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# GLOBAL DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY OF SURFACES IN AFFINE SPACE 

Alors Svec, Praha

(Received May 4, 1963)
For a surface in the affine space $A_{3}$ a certain tensor is defined, this tensor being the fundamental object for surfaces with non-planar points.
S. Sasaki has proved the following theorem (see [1], Theorem 4, p. 81): In the Euclidean space $E_{3}$, let two surfaces $S, S^{\prime}$ and a diffeomorphism $C: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ be given. If the first and second tensors are equal at the points $p \in S$ and $C(p) \in S^{\prime}$ for each $p \in S$, then the surfaces $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are globally equal, i. e. there exists an isometry $\mathfrak{J}: E_{3} \rightarrow E_{3}$ such that $\mathscr{S}(p)=C(p)$ for each $p \in S$. In this paper, I define a certain tensor field on a surface of the affine space $A_{3}$, and prove a theorem which is analoguous to that of Sasaki.

## 1. REPRESENTATION OF GROUPS $L_{n}^{2}$ AND $L_{n}^{3}$

Let $L_{n} \equiv L_{n}^{1}$ be the linear group, i. e. the set of matrices $\left(A_{i}^{i^{\prime}}\right)$ with $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{i}^{i^{\prime}}\right) \neq 0$. $F$ being an $n$-dimensional vector space with a base $e^{i}$, let $\mathscr{T}$ denote the obvious representation of the group $L_{n}$ in $F$ given by $e^{i^{\prime}}=A_{i}^{i^{\prime}} e^{i^{i}}$. Let $L_{n}^{3}$ be the second extension of the group $L_{n}$, i. e. the set of elements $\left(A_{i}^{i^{\prime}}, A_{i j}^{i^{\prime}}, A_{i j k}^{i^{\prime}}\right)$ with multiplication as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(A_{i}^{i^{\prime}}, A_{i j}^{i^{\prime}}, A_{i j k}^{i^{\prime}}\right) \cdot\left(A_{i^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime \prime}}, A_{i^{\prime} \prime^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime}}, A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\left(A_{i}^{i^{\prime \prime}}, A_{i j}^{i^{\prime \prime}}, A_{i j k}^{i^{\prime \prime}}\right) ;  \tag{1.1}\\
A_{i}^{i^{\prime \prime}}=A_{i}^{i^{\prime}} A_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime^{\prime}}, \quad A_{i j}^{i^{\prime \prime}}=A_{i j^{\prime} j^{\prime}} A_{i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime \prime}}+A_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{i^{\prime}} A_{i j}^{i^{\prime}}, \\
A_{i j k}^{i^{\prime \prime}}=A_{i j k}^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime}}+A_{i}^{i^{\prime}} A_{j k}^{j^{\prime}} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime}}+A_{j}^{i^{\prime}} A_{i k}^{i^{\prime}} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime}}+A_{k}^{k^{\prime}} A_{i j}^{i^{\prime}} A_{i^{\prime} k^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime \prime}}+A_{i j k}^{i^{\prime}} i_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

here we denote $A_{i j}^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}=A_{i}^{i^{\prime}} A_{j}^{j^{\prime}}$ etc.
Now let an $n$-dimensional vector space with a fixed base be given, denote it by $E, F, G, H, K$, the vectors of the base being denoted by $e^{i}, \ldots, k^{i}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left(\otimes^{5} E\right) \oplus\left(\otimes^{4} F\right) \oplus\left(\otimes^{4} G\right) \oplus\left(\otimes^{3} H\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\otimes^{i} K=K \otimes \ldots \otimes K(i$ times), and $\otimes(\oplus)$ denotes the tensor product (direct sum). Further, denote by $e^{i j \ldots k}=e^{i} \otimes e^{j} \otimes \ldots \otimes e^{k}$ the base of the space $E \otimes$ $\otimes \ldots \otimes E$.

## Proposition 1. The transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i j r s t}=A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{i j} e^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}},}, \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{i j r s}=A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i j}\left(A_{t^{\prime}}^{r} A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{s^{\prime}}^{r} A_{t^{\prime} r^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{r^{\prime}}^{r} A_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{s}\right) e^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}+A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{i j i y^{\prime} g^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}, \\
& h^{i r s}=\left\{A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i}\left(A_{t^{\prime}}^{r} A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{s^{\prime}}^{r} A_{t^{\prime} r^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{r^{\prime}}^{r} A_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{s}\right)-A_{i^{\prime}}^{r} A_{j^{\prime}}^{s}, A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{i}\right\} e^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}+ \\
& +A_{i^{\prime}}^{i}\left(A_{t^{r}}^{r}, A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{s^{\prime}}^{r} i A_{t^{\prime} r^{\prime}}^{s^{\prime}}+A_{r^{\prime}}^{r} A_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{s}\right) f^{i^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}+ \\
& +\left(A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{r s} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i}-A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} \prime^{r s}}^{i s} A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{i}\right) g^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}+A_{i^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{i r s} h^{i^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

give rise to a representation $\mathscr{S}$ of the group $L_{n}^{3}$ in M. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left(\otimes^{3} F\right) \oplus\left(\otimes^{2} E\right) \text { or } P=\left(\otimes^{4} E\right) \oplus\left(\otimes^{3} F\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{r s t} & =A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{r s t} f^{r} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}
\end{aligned}, \quad \begin{aligned}
& e^{r s}  \tag{1.5}\\
& =\left(A_{r^{\prime}}^{r} A_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{s^{\prime}}^{r} A_{t^{\prime} r^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{t^{\prime}}^{r} A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{s}\right) f^{r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}+A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{r s} e^{r^{r^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}},}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i j r s}=A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} e^{i j} e^{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}},}, \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

give rise to a representation $\mathscr{R}_{1}$ or $\mathscr{R}_{2}$ in $N$ or P respectively.
The projection $\pi_{1}$ of the representation $\mathscr{S}$ into the space $\otimes^{3} H$ is isomorphic to $\otimes^{3} \mathscr{T}$, the projection $\pi_{2}$ into the space $\left(\otimes^{4} F\right) \oplus\left(\otimes^{3} H\right)$ is isomorphic to the representation $\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{R}_{1}$, and, finally, the projection $\pi_{3}$ into the space $\left(\otimes^{4} G\right) \oplus\left(\otimes^{3} H\right)$ is isomorphic to the representation $\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{R}_{2}$.
Let an $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold $V$ be given, let $V^{k+1}$ be its $k$-th extension. Let $t$ be an $\mathscr{S}$-tensor on $V$ with values in $M . \dot{U}_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, being two coordinate neighbourhoods in the base $V$ of the principal fibre bundle $V^{4}$ and the coordinates of the tensor $t$ being defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=t_{i j r s t} e^{i j r s t}+t_{i r s t} f^{i r s t}+t_{i j r s}^{\prime} g^{i j r s}+t_{i r s} h^{i r s} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} '^{\prime} t^{\prime}}=A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}, t_{i j r s t}}^{i j r}+A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}, A_{i i^{\prime} j} f_{i} t_{i r s t}}^{i s t}+  \tag{1.8}\\
& +A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i j}\left(A_{\mathrm{t}^{\prime}}^{r} A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{r^{\prime}}^{r} A_{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{s^{\prime}}^{r}, A_{i^{\prime} r^{\prime}}^{s}\right) t_{i j r s^{\prime}}^{\prime}+ \\
& +\left\{A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i}\left(A_{t^{\prime}}^{r} A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{r^{\prime}}^{r} A_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{s^{\prime}}^{r} A_{t^{\prime} r^{\prime}}^{s}\right)-A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{i} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{r s}\right\} t_{i r s} ; \\
& t^{i r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}=A_{i^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{i r t_{i r s t}}+A_{i^{\prime}}^{i}\left(A_{t^{\prime}}^{r} A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{r^{\prime}}^{r} A_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{s}+A_{s^{\prime}}^{r} A_{t^{\prime} r^{\prime}}^{s}\right) t_{i r s}, \\
& t_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{\prime}=A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime} t_{i r s t}^{\prime}}^{i j r}+\left(A_{r_{s}^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{r s} A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{i^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime}}-A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}^{r s}, A_{r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}^{i}\right) t_{i r s}^{\prime}, \\
& t_{i^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime}}=A_{i^{\prime} r^{\prime} s^{\prime},}^{i r s} t_{i r s},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i^{\prime}}^{i}=\frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial u^{i^{\prime}}}, \quad A_{j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}^{i}=\frac{\partial^{2} u^{i}}{\partial u^{j^{\prime}} \partial u^{k^{\prime}}}, \quad A_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}^{i}=\frac{\partial^{3} u^{i}}{\partial u^{i^{\prime}} \partial u^{j^{\prime}} \partial u^{k^{\prime}}} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projections

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{1} t & =t_{i r s} h^{i r s},  \tag{1.10}\\
\pi_{2} t & =t_{i r s t} f^{i r s t}+t_{i r s} h^{i r s}, \\
\pi_{3} t & =t_{i j r s}^{\prime} j^{i j r s}+t_{i r s} h^{i r s}
\end{align*}
$$

are successively a $\left(\otimes^{3} \mathscr{T}\right)$-tensor, a $\left(\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{R}_{1}\right)$-tensor and a $\left(\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{R}_{2}\right)$-tensor.

## 2. LOCAL DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY OF SURFACES

Let $A_{3}$ be an affine space, $V_{3}$ its vector space, $D$ a two-dimensional differentiable manifold and $\tau(p, D)$ its tangent vector space at the point $p$. The mapping $(r, n): D \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow A_{3} \times V_{3}$ with the projections $r: D \rightarrow A_{3}$ and $n: D \rightarrow V_{3}$ is called a normalized surface if $(\mathrm{dr})_{p}$ is an isomorphism between $\tau(p, D)$ and $(\mathrm{d} r)_{p} \tau(p, D)$ and we have $n(p) \notin(\mathrm{d} r)_{p} \tau(p, D)$ for each $p \in D$.

Let us restrict ourselves to two coordinate neighborhoods $U_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\alpha^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset\right)$ of the manifold $D$. In the neighborhood $U_{a}$ (with the coordinates $u^{\alpha}$ ), the normalized surface is given by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\alpha} r_{\beta}=\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\varepsilon} r_{\varepsilon}+b_{\alpha \beta} n, \quad \partial_{\alpha} n=p_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} r_{\varepsilon}+q_{\alpha} n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the integrability conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
b_{[\alpha \beta]}=0, \quad R_{\gamma \beta \alpha}^{\varepsilon}=-2 b_{\alpha[\beta} p_{\gamma]}^{z},  \tag{2.2}\\
\nabla_{[\gamma} b_{\beta] \alpha}+b_{\alpha[\beta} q_{\gamma]}=0, \quad \nabla_{[\beta} q_{\alpha]}+p_{[\alpha}^{\varepsilon} b_{\beta] \varepsilon}=0, \\
\nabla_{[\beta} p_{\alpha]}^{\varepsilon}+q_{[\alpha} p_{\beta]}^{\varepsilon}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

In the intersection $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{\prime}}=A_{\gamma^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{\prime} \beta} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}-A_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta} A_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma^{\prime}},  \tag{2.3}\\
b_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}=A_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}^{\alpha \beta} b_{\alpha \beta}, \quad p_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\beta^{\prime}}=A_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta}^{\alpha \beta_{\alpha}^{\prime}} p_{\alpha}^{\beta}, \quad q_{\alpha^{\prime}}=A_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\alpha} q_{\alpha}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the normalized surface $(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{n}$ ) determines globally a linear connection and three tensors on $D$. Locally, the surface is uniquely determined by the connection and the tensors just mentioned.

Let another normalized surface $(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}): D \rightarrow A_{3} \times V_{3}$ be given. If $\mathbf{r}(p)=\boldsymbol{s}(p) \in A_{3}$ for each $p \in D$, we say that $(s, m)$ arises from $(r, n)$ by a change of the normalization. The class of the normalized surfaces, each of them arising from the others by a change of the normalization, is called a surface.

In the neighborhood $U_{a}$, the change of the normalization of the surface $(r, n)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\varphi^{2} r_{\varepsilon}+\varphi \cdot{ }^{*} \boldsymbol{n}, \quad \varphi \neq 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\left(r,{ }^{*} n\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& * \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}+\varphi^{\nu} b_{\alpha \beta}, \quad{ }^{*} b_{\alpha \beta}=\varphi b_{\alpha \beta},  \tag{2.5}\\
& { }^{*} p_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\varphi^{-1}\left(p_{\alpha}^{\beta}+\varphi^{\beta} q_{\alpha}-\partial_{\alpha} \varphi^{\beta}-\varphi^{\varepsilon} \Gamma_{\alpha \varepsilon}^{\beta}-b_{\alpha \varepsilon} \varphi^{\beta} \varphi^{\varepsilon}\right), \\
& { }^{*} q_{\alpha}=q_{\alpha}-\varphi^{\varepsilon} b_{\alpha \varepsilon}-\varphi^{-1} \partial_{\alpha} \varphi .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us introduce the object

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\ell \sigma}=\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{e}}, \boldsymbol{r}_{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{n}\right), \quad \varepsilon_{(\rho \sigma)}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{*} \varepsilon_{\varrho \sigma}=\varphi^{-1} \varepsilon_{\varrho^{\prime} \sigma}, \quad \varepsilon_{Q^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}}=A_{\varrho^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}}^{\rho^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{\varrho \sigma} ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varepsilon_{e^{\sigma} \sigma}$ is a globally defined tensor on $D$. Furthermore, consider the following objects:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha \beta} & =\varepsilon_{\varrho \sigma} b_{\alpha \beta},  \tag{2.8}\\
T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha \beta \gamma} & =\varepsilon_{\varrho \sigma}\left(\partial_{\gamma} b_{\alpha \beta}+\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\varepsilon} b_{\gamma \varepsilon}+q_{\gamma} b_{\alpha \beta}\right), \\
T_{\varrho \sigma \tau \beta \beta}^{\prime} & =\varepsilon_{\varrho \varepsilon}\left(b_{\tau \alpha} \Gamma_{\sigma \beta}^{\varepsilon}-b_{\sigma \beta} \Gamma_{\tau \alpha}^{\varepsilon}\right), \\
T_{\varrho \sigma \tau \alpha \beta \gamma} & =T_{\varrho \varepsilon \alpha \beta \gamma} \Gamma_{\sigma \tau}^{\varepsilon}-T_{\varrho \varepsilon \sigma \tau}\left(\partial_{\gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\varepsilon}+\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\varphi} \Gamma_{\gamma \varphi}^{e}+b_{\alpha \beta} P_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{(\varrho \sigma) \alpha \beta} & =T_{\varrho \sigma[\alpha \beta]}=0,  \tag{2.12}\\
T_{(\varrho \sigma) \alpha \beta y} & =T_{\varrho \sigma[\alpha \beta] y}=T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha[\beta \gamma]}=T_{\varrho \sigma[\alpha|\beta| \gamma]}=0, \\
T_{e[\sigma \tau] \alpha \beta}^{\prime} & =T_{\varrho \sigma \tau[\alpha \beta]}^{\prime}=T_{\varrho \sigma \tau \alpha \beta}^{\prime}-T_{\varrho \alpha \beta \sigma \tau}^{\prime}=0, \\
T_{e[\sigma \tau] \alpha \beta y}^{\prime} & =T_{\varrho \sigma \tau[\alpha \beta] y}=T_{\varrho \sigma \tau \alpha[\beta \gamma]}=T_{e \sigma \tau[\alpha|\beta| y]}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2. Consider the space $K \otimes M$ and the representation $\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{S}$ of the group $L_{2}^{3}$ in this space. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
T= & T_{\varrho \sigma \tau \alpha \beta \gamma}\left(k^{e} \otimes e^{\sigma \tau \alpha \beta \gamma}\right)+T_{e \sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}\left(k^{e} \otimes f^{\sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}\right)+  \tag{2.13}\\
& +T_{e \sigma \tau \alpha \beta}^{\prime}\left(k^{e} \otimes g^{\sigma \tau \alpha \beta}\right)+T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha \beta}\left(k^{\varrho} \otimes h^{\sigma \alpha \beta}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

is a $(\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{S})$-tensor globally defined on $D$. The projections

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{1} T=T_{e \sigma \alpha \beta}\left(k^{\varrho} \otimes h^{\sigma \alpha \beta}\right),  \tag{2.14}\\
& \pi_{2} T=T_{e \sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}\left(k^{\varrho} \otimes f^{\sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}\right)+T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha \beta}\left(k^{\varrho} \otimes h^{\sigma \alpha \beta}\right),  \tag{2.15}\\
& \pi_{3} T=T_{\varrho \sigma \sigma \alpha \beta}^{\prime}\left(k^{\varrho} \otimes g^{\sigma \tau \alpha \beta}\right)+T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha \beta}\left(k^{\varrho} \otimes h^{\sigma \alpha \beta}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

are successively $a\left(\otimes^{4} \mathscr{T}\right)$-tensor (the so-called asymptotic tensor), $a\left(\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{R}_{1}\right)$ tensor and $a\left(\mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{T} \otimes \mathscr{R}_{2}\right)$-tensor.

Proposition 3. For two normalized surfaces ( $\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{n}),\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{;}{ }^{*} \boldsymbol{n}\right): D \rightarrow A_{3} \times V_{3}$, we have $T={ }^{*} T$.

## 3. DEFORMATION OF SURFACES

Let us consider two normalized surfaces $(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{n}),(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}): D \rightarrow A_{3} \times V_{3}$. We say that the surfaces $\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}: D \rightarrow A_{3}$ are in an affine deformation of the second order if for each $p \in D$ there is a non-singular affine collineation $\mathfrak{H}(p)=\mathfrak{A}: A_{3} \rightarrow A_{3}$ such that $(\mathfrak{A}(p), \boldsymbol{r})(p)=\boldsymbol{s}(p)$ and $\mathfrak{A}(p)(\boldsymbol{r}(D))$ and $\boldsymbol{s}(D)$ have an analytic contact of the second order at the point $s(p)$. In $U_{\alpha} \subset D$, let $(r, n)$ be given by $(2.1)$ and $(s, m)$ by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{s}_{\beta}=\left(\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{e}+G_{\alpha \beta}^{\varepsilon}\right) \boldsymbol{s}_{\varepsilon}+\left(b_{\alpha \beta}+B_{\alpha \beta}\right) \boldsymbol{m},  \tag{3.1}\\
& \partial_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{m}=\left(p_{\alpha}^{e}+P_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon}\right) \boldsymbol{s}_{\varepsilon}+\left(q_{\alpha}+Q_{\alpha}\right) \boldsymbol{m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may suppose $\varepsilon_{\rho \sigma}=\bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho \sigma}=\left(s_{\rho}, s_{\sigma}, m\right)$. The osculating affine collineation $\mathfrak{A}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{H} \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{s}, \quad \mathscr{A} \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}=\mathbf{s}_{\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{A} \boldsymbol{n}=\pi^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{s}_{\varepsilon}+\pi \mathbf{m}, \quad \pi \neq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\partial_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{r}_{\beta}=\partial_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{s}_{\beta}+\Phi_{\alpha \beta}^{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{s}_{\varepsilon}+\Phi_{\alpha \beta} \boldsymbol{m},\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
& \Phi_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=\pi^{\gamma} b_{\alpha \beta}-G_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}, \quad \Phi_{\alpha \beta}=\pi b_{\alpha \beta}-\left(b_{\alpha \beta}+B_{\alpha \beta}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for $r$ and $s$ to be in a deformation is the exist tence of $\pi \neq 0$ and $\pi^{\gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=\pi^{\nu} b_{\alpha \beta}, \quad B_{\alpha \beta}=\pi b_{\alpha \beta}-b_{\alpha \beta} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From ( $2.6_{1,2}$ ) we obtain: A necessary and sufficient condition for $r$ and $s$ to be in a deformation is the existence of normalizations such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varepsilon}_{\rho \sigma}=\varepsilon_{\rho \sigma}, \quad G_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=0, \quad B_{\alpha \beta}=0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r$ and $s$ are in a deformation, we have $\pi_{3} T^{(r)}=\pi_{3} T^{(s)}$, where $T^{(r)}$ is the tensor $T$ associated with the surface $r$. Conversely, let $\pi_{3} T^{(r)}=\pi_{3} T^{(s)}$. From (3.6 $)$ we obtain $B_{\alpha \beta}=0$, and then

$$
\varepsilon_{\varrho \varphi}\left(b_{\alpha \beta} G_{\sigma \gamma}^{\varphi}-b_{\sigma \gamma} G_{\alpha \beta}^{\varphi}\right)=0
$$

from $T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}^{(r)}=T_{\varrho \sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}^{(s)}$. Choose $\varrho=1$ or $\varrho=2$ and let $\tau \neq \varrho$. Then the preceding equation reduces to

$$
b_{\alpha \beta} G_{\sigma \gamma}^{\tau}-b_{\sigma \gamma} G_{\alpha \beta}^{\tau}=0
$$

But this is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a $\varphi^{\gamma}$ such that $G_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=\varphi^{\nu} b_{\alpha \beta}$. After a convenient change of the normalization (2.4) with $\varphi=1$ of the surface $r$ we obtain $* \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}+G_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}$.

Proposition 4, A necessary and sufficient condition for the surfaces $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}: D \rightarrow A_{3}$ to be in an affine deformation of the second order is $\pi_{3} T^{(r)}=\pi_{3} T^{(s)}$.

## 4.'SURFACES WITH NON-ZERO ASYMPTOTIC TENSOR

Let two surfaces $\boldsymbol{r}$, $\boldsymbol{s}: D \rightarrow A_{3}$ with $T^{(r)}=T^{(s)}$ be given. If these surfaces are in an affine deformation of the second order, one may find normalized surfaces ( $\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{n}$ ), $(s, \boldsymbol{m}): D \rightarrow A_{3} \times V_{3}$ such that (3.6) holds in every $U_{\alpha}$. Let us restrict ourselves to $U_{\alpha}$. From $T_{e \sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}^{(r)}=T_{e \sigma \alpha \beta \gamma}^{(s)}, T_{e \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma}^{(r)}=T_{e \sigma \tau \alpha \beta \gamma}^{(s)}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\alpha \beta} Q_{\gamma}=0, \quad \varepsilon_{e \varepsilon} b_{\partial \tau} b_{\alpha \beta} P_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the rank of the matrix $\left(b_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ be $\geqq 1$, and say, $b_{\xi \eta} \neq 0$ for some fixed $\xi, \eta=1,2$. In (4.1) take $\alpha=\sigma=\xi, \beta=\dot{\tau}=\eta$. If $\varphi \neq \varrho$, we obtain $Q_{\gamma}=P_{\gamma}^{\varphi}=0$.

Proposition 5. Assume that the surfaces $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}: D \rightarrow A_{3}$ have the following properties: $1^{\circ} T^{(r)}=T^{(s)}, 2^{\circ}$ there is no point of $\mathbf{r}$ or such that all the tangent directions at this point are asymptotic. Then for each $p \in D$ there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(p) \subset D$ and an affine collineation $\mathfrak{A}(p): A_{3} \rightarrow A_{3}$ such that

$$
\left(\mathfrak{H}(p)_{0}(\boldsymbol{r} \mid \mathcal{O}(p))(q)=(\boldsymbol{s} \mid \mathcal{O}(p))(q)\right.
$$

for each $q \in \mathcal{O}(p)$.

## 5. GLOBAL DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY OF SURFACES

Let us consider the 12-dimensional space $R^{12}$ ( $R$ being the real numbers) with the coordinates $\left(r^{A}, r_{1}^{A}, r_{2}^{A}, n^{A}\right), A=1,2,3$. Let a set $K \subset R^{12}$ be given by the equations

$$
r_{1}^{1} r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{1}=r_{1}^{1} r_{3}^{3}-r_{1}^{3} r_{2}^{1}=0
$$

and let $F=R^{12}-K$.
The manifold $D$ may be considered as the base of a fibre bundle $B$ with the fibre type $F$, the structural group $G$

$$
\bar{x}^{A}=x^{A} ; \quad \bar{x}_{\alpha}^{A}=a_{\alpha}^{\beta} x_{\beta}^{A}, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(a_{\alpha}^{\beta}\right) \neq 0 ; \quad \bar{n}^{A}=n^{A},
$$

and the projection $\pi: B \rightarrow D$. Cover the manifold by the coordinate neighborhoods $U_{\alpha}$; we have $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)=U_{\alpha} \times F$. For two neighborhoods $U_{\alpha}, U_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ with $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\alpha^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$, let us introduce the identification

$$
\tilde{r}^{A}=r^{A}, \quad \tilde{r}_{\alpha}^{A}=A_{\alpha}^{\alpha^{\alpha}} r_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{A}, \quad \tilde{n}^{A}=n^{A}
$$

In every trivial fibre bundle $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$, define a two-dimensional distribution $\Delta$ by the vectors

$$
\xi_{\alpha}=\left(\delta_{\alpha}^{1}, \delta_{\alpha}^{2}, r_{\alpha}^{A}, \Gamma_{1 \alpha}^{e} r_{\varepsilon}^{A}+b_{1 \varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}, \Gamma_{2 \alpha}^{e} r_{\varepsilon}^{A}+b_{2 \varepsilon} n^{2}, p_{\alpha}^{2} r_{\varepsilon}^{A}+q_{\alpha} n^{A}\right)
$$

Following S. Sasaki, one may prove that the distribution $\Delta$ is globally defined and involutive. This enables us to formulate and prove the following two propositions.

Proposition 6. On the manifold $D$, let a connection $\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}$ and tensors $b_{\alpha \beta}, p_{\alpha}^{\beta}, q_{\alpha}$ satisfying (2.2) be given. Then there exists a uniquely determined normalized surface $(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{n}): D \rightarrow A_{3} \times V_{3}$ such that in every coordinate neighborhood $U_{\alpha}$ we have (2.1).

Proposition 7. Let $D$ be a manifold and $r, s: D \rightarrow A_{3}$ be two surfaces with the property that there is no point of r or s such that all the tangent directions at this point are asymptotic. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an affine collineation $\mathfrak{A}: A_{3} \rightarrow A_{3}$ such that $\left(\mathfrak{M}_{0} r\right)(p)=s(p)$ for each $p \in D$ is $T^{(r)}=T^{(s)}$.
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Résumé

## GLOBÁLNÍ DIFERENCIÁLNÍ GEOMETRIE PLOCH AFINNÍHO PROSTORU

Alois Švec, Praha

Je nalezen geometrický objekt, určující jednoznačně a globálně plochu trojrozměrného afinního prostoru.

## Резюме

## ГЛОБАЛЬНАЯ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНАЯ ГЕОМЕТРИЯ ПОВЕРХНОСТЕЙ АФФИННОГО ПРОСТРАНСТВА

АЛОИС ШВЕЦ (Alois Švec), Прага
Находится геометрический объект, определяющий однозначно к глобально поверхность трехмерного аффинного пространства.

