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# ON SOLVABILITY OF EQUATIONS OF THE $4^{\text {th }}$ ORDER WITH JUMPING NONLINEARITIES 

Pavel Krejčí, Praha

(Received October 23, 1981)

## INTRODUCTION

In the study of generalized $2 \pi$-periodic solutions of the nonlinear beam equation with jumping nonlinearities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta u_{t}+u_{t t}+u_{x x x x}=\psi(u)+h, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a positive constant, $\psi$ is a continuous function with $\lim \psi(u) / u=\mu$ if $u \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\lim \psi(u) / u=v$ if $u \rightarrow-\infty$ for some positive constants $\mu, v$ and $h \in L_{2}(] 0,2 \pi\left[^{2}\right)$ one can proceed by the methods of [1], [2], which have been developed for the nonlinear telegiaph equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta u_{t}+u_{t t}-u_{x x}=\psi(u)+h \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with analogous assumptions for $\beta, \psi$ and $h$.
It can be shown that there exists a subset $A_{-1}$ of $] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$ such that for each $(\mu, v) \notin A_{-1}$ the equation (1) is solvable for any right-hand side $h$. The set $A_{-1}$ is defined as the set of all pairs $(\mu, v)$, for which there exists a nonconstant $2 \pi$-periodic function $u \in C^{4}\left(R^{1}\right)$ solving the ordinary differential equation of the fourth order

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\mathbf{I V}}=\mu u^{+}-v u^{-} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{+}(x)=\max (u(x), 0)$ and $u^{-}(x)=\max (-u(x), 0)$ are the positive and negative parts of $u$.

The aim of this paper is to describe the set $A_{-1}$ for the periodic problem. In 3 we will pursue a qualitative study of the boundary-value problem for the equation (3). Let us remark that the boundary-value problem for the equation (2) is solved in [3].

The cases $\mu \leqq 0$ or $v \leqq 0$ are trivial. If $\mu=0$ or $v=0$ then the only periodic solution of (3) is the constant one, if $\mu \nu<0$, then there ts no nonzero periodic solution (one can see it after integrating the equation (3) over the period). In the case
$\mu<0, v<0$ it suffices to multiply the equation (3) by $u$ and to integrate again over the period. We obtain again $u=0$.

In all the paper we denote by $] x, y[([x, y])$ the open (closed) interval with bounds $x<y$, by $\boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ the set of all real numbers and by $N$ the set of all natural numbers.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

For further investigation it is useful to put $\left.\mu=a^{4}, v=b^{4},(a, b) \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$. The equation (3) will be written in the form

$$
R(a, b): \quad u^{\mathbf{I V}}=a^{4} u^{+}-b^{4} u^{-}
$$

It is well known that the equation $R(a, b)$ for every $a, b$ satisfies the assumptions of the theorems of existence, unicity and continuous dependence of its solutions on initial conditions and parameters. Moreover, each of its solutions is defined on $\boldsymbol{R}^{1}$. The solution $u \equiv 0$ will be called trivial.
(1.1) Lemma. Let $\psi: \boldsymbol{R}^{1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ be a continuous increasing function satisfying locally the Lipschitz condition in $\boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ and let $u, v \in C^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{1}\right)$ be two solutions of the equation of the $n$-th order

$$
u^{(n)}=\psi(u)
$$

where $n$ is a given natural number. Let us assume that there exists $j \in\{0,1, \ldots$ $\ldots, n-1\}$ such that $u^{(j)}(0)>v^{(j)}(0)$ and $u^{(i)}(0) \geqq v^{(i)}(0)$ for all $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Then the functions $u^{(i)}(x)-v^{(i)}(x)$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ are increasing and positive in $] 0,+\infty[$.

Proof. Let us denote $M=\max \left\{s>0, \forall x \in\left[0, s\left[, u^{(j)}(x)>v^{(j)}(x)\right\}\right.\right.$. Obviously, $M>0$. For all $x \in] 0, M\left[\right.$ we have $u^{(i)}(x)>v^{(i)}(x)$ for $i \leqq j$; in particular $u(x)>$ $>v(x)$ for $x \in] 0, M[$. Using the fact that $\psi$ is increasing, we have $\psi(u(x))>\psi(v(x))$ and thus $u^{(n)}(x)>v^{(n)}(x)$ for $\left.x \in\right] 0, M\left[\right.$. Similarly, $u^{(j+1)}(x)>v^{(j+1)}(x)$ for every $x \in] 0, M\left[\right.$. If $M<+\infty$, then $u^{(j)}(M)>v^{(j)}(M)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $M=+\infty$, and the functions $u^{(i)}-v^{(i)}$ are positive, and hence increasing in $] 0,+\infty[$ for $i \leqq n$.

## 2. PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

The notion of a periodic solution of the equation $R(a, b)$ is considered in the sense mentioned in the introduction. Let us give now some simple results.
(2.1) If $u$ is an $\omega$-periodic solution of $R(a, b)$ with $\omega>0$, then for all $A \neq 0$, $\lambda \neq 0, \vartheta \in \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ the function $\tilde{u}$ defined by the relation

$$
\tilde{u}(x)=A u(\lambda x+\vartheta), \quad x \in R^{1}
$$

is an $\omega /|\lambda|$-periodic solution of $R(|\lambda| a,|\lambda| b)$ if $A>0$ and $R(|\lambda| b,|\lambda| a)$ if $A<0$.
(2.2) For every nontrivial solution $u$ of $R(a, b)$ the set $u^{-1}(0)$ has no limit point except maybe $+\infty$ or $-\infty$. Moreover, if $u$ is an $\omega$-periodic solution of $R(a, b)$ and $u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ at a point $x_{0} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$, then $u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot u^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<0$.

The proof of the last assertion is based on Lemma (1.1) with $u\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ or $u\left(x_{0}-x\right)$ and $v(x)=0$.

Consequently, each periodic solution $u$ of $R(a, b)$ is composed of semi-waves, where a semi-wave is the portion of the graph of $u$ between two successive zeros of $u$. The simplest periodic solution possible is the one composed of one positive and one negative semi-wave.

Let us assume that there exists such a simple $\omega$-periodic solution $u$ of $R(a, b)$, $u(0)=u\left(x_{0}\right)=u(\omega)=0,0<x_{0}<\omega$. Then the function

$$
u_{1}(x)=u(x)+u\left(x_{0}-x\right)
$$

is also an $\omega$-periodic solution of $R(a, b)$, which is moreover symmetrical in the following sense:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(0)=u_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=u_{1}(\omega)=0 \\
& u_{1}^{(i)}(0)=(-1)^{i} u_{1}^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)=u_{1}^{(i)}(\omega) \text { for } i=1,2,3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The results of this section consists in the proof of existence of symmetrical and nonexistence of nonsymmetrical periodic solutions.
(2.3) Lemma. Let $\varphi \in](3 / 4) \pi, \pi[$ be the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
\tan (x)+\operatorname{th}(x)=0
$$

and assume that there exists a positive semi-wave of a solution $u$ of $R(a, b)$ on [ $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ]. Then $x_{2}-x_{1} \leqq 2 \varphi / a$.

Proof. We have $u\left(x_{1}\right)=u\left(x_{2}\right)=0, u>0$ on $] x_{1}, x_{2}$. Thus $u$ is a solution of the linear equation $u^{\text {IV }}=a^{4} u$ in $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$, and can be written in the form

$$
u(x)=A \sin (a x)+B \cos (a x)+C \operatorname{sh}(a x)+D \operatorname{ch}(a x),
$$

where $A, B, C, D$ are real constants and $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Put $x_{0}=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) / 2, r=$ $=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) / 2$. Then the function

$$
\tilde{u}(x)=u\left(x_{0}+x\right)+u\left(x_{0}-x\right)
$$

is a symmetrical positive semi-wave of a solution of $R(a, b)$ on $[-r, r]$. Hence $\tilde{u}(x)$ is of the form

$$
\tilde{u}(x)=A\left(\cos (a x)-\frac{\cos (a r)}{\operatorname{ch}(a r)} \operatorname{ch}(a x)\right)
$$

where $A$ is a positive constant. The conditions $\tilde{u}(x)>0$ on $]-r, r\left[\right.$ and $\tilde{u}^{\prime}(-r) \geqq 0$ give us the inequality $a r \leqq \varphi$ which completes the pfoof.
(2.4) Theorem. The set $S_{1}$ of all pairs $\left.(a, b) \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$ such that there exists a nontrivial $2 \pi$-periodic solution of $R(\dot{a}, b)$, which is composed of two semiwaves, is a curve $(a, b(a))$, where $b(a)$ is a decreasing $C^{\infty}$-function defined in $] \varphi \mid \pi,+\infty[$ (see (2.3)) with $\lim b(a)=\varphi / \pi$ if $a \rightarrow+\infty$. The curve $S_{1}$ is symmetrical with respect to the straight line $b=a$ and fulfils $S_{1} \subset G_{1}$, where $G_{1}$ is the set of all pairs $(a, b) \in] \varphi / \pi,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$ such that

$$
b \geqq a,\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}-g\left(\pi a\left(1-\frac{1}{2 b}\right)\right) \geqq 0 \geqq\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}-g\left(\pi b\left(1-\frac{1}{2 a}\right)\right),
$$

or

$$
b \leqq a,\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}-g\left(\pi b\left(1-\frac{1}{2 a}\right)\right) \geqq 0 \geqq\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}-g\left(\pi a\left(1-\frac{1}{2 b}\right)\right)
$$

and $g(\dot{z})$ is the function defined for $z \in] 0, \varphi[$ by the formula

$$
g(z)=\frac{\operatorname{ch}(z) \sin (z)-\operatorname{sh}(z) \cos (z)}{\operatorname{ch}(z) \sin (z)+\operatorname{sh}(z) \cos (z)}
$$

Proof. Let us consider the positive and negative semi-waves, $u_{1}, u_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x)=A\left(\cos (a x)-\frac{\cos (a r)}{\operatorname{ch}(a r)} \operatorname{ch}(a x)\right), r>0, A>0, \quad x \in[-r, r], \\
& u_{2}(x)=-B\left(\cos (b x)-\frac{\cos (b s)}{\operatorname{ch}(b s)} \operatorname{ch}(b x)\right), s>0, \quad B>0, \quad x \in[-s, s], \\
& r+s=\pi
\end{aligned}
$$

The necessary and sufficient condition for $u_{1}, u_{2}$ to be the semiwaves of a solution of $R(a, b)$ is that of continuity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{(i)}(r)=u_{2}^{(i)}(-s), \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $u_{1}^{\prime}(r) \neq 0, u_{2}^{\prime}(-s) \neq 0$ (see (2.2)). Thus we can divide the last two equations of (2.5) by the first one. Let the function $g(z)$ be defined as above and put

$$
\left.f(z)=\frac{\operatorname{ch}(z) \cos (z)}{\operatorname{ch}(z) \sin (z)+\operatorname{sh}(z) \cos (z)}, \quad z \in\right] 0, \varphi[
$$

Then the condition (2.5) together with the assumption $r+s=\pi$ is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
a f(a r) & =-b f(b s), \\
a^{2} g(a r) & =b^{2} g(b s) \\
r+s & =\pi
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define the mapping $h: \boldsymbol{R}^{4} \rightarrow R^{3}$ with components $h^{i}: \boldsymbol{R}^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{1}(a, b, r, s)=a f(a r)+b f(b s), \\
& h^{2}(a, b, r, s)=a^{2} g(a r)-b^{2} g(b s), \\
& h^{3}(a, b, r, s)=r+s-\pi
\end{aligned}
$$

where the domain of definition of $h$ is the set
$D(h)=\left\{(a, b, r, s) \in R^{4} \mid a>0, b>0,0<r<\pi, 0<s<\pi\right.$, ar $\left.<\varphi, b s<\varphi\right\}$.
Let $J_{b, r, s}(a, b, r, s)$ denote the determinant of the Jacobi matrix of $h$ at a point $(a, b, r, s) \in h^{-1}(0)$ with respect to the variables $b, r, s$ (and analogously $J_{a, r, s}(a, b$, $r, s)$ ). Knowing that $f^{\prime}(z)=-g(z)-2 f^{2}(z)$ for every $\left.z \in\right] 0, \varphi[$, and consequently $a^{2} f^{\prime}(a r)=b^{2} f^{\prime}(b s)$ for every $(a, b, r, s) \in h^{-1}(0)$, one finds $J_{b, r, s}(a, b, r, s)=$ $=\left(f(b s)+b s f^{\prime}(b s)\right)\left(a^{3} g^{\prime}(a r)+b^{3} g^{\prime}(b s)\right)$.
Analogously,

$$
J_{a, r, s}(a, b, r, s)=\left(f(a r)+a r f^{\prime}(a r)\right)\left(a^{3} g^{\prime}(a r)+b^{3} g^{\prime}(b s)\right)
$$

For every $z \in] 0, \varphi\left[\right.$ we have $f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)<0, g^{\prime}(z)>0$. Hence, by the implicit function theorem, in a neighbourhood of an arbitrary point of $h^{-1}(0)$ there exist $C^{\infty}$-functions $r(a), s(a), b(a), b^{\prime}(a)<0$, such that $(a, b(a), r(a), s(a)) \in h^{-1}(0)$.

Put $A=\{a \in] 0,+\infty\left[\mid \exists(b, r, s) \in R^{3}, h(a, b, r, s)=0\right\}$. From the above argument it follows that $A$ is open. Let us investigate the closedness of $A$.

If $\left\{a_{n}, n \in N\right\} \subset A$, then we find the corresponding $b_{n}, r_{n}, s_{n}$ such that $h\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right.$, $\left.r_{n}, s_{n}\right)=0$ for every $n \in N$. Let $a_{n} \rightarrow a, 0<a<+\infty$. If the sequence ( $a_{n}, b_{n}, r_{n}, s_{n}$ ) has a limit point in $D(h)$, then $a \in A$, because $h^{-1}(0)$ is closed in $D(h)$. If this is not the case, then the sequence $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}, r_{n}, s_{n}\right)$ has a limit point at the boundary $\partial D(h)$. It is easy to see that there are only two symmetrical cases possible:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } r_{n} \rightarrow \pi, s_{n} \rightarrow 0, a_{n} r_{n} \rightarrow \varphi, b_{n} s_{n} \rightarrow 0, b_{n} \rightarrow+\infty, a_{n} \rightarrow \varphi / \pi \\
& \text { 2. } r_{n} \rightarrow 0, s_{n} \rightarrow \pi, a_{n} r_{n} \rightarrow 0, b_{n} s_{n} \rightarrow \varphi, b_{n} \rightarrow \varphi / \pi, a_{n} \rightarrow+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $A$ is closed in the set $] 0, \varphi / \pi[U] \varphi / \pi,+\infty[$. On the other hand, $1 \in A$ (because $h(1,1, \pi / 2, \pi / 2)=0$ ), hence $] \varphi / \pi,+\infty[\subset A$. If $A \cap] 0, \varphi / \pi[\neq \emptyset$, then there would exist a decreasing function $b(a)$ in $] 0, \varphi / \pi[$ such that $\lim b(a)=+\infty$ if $a \rightarrow \varphi / \pi$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, we have established the existence of function $b(a), r(a), s(a)$, defined for $a \in A=] \varphi / \pi,+\infty[$ and such that $b(a)$ is decreasing in $A$ and $h(a, b(a), r(a), s(a))=$ $=0$ for every $a \in A$. Moreover, $h^{-1}(0)=\{(a, b(a), r(a), s(a)), a \in A\}$, because $\left(1,1, \frac{1}{2} \pi, \frac{1}{2} \pi\right)$ is the only element of $h^{-1}(0)$ with $a=b$. Thus $S_{1}$ is the curve $(a, b(a))$, $a \in A$. The symmetry of $S_{1}$ follows e.g. from (2.1).

Now, let $(a, b, r, s) \in h^{-1}(0), \quad b \geqq a$. Then $b s \leqq \frac{1}{2} \pi \leqq a r$ and $g(a r)=$ $=(b / a)^{2} g(b s) \leqq(b / a)^{2} g\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi\right)=(b / a)^{2}$, and analogously $g(b s) \geqq(a / b)^{2}$. Therefore

$$
\frac{\pi}{2 a} \leqq r \leqq \frac{1}{a} g^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}\right), \quad \frac{1}{b} g^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}\right) \leqq s \leqq \frac{\pi}{2 b}
$$

It suffices to add these two inequalities (notice that $r+s=\pi$ ). The case $b \leqq a$ is quite similar. Thus we obtain the inclusion $S_{1} \subset G_{1}$ which completes the proof.
(2.6) Lemma. Let $(a, b) \in] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$. Then the symmetrical solution is the only periodic solution of $R(a, b)$.

Proof. The existence of the symmetrical solution follows from Theorem (2.4) and from (2.1), where $\lambda$ is such that $(\lambda a, \lambda b) \in S_{1}$. Now let $u:\left[0, r_{0}\right] \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ be a positive semi-wave of a solution of $R(a, b)$. There exist real constants $A, B, C, D$ such that for $x \in\left[0, r_{0}\right]$ we have

$$
u(x)=A \cos (a x)+B \sin (a x)+C \operatorname{ch}(a x)+D \operatorname{sh}(a x)
$$

For $r \in\left[0, r_{0}\right]$ put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(r)=A \cos (a r)+B \sin (a r) \\
& B(r)=B \cos (a r)-A \sin (a r) \\
& C(r)=C \operatorname{ch}(a r)+D \operatorname{sh}(a r) \\
& D(r)=D \operatorname{ch}(a r)+C \operatorname{sh}(a r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x)=A(r) \cos (a(x-r))+B(r) \sin (a(x-r))+C(r) \operatorname{ch}(a(x-r))+ \\
+D(r) \operatorname{sh}(a(x-r)), \quad r \in\left[0, r_{0}\right], \quad x \in\left[0, r_{0}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $u^{\prime}(0)=a(B(0)+D(0))>0$, it follows from (2.2) that $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)<0$, and therefore $B(0)>0$. Similarly we can show that $B\left(r_{0}\right)<0$. Consequently, there exists an $\left.r_{1} \in\right] 0, r_{0}\left[\right.$ such that $B\left(r_{1}\right)=0$. Obviously $A\left(r_{1}\right)>0$, because $A\left(r_{1}\right) \leqq 0, u\left(r_{1}\right) \geqq 0$ imply $C\left(r_{1}\right) \geqq\left|A\left(r_{1}\right)\right|$ and the condition $u^{\prime}(0)>0$ implies $D\left(r_{1}\right) \geqq 0$, hence $u$ is increasing, which is a contradiction. Now put $u_{1}(x)=\left(A\left(r_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} u\left(x+r_{1}\right), x \in$ $\in\left[-r_{1}, r_{0}-r_{1}\right]$. Then $u_{1}(x)=\cos (a x)+\gamma \operatorname{ch}(a x)+\delta \operatorname{sh}(a x)$, where

$$
\gamma=C\left(r_{1}\right)\left(A\left(r_{1}\right)\right)^{-1}, \quad \delta=D\left(r_{1}\right)\left(A\left(r_{1}\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

Let $u_{0}:[-\varrho, \varrho] \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ be the positive semi-wave of the symmetrical solution of $R(a, b)$,

$$
u_{0}(x)=\cos (a x)-\beta \operatorname{ch}(a x), \quad \text { where } \quad \beta=\frac{\cos (a \varrho)}{\operatorname{ch}(a \varrho)}
$$

Put $\varepsilon=\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{0}-r_{1}, \varrho\right\}$. For $x \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ we have

$$
u_{1}(x)=u_{0}(x)+(\beta+\gamma) \operatorname{ch}(a x)+\delta \operatorname{sh}(a x)
$$

The proof now follows from Lemma (1.1) for $u_{1}(x), u_{0}(x)$ if $\operatorname{sign}(\mathrm{b}+\gamma)=\operatorname{sign}(\delta)$ and for $u_{1}(-x), u_{0}(-x)$ in the other case.

Let us collect the results of this section in the following theorem.
(2.7) Theorem. The set $\tilde{A}_{-1}$ of all $\left.(a, b) \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$, for which there exists a nontrivial periodic solution of $R(a, b)$ of period $2 \pi$, is the system $\left\{S_{k}, k \in N\right\}$ of $C^{\infty}$-curves, where $S_{1}$ is described in Theorem (2.4), $S_{k}=\{(a, b) \in] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$, $\left.(a|k, b| k) \in S_{1}\right\}$, and $S_{k} \subset G_{k}$, where $G_{k}=\{(a, b) \in] 0,+\infty\left[^{2},(a|k, b| k) \in G_{1}\right\}$. In particular, $A_{-1} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{k}$. For $(a, b) \in S_{k}$ the corresponding $2 \pi$-periodic solution has exactly $2 k$ semi-waves in an interval of length $2 \pi$. This solution is unique if translations and positive multiples are not considered.
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## 3. BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM

The reasons for which the boundary value problem for the equation $R(a, b)$ is more difficult than the periodic one consist in the fact that its solutions with different numbers of semi-waves are essentially different. Nevertheless, we shall arrive at some existence results which will be summarized in Theorems (3.7), (3.8).
(3.1) Lemma. Let $(a, b) \in] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$, and let $u:\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow R^{1}\right.\right.$ be a nontrivial solution of $R(a, b), u(0)=0$. Then the following four conditions are equivalent:
(i) $u$ is unbounded;
(ii) the set $u^{-1}(0)$ is bounded;
(iii) $\lim u(x)=+\infty$ or $-\infty$ if $x \rightarrow+\infty$;
(iv) there exists $x_{0} \in\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ such that $u^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)$ have the same sign for all $i=$ $=0,1,2,3$.
Proof. The implications (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are obvious. Thus let us suppose non (ii): let $x_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$ be a sequence such that $u\left(x_{i}\right)=0$ for each $i \in N$. Denote by $\left.\xi_{i} \in\right] x_{i}, x_{i+1}\left[\right.$ the point where $\left|u\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|=\max \{|u(x)| \mid x \in] x_{i}, x_{i+1}[ \}$. Then $u^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=$ $=0$. Notice that the mapping

$$
u \mapsto-2 u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+a^{4}\left(u^{+}\right)^{2}+b^{4}\left(u^{-}\right)^{2}
$$

is the first integral of $R(a, b)$. Consequently, the values of $\left|u\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|^{2}$ are bounded by a multiple of the value of the first integial, i.e. non (i).
(3.2) Lemma. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be real numbers. For $t \in \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ denote by $u_{t}$ the solution of $R(a, b)$ with the initial conditions

$$
u_{t}(0)=0, \quad u_{t}^{\prime}(0)=\alpha, \quad u_{t}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\beta, \quad u_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=t
$$

Then there exists $t_{1} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{1}$ such that $u_{t_{1}}$ is bounded on $[0,+\infty[$.
Proof. Denote:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{+}=\left\{t \in R^{1} \mid \lim u_{t}(x)=+\infty \text { if } x \rightarrow+\infty\right\} \\
& U^{-}=\left\{t \in R^{1} \mid \lim u_{t}(x)=-\infty \text { if } x \rightarrow+\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of (3.1), (1.1) and the theorem of continuous dependence on initial conditions, $U^{+}, U^{-}$are open disjoint intervals, $\left.U^{+}=\right] t_{+},+\infty\left[, U^{-}=\right]-\infty, t_{-}[$, $t_{-} \leqq t_{+}$. The proof now follows from (3.1).

Consider now a solution $u:\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow R^{1}\right.\right.$ of $R(a, b)$ and denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}<x_{2}<x_{3}<\ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sequence (finite or infinite) of all its zeros. Notice that $u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$ (if $u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$, then (see (2.2)) $x_{i}$ is the first or the last element of the sequence (3.3), and it will not be considered).

Put $z_{i}=u^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) / u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right), y_{i}=u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) / u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots$
(3.4) Lemma. Let $\dot{x}_{i}, x_{i+1}$ be two successive points of the sequence (3.3). Then
(i) $z_{i+1}, y_{i+1}$ are continuous functions of $z_{i}, \dot{y}_{i}$,
(ii) $z_{i+1}, y_{i+1}$ as functions of $z_{i}, y_{i}$ are decreasing in both variables in their domains of definition.

Proof. Part (i) is a consequence of the existence, unicity and continuous dependence of the solution on initial conditions. For proving part (ii) it is necessary to consider a semi-wave $u:\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right] \rightarrow R^{1}$ of a solution of $R(a, b)$, and suppose $u^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)>0$ (the negative case is analogous). For the sake of simplicity put $x_{i}=0$, $x_{i+1}=r, v_{i}=a^{-2} z_{i}, v_{i+1}=a^{-2} z_{i+1}, w_{i}=a^{-1} y_{i}, w_{i+1}=a^{-1} y_{i+1}$. Obviously, $r$ can be considered a continuous function of $v_{i}$, $w_{i}$. For $x \in[0, r]$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x)=\frac{1}{2 a} u^{\prime}(0)\left[(\operatorname{sh}(a x)+\sin (a x))+w_{i}(\operatorname{ch}(a x)-\cos (a x))+\right. \\
\left.+v_{i}(\operatorname{sh}(a x)-\sin (a x))\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(x)=\frac{1}{2 a} u^{\prime}(r)\left[-(\operatorname{sh}(a(r-x))+\sin (a(r-x)))+w_{i+1}(\operatorname{ch}(a(r-x)-\right. \\
\left.-\cos (a(r-x)))-v_{i+1}(\operatorname{sh}(a(r-x))-\sin (a(r-x)))\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

From the relations

$$
u(0)=0, \quad u(r)=0, \quad v_{i+1}=u^{\prime \prime \prime}(r) / a^{2} u^{\prime}(r), \quad w_{i+1}=u^{\prime \prime}(r) / a u^{\prime}(r)
$$

we deduce the following system of three equations:
(A) $w_{i}=-P(r) v_{i}-Q(r)$,
(B) $w_{i+1}=P(r) v_{i+1}+Q(r)$,
(C) $-\frac{Q^{\prime}(r)}{P^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{v_{i} v_{i+1}-1}{v_{i}+v_{i+1}}$,
where

Now ( $A$ ) yields

$$
P(r)=\frac{\operatorname{sh}(a r)-\sin (a r)}{\operatorname{ch}(a r)-\cos (a r)}, \quad Q(r)=\frac{\operatorname{sh}(a r)+\sin (a r)}{\operatorname{ch}(a r)-\cos (a r)}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial r}{\partial v_{i}}=-\frac{P(r)}{P^{\prime}(r) v_{i}+Q^{\prime}(r)}
$$

But $P^{\prime}(r) v_{i}+Q^{\prime}(r)<0$ for every $v_{i}$, $w_{i}$, because if $P^{\prime}(r) v_{i}+Q^{\prime}(r) \geqq 0$, then (note that $\left.P^{\prime}(r)>0\right)$

$$
v_{i} \geqq-\frac{Q^{\prime}(r)}{P^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{v_{i} v_{i+1}-1}{v_{i}+v_{i+1}}, \quad \text { and } \quad v_{i}<0, \quad v_{i+1}<0
$$

hence $v_{i}^{2} \leqq-1$, which is a contradiction.
Consequently, we have $\partial r / \partial v_{i}>0$, and analogously $\partial r / \partial w_{i}>0$. From (C) we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial v_{i+1}}{\partial v_{i}}<0, \quad \frac{\partial v_{i+1}}{\partial w_{i}}<0
$$

from (B)

$$
\frac{\partial w_{i+1}}{\partial v_{i}}<0, \frac{\partial w_{i+1}}{\partial w_{i}}<0
$$

and the proof follows immediately.
Let us now consider the boundary-value problem for $R(a, b)$ on $[0, T]$ with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(0)=u(T)=0,  \tag{3.5}\\
& \lambda u^{\prime}(0)+x u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \\
& \sigma u^{\prime}(T)+\tau u^{\prime \prime}(T)=0, \\
&|\lambda|+|x|>0, \quad|\sigma|+|\tau|>0, \quad x \geqq 0, \quad \tau \geqq 0, \quad T>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $u_{t}$ be the solution of $R(a, b)$ on $[0,+\infty[$ with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
u_{t}(0)=0, & u_{t}^{\prime}(0)=x k, \quad u_{t}^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\lambda k, \quad u_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=t  \tag{3.6}\\
& k \neq 0, \quad t \in \boldsymbol{R}^{1} \quad(\text { see }(3.2)) .
\end{array}
$$

It is possible to define the sequence (3.3) of all zeros of $u_{t}$ and to put $y_{i}(t)=$ $=u_{t}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) / u_{t}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $z_{i}(t)=u_{t}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) / u_{t}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ as in (3.4). From (3.4) it follows easily that $y_{i}, z_{i}$ are strictly monotone continuous functions of $t$. By virtue of (1.1) and (3.2) their domain of definition $D_{i}$ is a bounded open non-void interval for every $i \in N$.

Let $t \rightarrow t_{0}, t \in D_{i}$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}} y_{i}(t)$ is finite, then obviously $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}} z_{i}(t)$ is finite, and the continuous dependence on initial conditions implies that $t_{0} \in D_{i}$.

Hence, $y_{i}$ is a one-to-one continuous mapping from $D_{i}$ onto $\boldsymbol{R}^{1}$. In particular, the equation

$$
y_{i}(t)=-\frac{\sigma}{\tau} \text { for } \tau \neq 0
$$

has a unique solution $t_{k}$. For $\tau=0, t_{k}$ is the suitable extreme point of $D_{i}$.
In fact, we have constructed two nontrivial solutions of the boundary-value problem (3.5) for $R(a, b)$ with $T=x_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)$, the first one corresponding to the case $k>0$, the second one to the case $k<0$.

The next theorem summarizes the results of this section.
(3.7) Theorem. Let $(a, b) \in] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$. Then for each $i \in N$ there exist two positive numbers $T_{i}^{+}, T_{i}^{-}$and two nontrivial solutions (together with their positive multiples) $u_{1}, u_{2}$ of the boundary-value problem (3.5) for the equation $R(a, b)$ with $T=T_{i}^{+}$ for $u_{1}$ and $T=T_{i}^{-}$for $u_{2}$, and both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ have in $[0, T]$ exactly $i+1$ zeros.

In a special case it is possible to prove the following theorem analogous to (2.7).
(3.8) Theorem. Let $T>0$ be fixed. Then the set of all $(\grave{a}, b) \in] 0,+\infty\left[{ }^{2}\right.$ such that there exists a nontrivial solution $u$ of the boundary-value problem (3.5) for $R(a, b)$ with $\lambda=0, \sigma=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u(T)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(T)=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a system of continuous curves $\left\{S_{i}^{+}, S_{i}^{-}, i \in N\right\}$ such that
(i) for $(a, b) \in S_{i}^{+}\left(S_{i}^{-}\right)$the solution $u$ is of the type (3.6) with $k>0(k<0$, respectively). This solution is uniquely determined by the choice of the constant $k$ and it has in $[0, T]$ exactly $i+1$ zeros,
(ii) $S_{i}^{+}$is symmetrical to $S_{i}^{-}$with respect to the straight line $a=b$. If $i$ is even, then $S_{i}^{+}=S_{i}^{-}$.
(iii) for each $i \in N$ we have $\left(S_{i}^{+} \cup S_{i}^{-}\right) \cap\left(S_{1+i}^{+} \cup S_{i+1}^{-}\right)=\emptyset$.

Proof. Fix $i \in N$. On each straight line $b=p a, p>0$ there is a unique point ( $a_{T}, b_{T}$ ) such that the solution $u$ of the boundary-value problem (3.9) for $R\left(a_{T}, b_{T}\right)$ of the type (3.6) with $k>0$, the existence of which is proved in (3.7), fulfils $T_{i}^{+}=T$ (the argument is analogous to (2.1)), and the same is valid for $k<0$. Hence, part (i) is a consequence of the continuous dependence of the solutions of $R(a, b)$ on the parameters $a, b$. Part (ii) is obvious. For proving part (iii), let us assume that there exists $(a, b) \in\left(S_{i}^{+} \cup S_{i}^{-}\right) \cap\left(S_{i+1}^{+} \cup S_{i+1}^{-}\right)$and two corresponding solutions $u_{i}, u_{i+1}$. It is possible to choose the constants $k$ in such a way that these solutions differ only in the value of the third derivative either at 0 , or at $T$, which leads to a contradiction, and the proof is complete.
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