## Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

## Emmanuel Lesigne

On the sequence of integer parts of a good sequence for the ergodic theorem

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 4, 737--743

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118801

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

# On the sequence of integer parts of a good sequence for the ergodic theorem 

Emmanuel Lesigne


#### Abstract

If $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of real numbers which is good for the ergodic theorem, is the sequence of the integer parts $\left(\left[u_{n}\right]\right)$ good for the ergodic theorem? The answer is negative for the mean ergodic theorem and affirmative for the pointwise ergodic theorem.
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## Introduction

Let us specify at once the notion of good sequence for the ergodic theorem.
Definition 1. A sequence $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of real positive numbers is a good sequence for the mean ergodic theorem $\overline{i f}$, given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$ and a measure preserving flow $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\Omega$, for all $f \in L^{2}(\mu)$, the sequence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f \circ S_{u_{n}}\right)_{N>0}
$$

converges in $L^{2}(\mu)$.
(In this definition the space $L^{2}$ does not play a particular role. The exponent 2 can be replaced by any exponent in $[1,+\infty[$.)

Definition 2. Let $p \in[1,+\infty]$. A sequence $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of real positive numbers is a good sequence for the pointwise ergodic theorem in $L^{p}$ if, given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$ and a measure preserving flow $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\Omega$, for all $f \in L^{p}(\mu)$, the sequence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(S_{u_{n}} \omega\right)\right)_{N>0}
$$

converges for $\mu$-almost all $\omega$.

## Examples

1. Numerous and interesting examples of sequences of integers good for the ergodic theorem can be found in the literature. If $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is such a sequence, then, for all reals $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the sequence $\left(\alpha a_{n}+\beta\right)$ is also a good sequence for the ergodic theorem.
2. For all real number $\alpha>0$, the sequence $\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$ is good for the mean ergodic theorem (see for example [1]).
3. For all real numbers $\alpha$ except perhaps a countable family, and in particular for all numbers $\alpha$ rational non integer, the sequence $\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$ is not a good sequence for the pointwise ergodic theorem in $L^{\infty}$. This is proved in [1].

Any good sequence for the pointwise ergodic theorem in one space $L^{p}$ is a good sequence for the mean ergodic theorem. This can be easily justified, using the density of the space of bounded measurable functions in $L^{p}$ and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

Christian Mauduit and the author wondered if the sequence of integer parts of a good sequence for the ergodic theorem is still a good sequence. The answer is surprising: it is negative for the mean ergodic theorem but positive for the pointwise ergodic theorem!

Theorem 1. Let $p \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. If a sequence $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of real positive numbers is good for the pointwise ergodic theorem in $L^{p}$, then the sequence $[u]:=\left(\left[u_{n}\right]\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of its integer parts is good for the pointwise ergodic theorem in $L^{p}$.

Remark 1. There exists a good sequence for the mean ergodic theorem whose sequence of integer parts is not good for the mean ergodic theorem.

This remark is easy to justify; an example can be constructed by perturbation of a good sequence for example the sequence of all integers (see Section 1).

Proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following deep result which is due to J. Bourgain, answering a question posed by A. Bellow.

Theorem 2 ([3]). Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of non zero real numbers which converges to zero.
There exists a bounded measurable function $f$ on the torus $\mathbb{T}$ such that the sequence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(x+a_{n}\right)\right)_{N>0}
$$

diverges for all $x$ in a set of positive Lebesgue measure.

## 1. On the mean theorem

Good sequences for the mean ergodic theorem are characterized by the next proposition which is well known as a consequence of the spectral theorem.

Proposition 1. A sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is good for the mean ergodic theorem if and only if, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp \left(i t u_{n}\right)\right)$ converges.

As a direct consequence we have the following result on perturbations of good sequences.

Proposition 2. If $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a good sequence for the mean ergodic theorem and if $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)$ is a real sequence which tends to zero, then the sequence $\left(u_{n}+\epsilon_{n}\right)$ is still a good sequence for the mean ergodic theorem.

It is now easy to justify the Remark 1: let $\left(a_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of 0 and -1 's such that the sequence

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{n+a_{n}}
$$

diverges. Consider the sequence $u_{n}:=n+\frac{a_{n}}{n+1}$. By Proposition 2, the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is good. By construction, the sequence of its integer parts is not good.

It is of course possible to wonder to which dynamical systems these counterexamples apply. We can prove the following result: let $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{T}, \mu,\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}\right)$ be a measure preserving system; if there exists a subset $A$ of $\mathbb{N}$, with positive density, and a function $f$ in $L^{2}(\mu)$ such that the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \in A \cap[0, N[ } f \circ S^{n}\right)$ does not converge in the mean, then there exists a sequence $\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)$ tending to zero and a function $g$ in $L^{\infty}$ such that the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \in[0, N[ } g \circ S^{\left[n+\epsilon_{n}\right]}\right)$ does not converge in the mean.

## 2. On the pointwise theorem

Bourgain's proof of Theorem 2 is based on his "entropy criteria" and on the following lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of non zero real numbers converging to zero. Given a positive integer $r$, there are integers $J_{1}<J_{2}<\ldots<J_{r}$ satisfying the following condition:
given any sequence $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{r}$, there is an integer $n=n(\alpha)$ such that, for each integer $s$ between 1 and $r$,

$$
\left|1-\frac{1}{J_{s}} \sum_{j<J_{s}} \exp \left(2 \pi i a_{j} n\right)\right| \begin{cases}<\frac{1}{10} & \text { if } \alpha_{s}=0 \\ >\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } \alpha_{s}=1\end{cases}
$$

In fact the finite sequences $\left(J_{s}\right)_{1 \leq s \leq r}$ appearing in this lemma can be chosen in any fixed infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Therefore J. Bourgain proved the following result.
Theorem 3. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of non zero real numbers converging to zero and $\left(N_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ a non bounded sequence of positive integers.

There exists a bounded measurable function $f$ on the torus $\mathbb{T}$ such that the sequence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}-1} f\left(x+a_{n}\right)\right)_{k \geq 0}
$$

is not almost everywhere convergent.
This theorem will be used in the proof of the following proposition, in which we denote by $\bar{x}=x-[x]$ the fractional part of a real $x$.

Proposition 3. Let $p \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a good sequence for the pointwise ergodic theorem in $L^{p}$. For all $\left.\left.h \in\right] 0,1\right]$,

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \limsup _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card}\left\{n \in \left[0, N\left[\mid \overline{u_{n}} \in\right] h-\delta, h[ \}=0\right.\right.
$$

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a good sequence for the pointwise ergodic theorem. It is easy to verify that this sequence has an asymptotic distribution modulo 1 , that is to say the sequence of probabilities $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} \delta_{\overline{u_{n}}}\right)$ converges on $\mathbb{T}$. Denote by $\nu$ this asymptotic distribution. Proposition 3 says that point masses of the probability $\nu$ can only appear along constant subsequences of the sequence $\left(\overline{u_{n}}\right)$. More precisely, for all $h \in[0,1[$,

$$
\nu(\{h\})=\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card}\left\{n \in \left[0, N\left[\mid \overline{u_{n}}=h\right\} .\right.\right.
$$

Proof of Proposition 3: The only dynamical system we shall consider here is $\Omega=\mathbb{T}$ with the uniform probability $\mu$ and the measure preserving flow $S_{t}(x)=$ $x+t$ modulo 1 .

Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a real sequence. If $f$ is a function on $\mathbb{T}$, we note

$$
A_{N} f(x):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(x+a_{n}\right)
$$

Banach's principle (see for example [4]) states that if for all $f \in L^{p}(\mu)$ the sequence $\left(A_{N} f\right)_{N>0}$ converges almost everywhere, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{\|f\|_{p} \leq 1} \mu\left\{\sup _{N>0}\left|A_{N} f\right|>\lambda\right\}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reciprocally, if the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ has an asymptotic distribution modulo 1 and if (1) is true, then, for all $f \in L^{p}(\mu)$, the sequence $\left(A_{N} f\right)$ converges almost everywhere. (Indeed, if $\left(a_{n}\right)$ has an asymptotic distribution modulo 1 , then, for all continuous function $f$, the sequence $\left(A_{N} f\right)$ converges everywhere, and property (1) ensures that the set of functions $f$ such that $\left(A_{N} f\right)$ converges almost everywhere is closed in $L^{p}(\mu)$.)

This remark is also true for the convergence of subsequences of $\left(A_{N}\right)$ and it allows us to deduce from Theorem 3 the following lemma.
Lemma 2. Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of non zero real numbers converging to zero and $\left(N_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ be an unbounded sequence of positive integers.
There exists $\epsilon>0$ such that, for all $\lambda>0$, there exists $f \in L^{p}(\mu)$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{p} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu\left\{\sup _{N_{k}>0}\left|A_{N_{k}} f\right|>\lambda\right\}>\epsilon
$$

Replacing the function $f$ by its absolute value, we can also suppose that this function is positive.

We can now prove Proposition 3.
Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a real sequence and $h$ a fixed number in $\left.] 0,1\right]$. Let us suppose that

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \limsup _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card}\left\{n \in \left[0, N\left[\mid \overline{u_{n}} \in\right] h-\delta, h[ \}>0\right.\right.
$$

We want to show that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is not a good sequence for the pointwise ergodic theorem; replacing $u_{n}$ by $u_{n}-h+1$, we can suppose that $h=1$. There exists $\rho>0$ such that, for all $\delta>O$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{N \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card}\left\{n \in \left[0, N\left[\mid \overline{u_{n}}>1-\delta\right\}>\rho\right.\right. \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that there is an increasing sequence of integers $\left(n_{j}\right)_{j \geq 0}$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \overline{u_{n_{j}}}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j}{n_{j}} \geq \rho>0
$$

(This sequence $\left(n_{j}\right)$ can be constructed as follows: by (2) there is an integer sequence $\left(N_{p}\right)$ such that $N_{0}=0, N_{p+1}>N_{p}$ and, for $p>0$,

$$
\frac{1}{N_{p}} \operatorname{card}\left\{n \in \left[0, N_{p}\left[\left\lvert\, \overline{u_{n}}>1-\frac{1}{p}\right.\right\}>\rho ;\right.\right.
$$

we put

$$
\left\{n_{j}\right\}:=\bigcup_{p>0}\left\{n \in\left[N_{p-1}, N_{p}\left[\left\lvert\, \overline{u_{n}}>1-\frac{1}{p}\right.\right\} .\right)\right.
$$

Let $\left(j_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that, for all $k, \frac{j_{k}}{n_{j_{k}}}>\frac{\rho}{2}$. Let $f$ be a positive function on $\mathbb{T}$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{N}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(x+u_{n}\right)\right) & \geq \sup _{j}\left(\frac{1}{n_{j}} \sum_{n<n_{j}} f\left(x+u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \sup _{j}\left(\frac{j}{n_{j}} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i<j} f\left(x+u_{n_{i}}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\rho}{2} \sup _{k}\left(\frac{1}{j_{k}} \sum_{i<j_{k}} f\left(x+u_{n_{i}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using notations $u_{n_{i}}=a_{i}$ and $j_{k}=N_{k}$, we can apply Lemma 2. There exists $\epsilon>0$ such that, for all $\lambda>0$, there is $f \in L^{p}$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{p} \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu\left\{x \left\lvert\, \sup _{N}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(x+u_{n}\right)\right)>\lambda\right.\right\}>\epsilon
$$

By Banach's principle, this implies that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is not good for the pointwise ergodic theorem. Proof of Proposition 3 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a real sequence, good for the pointwise ergodic theorem. Denote by $d_{n}:=\left[u_{n}\right]$ the integer part of $u_{n}$. In order to prove that $\left(d_{n}\right)$ is a good sequence, it is enough to prove that, if $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$ is a probability space and $T$ a measure preserving transformation on this space, then, for all $f \in L^{p}(\mu)$, the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f \circ T^{d_{n}}\right)$ converges $\mu$-almost everywhere.

Let us fix $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}, \mu, T, f)$, where $f$ is a bounded measurable function on $\Omega$.
We consider the special flow defined above the system $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}, \mu, T)$, under the constant ceiling function 1 . Denoting by $m$ the uniform probability on $[0,1[$, this flow $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is defined on the space $(\Omega \times[0,1[, \mu \times m)$ by

$$
S_{t}(\omega, x)=\left(T^{[t+x]} \omega, \overline{(t+x)}\right)
$$

We denote by $\tilde{f}$ the trivial extension of $f$ on $\Omega \times[0,1[$. It is defined by $\tilde{f}(\omega, x):=$ $f(\omega)$.

By hypothesis, for $\mu \times m$-almost all $(\omega, x)$, the sequence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} \tilde{f}\left(S_{u_{n}}(\omega, x)\right)\right)
$$

converges. Now

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} \tilde{f}\left(S_{u_{n}}(\omega, x)\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(T^{\left[u_{n}+x\right]} \omega\right)
$$

Fix $\delta>0$. For $\mu$-almost all $\omega$, there exists $x \in[0, \delta[$ such that the sequence

$$
\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(T^{\left[u_{n}+x\right]} \omega\right)\right)
$$

converges. For such an $x$, we have $\left[u_{n}+x\right]=d_{n}$ except perhaps when $\left.\overline{u_{n}} \in\right] 1-\delta, 1[$. We pose $E_{\delta}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \overline{u_{n}}>1-\delta\right\}$. If $x \in[0, \delta[$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(T^{d_{n}} \omega\right)-\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n<M} f\left(T^{d_{n}} \omega\right)\right| \leq \\
& \leq\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(T^{\left[u_{n}+x\right]} \omega\right)-\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n<M} f\left(T^{\left[u_{n}+x\right]} \omega\right)\right|+ \\
& +2\|f\|_{\infty}\left(\frac { 1 } { N } \operatorname { c a r d } \left(\left[0, N\left[\cap E_{\delta}\right)+\frac{1}{M} \operatorname{card}\left(\left[0, M\left[\cap E_{\delta}\right)\right) .\right.\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{N, M \rightarrow \infty} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(T^{d_{n}} \omega\right)-\right. & \left.\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n<M} f\left(T^{d_{n}} \omega\right) \right\rvert\, \leq \\
& \leq 4\|f\|_{\infty} \limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card}\left(\left[0, N\left[\cap E_{\delta}\right)\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3 says that this last quantity tends to zero with $\delta$. This proves that, for $\mu$-almost all $\omega,\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(T^{d_{n}} \omega\right)\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence.

This result has been obtained for bounded functions $f$. We shall now prove that the set of functions $f$ in $L^{p}(\mu)$ such that the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f\left(T^{d_{n}} \omega\right)\right)$ converges almost everywhere is closed in $L^{p}(\mu)$. This is the direct consequence of a maximal inequality based on the following remark (where $\tilde{f}$ is the trivial extension of $f$ to $\Omega \times[0,1[)$.
For each $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$, we have $f\left(T^{d_{n}} \omega\right)=\tilde{f}\left(S_{u_{n}}(\omega, x)\right)$ or $\tilde{f}\left(S_{u_{n}-1}(\omega, x)\right)$. This implies that

$$
\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N} f \circ T^{d_{n}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N}|\tilde{f}| \circ S_{u_{n}}+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n<N}\left|\tilde{f} \circ S^{-1}\right| \circ S_{u_{n}}
$$

And maximal inequality for this last expression is a consequence of our hypothesis and Banach's principle. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
N.B.: After the writing of this paper, M. Wierdl informed the author that, in a common work with M. Boshernitzan and R. Jones, he had obtained recently a result similar to the main one of this note ([2]).
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