

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky

Alois Švec

On an integral formula

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 103 (1978), No. 3, 303--307

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117975>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON AN INTEGRAL FORMULA

ALOIS ŠVEC, Olomouc

(Received January 13, 1977)

One of the main tools used in the global differential geometry is the integral formula (1.14.1) of [1] for the so-called Codazzi-tensors. In the following paper, I present a more (possibly the most) general integral formula; the above mentioned formula appears then as its simple corollary.

Given a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $\dim M = n$. Let ∇ be its associated Euclidean connection. In each coordinate neighborhood U of M , we may choose orthonormal sections $\{v_1 \dots v_n\}$ of $T(U)$ such that ∇ is given by

$$(1) \quad \nabla m = \omega^i v_i, \quad \nabla v_i = \omega_i^j v_j; \quad \omega_i^j + \omega_j^i = 0$$

and we have

$$(2) \quad d\omega^i = \omega^j \wedge \omega_i^j, \quad d\omega_i^j = \omega_k^k \wedge \omega_i^j - \frac{1}{2} R_{ikl}^j \omega^k \wedge \omega^l, \quad R_{ikl}^j + R_{ilk}^j = 0.$$

The curvature tensor (at $m \in U$)

$$(3) \quad R : T_m(M) \times T_m(M) \times T_m(M) \rightarrow T_m(M),$$

$$R(y^i v_i, z^i v_i)(x^i v_i) = R_{ikl}^j x^i y^k z^l v_j$$

satisfies (2₃) and

$$(4) \quad R_{ikl}^j + R_{jkl}^i = 0, \quad R_{ikl}^j = R_{kil}^j, \quad R_{ikl}^j + R_{ilk}^k + R_{ilj}^k = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(5) \quad R(y, z)x + R(z, y)x = 0, \quad g(x, R(z, t)y) + g(y, R(z, t)x) = 0,$$

$$g(y, R(z, t)x) = g(t, R(x, y)z),$$

$$g(y, R(z, t)x) + g(z, R(t, y)x) + g(t, R(y, z)x) = 0$$

for $x, y, z, t \in T_m(M)$.

Let (E, g^*) , $\dim E = n + N$ be a Euclidean vector bundle over M ; on E , let a metric connection ∇^* satisfying

$$(6) \quad \nabla_x^* g^*(\xi, \eta) = g^*(\nabla_x^* \xi, \eta) + g^*(\xi, \nabla_x^* \eta)$$

for each $x \in T_m(M)$, $m \in M$ and local sections $\xi, \eta : M \rightarrow E$ defined in a neighborhood of m be given. Suppose that E is trivial over U , and let $w_\alpha : U \rightarrow E$ ($\alpha, \beta, \dots = 1, \dots, N$) be orthonormal sections; ∇^* is then given by

$$(7) \quad \nabla^* w_\alpha = \tau_\alpha^\beta w_\beta, \quad \tau_\alpha^\beta + \tau_\beta^\alpha = 0.$$

We have

$$(8) \quad d\tau_\alpha^\beta = \tau_\alpha^\gamma \wedge \tau_\gamma^\beta - \frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha ij}^\beta \omega^i \wedge \omega^j, \quad S_{\alpha ij}^\beta + S_{\alpha ji}^\beta = 0,$$

the curvature tensor of ∇^* being

$$(9) \quad S : T_m(M) \times T_m(M) \times E_m \rightarrow E_m,$$

$$S(x^i v_i, y^i v_i)(\xi^\alpha w_\alpha) = S_{\alpha ij}^\beta \xi^\alpha x^i y^j w_\beta.$$

Evidently,

$$(10) \quad S_{\alpha ij}^\beta + S_{\beta ij}^\alpha = 0,$$

i.e., S satisfies

$$(11) \quad S(x, y)\xi + S(y, x)\xi = 0, \quad g^*(\xi, S(x, y)\eta) + g^*(\eta, S(x, y)\xi) = 0$$

for each $\xi, \eta \in E_m$; $x, y \in T_m(M)$.

For each $m \in M$, let a p -linear mapping

$$(12) \quad F : \times^p T_m(M) \rightarrow E_m$$

be given. The mappings

$$(13) \quad F^{(1)} : \times^{p+1} T_m(M) \rightarrow E_m, \quad F^{(2)} : \times^{p+2} T_m(M) \rightarrow E_m$$

let be introduced by

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{(1)}(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(p)}, x) &= \nabla_x^* F(x'_{(1)}, \dots, x'_{(p)}) - \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^p F(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(r-1)}, \nabla_x x'_{(r)}, x_{(r+1)}, \dots, x_{(p)}), \\ F^{(2)} &= (F^{(1)})^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(p)}, x \in T_m(M)$ and $x'_{(1)}, \dots, x'_{(p)}$ are local sections of $T(M)$ such that $x'_{(1)}(m) = x_{(1)}, \dots, x'_{(p)}(m) = x_{(p)}$.

Lemma. *The mappings $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$ are well defined, i.e., they do not depend on the choice of the sections $x'_{(1)}, \dots, x'_{(p)}$. Further,*

$$(15) \quad F^{(2)}(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(p)}, y, x) - F^{(2)}(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(p)}, x, y) = \\ = \sum_{r=1}^d F(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(r-1)}, R(x, y) x_{(r)}, x_{(r+1)}, \dots, x_{(p)}) - S(x, y) F(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(p)}).$$

Proof. Let us write, in U ,

$$(16) \quad F(x_{(1)}^i v_i, \dots, x_{(p)}^i v_i) = F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} x_{(1)}^{i_1} \dots x_{(p)}^{i_p} w_{\alpha}.$$

The components $F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha}$ let be introduced by

$$(17) \quad dF_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} - \sum_{r=1}^p F_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} i_r + 1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} \omega_{i_r}^i + F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\beta} \tau_{\beta}^{\alpha} = F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} \omega^i.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$(18) \quad F^{(1)}(x_{(1)}^i v_i, \dots, x_{(p)}^i v_i, x^i v_i) = F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} x_{(1)}^{i_1} \dots x_{(p)}^{i_p} x^i w_{\alpha}.$$

The exterior differentiation of (17) yields

$$(19) \quad (dF_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} - F_{i_1 \dots i_p j}^{\alpha} \omega_j^i - \sum_{r=1}^p F_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} i_r + 1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} \omega_{i_r}^j + F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\beta} \tau_{\beta}^{\alpha}) \wedge \omega^i = \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{r=1}^p F_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} k i_r + 1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} R_{i_r j i}^k - F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\beta} S_{\beta j i}^{\alpha} \right) \omega^j \wedge \omega^i.$$

The components $F_{i_1 \dots i_p j i}^{\alpha}$ let be defined by

$$(20) \quad dF_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} - F_{i_1 \dots i_p j}^{\alpha} \omega_j^i - \sum_{r=1}^p F_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} i_r + 1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} \omega_{i_r}^j + F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\beta} \tau_{\beta}^{\alpha} = F_{i_1 \dots i_p j i}^{\alpha} \omega^j.$$

Clearly,

$$(21) \quad F^{(2)}(x_{(1)}^i v_i, \dots, x_{(p)}^i v_i, x^i v_i, y^i v_i) = F_{i_1 \dots i_p j i}^{\alpha} x_{(1)}^{i_1} \dots x_{(p)}^{i_p} x^i y^j w_{\alpha},$$

and (19) implies

$$(22) \quad F_{i_1 \dots i_p j i}^{\alpha} - F_{i_1 \dots i_p j i}^{\alpha} = \sum_{r=1}^p F_{i_1 \dots i_{r-1} k i_r + 1 \dots i_p}^{\alpha} R_{i_r j i}^k - F_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{\beta} S_{\beta j i}^{\alpha},$$

i.e., (15). QED.

Let us introduce the following notation. For $H : \times^q T_m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a q -linear mapping, $q \geq 2$, $1 \leq q_1 < q_2 \leq q$, write

$$(23) \quad H(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(q_1-1)}, A, x_{(q_1+1)}, \dots, x_{(q_2-1)}, A, x_{(q_2+1)}, \dots, x_{(q)}) = \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n H(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(q_1-1)}, v_i, x_{(q_1+1)}, \dots, x_{(q_2-1)}, v_i, x_{(q_2+1)}, \dots, x_{(q)}),$$

where $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis of $T_m(M)$; (23) is well defined.

Theorem. Let (M, g) , (E, g^*, ∇^*) be as above. At each $m \in M$, let p -linear mappings $F, G : \times^p T_m(M) \rightarrow E_m$ be given. On M , consider the 1-form

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(x) = & g^*(F(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, x), G^{(1)}(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, B, B)) - \\ & - g^*(F(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, B), G^{(1)}(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, x, B)). \end{aligned}$$

Let ∂M be the boundary of M and $*$ the Hodge operator. Then

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} * \varphi = & \int_M \{g^*(F^{(1)}(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, B, B), G^{(1)}(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, C, C)) - \\ & - g^*(F^{(1)}(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, B, C), G^{(1)}(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, C, B)) + \\ & + g^*(F(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, B), \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} G(A_1, \dots, A_{r-1}, R(B, C) A_r, A_{r+1}, \dots, A_{p-1}, C) + \\ & + G(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, R(B, C) C) - S(B, C) G(A_1, \dots, A_{p-1}, C))\} do, \end{aligned}$$

do being the volume element on M .

Proof. On U we get

$$(26) \quad \varphi = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{i_1 j_1} \dots \delta^{i_{p-1} j_{p-1}} \delta^{kl} (F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} kl}^\beta - F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} k}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} il}^\beta) \omega^i.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad d * \varphi = & \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{i_1 j_1} \dots \delta^{i_{p-1} j_{p-1}} \delta^{kl} \delta^{ij} (F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} ij}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} kl}^\beta - F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} k}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} il}^\beta) do + \\ & + F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} klj}^\beta - F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} k}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} ilj}^\beta) do = \\ = & \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{i_1 j_1} \dots \delta^{i_{p-1} j_{p-1}} \delta^{kl} \delta^{ij} \{F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} ij}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} kl}^\beta - F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} k}^\alpha G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} il}^\beta + \\ & + F_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i}^\alpha (G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} klj}^\beta - G_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1} ilj}^\beta)\} do; \end{aligned}$$

using (22) for G , we get (25). QED.

Corollary. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Let $T(x, y)$ be a symmetric tensor on M , and let there be a function $\tau : \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $T(x, y) = \tau g(x, y)$ on ∂M . Further, let $T(x, y)$ have, at $m \in M$, orthonormal eigen-vectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ with the corresponding eigen-values k_1, \dots, k_n , and let K_{ij} be the sectional curvature corresponding to the 2-direction $\{v_i, v_j\}$. Then

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M \{T^{(1)}(A, B, B) T^{(1)}(A, C, C) - T^{(1)}(A, B, C) T^{(1)}(A, C, B) - \\ - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K_{ij} (k_i - k_j)^2\} do = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $E = M \times \mathbb{R}$, $F(x, y) = G(x, y) = T(x, y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x) &= T(A, x) T^{(1)}(A, B, B) - T(A, B) T^{(1)}(A, x, B) = \\ &= \delta^{ik} \delta^{lm} T_{ij} T_{klm} x^j - \delta^{ik} \delta^{jm} T_{ij} T_{klm} x^l.\end{aligned}$$

At $m \in \partial M$ we have $T_{ij} = \tau \delta_{ij}$ and

$$\varphi(x) = \tau \delta^{lm} (T_{jlm} - T_{ljm}) x^j = 0.$$

Further, at $m \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}T(A, B) \{T(R(B, C) A, C) + T(A, R(B, C) C)\} &= \\ &= \delta^{im} \delta^{jp} \delta^{lq} T_{ij} T_{kl} R_{mpq}^k + \delta^{ik} \delta^{jp} \delta^{qm} T_{ij} T_{kl} R_{mpq}^l = \\ &= \sum_{i,k} \delta^{im} \delta^{ip} \delta^{kq} k_i k_k R_{mpq}^k + \sum_{i,k} p^{ik} \delta^{ip} \delta^{qm} k_i k_k R_{mpq}^k = \\ &= \sum_{i,k} k_i k_k R_{ik}^k + \sum_i \delta^{qm} k_i^2 R_{miq}^i = \sum_{i,k} k_i k_k K_{ik} - \sum_{i,j} k_i^2 K_{ji} = - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (k_i - k_j)^2 K_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting these into (25), we get (28). QED.

Bibliography

- [1] U. Simon: A further method in global differential geometry. Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg, 44 (1975), 52–69.

Author's address: 771 46 Olomouc, Leninova 26 (Přírodovědecká fakulta UP).