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# ON A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MONOIDS AND MONOUNARY ALGEBRAS 

Miroslay Novotný, Brno

(Received September 7, 1983)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the note [6], B. Zelinka assigned a monounary algebra to any finite semigroup and characterized the class of such algebras in terms of the graph theory. In this article, we omit the hypothesis that the semigroup is finite and characterize the class of algebras assigned to monoids in terms of monounary algebras. On the basis of this characterization, we derive Zelinka's result.

## 2. ALGEBRA ASSIGNED TO A MONOID

We recall (cf. [4] p. 1365) that a monounary algebra is an ordered pair ( $S, f$ ) where $S$ is a set and $f$ a mapping of $S$ into $S$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all nonnegative integers. For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $f^{p}$ the $p$ th iteration of $f$. We put

$$
\varrho=\left\{(x, y) \in S \times S ; \text { there exist } p, q \in \mathbb{N} \text { with } f^{p}(x)=f^{q}(y)\right\} .
$$

Then $\varrho$ is an equivalence on $S$ whose blocks are called components of $(S, f)$.
In what follows, we do not distinguish between algebras and their carriers; we also omit the adjective "monounary". If $t \in S$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{S b} t$ the subalgebra of $(S, f)$ generated by the set $\{t\}$ and by $\boldsymbol{C p} t$ the component of $(S, f)$ containing $t$. Clearly, $\boldsymbol{S b} t=\left\{f^{l}(t) ; l \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. It is easy to see that any component of $(S, f)$ is also a subalgebra.

1. Definition. Let $(S, \cdot, e)$ be a monoid with the binary operation $\cdot$ and the unit $e, a \in$ $\in S$ an arbitrary element. For any $x \in S$, we put $f(x)=x . a$. Then $(S, f)$ is an algebra; it will be referred to as an algebra assigned to $(S, \cdot, e)$ with respect to $a$.

For any algebra $(S, f)$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{E n d}(S, f)$ the monoid of all endomorphisms of $(S, f)$ where the nullary operation is $\mathrm{id}_{S}$ and the binary operation is the composition $\circ$ of endomorphisms.
2. Theorem. Let $(S, \cdot, e)$ be a monoid, $a \in S$ its arbitrary element, $(S, f)$ the algebra assigned to $(S, \cdot, e)$ with respect to $a$. Then there exists a submonoid $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ of $\operatorname{End}(S, f)$ such that, for any $x \in S$, there exists exactly one $k_{x} \in E$ such that $k_{x}(e)=x$.

Proof. For any $x \in S$, we put $k_{x}(t)=x . t$ where $t \in S$ is arbitrary. Thus, $k_{x}(f(t))=$ $=x \cdot(t \cdot a)=(x \cdot t) \cdot a=f\left(k_{x}(t)\right)$ for any $t \in S$ which entails that $k_{x}$ is an endomorphism of $(S, f)$ for any $x \in S$. Furthermore, $k_{e}=\operatorname{id}_{S}$ and $k_{x}\left(k_{y}(t)\right)=x \cdot(y \cdot t)=$ $=(x \cdot y) \cdot t=k_{x \cdot y}(t)$ for any $x, y, t \in S$ and, thus, $\left(\left\{k_{s} ; s \in S\right\}, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{s}\right)$ is a submonoid of $\boldsymbol{E n d}(S, f)$. Clearly, $k_{x}$ is the only element $k$ in $\left\{k_{s} ; s \in S\right\}$ with $k(e)=x$.
3. Definition. Let $(S, f)$ be an algebra, $e \in S$ its element, and $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ a submonoid of $\operatorname{End}(S, f)$ such that, for any $x \in S$, there exists exactly one $k_{x} \in E$ such that $k_{x}(e)=x$. Then $e$ is said to be a marked element and $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ a selective monoid corresponding to $e$. An algebra having a marked element and a corresponding selective monoid is said to be suitable.
4. Corollary. An algebra assigned to a monoid is suitable.
5. Definition. Let $(S, f)$ be an algebra, $S_{1}, S_{2}$ its components, $h$ a homomorphism of $S_{1}$ into $S_{2}$. We put

$$
k(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h(t) \text { for any } t \in S_{1}, \\
t \text { for any } t \in S-S_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, $k$ is an endomorphism of $(S, f)$; it will be called the normal extension of $h$ and denoted by $n l h$.
6. Definition. An algebra ( $S, f$ ) is said to be very suitablo if there exists an element $\boldsymbol{e} \in S$ and for any $x \in S$ a homomorphism $h_{x}$ of $\boldsymbol{C p} e$ into $\boldsymbol{C p} x$ such that $h_{x}(e)=x$ and that $\left(\left\{\boldsymbol{n l} h_{x} ; x \in S\right\}, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ is a selective monoid corresponding to $e$.
7. Theorem. An algebra is suitable if and only if it is very suitable.

Proof. By 6 and 3, any very suitable algebra is also suitable.
Let $(S, f)$ be a suitable algebra, $e$ its marked element, $\left(E,{ }_{\circ}, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ its selective monoid corresponding to $e$. For any $x \in S$, there exists exactly one $k_{x} \in E$ such that $k_{x}(e)=x$. We put $h_{x}=k_{x} \wedge \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{p}} e$. Then $h_{x}$ is a homomorphism of $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{p}} e$ into $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{p}} x$ such that $h_{x}(e)=x$ for any $x \in S$.

Clearly, $n l h_{x}(e)=h_{x}(e)=k_{x}(e)=x$ for any $x \in S$.
Let $x, y, t \in S$ be arbitrary. Two cases may occur.
(a) If $\boldsymbol{C p} y \neq \boldsymbol{C p} e$, then $\boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{y}}[S] \subseteq S-\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{e}$ and $\boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \uparrow(S-\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{p} e)=\mathrm{id}_{\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{C}_{p} \boldsymbol{e}}$. This implies that $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{\boldsymbol{x}} \circ \boldsymbol{n l} h_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$.
(b) Suppose Cpy=Cpe.

If $t \in S-C p e$, then $n \boldsymbol{l} h_{y}(t)=t$ which implies that $\left(\boldsymbol{n l} h_{x} \circ n \boldsymbol{l} h_{y}\right)(t)=t$.
If $t \in \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{p} e$, then $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{y}(t)=k_{y}(t)$. Since $e, t \in \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{p} e$, we obtain $k_{y}(e)=y \in \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{p} e$, $k_{y}(t) \in \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{p}$ e. Since $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ is selective, there exists $z \in S$ such that $k_{x} \circ k_{y}=k_{z}$. This implies that $k_{z}(t)=k_{x}\left(k_{y}(t)\right)=k_{x}\left(\boldsymbol{n l} h_{y}(t)\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{n l} h_{x} \circ n l h_{y}\right)(t)$. Thus, $n \boldsymbol{l} h_{z}(t)=$ $=\left(\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{\boldsymbol{x}} \circ \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{\boldsymbol{y}}\right)(t)$.

Since $\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{z}(t)=\boldsymbol{t}$ for any $\boldsymbol{t} \in \boldsymbol{S}-\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{e}$, we obtain $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{z}(t)=\left(\boldsymbol{n l} h_{\boldsymbol{x}} \circ \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{y}\right)(t)$ for any $\boldsymbol{t} \in \boldsymbol{S}$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \circ \boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$.

We have proved that ( $\left\{\boldsymbol{n l} h_{x} ; x \in S\right\}$, o) is a semigroup. Clearly, $n l h_{e}=\mathrm{id}_{s}$. Thus $\left(\left\{n l h_{x} ; x \in S\right\}, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ is a monoid; its selectivity is obvious.

Hence, $(S, f)$ is very suitable.

## 3. CHARACTERIZATION OF ALGEBRAS ASSIGNED TO MONOIDS

1. Definition. Let $(S, f)$ be a suitable algebra, $e$ its marked element, $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ its selective monoid corresponding to $e$. For any $x \in S$ and any $t \in S$, we put $x . t=$ $=k_{x}(t)$ where $k_{x}$ is the only element $k \in E$ with $k(e)=x$. Then $(S, \cdot)$ is said to be the groupoid associated with $(S, f)$ with respect to $e$ and $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{s}\right)$.
2. Theorem. Let $(S, f)$ be a suitable algebra, $(S, \cdot)$ the groupoid associated with $(S, f)$ with respect to a marked element $e \in S$ and a corresponding selective monoid $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$. Then $(S, \cdot, e)$ is a monoid and $(S, f)$ is assigned to $(S, \cdot, e)$ with respect to $f(e)$.

Proof. (1) For any $x \in S$, let $k_{x}$ denote the only element $k \in E$ with $k(e)=x$. Then $x . e=k_{x}(e)=x$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{id}_{S}=k_{z}$ for some $z \in S$ because $\mathrm{id}_{S}$ is the unit in End $(S, f)$ and, thus, $\mathrm{id}_{S} \in E$. We have $z=k_{z}(e)=\mathrm{id}_{S}(e)=e$ which implies that $e \cdot x=k_{e}(x)=\operatorname{id}_{S}(x)=x$ for any $x \in S$. Thus, $e$ is the unit in $S$.
(2) Let $x, y, z \in S$ be arbitrary. Then $x \cdot(y \cdot z)=k_{x}\left(k_{y}(z)\right)=k_{t}(z)$ for some $t \in S$. Clearly, $t=k_{t}(e)=k_{x}\left(k_{y}(e)\right)=k_{x}(y)$. Thus, $x \cdot(y \cdot z)=k_{k_{x}(y)}(z)$. On the other hand, we have $(x \cdot y) \cdot z=k_{x \cdot y}(z)=k_{k_{x}(y)}(z)$. Hence, the associative law is satisfied in (S, •).
(3) Let us put $a=f(e), g(x)=x \cdot a$ for any $x \in S$. Then $g(x)=x \cdot a=k_{x}(a)=$ $=k_{x}(f(e))=f\left(k_{x}(e)\right)=f(x)$ for any $x \in S$. Hence, $g=f$ and $(S, f)$ is the algebra assigned to $(S, \cdot, e)$ with respect to $f(e)$.
3. Characterization Theorem. Let $(S, f)$ be an algebra. Then the following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $(S, f)$ is an algebra assigned to a monoid $(S, \cdot, e)$ with respect to an element $a \in S$.
(ii) $(S, f)$ is suitable.
(iii) $(S, f)$ is very suitable.

Proof. (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by 2.7 , (i) implies (ii) by 2.4 , (ii) implies (i) by 2 .

## 4. FINITE ALGEBRAS

An algebra is said to be connected if it has exactly one component.

1. Definition (cf [3] Def. 4, [4] Def. 2, [5] p. 107, [1] 2.4, [2] p. 427). Let ( $S, f$ ) be a finite connected algebra. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{f}=\left\{x \in S ; \text { there exists } r(x) \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\} \text { such that } f^{r(x)}(x)=x\right\}, \\
& R_{f}=\operatorname{card} Z_{f}, \\
& n_{f}(x)=\min \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} ; f^{n}(x) \in Z_{f}\right\} \quad \text { for any } \quad x \in S \\
& n_{f} \quad=\max \left\{n_{f}(x) ; x \in S\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see (cf. 1.c.) that $R_{f}>0$ and that $f^{R_{f}}(x)=x$ is equivalent to $x \in Z_{f}$ for any $x \in S$. Furthermore, $x \in S, p>0, f^{p}(x)=x$ imply that $R_{f} \mid p$ (i.e., $R_{f}$ divides $p$ ).

The following lemma is well-known (cf. [3] Lemma 6, 7; [4] Hilfssatz 2.7, 2.8; [5] 3.4; [1] 3.1, [2] Theorem).
2. Lemma. If $(S, f),(T, g)$ are finite connected algebras and $h$ a homomorphism of the former into the latter, then $R_{g} \mid R_{f}$ and $h\left[Z_{f}\right] \subseteq Z_{g}$.
3. Definition. Let $(S, f)$ be a finite connected algebra, let $e \in S$ be such that $n_{f}(e)=$ $=n_{f}$. For any $t \in S$, there exists the least possible integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{m}(t) \in$ $\in \boldsymbol{S b} \boldsymbol{e}$; we denote it by $m_{e}(t)$. Furthermore, there exists the least possible integer $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{m_{e}(t)}(t)=f^{p}(e)$; we denote it by $p_{e}(t)$. We put $q_{e}(t)=p_{e}(t)-m_{e}(t)$ for any $t \in S$. If no confusion is possible, we write $m, p, q$ for $m_{e}, p_{e}, q_{e}$, respectively.

The function $q_{e}$ will be used to define certain homomorphisms. We shall need some properties of $m_{e}, p_{e}, q_{e}$.
4. Lemma. Let $(S, f)$ be a finite connected algebra, let $e \in S$ be such that $n_{f}(e)=$ $=n_{f}$. Then the following assertions hold.
(i) For any $t \in S$, we have $m_{e}(t) \leqq p_{e}(t), q_{e}(t) \geqq 0$.
(ii) If $m_{e}(t)>0$, then $m_{e}(f(t))=m_{e}(t)-1, p_{e}\left(f(t)=,p_{e}(t), q_{e}(f(t))=q_{e}(t)+1\right.$.
(iii) If $m_{e}(t)=0$, then $m_{e}(f(t))=0$ and $p_{e}(f(t)) \leqq p_{e}(t)+1$. If, moreover, $p_{e}(f(t)) \leqq p_{e}(t)$, then $t \in Z_{f}$ and $R_{f} \mid p_{e}(t)-p_{e}(f(t))+1$.

Proof. (1) Let $t \in S$ be arbitrary.
If $f^{m(t)}(t) \in Z_{f}$, then $m(t)=n_{f}(t) \leqq n_{f}=n_{f}(e) \leqq p(t)$.
Suppose $f^{m(t)}(t) \in S-Z_{f}$. Then $f^{p(t)}(e) \in S-Z_{f}$ which implies that $p(t)<$ $<n_{f}(e)=n_{f}$ and thus $m(t)+n_{f}-p(t)>0$. Hence $f^{m(t)+n_{f}-p(t)-1}(t)=$
$=f^{n_{f}-1-p(t)}\left(f^{m(t)}(t j)=f^{n_{f}-1-p(t)}\left(f^{p(t)}(e)\right)=f^{n_{f}-1}(e)=f^{n_{f}(e)-1}(e) \in S-Z_{f}\right.$, which yields $m(t)+n_{f}-p(t)-1<n_{f}(t)$. Furthermore, $f^{m(t)+n_{f}-p(t)}(t)=f^{n_{f}(e)}(e) \in Z_{f}$ and, thus, $m(t)+n_{f}-p(t) \geqq n_{f}(t)$. Hence $m(t)+n_{f}-p(t)=n_{f}(t) \leqq n_{f}$, which implies that $m(t) \leqq p(t)$.

We have proved $m(t) \leqq p(t)$ and, therefore, $q(t) \geqq 0$ for any $t \in S$, which is $(i)$.
(2) Let us have $t \in S, m(t)>0$.

Then $f^{p(t)}(e)=f^{m(t)-1}(f(t))$ and thus $m(f(t))=m(t)-1, p(f(t))=p(t)$, which yields $q(f(t))=q(t)+1$. We have proved (ii).
(3) Suppose $t \in S, m(t)=0$.

Then $f^{p(t)}(e)=t$ and thus $f^{p(t)+1}(e)=f(t)$, which implies $m(f(t))=0$ and $p(f(t)) \leqq p(t)+1$. By 3, we obtain $f^{p(f(t)}(e)=f(t)$.

If, moreover, $p(f(t)) \leqq p(t)$, we obtain $t=f^{p(t)}(e)=f^{p(t)-p(f(t)}\left(f^{p(f(t)}(e)\right)=$ $=f^{p(t)-p(f(t)}(f(t))=f^{p(t)-p(f(t))+1}(t)$. Since $p(t)-p(f(t))+1 \geqq 1$, we obtain $t \in Z_{f}$ and $R_{f} \mid p(t)-p(f(t))+1$.

We have proved (iii).
5. Lemma (cf. [5] 3.9, 3.10). Let $(S, f),(T, g)$ be finite connected algebras such that $R_{g} \mid R_{f}$ and $n_{f} \geqq n_{g}$. Let $e \in S$ be such that $n_{f}(e)=n_{f}$ and let $x \in T$ be arbitrary. For any $t \in S$, we put $h_{x}(t)=g^{q_{e}(t)}(x)$. Then the following assertions hold.
(i) $h_{x}\left[Z_{f}\right] \subseteq Z_{g}$.
(ii) $h_{x}$ is a homomorphism of $(S, f)$ into $(T, g)$ such that $h_{x}(e)=x$.

Proof. (1) By $4(i), h_{x}$ is a mapping of $S$ into $T$.
Let us have $t \in Z_{f}$. Then $f^{R_{f}}(t)=t$ and $m(t)=m_{e}(t)=0$, which yields $g^{R_{f}}\left(h_{x}(t)\right)=$ $=g^{R_{f}}\left(g^{p(t)}(x)\right)=g^{R_{f}+p(t)}(x)$ where $p=p_{e}$. Since $f^{p(t)}(e)=t$, we obtain $p(t) \geqq$ $\geqq n_{f}(e)=n_{f} \geqq n_{g} \geqq n_{g}(x)$, which implies that $g^{p(t)}(x) \in Z_{g}$. Since $R_{g} \mid R_{f}$, we have $g^{R_{f}+p(t)}(x)=g^{p(t)}(x)=h_{x}(t)$. We have proved $g^{R_{f}}\left(h_{x}(t)\right)=h_{x}(t)$, which means $h_{x}(t) \in Z_{g}$. We have proved (i).
(2) Let $t \in S$ be arbitrary.

If $m(t)>0$, we obtain $h_{x}(f(t))=g^{q(f(t))}(x)=g^{q(t)+1}(x)=g\left(g^{q(t)}(x)\right)=g\left(h_{x}(t)\right)$ by $4(i i)$.

Suppose that $m(t)=0$. Then $m(f(t))=0$ by 4 (iii) and the following cases can occur.
(a) $p(f(t))=p(t)+1$.

Then $q(f(t))=q(t)+1$ and we obtain $h_{x}(f(t))=g\left(h_{x}(t)\right)$ similarly as above.
(b) $p(f(t)) \leqq p(t)$.

Then $t \in Z_{f}$ and $R_{f} \mid p(t)-p(f(t))+1$ by $4($ iii $)$. Thus $f(t) \in Z_{f}$ and $h_{x}(f(t)) \in Z_{g}$ by $(i)$. This yields $h_{x}(f(t))=g^{p(t)-p(f(t))+1}\left(h_{x}(f(t))\right)=g^{p(t)-p(f(t))+1}\left(g^{p(f(t)}(x)\right)=$ $=g^{p(t)+1}(x)=g\left(g^{q(t)}(x)\right)=g\left(h_{x}(t)\right)$.

We have proved that $h_{x}(f(t))=g\left(h_{x}(t)\right)$ for any $t \in S$. Thus we obtain (ii).
6. Lemma. Let $(S, f),(T, g)$ be finite connected algebras such that $R_{g} \mid R_{f}$ and $n_{f} \geqq n_{g}$. Let $e \in S$ be such that $n_{f}(e)=n_{f}$. Let $x \in T, y \in S$ be arbitrary, put $z=$
$=g^{q_{e}(y)}(x), h_{x}(t)=g^{q_{e}(t)}(x), h_{y}(t)=f^{q_{e}(t)}(y), h_{z}(t)=g^{q_{e}(t)}(z)$ for any $t \in S$. Then $h_{z}=h_{x} \circ h_{y}$.

Proof. Clearly, $z \in T$; by 5(ii), $h_{y}$ is an endomorphism of $(S, f)$ and $h_{x}, h_{z}$ are homomorphisms of (S,f) into (T,g). We have $h_{z}(t)=g^{q_{e}(t)}(z)=g^{q_{e}(t)}\left(h_{x}(y)\right)=$ $=h_{x}\left(f^{q_{e}(t)}(y)\right)=h_{x}\left(h_{y}(t)\right)$ for any $t \in S$.
7. Theorem. Let $(S, f)$ be a finite algebra, $\left(\left(S_{i}, f_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ the family of all its components. Then the following two conditions are equivalent.
(i) $(S, f)$ is suitable.
(ii) There exists $i_{0} \in I$ such that $R_{f_{i}} \mid R_{f_{i_{0}}}$ and $n_{f_{i}} \leqq n_{f_{i_{0}}}$ for any $i \in I$.

Proof. (1) If $(S, f)$ is suitable, then there exist a marked element $e \in S$ and a selective monoid ( $E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{s}$ ) corresponding to $e$, where $E=\left\{h_{x} ; x \in S\right\}, h_{x}$ being an endomorphism of $(S, f)$ with $h_{x}(e)=x$ for any $x \in S$. Let $i_{0} \in I$ be such an element that $e \in S_{i_{0}}$. We put $k_{x}=h_{x} \uparrow S_{i_{0}}$. Since $k_{x}$ is a homomorphism of ( $S_{i_{0}}, f_{i_{0}}$ ) into $\left(S_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ for any $i \in I$ and $x \in S_{i}$, we have $R_{f_{i}} \mid R_{f_{i_{0}}}$ for any $i \in I$ by 2 . Let $i \in I$ and $x \in S_{i}$ be arbitrary. Put $n_{0}=n_{f_{i_{0}}}(e)$. Then $f_{i_{0}}^{n_{0}}(e) \in Z_{f_{i_{0}}}$ and hence $f_{i}^{n_{0}}(x)=f_{i}^{n_{0}}\left(h_{x}(e)\right)=$ $=k_{x}\left(f_{i_{0}}^{n_{0}}(e)\right) \in Z_{f_{i}}$ by 2 . Thus $n_{f_{i}}(x) \leqq n_{0}=n_{f_{i_{0}}}(e)$. This implies that $n_{f_{i}} \leqq n_{f_{i_{0}}}(e)$ for any $i \in I$. Particularly, $n_{f_{i_{0}}} \leqq n_{f_{i_{0}}}(e)$ and hence $n_{f_{i_{0}}}=n_{f_{i_{0}}}(e)$. Thus $n_{f_{i}} \leqq n_{f_{i_{0}}}$ for any $i \in I$. We have proved that (i) implies (ii).
(2) Let (ii) hold. We take an element $e \in S_{i_{0}}$ such that $n_{f_{i_{0}}}(e)=n_{f_{i_{0}}}$.

Let $i \in I$ and $x \in S_{i}, x \neq e$, be arbitrary. For any $t \in S_{i_{0}}$, we put $h_{x}(t)=f_{i}^{q_{e}(t)}(x)$. By $5(\mathrm{ii}), h_{x}$ is a homomorphism of $\left(S_{i_{0}}, f_{i_{0}}\right)$ into $\left(S_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ such that $h_{x}(e)=x$. We set $h_{e}=\mathrm{id}_{\boldsymbol{s}_{i_{0}}}, E=\left\{\boldsymbol{n l} h_{x} ; x \in S\right\}$. Clearly, $\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{e}=\mathrm{id}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$. Furthermore, for any $x \in S$, $\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is the only endomorphism in $E$ that sends $\boldsymbol{e}$ to $\boldsymbol{x}$.

Let $x, y \in S$. We have two possibilities.
(A) If either $x=e$ or $y=e$, then clearly $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{x} \circ \boldsymbol{n l} h_{y}$ coincides either with $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ or with $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{y}$ and, consequently, is in $E$.
(B) Suppose $x \neq e \neq y$. Two cases may occur.
(a) If $y \in S-S_{i_{0}}$, then $n l h_{y}[S] \subseteq S-S_{i_{0}}$ and $n l h_{x} \wedge\left(S-S_{i_{0}}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{S-S_{i_{0}}}$. Thus $\boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \circ \boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$.
(b) If $y \in S_{i_{0}}$, then for any $t \in S_{i_{0}}$ we obtain $\left(\boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{h _ { x }} \circ \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{y}\right)(t)=\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{\boldsymbol{x}}\left(h_{y}(t)\right)=$ $=h_{x}\left(h_{y}(t)\right)=h_{h_{x}(y)}(t)=\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{h_{x}(y)}(t)$ by 6. For any $t \in S-S_{i_{0}}$ we obtain $\left(n l h_{x}\right.$ 。 $\left.\circ \boldsymbol{n l} h_{y}\right)(t)=\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{x}\left(\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} h_{y}(t)\right)=\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(t)=\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(y)}(t)$. We have proved that $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{\boldsymbol{x}} \circ$ $\circ \boldsymbol{n l} h_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\boldsymbol{n l} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(y)}$.

Thus $x \neq e \neq y$ implies that $\boldsymbol{n l} h_{x} \circ \boldsymbol{n l} h_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ is in $E$.
Hence $\left(E . \circ_{0}, \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$ is a selective monoid of $(S, f)$ corresponding to $e$. Thus $(S, f)$ is very suitable and, therefore, suitable by 2.8 .

By 3.3 and 7, we obtain
3. Characterization Theorem (cf. [6]). Let $(S, f)$ be a finite algebra, $\left(\left(S, f_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ the family of all its components. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $(S, f)$ is an algebra assigned to a monoid $(S, \cdot, e)$ with respect to an element $a \in S$.
(ii) $(S, f)$ is suitable.
(iii) $(S, f)$ is very suitable.
(iv) There exists $i_{0} \in I$ such that $R_{f_{i}} \mid R_{f_{i_{0}}}$ and $n_{f_{i}} \leqq n_{f_{i_{0}}}$ for any $i \in I$.

## 5. EXAMPLES AND REMARKS

1. Example. Let $G$ be a cyclic group of order $4, G=\left\{1, a, a^{2}, a^{3}\right\}$. The diagrams of algebras assigned to $G$ with respect to $1, a, a^{2}, a^{3}$, are, respectively, as follows.



1


1


1


1

Fig. 1.
2. Example. Let $(A, f)$ be an algebra with the following diagram.


Fig. 2.

We assume $e$ to be the marked element. Then the values of the functions $m_{e}, p_{n}, q_{e}$ are in the first table. The second table contains the values of functions $h_{x}$, where $h_{x}(t)=f^{q_{e}(t)}(x)$ for any $t \in A$ and any $x \in A$ with $x \neq e, h_{e}=\mathrm{id}_{A}$.

|  | $e$ | $a$ | 0 | 1 | $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{e}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $p_{e}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| $q_{e}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |


|  | $e$ | $a$ | 0 | 1 | $g$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $h_{e}$ | $e$ | $a$ | 0 | 1 | $g$ |
| $h_{a}$ | $a$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $h_{0}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $h_{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $h_{g}$ | $g$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

We now present the table of the operation of the monoid ( $E, \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{id}_{A}$ ), where $E=\left\{h_{x} ; x \in A\right\}$. The fourth table gives the operation - of the monoid $(A, \cdot, e)$ associated with $(A, f)$ with respect to $e$ and $\left(E, \circ, \mathrm{id}_{A}\right)$.

|  | $h_{e}$ | $h_{a}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{e}$ | $h_{e}$ | $h_{a}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{g}$ |
| $h_{a}$ | $h_{a}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{0}$ |
| $h_{0}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{1}$ |
| $h_{1}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{0}$ |
| $h_{g}$ | $h_{g}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{1}$ | $h_{0}$ | $h_{0}$ |


|  | $e$ | $a$ | 0 | 1 | $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a$ | 0 | 1 | $g$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $g$ | $g$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Clearly, the algebra assigned to this monoid with respect to $a$ coincides with $(A, f)$.
3. Remarks. Let us cancel the element $e$ in the algebra and in the monoid of 2 . We obtain a subalgebra of $(A, f)$ and a subsemigroup (not a submonoid!) of the constructed monoid. Clearly, this subalgebra is assigned to the subsemigroup in the sense of [6].

Let us start with this subalgebra and apply the construction described in the last paragraph of [6]. Then $t g=g$ for any $t$. On the other hand, $a^{2}=0,0 a=1,1 a=0$, $g a=0$ according to the diagram of the algebra. Using the associative law we obtain $(1 g) 1=g 1=g(0 a)=(g 0) a=\left(g a^{2}\right) a=((g a) a) a=(0 a) a=1 a=0$. On the other hand, $1(g 1)=10$ as we have seen; furthermore, $10=1 a^{2}=(1 a) a=0 a=1$. Thus $(1 g) 1=0 \neq 1=1(g 1)$ and hence the operation is not associative. Thus the simple construction of [6] gives only a groupoid associated with the algebra. The construction of a semigroup requires more complicated methods as we have seen in Section 4 of the present article. Nevertheless, the formulation of Theorem in [6] is correct.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to B. Zelinka for his remarks concerning a previous version of this article.
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