f(x_\alpha) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each α . Let $y_\alpha \in P_f(x_\alpha) \cap A$. Then we have $f(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha, K)$. This implies that $\lim_{\alpha} f(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha} f(x_\alpha, K)$ i.e. $\lim_{\alpha} f(x_0, y_\alpha) = f(x_0, K)$ as f is continuous and $x \rightarrow f(x, K)$ is continuous i.e. $\{y_\alpha\}$ is a minimizing net for x_0 in K . Since K is inf-compact, $\{y_\alpha\}$ has a convergent subnet $\{y_\beta\}$ converging to $k_0 \in K$. Continuity of f gives $f(x_0, y_0) = f(x_0, K)$ i.e. $y_0 \in P_f(x_0) \cap A$ whence $x_0 \in F$ and F is closed.

Now we shall discuss conditions under which f -best approximation is unique.

A subset A of X is said to be f -convex if $x, y \in A$ imply $z \in A$ where $z \in X$ is such that $f(x, z) + f(z, y) = f(x, y)$ i.e.

$$[x, y] = \{z \in X : f(x, z) + f(z, y) = f(x, y)\}$$

is a subset of A for all $x, y \in A$.

f is said to be a *convex function* if

$$f(x_0, x) \leq r, \quad f(x_0, y) \leq r \quad \text{imply} \quad f(x_0, z) \leq r$$

for all $z \in [x, y]$, where x_0 is arbitrary but fixed point of X .

f is said to be a *strictly convex function* if

$$f(x_0, x) = r = f(x_0, y), \quad x \neq y \quad \text{imply} \quad f(x_0, z) < r.$$

We have the following theorem on uniqueness of f -best approximation:

Theorem 1. *Let K be f -convex subset of X and f a strictly convex function on $X \times X$. Then $P_f(x)$ is at most singleton for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let if possible, $k_1, k_2 \in P_f(x)$ i.e. $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $f(x, k_1) = f(x, k_2) = f(x, K) \equiv r$. Since K is f -convex, $[k_1, k_2] \subset K$. Since f is strictly convex, $f(x, z) < r$ for all $z \in]k_1, k_2[$, a contradiction.

Remark. From Theorem 1, we get the following: If f is a strictly convex function on $X \times X$ and K an f -proximal, f -convex subset of X then K is f -Chebyshev. This is similar to the result: A proximal convex subset of a strictly convex metric space is Chebyshev [5].

The following theorem gives conditions under which the mapping P_f is continuous.

Theorem 2. *If K is inf-compact, f -Chebyshev set and f a continuous mapping of $X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then P_f is continuous.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows from Proposition 3 using the facts that for f -Chebyshev sets, the mapping P_f is single-valued and for single-valued maps the two concepts of upper-semi-continuity and continuity coincide.

Theorem 2 is analogous to the following result:

If K is an approximatively compact, Chebyshev subset of a metric space then the metric projection is continuous [7].

Remark. The notion of ε -approximation (see [7]), best simultaneous approximation (see [1]), proximal points for pair of sets (see [4]), best co-approximation (see [3]), strong approximation (see [2]) and strong co-approximation can be extended to Hausdorff topological spaces relative to the function f and can be further investigated.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. C. Ahuja and T. D. Narang: *On best simultaneous approximation*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk., 27 (1979), 255–261.
- [2] M. W. Bartelt and H. W. McLaughlin: *Characterizations of strong unicity in approximation theory*, J. Approximation Theory, 9 (1973), 255–266.
- [3] C. Franchetti and M. Puri: *Some characteristic properties of real Hilbert spaces*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. 17 (1972), 1045–1048.
- [4] T. D. Narang: *On distance sets*, Indian J. Pure and Applied Maths., 7 (1976), 1137–1141.
- [5] T. D. Narang: *Best Approximation and Strict Convexity of Metric Spaces*, Arch. Math. 27 (1981), 87–90.
- [6] D. V. Pai and P. Veermani: *Applications of fixed point theorems to problems in optimization and best approximation*, Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Edited by S. P. Singh and J. H. Burry (1982), 393–400.

ON f -BEST APPROXIMATION IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES.

[7] Ivan Singer: *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

T. D. Narang,
Department of Mathematics,
Guru Nanak dev University,
Amritsar 143005 (India)