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# Sets invariant under projections onto one dimensional subspaces 

Simon Fitzpatrick, Bruce Calvert


#### Abstract

The Hahn-Banach theorem implies that if $m$ is a one dimensional subspace of a t.v.s. $E$, and $B$ is a circled convex body in $E$, there is a continuous linear projection $P$ onto $m$ with $P(B) \subseteq B$. We determine the sets $B$ which have the property of being invariant under projections onto lines through 0 subject to a weak boundedness type requirement.
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Definition. Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We say $B$ is invariant under projections onto lines to mean for all lines $m$ through 0 there is a linear projection $P$ from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto $m$ with $P(B) \subseteq B$.
Notation. We will first let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We talk about the projection onto $m$ along $x$, for $x \neq 0$, to mean the linear projection onto $m$ with $N(P) \ni x$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, let $x(\theta)=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and let $\alpha(\theta)=\left\{\gamma \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]:\right.$ the projection $P$ onto $\mathbb{R} x(\theta)$ along $x(\gamma)$ satisfies $P(B) \subseteq B\}$. We let $S(\theta)=\{t>0: t x(\theta) \in B\}$.
Lemma 1. Let $B$ be a closed nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is invariant under projections onto lines. For some $\theta$, suppose there is a sequence $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow \theta$ and $\lambda_{n} \in$ $\alpha\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ and $\mu \in \alpha(\theta)$ such that $\lambda_{n} \neq \mu$ and $\liminf \sin ^{2}\left(\lambda_{n}-\theta\right)>0$ (i.e. $\lambda_{n}$ stays away from $\theta(\bmod \pi))$. Then $S(\theta)$ is $(0, \infty)$ or $(0, M]$ or $[M, \infty)$ for some $M>0$.

Proof: Suppose $0<a<b<\infty$ with $a, b$ in $S(\theta)$ but $(a, b) \cap S(\theta)=\emptyset$. Let $P$ be the projection onto $\mathbb{R} x(\theta)$ along $x(\mu)$, and let $P_{n}$ be the projection onto $\mathbb{R} x\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ along $x\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$. Then $P^{-1}((a, b) x(\theta)) \cap B$ is empty and so, if $C_{n}=P_{n}^{-1}\left(P^{-1}(a, b) x(\theta) \cap\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R} x\left(\varphi_{n}\right)\right) \cap(0, \infty) x(\theta)$, then $C_{n} \cap B=\emptyset$. Because $\lambda_{n} \neq \mu, C_{n} \neq(a, b) x(\theta)$, and because $\lambda_{n}$ stays away from $\theta(\bmod \pi), C_{n} \rightarrow(a, b) x(\theta)$ as $n \rightarrow \theta$. Thus, since $C_{n}$ is a multiple of $(a, b) n(\theta), C_{n}$ contains either $a x(\theta)$, or $b x(\theta)$, a contradiction.

Thus $S(\theta)$ is an interval. Suppose $S(\theta)=[a, b]$ with $0<a<b<\infty$. Then $P_{n}([a, b] x(\theta)) \subseteq B$ and if $V_{n}=P\left(P_{n}([a, b] x(\theta))\right.$, then $V_{n} \subseteq B$. However, $V_{n} \neq$ $[a, b] x(\theta)$ since $\lambda_{n} \neq \mu$ and $V_{n} \rightarrow[a, b] x(\theta)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $\lambda_{n}$ stays away from $\theta$ $(\bmod \pi)$. Thus $V_{n}$ being a multiple of $[a, b] x(\theta)$, contains points of $(0, \infty)(\theta)$ not in $[a, b] x(\theta)$, a contradiction.

Hence $S(\theta)=(0, M],[M, \infty)$ or $(0, \infty)$.

Definition. We call an angle $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ surrounded, if there are $\theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta, \theta_{2 n}<$ $\theta, \theta_{2 n+1}>\theta$, and $\gamma \neq \theta$ so that $\gamma \in \alpha\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ for all $n$.
Lemma 2. Let $B$ be a closed nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is invariant under projections onto lines. For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, one of the following holds.
(a) $\lim _{\varphi \rightarrow \theta^{+}} \sin (\alpha(\varphi)-\theta)=0$,
(b) $\lim _{\varphi \rightarrow \theta^{-}} \sin (\alpha(\varphi)-\theta)=0$,
(c) $S(\theta)=(0, M],[M, \infty)$ or $(0, \infty)$ for some $M>0$,
(d) $\theta$ is surrounded.

Proof: If (a) and (b) do not hold, there is $\theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta, \theta_{2 n}<\theta, \theta_{2 n+1}>\theta$ with $\lim \inf \sin ^{2}\left(\lambda_{n}-\theta\right)>0$ for some $\lambda_{n} \in \alpha\left(\theta_{n}\right)$. Unless there is $\gamma \in \alpha(\theta)$ such that $\lambda_{n}=\gamma$ for all large $n$, in which case $\theta$ is surrounded, Lemma 1 shows that (c) holds.

Lemma 3. Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is invariant under projections onto lines. The set of $\theta$ such that (a) or (b) of Lemma 1.2 hold, is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Proof: If there were a sequence $\theta_{n}$ of angles of type (a) so that $\left\{\theta_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ was dense in an open interval $I$, then for each $j, \sin ^{2}(\alpha(\varphi)-\varphi)<j^{-1}$, if $\varphi \in$ $\left(\theta_{n}, \theta_{n}+\varepsilon_{j n}\right)$, where $\varepsilon_{j n}>0$. Thus in a dense $G_{\delta}$ set in $I$, we have $\sin ^{2}(\alpha(\theta)-\theta)=0$, which is impossible. For (b), take $\left(\theta_{n}-\varepsilon_{j n}, \theta_{n}\right)$.
Lemma 4. Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ invariant under projections onto lines. Suppose $I$ is a nonempty open interval of angles and every $\theta \in I$ is surrounded. Then either
(a) some $S(\theta)=(0, M],[M, \infty)$, on $(0, \infty)$, or else
(b) there is $\gamma$ so that $\alpha(\theta)=\{\gamma\}$ for all $\theta \in I$.

Proof: Assume (a) false, so that by Lemma 1, if $\varphi \in I, \varphi_{2 n+1} \downarrow \varphi, \varphi_{2 n} \uparrow \varphi$, with $\gamma_{\varphi} \in \alpha\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ for all $n$, with $\gamma_{\varphi} \neq \varphi$, then $\alpha(\varphi)=\left\{\gamma_{\varphi}\right\}$.

Let $\gamma_{0} \in I, \alpha\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=\{\gamma\}$. Without loss of generality let $\gamma_{0}>\varphi_{0}>\gamma_{0}-\pi$. For $\xi \in\left(\varphi_{0}, \gamma_{0}\right) \cap I$, let $\theta=\sup \left\{\lambda<\xi: \alpha(\lambda) \ni \gamma_{0}\right\}$. Either (a) $\theta=\xi$, or (b) $\theta<\xi$ and $\alpha(\theta) \ni \gamma_{0}$, or (c) $\theta<\xi, \gamma_{0} \notin \alpha(\theta)$, but $\theta_{n} \uparrow \theta$ with $\gamma_{0} \in \alpha\left(\theta_{n}\right)$. If (b) holds, then $\gamma_{0}=\gamma_{\theta}$, contradiction $\theta$ being a sup. If (c) holds, by Lemma $1, \theta=\gamma_{0}$ contradicting $\xi<\gamma_{0}$. Hence (a) holds and $\alpha(\xi)=\left\{\gamma_{0}\right\}$, since $\gamma_{0}>\xi$. Similarly for $\xi \in I, \xi \in\left(\gamma_{0}-\pi, \gamma_{0}\right)$, we have $\alpha(\xi)=\left\{\gamma_{0}\right\}$. Now $I$ does not include $\gamma_{0}$ (modulo $\pi$ ) since, if it did, there would be $\theta_{n} \uparrow \gamma_{0}$ (or $\theta_{n} \downarrow \gamma_{0}-\pi$ ) with $\gamma_{\gamma_{0}} \in \alpha\left(\theta_{n}\right), \gamma_{\gamma_{0}} \neq \gamma_{0}$, contradicting $\alpha\left(\theta_{n}\right)=\gamma_{0}$, since $\theta_{n} \in I$.
Lemma 5. Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is invariant under projections onto lines. If there is an open interval of angles which are surrounded, then $B$ is a union of parallel lines, or $B$ is contained in a line through 0 , or there is $\theta$, and $M, N>0$, such that $(0, M] \subseteq S(\theta) \subseteq(0, W]$, or $[M, \infty) \subseteq S(\theta) \subseteq[N, \infty)$.

Proof: Let $I$ be an open interval of angles with $\alpha(x)=\{\gamma\}$ for each $x \in I$. Assume $B$ is not a union of parallel lines or a subset of $\mathbb{R} x(\gamma)$. We can find an angle $\theta \neq \gamma$
$(\bmod \pi)$ with $\alpha(\theta) \ni \psi, \psi \neq \gamma$. Let $P$ be the projection onto $\mathbb{R} x(\theta)$ along $x(\psi)$, and $P$ be the projection onto $\mathbb{R} x(\theta)$ along $x(\gamma)$. Then $P P_{\theta}(x(\theta))=w_{\theta} x(\theta)$ for some $w_{\theta}$. The set $\left\{w_{\theta}: \theta \in I\right\}$ is an open interval $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right), w_{0}<w_{1}$, so that if $w \in\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)$, then $w S(\theta) \subseteq S(\theta)$.

Suppose $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right) \cap(1, \infty) \neq \emptyset$. Then there are $w_{2}$ and $w_{3}$ in $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right), 1<$ $w_{2}<w_{3}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w_{2}^{n+1}=w_{3}^{n}$. Then $\left[w_{2}^{n}, w_{2}^{n+1}\right]=\left[w_{2}^{n}, w_{3}^{n}\right]$ so for each $x \in\left[w_{2}^{n}, w_{2}^{n+1}\right]$, we have $x S(\theta) \subseteq S(\theta)$. Since $w_{2} S(\theta) \subseteq S(\theta)$, we have $x \in\left[w_{2}^{n+1}, w_{2}^{n+2}\right]$ implying $x S(\theta) \subseteq S(\theta)$, and so on, giving $x S(\theta) \subseteq S(\theta)$ for all $x \geq w_{2}^{n}$. Note $S(\theta) \neq \emptyset$, so taking $y \in S(\theta),\left[w_{2}^{n} y, \infty\right) \subseteq S(\theta)$.

If $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right) \cap(-\infty,-1) \neq \emptyset$, then $\left(w_{0}^{2}, w_{1}^{2}\right) \cap(1, \infty) \neq \emptyset$ and we apply the argument above with $w_{0}^{2}$ and $w_{1}^{2}$ instead of $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$.

If $\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right) \cap(-1,1) \neq \emptyset$, then a similar argument gives $\left(0, w^{n} y\right] \subseteq S(\theta)$ for $y \in S(\theta)$. Now the complement $S(\theta)^{\prime}$ is nonempty and invariant under $\left\{w^{-1}, ; w \in\right.$ $\left.\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)\right\}$. Hence when $S(\theta) \supseteq(0, M], S(\theta)^{\prime} \supseteq[N, \infty)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{R}$, and when $S(\theta) \supseteq[N, \infty), S(\theta)^{\prime} \supseteq(0, M]$.

Lemma 6. Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is invariant under projections onto lines. Let $B$ contain $(0, \varepsilon) x$ for some $x \neq 0, \varepsilon>0$. Then $B$ is one of (a), (b) or (c) of Theorem 1.

Proof: We may suppose none of these hold. Hence there is a projection $P$ onto $\mathbb{R} y$ for some $\mathbb{R} y \neq \mathbb{R} x$, not along $x$, giving $\varepsilon_{y}>0$ with $\left(0, \varepsilon_{y}\right] y \subseteq B$, replacing $y$ by $-y$ if required.

Let $K=\{y:[0,1] y \subseteq B\}$. Suppose $y, z \in K$, linearly independent. Let $P$ be a projection on $\mathbb{R}(y+z), P(B) \subset B . P^{-1}((y+z) / 2)$ intersects $(0,1] y$ or $(0,1] z$, giving $(y+z) / 2 \in K$. If $y, z \in K$ and are linearly dependent, then $(y+z) / 2 \in K$, so $K$ is a closed convex set invariant under projections onto lines.

Suppose there is $w \neq 0, \lambda_{n} \downarrow 0, \lambda_{n} w \notin K$. Then let us project onto $\mathbb{R} w$ along $s$. We find $K \subseteq(-\infty, 0] w+\mathbb{R} s$. But since for all $y, y$ or $-y$ is in the cone generated by $K$, we have $(-\infty, 0)+\mathbb{R} s$ contained in this cone. It follows that $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) s \subseteq K$ for some $\varepsilon>0$, and all projections onto $\mathbb{R} t \neq \mathbb{R} s$ are along $s$, a contradiction. Hence, for all $w \neq 0$, there exists $\varepsilon>0,[0, \varepsilon] w \subseteq K$, and $0 \in \operatorname{int} K$.

Now $K$ contains no lines since $B$ doesn't. Hence $K \cap-K$ is a bounded convex neighborhood of 0 , with boundary $D$ say. Now $D \cap \partial K \neq \emptyset, \partial K$ is connected, and $D \cap \partial K$ is closed in $\partial K$, so to show $D=\partial K$, we want $D \cap \partial K$ open in $\partial K$. If we parametrize $D$ and $\partial K$ by polar coordinates, giving radius $r$ as a function of angle $\theta$, they are absolutely continuous, and a.e. ( $\theta$ ) we have the derivative of $r$ with respect to $\theta$ unique and equal for both curves since for all $\theta$ there exist supporting lines to $K$ and $K \cap-K$ which are parallel.

We claim $K=B$. Since $K$ is a convex bounded neighborhood of $0, \alpha(\theta)$ is nondecreasing, apart from a jump from $\frac{\pi}{2}$ to $\frac{-\pi}{2}$, and has period $\pi$. We may take $\theta$ so that $\alpha(\theta)$ is not constant on a neighborhood of $\theta$. And if $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow \theta, \lambda_{n} \in$ $\alpha\left(\varphi_{n}\right), \lambda_{n} \neq \mu \in \alpha(\theta)$, then $\lambda_{n}$ stays away from $\theta(\bmod \pi)$ since $\operatorname{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma $1, S(\theta)$ is an interval $(\theta, \varepsilon]$. Here, the line $\mathbb{R} x(\theta)$ intersects $\partial K$ at a point not in the relative interior of a line segment of $\partial K$, we have $\alpha(\theta)=\gamma$ for
$\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}$ with $S\left(\theta_{1}\right)=\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right]$ and $S\left(\theta_{2}\right)=\left(0, \varepsilon_{2}\right]$. Hence $S(\theta)$ is an interval for each $\theta \in\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right]$.
Lemma 7. Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is invariant under projections onto lines. Suppose there is $w_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that either for all $n, \lambda_{n}^{-1} w_{0} \in B$, or for all $n, \lambda_{n} w_{0} \notin B$. Then $B$ is either:
(a) contained in a line $\mathbb{R} x$,
(b) a union of parallel lines, or
(c) for every nonzero $w$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, there is $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ with either $\lambda_{n}^{-1} w \in B$ for all $n$, or $\lambda_{n} w \notin B$ for all $n$.

Proof: Assume neither (a) nor (b) hold.
(i) Suppose $\lambda_{n} w_{0} \notin B, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. We claim this holds for all $w \neq 0$. Suppose not. Let $S=\{v \neq 0$ : there exists $M>0,[M, \infty) v \subseteq B\}$, so $S \neq \emptyset$, and let $z_{0} \in S$. Take $P$ a projection into $\mathbb{R} w_{0}$ along $s, P(B) \subseteq B$. Then $S \subseteq \mathbb{R} s+$ $(-\infty, 0] w_{0}$. Since (a) and (b) do not hold, there is $y \notin \mathbb{R} z_{0}, y \in S$. Hence for all $v \neq 0, v$ or $-v$ is in $S$, and so the open half plane $\mathbb{R} s+(-\infty, 0) w_{0} \subseteq S$. It follows that $s$ and $-s$ are in $S$. Hence the projection onto $\mathbb{R} x \neq \mathbb{R} s$ is along $s$, giving (b).
(ii) Suppose $\lambda_{n}^{-1} w_{0} \in B$ for all $n$. Let $S=\left\{s \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right.$ : there exists $\varepsilon_{n} \downarrow 0$, $\left.\varepsilon_{n} s \in B\right\}$. Suppose, to derive a contradiction, there is $v_{0}$ with $(0, \varepsilon) v_{0} \notin B$, for some $\varepsilon>0$, we argue as in (i) to find $S=\mathbb{R} t+(-\infty, 0] v_{0}$, if we project onto $\mathbb{R} v_{0}$ along $t$, giving (b).

Theorem 8. Let $B$ be a closed nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and suppose there is $w \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}, w \neq 0$, and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, such that $\lambda_{n}^{-1} w \in B$ or $\lambda_{n} w \notin B$.

For every one dimensional subspace $m$, there exists a linear projection $P: \mathbb{R}^{2}$ $\rightarrow m$ with $P(B) \subseteq B$ iff $B$ is one of:
(a) a subset, containing 0 , of a line through 0 ,
(b) a union of parallel lines, containing 0 ,
(c) a bounded convex symmetric neighborhood of 0 .

Proof: This follows from Lemmas 1 to 7 .
Proposition 9. Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, such that for all $w$ in an $n-1$ dimensional subspace $W$, there is a sequence $\left(w_{k}\right)$ in $(0, \infty) w \cap B$ tending to 0 , or a sequence $\left(w_{k}\right)$ in $(0, \infty) w \cap B^{\prime},\left\|w_{k}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$.
$B$ is invariant under projections onto lines iff $B$ is one of:
(a) $S+E, E$ a subspace, $0 \in S \subseteq \ell, \ell$ a 1 dimensional subspace, $\ell \cap E=\{0\}, S$ not convex and symmetric,
(b) $B+E, B$ the unit ball in a subspace $M$, given by a norm, and $E$ a subspace with $M \cap E=\{0\}$.

Proof: $\Longleftarrow$ Straightforward.
$\Longrightarrow$ Suppose (b) does not hold. We claim there is $e_{1} \neq 0$ with $B \cap \mathbb{R} e_{1}$ not convex or not symmetric about 0 .

If $B$ is not symmetric, this is immediate. Suppose $B$ is not convex, so there are $a, b$ in $B$ with $(a+b) / 2 \notin B$. We may assume $\{a, b\}$ linearly independent. There is $w \neq 0$ in $(\mathbb{R} a+\mathbb{R} b) \cap W$. Hence $B \cap(\mathbb{R} a+\mathbb{R} b)$ is a union of parallel lines on a subset of a line, and is not convex, giving $e_{1}$.

Let $F$ be the linear span of $B$, of dimension $m$. Suppose $b \in B \backslash \mathbb{R} e_{1}$. Then $B \cap\left(\mathbb{R} e_{1}+\mathbb{R} b\right)$ is a union of parallel lines, $S+\mathbb{R} e_{2}$ say, since $B \cap \mathbb{R} e_{1}$ is not symmetric or not convex. If $m>2$, take $b \notin \mathbb{R} e_{1}+\mathbb{R} e_{2}, b \in B$, giving $e_{3} \notin \mathbb{R} e_{1}+\mathbb{R} e_{2}$ with $S+\mathbb{R} e_{3} \subseteq B$.

Continuing, we have a basis $\left(e_{1}, \ldots e_{m}\right)$ of $F$ with $S+\mathbb{R} e_{1} \subseteq B$ for $i \geq 2$. We see that $S+E \subseteq B$, where $E=\mathbb{R} e_{2}+\cdots+\mathbb{R} e_{m}$. But if $P(B) \subset B$ and $P$ projects $F$ on $\mathbb{R} e_{1}$, then $P(E)=\{0\}$, so $B \subseteq S+E$, giving $B=S+E$.

Example 10. We give the simplest example of a closed nonempty subset $B$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is invariant under projections onto lines, but which has, for all $x \neq 0,(0, \varepsilon) x \subseteq$ $B^{\prime}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$ and $[M, \infty) x \subseteq B$ for some $M$.

$$
B=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}\left\{x: x_{i} \in(-\infty,-1] \cup\{0\} \cup[1, \infty)\right\}
$$

Problem 11. How can one describe all such sets as the above (by other than their defining property of being invariant under projections onto lines)?

Theorem 12. Let $B$ be a nonempty closed subset of a real locally convex topological vector space $E$, whose closed subspaces are barrelled. Suppose for all $w$ in a hyperplane $W$, there is a sequence $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda_{k} w \notin B$ or $\lambda_{k}^{-1} w \in B$.

For all one dimensional subspaces $m$, there exists a continuous linear projection $P: E \rightarrow m$ such that $P(B) \subseteq B$ is one of:
(a) a closed convex circled subset whose linear hull is closed,
(b) $S+F$, where $0 \in S, S$ a closed subset of a one dimensional subspace $\ell, S$ not both convex and symmetric, $F$ a closed linear subspace not containing $\ell$.

Proof: $\Longrightarrow$ Suppose for all finite dimensional subspaces $X$ of $E, B \cap X$ is a closed convex circled set. Then $B$ is a closed convex circled set. Let $G$ denote its linear hull. If $G$ is not closed, we can take a one dimensional subspace $m \subseteq \bar{G}$ with $m \cap G=\{0\}$. Let $P$ be a projection on $m$ with $P(B) \subseteq B$. Since $P(B) \subseteq m \cap B=\{0\}, P=0$ on $G$ by linearity and on $\bar{G}$ by continuity, contradicting $P$ being the identity on $m$. Hence $G$ is closed.

Otherwise, by Theorem 1.9, there is a finite dimensional subspace $X$ with $B \cap X=$ $S+F_{X}$, where $S$ is a subset of a 1 dimensional subspace $\ell$, not both convex and symmetric, and $F_{X}$ is a linear subspace, $S \nsubseteq F_{X}$. For $Y$ a finite dimensional subspace, $Y \supseteq X$, we have $B \cap Y=S+F_{Y}, F_{Y}$ a linear subspace, $S \varsubsetneqq F_{Y}$. Let $F=\operatorname{cl}(V)\left\{F_{Y}: Y \geq X\right\}$. Now claim $B=S+F$ and $\ell \nsubseteq F$. Projecting onto $\ell$ with $P, P(B) \subseteq B$, we have $F_{Y} \subseteq N(P)$ for all $Y$, and $N(P)$ is closed, giving $F \subseteq N(P)$ and $\ell \nsubseteq F$. If $b \in B$, take $Y$ a finite dimensional subspace containing $b$ and $X$, so $b \in S+F_{Y} \subseteq S+F$. Since for all $Y, S+F_{Y} \subseteq B$ and $B$ is closed, $S+F \subseteq B$, proving the claim.
$\Longleftarrow$ Let $H$ be the linear hull of $B$. Note $H$ is closed. Suppose $m \varsubsetneqq H, m=\mathbb{R} x_{m}$ say. Take a nonempty convex open neighborhood $A$ of $x_{m}$ not intersecting $H$. By Mazur's theorem, a geometrical version of Hahn-Banach, ([1, II, Theorem 3.1]), there is a closed hyperplane in $E$ containing $M$ and not intersecting $A$. This gives a continuous linear $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(H)=0, f\left(x_{m}\right)=1$, and put $P y=f(y) x_{m}$.

Suppose $m \subseteq B, m=\mathbb{R} x_{m}$ say, take a continuous linear $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f\left(x_{m}\right)$ $=1$ and put $P y=f(y) x_{m}$. Now suppose $m \subseteq H, m \nsubseteq B$. In case (a), since $H$ is barrelled, $B$ is a neighborhood of 0 in $H$, being a barrel in it. We let $m=\mathbb{R} x_{m}$ where $x_{m}$ is in the boundary of $B$ in $H$. By the First Separation Theorem ( $[1, ~ I I$, Theorem 9.1, Corollary]), there is a closed real hyperplane in $H$ supporting $B$ at $x_{m}$, giving $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear, continuous, with $f\left(x_{m}\right)=1$. Extending $f$ to $E[1, \mathrm{II}$, Theorem 4.2]) gives $P y=f(y) x_{m}$ as required.

In case (b), take a closed hyperplane in $H$ containing $F$, but not $x_{m}$, by Mazur's theorem as above, i.e. a continuous linear $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f\left(x_{m}\right)=1$. Extending $f$ to $E$ gives $P y=f(y) x_{m}$ as required.
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