

Tomáš Kepka

Semirings whose additive endomorphisms are multiplicative

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 2, 213--219

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118573>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Semirings whose additive endomorphisms are multiplicative

TOMÁŠ KEPKA

Abstract. A ring or an idempotent semiring is associative provided that additive endomorphisms are multiplicative.

Keywords: semiring, additive endomorphism

Classification: 16Y60

In [10], R.P. Sullivan posed the problem of classifying AE-rings (i.e. rings whose additive endomorphisms are ring endomorphisms) and, more or less recently, several papers were published on this theme (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [6] and [9]). The original problem and the cited papers are concerned with associative rings only. However, it is the purpose of this short note to show that, in fact, every AE-ring is associative. Besides, some classes of AE-semirings are characterized and, again, all these semirings turn out to be associative. It seems to be an open problem whether there exist non-associative AE-semirings (or AE-nearrings) at all.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. By a semiring we mean an algebra $S = S(+, \cdot)$ with two binary operations such that $S(+)$ is a commutative semigroup and the multiplication is both left and right distributive with respect to the addition.

1.2. Let S be a semiring. We put $\text{Ida}(S) = \{x \in S; x + x = x\}$, $\text{Idm}(S) = \{x \in S; xx = x\}$ and $\text{Id}(S) = \text{Ida}(S) \cap \text{Idm}(S)$. Further, $1x = x$ and $nx = (n-1)x + x$ for all $x \in S$ and $n \geq 2$.

1.3. A semiring S is said to be

- a -idempotent if $x + x = x$ for every $x \in S$;
- m -idempotent if $xx = x$ for every $x \in S$;
- a -unipotent if $x + x = y + y$ for all $x, y \in S$;
- associative if $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in S$;
- commutative if $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in S$;
- a D -semiring if $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot xz$ and $zy \cdot x = zx \cdot yx$ for all $x, y, z \in S$;
- a ring if $S(+)$ is a group;
- an AE-semiring if every endomorphism of $S(+)$ is also an endomorphism of $S(\cdot)$.

1.4 Example. Let $S(+)$ be a commutative semigroup containing a unique idempotent element 0. Define a multiplication on S by $xy = 0$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then $S = S(+, \cdot)$ is an AE-semiring.

1.5 Example. Let $S(+)$ be a commutative semigroup such that $2x+y+z = x+y+z$ for all $x, y, z \in S$ (e.g. $S(+)$ idempotent or $S(+)$ nilpotent of class at most 3). Put $S(\cdot) = S(+)$. Then $S = S(+, \cdot)$ is an AE-semiring.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF AE-SEMIRINGS

2.1 Proposition. Let f be an additive endomorphism of an AE-semiring S . Then f is an endomorphism of S , $T = f(S)$ is a subsemiring of S and T is again an AE-semiring.

PROOF: Let g be an additive endomorphism of T . Define a transformation h of S by $h(x) = gf(x)$ for every $x \in S$. Then h is an additive endomorphism of S and consequently $gf(x) \cdot gf(y) = h(x)h(y) = h(xy) = gf(xy) = g(f(x)f(y))$ for all $x, y \in S$. This shows that g is an endomorphism of T . \square

2.2 Proposition. Let S be an AE-semiring. Then:

- (i) S is a D -semiring.
- (ii) For every $a \in S$, $S_{a,l} = aS = \{ax; x \in S\}$ is a subsemiring of S and an AE-semiring.
- (iii) For every $a \in S$, $S_{a,r} = Sa = \{xa; x \in S\}$ is a subsemiring of S and an AE-semiring.
- (iv) $a \cdot bc, ab \cdot c \in \text{Idm}(S)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$.

PROOF: (i), (ii) and (iii): The translations $x \rightarrow ax$ and $x \rightarrow xa$ are additive endomorphisms of S and 2.1 applies.

(iv): This follows from (i) and [7, Theorem III 1.2 (ii)]. \square

2.3 Lemma. Let S be an AE-semiring. Then:

- (i) $\text{Ida}(S) = \text{Id}(S) \subseteq \text{Idm}(S)$.
- (ii) $nab = 2ab$ for all $a, b \in S$ and even $n \geq 2$.
- (iii) $nab = 3ab$ for all $a, b \in S$ and odd $n \geq 3$.

PROOF: (i): If $a \in \text{Ida}(S)$, then the constant transformation $S \rightarrow \{a\}$ is an additive endomorphism of S . Consequently, $\{a\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $aa = a$.

(ii): The transformation $x \rightarrow 2x$ is an additive endomorphism. Hence $4ab = 2a \cdot 2b = 2ab$.

(iii): This follows immediately from (ii). \square

2.4 Lemma. Let S be an AE-semiring. Then:

- (i) $2ab \in \text{Id}(S)$ for all $a, b \in S$.
- (ii) $2a \in \text{Id}(S)$ for every $a \in \text{Idm}(S)$.
- (iii) Both $\text{Idm}(S)$ and $\text{Id}(S)$ are ideals of the multiplicative groupoid $S(\cdot)$.

PROOF: (i): By 2.3 (ii), $2ab = 4ab$, and so $2ab \in \text{Ida}(S)$. But $\text{Ida}(S) = \text{Id}(S)$ by 2.3 (i).

(ii): This follows from (i) for $b = a$.

(iii): If $a \in \text{Idm}(S)$ and $x \in S$, then $ax \cdot ax = aa \cdot x = ax$ and $xa \cdot xa = x \cdot aa = xa$ by 2.2 (i). Further, if $a \in \text{Ida}(S)$, then $ax + ax = (a + a)x = ax$ and $xa + xa = x(a + a) = xa$. \square

2.5 Proposition. *Let S be an AE-semiring. Put $S_{(2)} = \{2x; x \in S\}$ and $S_{(3)} = \{3x; x \in S\}$. Then:*

- (i) Both $S_{(2)}$ and $S_{(3)}$ are subsemirings of S and AE-semirings.
- (ii) $\text{Id}(S_{(2)}) = \text{Id}(S_{(3)}) = \text{Id}(S)$.
- (iii) $uv \in \text{Id}(S_{(2)})$ for all $u, v \in S_{(2)}$.

PROOF: Use 2.1. \square

3. IDEMPOTENT AE-SEMRINGS

3.1. Let $S(+)$ be a semilattice (i.e. a commutative idempotent semigroup). Define a multiplication on S by $xy = x$ ($xy = y$) for all $x, y \in S$. Clearly, $x(y + z) = x = x + x = xy + xz$ ($x(y + z) = y + z = xy + xz$) and $(y + z)x = y + z = yx + zx$ ($(y + z)x = x = x + x = yx + zx$) for all $x, y, z \in S$, and so $S = S(+, \cdot)$ is a semiring. Further, $S(\cdot)$ is a semigroup of left (right) zeros and every transformation of S is an endomorphism of $S(\cdot)$. Consequently, S is an associative a -idempotent and m -idempotent AE-semiring and we shall say that S is of type (AE1) ((AE2)).

3.2. Let $S(+)$ be a semilattice. Define a multiplication on S by $xy = x + y$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then $x(y + z) = x + y + z = x + y + x + z = xy + xz$ and $S = S(+, \cdot)$ is a semiring. Clearly, S is an associative, commutative, a -idempotent and m -idempotent AE-semiring and we shall say that S is of type (AE3).

3.3. Let $S(+)$ be a chain (i.e. $S(+)$ is a semilattice and $x + y \in \{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in S$) and let $S(\cdot)$ be the dual chain (i.e. $xy = x$ iff $x + y = y$ and $xy = y$ iff $x + y = x$). Let $x, y, z \in S$. If $x + y = x + z = x$, then $x + y + z = x$ and $x(y + z) = y + z = xy + xz$. If $x + y = x$ and $x + z = z$, then $x + y + z = z = y + z$ and $x(y + z) = x = y + x = xy + xz$. If $x + y = y$ and $x + z = x$, then $x + y + z = y = y + z$ and $x(y + z) = x = x + z = xy + xz$. If $x + y = y$ and $x + z = z$, then $x + y + z = y + z$ and $x(y + z) = x = x + x = xy + xz$. We have checked that $S = S(+, \cdot)$ is a semiring. Clearly, S is associative, commutative, a -idempotent and m -idempotent. Now, let f be an endomorphism of $S(+)$ and let $x, y \in S$. If $x + y = x$, then $f(x) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $xy = y$, $f(xy) = f(y) = f(x)f(y)$. Similarly if $x + y = y$ and we see that f is an endomorphism of the semiring S . Thus S is an AE-semiring and we shall say that S is of type (AE4).

3.4 Theorem. *Let S be a non-trivial a -idempotent AE-semiring. Then S is of just one of the types (AE1), (AE2), (AE3), (AE4).*

PROOF: Firstly, let $u, v \in S$ be such that $u \neq v$ and $u + v = u$. For every ordered pair $p = (a, b) \in S^{(2)}$, $a \neq b$, choose a congruence r_p of $S(+)$ maximal with respect to $p \notin r_p$. Then $S(+)/r_p$ is a two-element semilattice, and hence there is an additive endomorphism f_p of S such that $f_p(S) = T = \{u, v\}$ and $f_p(a) \neq f_p(b)$. The endomorphism f_p is then multiplicative and consequently T is a subsemiring

of S . Since $\bigcap r_p = \text{id}_S$, S is a subdirect product of copies of T . On the other hand, S and T are m -idempotent by 2.3(i) and we have only the following four possibilities for the multiplication on T :

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & u & v \\ \hline u & u & u \\ v & v & v \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} & u & v \\ \hline u & u & v \\ v & v & v \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} & u & v \\ \hline u & u & u \\ v & u & v \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} & u & v \\ \hline u & u & v \\ v & v & v \end{array}$$

In the first case, $T(\cdot)$ is a semigroup of left zeros, and hence the same is true for $S(\cdot)$, since it is a subdirect product of copies of $T(\cdot)$. The second case is dual and, in the third case, T satisfies the identity $x + y = xy$. Then S satisfies also this identity, which means that $S(+)=S(\cdot)$. Now, finally, let the fourth case take place. Then $S(\cdot)$ is neither a semigroup of left zeros nor that of right zeros and $S(+)\neq S(\cdot)$. If $w, z \in S$ are such that $w + z = w$, then $wz = z$ (see the first part of the proof). Further, if $r, s, t \in S$ and $r + s \neq r$, then $r + s + t \neq r$ (otherwise $r + s = r + s + t + s = r + s + t = r$).

Suppose, for a moment, that $S(+)$ is not a chain. Then there are $a, b \in S$ such that $a \neq c = a + b \neq b$ and we have $a \neq b$, $a + c = c = b + c$, $a = ac = a + d$, $b = bc = b + d$, where $d = ab$. Define a transformation f of S as follows: If $x \in S$ and $a + x \neq a$, then $f(x) = c$; if $a + x = a$, $b + x \neq b$, then $f(x) = a$; if $a + x = a$, $b + x = b$, then $f(x) = d$. We are going to check that f is an additive endomorphism of $S(+)$, i.e. that $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. With respect to the commutativity of $+$, it is sufficient to consider only the following cases:

- (1) $a + x \neq a$. Then $a + x + y \neq a$, $f(x) = f(x + y) = c$ and $f(y) \in \{a, c, d\}$. However, $c + c = c + a = c$ and $c + d = c + a + d = c + a + c$. Thus $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$.
- (2) $a + x = a = a + y$, $b + x \neq b$. Then $a + x + y = a + y = a$, $b + x + y \neq b$, $f(x) = f(x + y) = a$ and $f(y) \in \{a, d\}$. However, $a + a = a = a + d$, and hence $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$.
- (3) $a + x = a = a + y$, $b + x = b$, $b + y \neq b$. Then $a + x + y = a$, $b + x + y \neq b$, $f(x) = f(x + y) = a$, $f(y) = a$, $f(x + y) = a = a + a = f(x) + f(y)$.
- (4) $a + x = a = a + y$, $b + x = b = b + y$. Then $a + x + y = a$, $b + x + y = b$ and $f(x) = f(y) = f(x + y) = d$. Thus $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$.

We have checked that f is an additive endomorphism of S . On the other hand, $a + a = a + d = a \neq a + b$, $b + d = b$, $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = c$, $f(a)f(b) = ac = a$, $f(ab) = f(d) = d$ and $b + d = b \neq c = b + a$. Consequently, $d \neq a$, $f(ab) \neq f(a)f(b)$ and f is not multiplicative, a contradiction.

We have proved that $S(+)$ is a chain. If $x, y \in S$, then either $x + y = x$ and $xy = y$ or $x + y = y$ and $xy = y$. This means that $S(\cdot)$ is the dual chain. □

3.5 Corollary. *Every a -idempotent AE-semiring is m -idempotent and associative.*

3.6 Remark. In the proof of 3.4 we did not use the fact that the multiplication is distributive with respect to the addition. Hence, the same result remains true for algebras $S = S(+, \cdot)$ with two binary operations such that $S(+)$ is a semilattice and every endomorphism of $S(+)$ is also an endomorphism of $S(\cdot)$.

3.7 Corollary. *Let S be an m -idempotent AE-semiring. Then $S_{(2)} = \text{Id}(S)$ and at least one of the following cases takes place:*

- (i) $S_{(2)}(\cdot)$ is a semigroup of left zeros and $2ab = a \cdot 2b = 2a \cdot b = 2a$ for all $a, b \in S$.
- (ii) $S_{(2)}(\cdot)$ is a semigroup of right zeros and $2ab = a \cdot 2b = 2a \cdot b = 2b$ for all $a, b \in S$.
- (iii) $S_{(2)}(+)$ is a chain, $S_{(2)}(\cdot)$ is the dual chain and $2ab = 2ba = 2a + 2b$ for all $a, b \in S$.
- (iv) $S_{(2)}(+)$ is a chain, $S_{(2)}(\cdot)$ is the dual chain and $2ab = 2ba \in \{2a, 2b\}$ for all $a, b \in S$.

4. SOME CONSEQUENCES

4.1 Proposition. *Let S be an AE-semiring such that $uv \in \text{Id}(S)$ for all $u, v \in S$. Then S is associative.*

PROOF: Let $a, b, c \in S$, $d = a \cdot bc$ and $e = ab \cdot c$. Then both $S_{d,l}$, $S_{d,r}$ are contained in $\text{Id}(S)$ (see 2.2) and they are a -idempotent AE-semirings. By 3.2, they are also m -idempotent and associative. Now, $d = dd = d(a \cdot bc) = (da)(db \cdot dc) = (da \cdot db)(dc) = d(ab \cdot c) = de = (a \cdot bc)e = (ae)(be \cdot ce) = (ae \cdot be)(ce) = (ab \cdot c)e = ee = e$. \square

4.2 Corollary. *Let S be an AE-semiring. Then:*

- (i) $S_{(2)}$ is an associative AE-semiring.
- (ii) $2a \cdot bc = 2ab \cdot c$ for all $a, b, c \in S$.
- (iii) S is associative, provided that the transformation $x \rightarrow 2x$ is either injective or projective.

4.3 Theorem. *Let S be an AE-ring. Then S is associative.*

PROOF: By 2.2 (i), S is a D -ring, and hence S is the ring direct sum of two subrings S_1 and S_2 such that S_1 is m -idempotent and S_2 is nilpotent of class at most 3 (see [8, Theorem 2.16]). Clearly, S_2 is associative and S_1 is an AE-ring by 2.1. Now, without loss of generality, we can assume that S is m -idempotent. Then, by 2.4 (ii), $2x = 0$ for every $x \in S$.

Take $0 \neq w \in S$ and, for every ordered pair $p = (a, b) \in S^{(2)}$, $a \neq b$, choose a congruence r_p of $S(+)$ maximal with respect to $p \notin r_p$. Then $S(+)/r_p$ is a subdirectly irreducible 2-elementary group, and so there is an additive endomorphism f_p of S such that $f_p(S) = T = \{0, w\}$ and $f_p(a) \neq f_p(b)$. The endomorphism is multiplicative, and hence T is a subring of S . Clearly, T is associative, $\bigcap r_p = \text{id}_S$, S is a subdirect product of copies of T , and therefore S is associative. \square

5. UNIPOTENT AE-SEMRINGS

5.1 Lemma. *Let S be an a -unipotent semiring and $0 = x + x$, $x \in S$. Then $\text{Id}_a(S) = \{0\}$ and $x0 = 0 = 0x$ for every $x \in S$.*

PROOF: $x0 = x(0 + 0) = x0 + x0 = 0$ and $0x = (0 + 0)x = 0x + 0x = 0$. \square

5.2. Let $S(+)$ be a semigroup with zero addition (i.e. there is an element $0 \in S$ such that $x + y = 0$ for all $x, y \in S$). Put $S(\cdot) = S(+)$. Then $S = S(+, \cdot)$ becomes an associative and commutative a -unipotent AE-semiring and we shall say that S is of type (AE5).

5.3. Consider the following two-element algebra $S = S(+, \cdot)$:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|cc} \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

Clearly, S is an associative, commutative, m -idempotent and a -unipotent AE-semiring and we shall say that S is of type (AE6).

5.4 Theorem. *Let S be a non-trivial AE-semiring such that $S(+)$ is a semigroup with zero addition. Then S is of just one of the types (AE5), (AE6).*

PROOF: Take $0 \neq w \in S$ and, for every ordered pair $p = (a, b) \in S^{(2)}$, $a \neq b$, choose a congruence r_p of $S(+)$ maximal with respect to $p \notin r_p$. Then $S(+)/r_p$ is a two-element semigroup with zero addition, and hence there is an additive endomorphism f_p of S such that $f_p(S) = T = \{0, w\}$ and $f_p(a) \neq f_p(b)$. The endomorphism is multiplicative and T is a subsemiring of S . Since $\bigcap r_p = \text{id}_S$, S is a subdirect product of copies of T . With regard to 5.1, we have only the following two possibilities for the multiplication in T :

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & 0 & w \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w & 0 & 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|cc} & 0 & w \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ w & 0 & w \end{array}$$

In the first case, $T(+)=T(\cdot)$ and consequently $S(+)=S(\cdot)$, i.e. S is of type (AE5). Now, let $ww = w$. Since T is commutative and m -idempotent, S is so and, moreover, S is of type (AE6), provided that S contains just two elements. Assume that this is not true and take $a, b \in S$, $a \neq 0 \neq b \neq a$. Then either $ab \neq a$ or $ab \neq b$ and we can assume that $ab \neq a$, the other case being similar. Define a transformation f of S by $f(a) = 0$ and $f(x) = x$ for every $x \in S$, $x \neq a$. Since $f(0) = 0$, f is an endomorphism of $S(+)$, and hence f is an endomorphism of $S(\cdot)$. Now, $0 = 0b = f(a)f(b) = f(ab) = ab$. Finally, define an endomorphism g of $S(+)$ by $g(a) = g(b) = a$ and $g(x) = 0$ for every $x \in S$, $a \neq x \neq b$. Again, g is multiplicative and $a = aa = g(a)g(b) = g(ab) = g(0) = 0$, a contradiction. \square

5.5 Corollary. *Let S be an AE-semiring such that $S(+)$ is a semigroup with zero addition. Then S is associative and commutative.*

5.6 Proposition. *Let S be an a -unipotent AE-semiring. Then:*

- (i) $S_{(3)}$ is an AE-ring, and hence $S_{(3)}$ is associative.
- (ii) $3a \cdot bc = 3ab \cdot c$ for all $a, b, c \in S$.

PROOF: We have $3x + 0 = x + 2x + 0 = x + 0 + 0 = x + 0 = x + 2x = 3x$ for every $x \in S$ and this shows that $S_{(3)}$ is a ring. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Birkenmeier G., Heatherly H., *Rings whose additive endomorphisms are ring endomorphisms*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 145–152.
- [2] Dhompongsa S., Sanwong J., *Rings in which additive mappings are multiplicative*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **22** (1987), 357–359.
- [3] Dugas M., Hausen J., Johnson J.A., *Rings whose additive mappings are multiplicative*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **23** (1991), 65–73.
- [4] Feigelstock S., *Rings whose additive endomorphisms are multiplicative*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **19** (1988), 257–260.
- [5] ———, *Additive group of rings*, Vol. II, Pitman Research Notes in Math., Series 169, Longman Scient. & Techn., New York, 1988.
- [6] Hirano Y., *On rings whose additive endomorphisms are multiplicative*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **23** (1991), 87–89.
- [7] Ježek J., Kepka T., Němec P., *Distributive groupoids*, Rozpravy ČSAV **91/3** (1981).
- [8] Kepka T., *On a class of non-associative rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **18** (1977), 265–279.
- [9] Kim K.H., Roush F.W., *Additive endomorphisms of rings*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **12** (1981), 241–242.
- [10] Sullivan R.P., *Research problem no. 23*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **8** (1977), 313–314.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83,
186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

(Received November 30, 1992)