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Summary. Let $X_{1: n} \leqq X_{2: n} \leqq \ldots \leqq X_{n: n}=M_{n}$ denote the order statistics of a sample of size $n$. In this paper we investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We show that $\left\{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right\}_{N}$ and all its differentials $\left(\Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right\}_{N}$ are regularly varying sequences if the underlying d.f. has a regularly varying tail.
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## INTRODUCTION

Let $X_{1: n} \leqq \ldots \leqq X_{n: n}=M_{n}$ denote the order statistics of a random sample $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ of size $n$ from a distribution with a distribution function (d.f.) $F$ which is concentrated on $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$. In this paper we shall be concerned with the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 1 we show that $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right) / n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}\right)<\infty$. If not only $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}\right)$ is finite but if $X_{1}$ belongs to the max-domain of attraction of a stable law, we show that $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)$ have a very nice regularly varying behaviour. In Section 2 we discuss regularly varying and 0 -regularly varying behaviour of $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right), k=0,1, \ldots$. Among others we show that $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}$ implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\Gamma(1-1 / \alpha)
$$

for a (known) sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$. In Section 3 some rate of convergence results are established.

## 1. MOMENT CONDITIONS

It is well-known that for i.i.d. non-negative random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ we have $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)<\infty$ if and only if $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}\right)<\infty$. In the next proposition we obtain some more precise information concerning $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$.

Proposition 1.1. Assume that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are i.i.d. non-negative random variables and that $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}\right)<\infty$. Define $\mu_{n}:=\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right) / n$. Then
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=0$;
(ii) $\mu_{n}$ is non-increasing and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{n} / \mu_{n-1}\right)=1$;
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-1}\right)\right]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(\mu_{n}-\mu_{n-1}\right)=0$.

Proof. (i) We have $0 \leqq \mathrm{P}\left(M_{n}>x\right)=1-F^{n}(x) \leqq n(1-F(x))$. Since $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}\right)<\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) . \mathrm{P}\left(M_{n}>x\right)=0$, by Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{P}\left(M_{n}>x\right)}{n} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

(ii) and (iii) A general result in the theory of order statistics [3], p. 37 states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-r) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{r: n}\right)+r \mathrm{E}\left(X_{r+1: n}\right)=n \mathrm{E}\left(X_{r: n-1}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (1.1) for $r=n-1$ we obtain that

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-1: n}\right)=n \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-1}\right)-(n-1) \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\mu_{n} \leqq \mu_{n-1}=\mu_{n}+\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-1}: n\right.}{n(n-1)} \leqq \mu_{n}+\mu_{n} \frac{1}{n-1}
$$

Hence $\mu_{n}$ is non-increasing and $\mu_{n} \leqq \mu_{n-1} \leqq \mu_{n}(n / n-1)$. Now the results (ii) and (iii) easily follow.

The following corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 1.2. Assume that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are i.i.d. non-negative random variables and that $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}^{\beta}\right)<\infty$ for some $\beta \geqq 1$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E\left(M_{n}\right)}{n^{1 / \beta}}=0 .
$$

Proof. Let $Y_{1}=X_{1}^{\beta}$; from Proposition 1.1 (i) we obtain that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}^{\beta}\right) / n\right)=0$. Now apply Hölder's inequality.

As to real random variables, we have

Corollary 1.3. Assume $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are i.i.d. random variables and assume $g$ is non-decreasing. If $\mathrm{E}\left|g\left(X_{1}\right)\right|<\infty$, then $\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{E}\left(g\left(M_{n}\right)\right) / n\right)=0$.

Proof. Since $\left|\mathrm{E}\left(g\left(M_{n}\right)\right)\right| \leqq \mathrm{E}\left(\max _{1 \leqq i \leqq n}\left|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right|\right)$ the result follows from Proposition 1.1 (i) with $Y_{1}=\left|g\left(X_{1}\right)\right|$.

## 2. O-REGULARLY VARYING AND REGULARLY VARYING BEHAVIOUR

Recall that a measurable function $f: \boldsymbol{R}_{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$is O-regularly varying (we write $f \in \mathrm{ORV})$ if for all $x>0$,

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t x)}{f(t)}<\infty
$$

Further, a measurable function $f: \boldsymbol{R}_{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$is regularly varying with an index $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{R}$ (we write $f \in \mathrm{RV}_{\alpha}$ ) if for all $x>0$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left.f_{( } t x\right)}{f(t)}=x^{\alpha}
$$

It is well-known [1] that $f \in \mathrm{ORV}$ implies the existence of constants $\alpha, A$, and $t_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{f(t x)}{f(t)} \leqq A x^{\alpha} \quad \text { for all } \quad x \geqq 1 . \quad t \geqq t_{0}
$$

We call $\alpha$ an upper index of $f$. For details we refer to [1], [2], [4], and [7].
Finally, we say that a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{N}$ ( $N$ is the set of all positive integers) of nonnegative real numbers is regularly varying if the function $f$ defined by $f(x)=a_{[x]}$ is a regularly varying function where as usual $[x]$ is the integer part of $x$.

In order to estimate $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ we start with some auxiliary results.
Lemma 2.1. Let $F$ be a distribution function concentrated on $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$and let $a_{n}$ be defined as $a_{n}:=\inf \{x: 1-F(x) \leqq 1 / n\}$.
(i) If $1-F \in \mathrm{ORV}$ is such that for some $\beta>1, A>0$ and $t_{0}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-F(t x)}{1-F(t)} \leqq A x^{-\beta} \quad \text { for all } \quad x \geqq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad t \geqq t_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then there exists a number $n_{0} \in N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left\{M_{n}>a_{n} x\right\} \leqq A x^{-\beta} \quad \text { for } \quad x \geqq 1, \quad n \geqq n_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha>1$, then $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{N} \in \mathrm{RV}_{1 / \alpha}$ and for all $x>0$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(1-F\left(a_{n} x\right)\right)=x^{-\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{P}\left\{M_{n} \leqq a_{n} x\right\}=e^{-x^{-\alpha}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Moreover, (2.2) holds with $\beta=\alpha-\varepsilon>1$.

Proof. (i) Obviously

$$
\mathrm{P}\left\{M_{n}>a_{n} x\right\}=1-F^{n}\left(a_{n} x\right) \leqq n\left(1-F\left(a_{n} x\right)\right) \leqq \frac{1-F\left(a_{n} x\right)}{1-F\left(a_{n}\right)}
$$

Since $a_{n} \rightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)$, the first part of (2.2) follows from (2.1). The second part of (2.2) is trivially true.
(ii) These results are well-known from the extreme value theory, see e.g. [5].

Now we estimate $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ using the classes RV and ORV. As before we shall assume that $X_{1}$ is a nonnegative r.v. and that $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{N}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\boldsymbol{F}$ denote a d.f. on $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$.
(i) If $1-F \in \mathrm{ORV}$ is such that (2.1) holds, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}<\infty
$$

(ii) If $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}, \alpha>1$, then $\left\{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right\}_{N} \in \mathrm{RV}_{1 / \alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\Gamma(1-1 / \alpha) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the gama function.
Proof. (i) Since

$$
\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}\left\{M_{n}>a_{n} x\right\} \mathrm{d} x,
$$

the result is a consequence of (2.2).
(ii) Using (2.2), (2.3) and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}\left\{M_{n}>a_{n} x\right\} \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\Gamma(1-1 / \alpha) .
$$

Hence (2.4) follows; since $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{N} \in \operatorname{RV}_{1 / \alpha}$, also $\left\{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right\}_{N} \in \mathrm{RV}_{1 / \alpha}$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $F$ denote a d.f. on $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$, and let $a_{n}$ be defined as before. If $1-F \in$ $\in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha>\beta$, then.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E\left(M_{n}^{\beta}\right)}{a_{n}^{\beta}}=\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Proof. Use Theorem 2.2 (ii) with $Y_{1}:=X_{1}^{\beta}$.
In our next result we show that for each $k \in N \cup\{0\}$ the $k$-th differential $\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$
is regularly varying. To formulate the result we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{0} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right), \\
& \Delta^{k+1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)=\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n+1}\right)-\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\Delta^{1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{n}(x)(1-F(x)) \mathrm{d} x$ and by induction over $k$ it follows that

$$
\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)=(-1)^{k+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} F^{n}(x)(1-F(x))^{k} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(-1)^{k+1} n^{k} \Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{n}\left(a_{n} x\right)\left[n\left(1-F\left(a_{n} x\right)\right]^{k} \mathrm{~d} x .\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we prove
Theorem 2.4. If $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}, \alpha>1$, then for each $k \in N$
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} n^{k} \Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(k-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$;
(ii) $\left\{(-1)^{k+1} \Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right\}_{N} \in \mathrm{RV}_{1 / \alpha-k}$;
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n\left[\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n+1}\right)-\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right]}{\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}=\frac{1}{\alpha}-k$.

Proof.
(i) Let $f(z, n, k)=z^{n}[n(1-z)]^{k}(0 \leqq z \leqq 1)$. It is easily seen that $0 \leqq f(z, n, k) \leqq$ $\leqq f(n /(n+k), n, k) \leqq k^{k}(0 \leqq z \leqq 1)$; substituting $z=F\left(a_{n} x\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq F^{n}\left(a_{n} x\right)\left(n\left(1-F\left(a_{n} x\right)\right)^{k} \leqq k^{k} \text { for all } x \geqq 0, \quad k \in N .\right. \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (2.1) with $\beta=\alpha-\varepsilon>1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq F^{n}\left(a_{n} x\right)\left[n\left(1-F\left(a_{n} x\right)\right]^{k} \leqq A^{k} x^{-k \beta} \quad \text { for all } x \geqq 1, \quad n \geqq n_{0}\right. \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now combine (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence to obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} n^{k} \Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^{-\alpha} x^{-\alpha k} \mathrm{~d} x, ~}
$$

which proves (i).
(ii) This assertion immediately follows from (i) and the regular variation of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{N}$.
(iii) Using (i) with $k$ replaced by $k+1$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+2} n^{k+1}\left(\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n+1}\right)-\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right)}{a_{n}}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Using (i) once again, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1) n\left(\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n+1}\right)-\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right)}{\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}=\frac{\Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(k-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)},
$$

from which the result (iii) follows.
Remark. The previous result shows that the sequence $\left\{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)\right\}_{N}$ is regularly varying together with all its "derivatives" $\Delta^{k} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$. This illustrates that the operations $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \rightarrow M_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ have very smoothing character.

In our next result we estimate $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)$ for fixed $k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We first express $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{k-k: n}\right)$ in terms of $\Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{j}\right)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $n \in N, k \in N \cup\{0\}, k \leqq n$, and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be i.i.d. random variables. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k+1: n+1}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)=(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k} \Delta^{k+1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-k}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The relation (2.8) is obviously true for $k=0$ and $n \in N$. Suppose it holds for all $k \leqq K$ and all $n \geqq K$. We prove that the relation holds for $k=K+1$ and all $n>K$. By (1.1) with $r=n-K-1$ we have

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-K-1: n}\right)=\frac{n}{K+1} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-1-K: n-1}\right)-\frac{n-K-1}{K+1} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-K: n}\right),
$$

by (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-K-1: n}\right)=\frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{0}^{K}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i}\left\{(n-i) \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-1-i}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-(n-K-1) \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)\right\}= \\
& =\frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{0}^{K}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i}\left\{(K+1-i) \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-(n-i) \Delta^{i+1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i-1}\right)\right\}= \\
& =\frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{i=0}^{K}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i}(K+1-i) \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{j=1}^{K+1}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j-1}(n-j+1) \Delta^{j} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-j}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{i=1}^{K}(-1)^{i}\left[\binom{n}{i}(K+1-i)+\binom{n}{i-1}(n-i+1)\right] . \\
& . \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)+\Delta^{0} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)+(-1)^{K+1}\binom{n}{K+1} \Delta^{K+1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-K-1}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{0}^{K+1}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (2.8).
To prove (2.9) we use (2.8) twice to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left(X_{n+1-k: n+1}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{n+1}{i} \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n+1-i}\right)-\sum_{0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)=\Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n+1-i}\right)-\Delta^{i+1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left(X_{n+1-k: n+1}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{0}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \Delta^{i+1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)+\sum_{1}^{k}(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i-1} \Delta^{i} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n+1-i}\right)= \\
& =(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k} \Delta^{k+1} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we prove

Theorem 2.6. If $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha>1$, then for each $k \in N \cup\{0\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\frac{\Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{k!} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n+1-k: n+1}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)}{a_{n}} n=\frac{\Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha k!} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.4 and the regular variation of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{N}$ we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{i}\binom{n}{i} \Delta^{i E} \mathrm{E}\left(M_{n-i}\right)}{a_{n}}= \begin{cases}\Gamma \Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) & \text { if } i=0, \\ \left\{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(i-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{1}{i!}\right. & \text { if } i \geqq 1\end{cases}
$$

Using (2.8) we conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\Gamma\left(i-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{i!\alpha}=\frac{1}{k!} \Gamma\left(k+1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

To prove the second assertion, we use again Theorem 2.4 and (2.9).

## 3. RATES OF CONVERGENCE

In Theorem 2.2 we proved that a regular variation of $1-F$ implies that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}} \rightarrow \Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

In this section, we consider the rate of convergence of

$$
\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}} \text { to } \Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

We shall start with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\alpha>1$ and let $\eta \in \mathrm{RV}_{s}$, where $s \geqq \alpha$. If $X_{1}$ has a distribution function $F$ such that $\mathrm{P}\left(X_{1} \geqq 0\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\eta, F, \alpha}=\sup _{x \geqq 0} \eta(x)\left|F(x)-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right|<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|<\infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Introduce a function $\psi: \boldsymbol{R}_{+} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ determined by

$$
\psi(x)=\eta\left(x^{1 / \alpha}\right) \text { for all } x \geqq 0,
$$

and random variables $Y_{i}=X_{i}^{\alpha}$ for $i \in N$. We find that

$$
\psi \in \mathrm{RV}_{u}, \quad \text { where } \quad u=\frac{s}{\alpha} \geqq 1
$$

and

$$
N_{n}=\max \left\{Y_{1} ; \ldots ; Y_{n}\right\}=M_{n}^{\alpha} .
$$

Further, since $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are i.i.d. with a common distribution function $F$, the random variables $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ are i.i.d. as well and their common distribution function denoted
by $G$ has the form

$$
G(x)= \begin{cases}F\left(x^{1 / x}\right) & \text { for } x \geqq 0 \\ 0 & \text { for } x<0\end{cases}
$$

It is useful to re-formulate the present theorem in terms of $\psi, u, Y_{i}, G$ and $N_{n}$ instead of $\eta, s, X_{i}, F$ and $M_{n}$ :

Let $\alpha>1$ and let $\psi \in \mathrm{RV}_{u}$ where $u \geqq$ 1. If $Y_{1}$ has a distribution function $G$ such that $P\left(Y_{1} \geqq 0\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\varrho_{\eta, F, \alpha} & =\sup _{x \geqq 0} \psi\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\left|G\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right|= \\
& =\sup _{x \geqq 0} \psi(x)\left|G(x)-e^{-1 / x}\right|<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } \frac{\psi(n)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{N_{n}^{1 / \alpha}}{n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|<\infty .
$$

Notice that $\Gamma(1-1 / \alpha)$ is equal to the mean value of a random variable having the distribution function

$$
J_{\alpha}(x)= \begin{cases}e^{-x^{-\alpha}} & \text { for } x \geqq 0 \\ 0 & \text { for } x<0\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{N_{n}^{1 / \alpha}}{n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right| \leqq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{N_{n}}{n} \leqq y^{\alpha}\right)-e^{-y^{-\alpha}}\right| \mathrm{d} y \leqq \\
& \leqq \sup _{y \geqq 0}\left|\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{N_{n}}{n} \leqq y^{\alpha}\right)-e^{-y^{-\alpha}}\right|+\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|G^{n}\left(n y^{\alpha}\right)-\left[e^{-1 / n y^{\alpha}}\right]^{n}\right| \mathrm{d} y \leqq \\
& \leqq \sup _{x \geqq 0}\left|\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{N_{n}}{n} \leqq x\right)-e^{-1 / x}\right|+ \\
& +\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\psi\left(n y^{\alpha}\right)}\left[\sup _{z \geqq 0} \psi\left(n z^{\alpha}\right)\left|G\left(n z^{\alpha}\right)-e^{-1 / n z^{\alpha}}\right|\right] \mathrm{d} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, Rachev and Omey proved in [6] (Corollary 2.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} \sup _{x \geqq 0}\left|\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{N_{n}}{n} \leqq x\right)-e^{-1 / x}\right|<\infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi \in \mathrm{RV}_{u}$ with $u \geqq 1$ there exist positive constants $n_{0}$ and $b$ such that

$$
\frac{\psi(n x)}{\psi(n)} \geqq b x^{1-(\alpha-1) / 2 \alpha}=b x^{(\alpha+1) / 2 \alpha} \text { for all } x \geqq 1 \quad \text { and } n \geqq n_{0}
$$

(see [4]). Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{N_{n}^{1 / \alpha}}{n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right| \leqq \\
& \leqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} \sup _{x \geqq 0}\left|\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{N_{n}}{n} \leqq x\right)-e^{-1 / x}\right|+ \\
& +\sup _{x \geqq 0} \psi(x)\left|G(x)-e^{-1 / x}\right| \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{\psi\left(n y^{\alpha}\right)} \mathrm{d} y \leqq \\
& \leqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} \sup _{x \geqq 0}\left|\mathrm{P}\left(\frac{N_{n}}{n} \leqq x\right)-e^{-1 / x}\right|+ \\
& +\varrho_{\eta, F, \alpha} \frac{1}{b} \int_{1}^{\infty} y^{-(\alpha+1) / 2} \mathrm{~d} y<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the proof of $\left(3.2^{\prime}\right)$ which is equivalent to (3.2) is completed.
We are now able to give the desired result concerning the rate of convergence of $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right) / a_{n}$ to $\Gamma(1-1 / \alpha)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X_{1}$ have a distribution function $F$ such that $\mathrm{P}\left(X_{1} \geqq 0\right)=1$ and $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}$ where $\alpha>1$. Let $c>0$ and let $\eta \in \mathrm{RV}_{s}$ where $s \geqq \alpha$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \geqq 0} \eta(x)\left|F(c x)-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right|<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\frac{a_{n}}{c n^{1 / \alpha}}-1\right|<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|<\infty . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Introduce auxiliary random variables $Z_{i}=(1 / c) X_{i}$ for $i \in N$ and $K_{n}=$ $=\max \left\{Z_{1} ; \ldots ; Z_{n}\right\}=M_{n} / c$. We find that $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ are i.i.d. random variables with a common distribution function $H(x)=F(c x)$. Our aim is to apply Lemma 3.1 with $X_{i}, F$ and $M_{n}$ substituted by $Z_{i}, H$ and $K_{n}$. To this end we need to verify the validity of (3.1). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varrho_{\eta, H, \alpha} & =\sup _{x \geqq 0} \eta(x)\left|H(x)-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right|= \\
& =\sup _{x \geqq 0} \eta(x)\left|F(c x)-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right|<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.4). Thus, we know from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim \sup \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{K_{n}}{n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|=  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{c \cdot n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|<\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right| \leqq \\
& \leqq\left|\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{c \cdot n^{1 / \alpha}}\right|+\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{c \cdot n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right| \leqq \\
& \leqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}\left|1-\frac{a_{n}}{c \cdot n^{1 / \alpha}}\right|+ \\
& +\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{c \cdot n^{1 / \alpha}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.4), (3.5) and (3.7).
We conclude the present section by demonstrating the contribution of Theorem 3.2 by the following example.

Example. Let

$$
F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { for } x<1, \\
1-\frac{x^{2}+1}{2 \mathrm{x}^{4}} \text { for } x \geqq 1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We find that $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-2}$, i.e. $\alpha=2$ in this case, and by Theorem 2.2 (ii)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{n}}=\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{ } \pi .
$$

The distribution function $F$ is continuous on $R$ and the solution of the equation

$$
1-F(x)=\frac{1}{n}
$$

results in

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ }\left(n+\sqrt{ }\left(n^{2}+8 n\right)\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{1 / 2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ }\left[1+\int\left(1+\frac{8}{n}\right)\right]=\frac{\sqrt{ } 2}{2},
$$

i.e. we put $c=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{ } 2$ in Theorem 3.2 - cf. (3.5). Further, $c x \geqq 1$ if and only if $x \geqq \sqrt{ } 2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F(c x)-e^{-x^{-x}}\right|=\left|1-x^{-2}-2 x^{-4}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{-2 k}}{k!}\right|= \\
& =\frac{5}{2} x^{-4}+x^{-4} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} x^{-2 k+4} \leqq \frac{7}{2} x^{-4} \text { for } x \geqq \sqrt{ } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|F(c x)-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right|=e^{-x^{-2}} \leqq e^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0 ; \sqrt{ } 2]
$$

With respect to (3.4) and to the fact that $\alpha=2$, we can take e.g. $\eta(x)=x^{s}$ where $s \in[2 ; 4]$. However, the greater is the exponent $s$ the stronger will be the achieved result so that we choose $\eta(x)=x^{4}$. We find that

$$
\sup _{x \geqq 0} \eta(x)\left|F(c x)-e^{-x^{-\alpha}}\right| \leqq \max \left\{\frac{7}{2} ; 4 e^{-1 / 2}\right\}<\infty,
$$

i.e. the assumption (3.4) is fulfilled. Finally, easy calculation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\frac{a_{n}}{c \cdot n^{1 / \alpha}}-1\right|= \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left|-1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } 2} \sqrt{ }\left[1+\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{8}{n}\right)}\right]\right|=1<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the assumption (3.5) of Theorem 3.2 is fulfilled as well. Thus, its assertion reads

$$
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } n\left|\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)}{a_{r}}-\sqrt{ } \pi\right|<\infty,
$$

i.e. the rate of convergence of $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right) / a_{n}$ to its limit $\sqrt{ } \pi$ is at least the same as the rate of convergence of $1 / n$ to 0 .

Remark. From Theorem 3.2 we find that the choice of the normalizing sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{N}$ is very important. We made the choice $a_{n}=\inf \{x ; 1-F(x) \leqq 1 / n\}$. On the other hand, if we make the choice $b_{n}=\inf \{x ;-\log F(x) \leqq 1 / n\}$ we obviously obtain all results of Section 2 with $a_{n}$ replaced by $b_{n}$. This follows from the obvious asymptotic equality $-\log F(x) \sim 1-F(x)$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and from the assumption that $1-F \in \mathrm{RV}_{-\alpha}$ with the index $\alpha>0$. Moreover, with the latter choice condition (3.4) alone implies

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / \alpha}\right)}{n}\left|\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{M_{n}}{b_{n}}\right)-\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right|<\infty .
$$

To see this, we show that (3.5) holds for the sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{N}$. From (3.4) we obtain

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \eta(x)\left|\log F(c x)+x^{-\alpha}\right|<\infty
$$

With our choice of $b_{n}$ we have

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta\left(\frac{b_{n}}{c}\right)\left|\frac{1}{n}-\left(\frac{b_{n}}{c}\right)^{-\alpha}\right|<\infty .
$$

Since $b_{n} \sim c . n^{1 / x}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and since $\eta(x)$ is regularly varying we find that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta\left(n^{1 / x}\right)}{n}\left|\frac{b_{n}^{x}}{c^{x} \cdot n}-1\right|<\infty
$$

and hence (3.5) with $a_{n}$ replaced by $b_{n}$ follows.
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## Souhrn

## MOMENTY POŘÁDKOVÝCH STATISTIK

## Antonín Lešanovský, Edward Omey

Označme $X_{1: n} \leqq \ldots \leqq X_{n: n}=M_{n}$ pořádkové statistiky z náhodného výbĕru $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ o rozsahu $n$ a nechí rozložení náhodné veličiny $X_{1}$ s distribuční funkcí $F$ je soustředěno na $R_{+}$. Článek pojednává o asymptotickém chování hodnot $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ a $\mathrm{E}\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)$ pro pevné $k$ a $n \rightarrow \infty$. Speciální pozornost je věnována prípadu, že $1-F$ je regulárně se měnicí funkce.

## Резюме

## . МОМЕНТЫ ПОРЯДКОВЫХ СТАТИСТИК

Antonín Lešanovský, Edward Omey

Пусть $X_{1: n} \leqq \ldots \leqq X_{n: n}=M_{n}$ - порядковые статистики из случайной выборки $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ размера $n$ и пусть распределение случайной величины $X_{1}$ с функцией распределения $F$ сосредоточено на $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}$. Статья трактует ассимптотическое поведение математических ожиданий $\mathrm{E}\left(M_{n}\right)$ и Е $\left(X_{n-k: n}\right)$ для постоянного $k$ и $n \rightarrow \infty$. Специальное внимание уделяется случаю, что $(1-F)$ - регулярно меняющаяся функция.
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