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#### Abstract

Some results concerning triangularization of some operators on locally convex spaces are established.


Keywords: locally convex space, triangularization, invariant subspace, compact operator, quasinilpotent operator

Classification: 47A15, 47B99, 46A32

## 1. Introduction

In all that follows $X$ will denote a complex Hausdorff locally convex space. The topology $t$ on $X$ is induced by some system of seminorms $P=\left\{p_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$, where $\Delta$ is some index set. Let us denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the set of all linear continuous operators on $X$, by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the set of compact operators on $X(T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ if there exists a neighborhood $U$ such that $T(U)$ is a relatively compact set) and by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the set of all finite rank operators. The topology of bounded convergence on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ will be denoted by $\tau_{b}$. We shall denote by $\mathcal{R}(T)$ the range of $T$ and by $\mathcal{N}(T)$ the null space of $T$. For a given $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ the number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is in the resolvent set of $T$ iff $(\lambda I-T)^{-1}$ exists in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. The spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is the complement of the resolvent set. An operator $T$ is quasinilpotent if $\sigma(T)=\{0\}$. A closed subspace $M$ in $X$ is an invariant subspace of an operator $T$ if $T(M) \subseteq M$. A family of subspaces totally ordered by inclusion is called a chain.

Definition 1. A family of linear operators $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is triangularizable if there is a maximal subspace chain $\mathcal{C}$ (a triangular representation of $\mathcal{F}$ ) consisting of subspaces which are invariant under all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

For a given chain of subspaces $\mathcal{C}$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}$ let us denote by $M_{-}$the closure of the union of subspaces $L \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $L \subset M$ and $L \neq M$. If there is no such $L$ then let $M_{-}=\{0\}$. Let a chain $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangular representation of a compact operator $T$; then by maximality it follows that $\operatorname{dim}\left(M / M_{-}\right) \leq 1$ ([9]) for each $M \in \mathcal{C}$. Let us take a subspace $M \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $M \neq M_{-}$and a $z_{M} \in M \backslash M_{-}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(M / M_{-}\right) \leq 1$ it follows that $T z_{M}=y_{M}+d_{M} z_{M}$ for some $y_{M} \in M$ and $d_{M} \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to prove that $d_{M}$ is independent of the choice of $z_{M} \in M \backslash M_{-}$. The number $d_{M}$ is called the diagonal coefficient of $T$ and $M$ relative to $\mathcal{C}$. Let
$\mathcal{C}$ be a triangular representation of a pair $S, T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$; then for the diagonal coefficients the following properties hold: (i) $d_{M}(S+T)=d_{M}(S)+d_{M}(T)$, (ii) $d_{M}(\alpha T)=\alpha d_{M}(T)$ and (iii) $d_{M}(S T)=d_{M}(S) d_{M}(T)$, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}$ (see e.g. [9]). These ideas are due to Ringrose in [12] in the Banach space case.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a collection of continuous operators on $X$ and $M, N$ two invariant subspaces for $\mathcal{S}$ and $N \subset M$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ induces a family $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ of operators on the quotient space $M / N$ in the following manner: $\quad \widehat{T} \widehat{x}=T x+N, \quad \widehat{x}=x+N$, where $T \in \mathcal{S}$. A property of a collection $\mathcal{S}$ of operators is said to be inherited by quotients if the collection $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfies the same property for every distinct pair $M, N, M \subset N$ invariant for $\mathcal{S}$.

Many results concerning triangular representations of operators on normed spaces are known. Some generalizations to locally convex spaces are given in [9]. It must be stressed that there are great difficulties because many of the usual theorems in normed spaces are not valid in locally convex spaces.

## 2. Main results

An important tool in the proofs of the triangularizability is the following "triangularization lemma".
Lemma 1. Let $X$ be a locally convex space. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a property of families of operators on $X$ which is inherited by quotients. If every family satisfying $\mathcal{P}$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace, then every such a family is triangularizable.

The proof of this lemma is the same as for normed spaces. (see e.g. [11]). In the sequel we first give some auxiliary results.
Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a barreled locally convex space and $\left(T_{n}\right)$ a $\tau_{b}$-convergent sequence in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Then the set $\left(T_{n}\right)$ is equicontinuous.
Proof: It is easy to see that a $\tau_{b}$-convergent sequence $\left(T_{n}\right)$ is $\tau_{b}$-bounded and then also strongly bounded and hence equicountinuous since $X$ is barreled ([2]).

Proposition 1. Let $X$ be a barreled locally convex space and ( $T_{n}$ ) resp. ( $S_{n}$ ) two sequences of operators from $\mathcal{L}(X)$ which are $\tau_{b}$-convergent to $T$ resp. to $S$, then $\left(S_{n} T_{n}\right)$ is $\tau_{b}$-convergent to $S T$.

Proof: Let us choose a bounded set $M$ and a seminorm $p_{\alpha} \in P$, which generate one of the seminorms $q_{\alpha}^{M}$ defining the topology $\tau_{b}$. By Lemma $2,\left(S_{n}\right)$ is an equicountinuous set; hence there is some $p_{\gamma} \in P$ such that $p_{\alpha}\left(S_{n} x\right) \leq p_{\gamma}(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\alpha}^{M}\left(S_{n} T_{n}-S T\right) & \leq \sup _{M} p_{\alpha}\left(S_{n}\left(T_{n}-T\right) x\right)+\sup _{M} p_{\alpha}\left(\left(S_{n}-S\right) T x\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{M} p_{\gamma}\left(\left(T_{n}-T\right) x\right)+\sup _{N} p_{\alpha}\left(\left(S_{n}-S\right) x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N=T(M)$ and, since both terms on the right hand side converge to zero, the left side converges, too.

By repeatedly using Proposition 1 we obtain
Corollary 1. Let $X$ be a barreled locally convex space and let $\left(T_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ be such that $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} T$. Then $T_{n}^{k} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} T^{k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a given locally convex space with the topology $t$, another topology on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ was introduced by Mendoza ([10]) in the following manner. A net $\left(x_{\delta}\right)$ is $u$-bounded (ultimately bounded), if for each $p_{\alpha} \in P$ there is some $r>0$ and an index $\delta_{0}$ such that $p_{\alpha}\left(x_{\delta}\right) \leq r$ for all $\delta \geq \delta_{0}$. The family of all u-bounded nets in $X$ will be denoted by $\Gamma_{t}$. A net $\left(T_{\lambda}\right)$ from $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is said to be $\Gamma_{t}$-convergent to $T$ in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ if for any given $p_{\alpha} \in P$ and $\varepsilon>0$ and each $\left(x_{\delta}\right) \in \Gamma_{t}$ there are some $\lambda_{0}$ and $\delta_{0}$ such that

$$
p_{\alpha}\left(\left(T_{\lambda}-T\right) x_{\delta}\right)<\varepsilon, \quad \lambda \geq \lambda_{0}, \quad \delta \geq \delta_{0}
$$

In [10] it is also proven that the $\Gamma_{t}$ topology is stronger than the $\tau_{b}$ topology on $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Lemma 3. Let $X$ be a locally convex space and $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. Then $\sigma(T)=\{0\}$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} s^{k} T^{k} x=0
$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in X$.
Proof: Let $\sigma(T)=\{0\}$. Since $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ it follows that

$$
\inf \left\{|\lambda|, \lambda^{-k} T^{k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{t}} 0\right\}=0
$$

(see $[10]$ ) and it is easily seen that in this case we have $s^{k} T^{k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{t}} 0$ for each $s \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, the stationary sequence $(x)$ is u-bounded. Hence for each $p_{\alpha} \in P$ and $\varepsilon>0$ we have $p_{\alpha}\left(s^{k} T^{k} x\right)<\varepsilon$ where $k \geq k_{0}$ for some $k_{0}$. Thus, $s^{k} T^{k} x \rightarrow 0$. Conversely, let $\sigma(T) \neq\{0\}$. Since $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ we have $T x=\mu x$ for some nonzero $x \in X$ and $\mu \neq 0([1])$ and hence $(1 / \mu)^{k} T^{k} x=x$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently $(1 / \mu)^{k} T^{k} \nrightarrow 0$.

Although continuity of the spectrum or spectral radius does not hold in general, the following is true.

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a barreled locally convex space and $\left(T_{n}\right)$ a sequence of compact quasinilpotent operators which is $\tau_{b}$-convergent to some compact operator $T$ on $X$. Then $T$ is a quasinilpotent operator too.

Proof: By Lemma 3 for each $s \in \mathbb{C}, x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} s^{k} T_{n}^{k} x=$ 0 . Let us choose arbitrary $s \in \mathbb{C}, x \in X, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $p_{\alpha} \in P$. Since $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} T$ by Corollary 1, it follows also that $T_{n}^{k} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} T^{k}$. Hence there is some $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left|s^{k}\right| p_{\alpha}\left(\left(T^{k}-T_{n}^{k}\right) x\right)<1 / k, n \geq n_{0}
$$

Consequently $p_{\alpha}\left(s^{k} T^{k} x\right) \leq\left|s^{k}\right| p_{\alpha}\left(\left(T^{k}-T_{n}^{k}\right) x\right)+p_{\alpha}\left(s^{k} T_{n}^{k} x\right) \leq 1 / k+p_{\alpha}\left(s^{k} T_{n}^{k} x\right)$, $n \geq n_{0}$. Since all $T_{n}$ are quasinilpotent, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 3 the operator $T$ is quasinilpotent too.

Also, in locally convex spaces, we have
Definition 2. An algebra of operators $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is transitive if there is no subspace invariant for all operators from $\mathcal{A}$ other than $\{0\}$ and $X$.

It is well known that an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is transitive if and only if $\mathcal{A} x$ is dense in $X$ for each $x \neq 0$ (see [7]).

Proposition 2. Let $X$ be a barreled complete locally convex space and $\mathcal{A}$ a transitive algebra of operators in $\mathcal{K}(X)$. Then there is some finite rank operator $F$ and a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} F$.

Proof: By Lomonosov's theorem ([8]) there exists $K \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\lambda=1$ is an eigenvalue of $K$. The spectrum $\sigma(K)$ consists of at most a denumerable number of points with accumulation point $0([1])$. Let $O_{1}$ be an open set containing $\lambda=1$ that does not meet $\sigma(K)$ in other points and let $f(\lambda)$ be an analytic function equal to 1 for $\lambda \in O_{1}$ and 0 otherwise. Let us choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that a closed circle $S_{0}$ with radius $\varepsilon$ around $\lambda=1$ is contained in $O_{1}$ and $S_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, closed circles with radii $\varepsilon$ such that the union $\bigcup_{1}^{n} S_{i}$ covers the other points of $\sigma(K)$ and does not intersect $O_{1}$. Denote $D=\bigcup_{0}^{n} S_{i}$ and let $\gamma$ be the boundary of $D$. By the Riesz functional calculus ([13]) for locally convex spaces there is an operator in $F \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ obtained by

$$
F=f(K)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda) R(\lambda, K) d \lambda
$$

where $R(\lambda, K)=(\lambda I-K)^{-1}$ is the resolvent operator of $K$. As in the Banach space case, one can prove that $F^{2}=F$ and that $F$ is a finite rank operator. The function $f(\lambda)$ can be uniformly approximated on compact sets by polynomials. Let $P_{m}(\lambda)$ be a polynomial such that

$$
\max _{\lambda \in D}\left|f(\lambda)-P_{m}(\lambda)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

It is clear that $P_{m}(K) \in \mathcal{A}$. For an arbitrary bounded set $M$ and any $p_{\alpha} \in P$ we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\alpha}^{M}\left(f(K)-P_{m}(K)\right) & \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\gamma}\left|f(\lambda)-P_{m}(\lambda)\right| \cdot \sup _{x \in M} p_{\alpha}(R(\lambda, K) x) d|\lambda| \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \pi} L C_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{\alpha}=\sup _{\lambda \in D} \sup _{x \in M} p_{\alpha}(R(\lambda, K) x)$ and $L$ is the length of $\gamma$.
Lemma 4. Let $X$ be a locally convex space, $\mathcal{A}$ a transitive algebra in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{J}$ a nontrivial ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is transitive too.

Proof: Let us choose arbitrary nonzero elements $x \in X$ and $A \in \mathcal{J}$. Since $\mathcal{A} x$ is dense in $X$, if $\mathcal{A} x \subset \mathcal{N}(A)$ then $\mathcal{N}(A)=X$. Thus, there is some $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A B x \neq 0$ and by transitivity it follows that $\mathcal{A}(A B x)$ is dense in $X$. Obviously $\mathcal{A} A B \subset \mathcal{J}$, hence $\mathcal{J} x$ is dense too.

For an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of compact operators, let us denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ the set of all operators $A \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ which are $\tau_{b}$-limits of some sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)$ of operators from $\mathcal{A}$. By Proposition 1 it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed algebra when $X$ is barreled.

Proposition 3. Let $X$ be a complete barreled locally convex space and $\mathcal{A}$ a transitive algebra in $\mathcal{K}(X)$. Then the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ is also transitive.
Proof: By Proposition $1, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ is an ideal in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and by Proposition 2 it is different from $\{0\}$. Clearly, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is transitive too and by Lemma 4 the ideal $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ is also transitive.

Lemma 5. Let $T$ be a compact and quasinilpotent operator on the locally convex space $X$ and $N$ an invariant subspace of $T$. Then the operator $\hat{T}$ on the quotient space $\hat{X}:=X / N$ is also compact and quasinilpotent.

Proof: Let us denote by $\pi$ the natural homomorpism of $X$ onto $\hat{X}$. The topology of the locally convex space $\hat{X}$ is generated by the system of seminorms $\hat{P}=$ $\left\{\hat{p}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$, where $\hat{p}_{\alpha}(\hat{x})=\inf \left\{p_{\alpha}(x+z), z \in N\right\}$ for $\hat{x}=x+N$ and $p_{\alpha} \in P$. Clearly $\pi$ is continuous and by the relation $\hat{T} \pi=\pi T$ the compactness of $\hat{T}$ follows. Since $T$ is quasinilpotent by Lemma 3 , for any $s \in \mathbb{C}, x \in X$ and $p_{\alpha} \in P$ we have $p_{\alpha}\left(s^{k} T^{k} x\right) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Note that

$$
\hat{p}_{\alpha}\left(s^{k} \hat{T}^{k} \hat{x}\right) \leq p_{\alpha}\left(s^{k} T^{k} x\right), \quad \hat{x}=x+N
$$

Thus, the left hand side also tends to 0 ; hence $\hat{T}$ is (by Lemma 3) quasinilpotent.

The main result is the following generalization of a result from [5].

Theorem 2. An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of compact operators on a complete barreled locally convex space is triangularizable if and only if $A B-B A$ is a quasinilpotent operator for each pair $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be triangularizable and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a maximal chain of invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $d_{N}(A B-B A)=d_{N}(A) d_{N}(B)-d_{N}(B) d_{N}(A)=0$ (see [9]) for each $N \in \mathcal{C}$. Since a nonzero number $\lambda$ is a diagonal coefficient if and only if it is an eigenvalue ( $[9]$ ) and since the spectrum of a nonzero compact operator is not empty $([13]), A B-B A$ is quasinilpotent. Let this supposition be fulfilled. By Lemma 5 the quasinilpotency is inherited by quotients. Thus by Lemma 1 it suffices to show that each such algebra has a nontrivial invariant subspace. If this were not the case, $\mathcal{A}$ would be transitive and then by Proposition 3 the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ would be transitive too. By Proposition 1 and by Theorem 1 this algebra also satisfies the above condition. Let us choose an $F \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ with rank greater than 2. The restriction of the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathcal{F}(X)$ to $\mathcal{R}(F)$ is equal to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}(F))$ by Burnside's theorem. Consequently, operators of the form $F A F$, $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, include the following operators

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \oplus 0 \quad \text { and } \quad D=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \oplus 0 .
$$

Then it is easy to see that $C D-D C$ is not quasinilpotent which is a contradiction.

The following result is a generalization of [11].
Proposition 4. Let $X$ be a barreled locally convex space and ( $S_{n}$ ), ( $T_{n}$ ) two sequences of compact operators on $X$ such that $S_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} S$ and $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} T$, where $S$ and $T$ are compact operators. Let, for each $n$, the pair $\left\{S_{n}, T_{n}\right\}$ be triangularizable. Then the pair $\{S, T\}$ is triangularizable too.

Proof: If we take into account the result from [9] it is sufficient to prove that for each noncommutative polynomial $f$ in two variables the operator $C:=(S T-$ $T S) f(S, T)$ is quasinilpotent. By the same result and by our hypothesis, the operator $C_{n}:=\left(S_{n} T_{n}-T_{n} S_{n}\right) f\left(S_{n}, T_{n}\right)$ is quasinilpotent for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. All operators $C_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $C$ are compact and by Proposition 1 it follows that $C_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau_{b}} C$. By Theorem 1, $C$ is quasinilpotent.

The next result is a generalization of a result proved for Hilbert spaces in [4].
Theorem 3. Let $X$ be a locally convex space and $\mathcal{A}$ an algebra in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{A}, A^{k}=0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is triangularizable.

Proof: Clearly, the nilpotency is inherited by quotients. Thus, by Lemma 1 it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{A}$ has an invariant subspace. Choose $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that
$A^{k-1} \neq 0$. Since $(A+z B)^{k}=0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ it follows that the coefficient of each $z^{n}$ is zero. In particular, for $n=1$ we obtain

$$
B A^{k-1}=-A\left(B A^{k-2}+A B A^{k-3}+\cdots+A^{k-2} B\right)
$$

and, consequently, $\mathcal{R}\left(B A^{k-1}\right) \subset \mathcal{R}(A)$. Let us show that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \neq X$. If $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}=$ $X$ for any $y \in X$ there would exist a sequence $\left(x_{\delta}\right) \subset X$ such that $A x_{\delta} \rightarrow y$. By continuity of $A^{k-1}$ it follows that $0=A^{k} x_{\delta} \rightarrow A^{k-1} y$. Thus $A^{k-1}$ would be the zero operator, which is a contradiction. Choose now a nonzero $x \in \mathcal{R}\left(A^{k-1}\right)$ and define $M=\{B x, B \in \mathcal{A}\}$. We have two possibilities. a) $M \neq\{0\}$. Clearly, $M$ is an invariant subspace for $\mathcal{A}$ and then $\bar{M}$ is invariant too. By the above inclusion it follows that $M \subset \mathcal{R}(A)$, hence $\bar{M} \neq X$. b) $M=\{0\}$. Letting $N=\{\lambda x, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$, $N$ is a proper closed invariant subspace for $\mathcal{A}$.

Let us denote by $B_{P}(X)$ the family of all operators $T$ on $X$ for which $p_{\alpha}(T x) \leq$ $C p_{\alpha}(x), x \in X, p_{\alpha} \in P$, where $C$ is independent of $p_{\alpha} \in P$. This is a normed algebra with the norm $\|T\|_{P}=\sup \left\{p_{\alpha}(T x): p_{\alpha}(x) \leq 1, x \in X, p_{\alpha} \in P\right\}$ and it is complete if $X$ is complete (see eg. [6]).

Corollary 2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\|.\|_{P}$-closed algebra of nilpotent operators in $B_{P}(X)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is triangularizable.
Proof: By Grabiner ([3]), there exists a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^{k}=0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and the conclusion follows by the above theorem.
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