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#### Abstract

In this paper we show some results of multiplicity and existence of signchanging solutions using a mountain pass theorem in ordered intervals, for a class of quasi-linear elliptic Dirichlet problems. As a by product we construct a special pseudogradient vector field and a negative pseudo-gradient flow for the nondifferentiable functional associated to our class of problems.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N>1)$ be an open and bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. We consider a quasi-linear elliptic boundary value problems of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u) & =f(\lambda, x, u), & & x \in \Omega \\
u & =0, & & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

where $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function (i.e., is measurable with respect to $x \in \Omega$ for all $(\lambda, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and continuous in $(\lambda, s)$ for almost every $\left.x \in \Omega\right)$, such that $f(\lambda, x, 0)=0$ for almost every (in short a.e.) $x \in \Omega, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f(\lambda, x, s) s>0$ for $s \neq 0$. We suppose that $f$ satisfies
( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ) for every bounded set $\Lambda \subset(0, \infty)$ and for $2<r<2^{*},|f(\lambda, x, u)| \leq C(1+$ $\left.|u|^{r}\right)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and a.e. $x \in \Omega$,
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ there exist $\theta>2, M>0$ such that $0<\theta F(\lambda, x, u) \leq u f(\lambda, x, u)$ for all $|u| \geq M, \lambda \in \Lambda$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where $F(\lambda, x, u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(\lambda, x, s) d s$,
and that there exist constants $0<\alpha<\beta$ such that
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right) \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\lambda, x, s)}{s}>\beta \mu_{1}$ uniformly in $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega$,
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right) \lim \sup _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda, x, s)}{s}<\alpha \mu_{1}$ uniformly in $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \Omega$,
where $\mu_{1}>0$ is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator (with Dirichlet condition).

Let $A: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function such that
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)|A(x, u)| \leq \beta$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$,
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) \forall(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, A(x, s) \xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^{2}$,
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ there exists a continuous function $\omega: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega(0)=0, \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\omega(s)}=$ $+\infty$ and $|A(x, s)-A(x, t)| \leq \omega(|s-t|)$, for $s$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ the function $u \rightarrow A(x, u)$ has continuous and bounded derivative for a.e. $x \in$ $\Omega$, and there exists $u_{0}>0$ such that $A(x, u)$ is nondecreasing in $u \in\left[0, u_{0}\right]$.
We can consider the matrix $A(x, u)=\left(a_{i j}(x, u)\right), i, j=1,2, \ldots, N$, with Carathéodory coefficients $a_{i j}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ and $s \mapsto a_{i j}(x, s)$ is $C^{1}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega, a_{i j}(x, u)$ and $\frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial s}(x, s) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

The problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has been extensively studied in semilinear case, including the case $A=1$, see [1]-[6], by means of bifurcation, variational methods, subsolution and supersolution method according to the behavior of the function $f$ (see Ambrosetti at al. [4]-[6] for related topics). In this case, the existence of multiple and sign-changing solutions has been considered by many authors (cf. Li Shujie and Wang [15], Dancer and Du Yihon [12], Li Shujie and Zang Zhitao [17], Alama and Del Pino [1], and references therein). However, it seems that very few results have been reported on the quasi-linear case (see for instance [7] and [8]), and at least to the best of our knowledge, sign-changing solutions have not been considered yet.

Our purpose is to contribute to some nontrivial and sign-changing solutions for the problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$, when $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$. The main difficulty in this problem lies in deriving a min-max critical value for the Euler functional associated to $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$, since this functional is continuous, but may not be Lipschitz continuous and therefore nondifferentiable. In order to overcome this difficulty, we use some technical tools used by Struwe [17] for nondifferentiable functionals in Banach spaces. We also use a mountain-pass theorem in ordered intervals, in the spirit of Li Shujie and Wang [15], and differential equations theory in Banach spaces to define a critical point value and to show the existence of sign-changing solutions. Our results generalize or improve many results obtained for $C^{1}$ functionals and for nondifferentiable functionals in [5], [15] and [7], as shown in Theorems 5, 7 and Remark 6.

## 2. Main result

Let us consider the functional $J_{\lambda}: W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, u)|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(\lambda, x, u) d x .
$$

The functional $J_{\lambda}$ has been considered in [7] by Arcoya and Boccardo, and in [9] by Artola and Boccardo, where it has been shown that $J_{\lambda}$ has a directional derivative
$J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ at each $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ along any direction $v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, with

$$
J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} A_{u}^{\prime}(x, u)|\nabla u|^{2} v d x-\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, u) v d x
$$

where $A_{u}^{\prime}(x, u)=\frac{\partial}{\partial u} A(x, u)$. Clearly for fixed $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, the function $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is linear in $v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and for every fixed direction $v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega), J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is continuous in $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Hence, a critical point of $J_{\lambda}(u)$ is a function $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)=0$ for every $v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Therefore, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, a nontrivial critical point of $J_{\lambda}$ is a nontrivial solution of the boundary problem
$\left(P_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right) \quad-\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u)+\frac{1}{2} A_{u}^{\prime}(x, u)|\nabla u|^{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u} F(\lambda, x, u)=f(\lambda, x, u)$.
For $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and in this case for a solution of $\left(P_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$, we consider $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A_{u}^{\prime}(x, u)|\nabla u|^{2} v d x=\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, u) v d x
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
Let us consider the space $Y=W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, and the set

$$
M=\left\{u \in Y \backslash\{0\}: \int_{\Omega} A_{u}^{\prime}(x, u)|\nabla u|^{2} v d x=0, \forall v \in Y\right\} .
$$

On $M, J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ has the form

$$
J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v d x-\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, u) v d x
$$

for all $u \in Y$. From $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$, a solution of $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)=0$ is a weak solution of the boundary value problem (see also [9])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u) & =f(\lambda, x, u), & & x \in \Omega \\
u & =0, & & x \in \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, for all $h \in W^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ (the dual space of $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ), a weak solution of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u) & =h(x), & & x \in \Omega \\
u & =0, & & x \in \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

is a function $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla v d x=\int_{\Omega} h(x) v d x, \quad \forall v \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)
$$

Moreover, if $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ is satisfied, then this solution is unique (see [9]). Therefore, the divergent operator

$$
Q(u)=-\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u), \quad u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

is invertible with continuous inverse.
Let us denote $K(u)=Q^{-1}(f(\lambda, x, v))$. If $u$ is a solution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ then $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=K(u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the solutions of $(1)$ are zeros of $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)=0$, and therefore, critical points of $J_{\lambda}$ on $M$.
Remark 1. (a) If $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, then by $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right), f(\lambda, x, u) \in L^{r}(\Omega)$ and by regularity theorems, $Q^{-1}(f(\lambda, x, u)) \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Thus, $K: W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.
(b) Under $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$, it can be shown (see [8, Lemma 3.1]) that if $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a solution of $\int_{\Omega} A(x, u)|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, u) u d x=0$, then $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Therefore $K: Y \rightarrow Y$ is well defined and $I-K: Y \rightarrow Y$ is well defined as well.

Lemma 2. Assume that $A$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$. Let $v \in Y$ be a nonnegative function. Then $u$ is a positive solution of $Q(u)=v$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-Q^{-1}(v)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Note that $u-Q^{-1}(u)=0$ if and only if

$$
\int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} u \varphi d x, \quad \forall \varphi \in Y
$$

We claim that $u \geq 0$. In fact, since $v \geq 0$, using $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ and taking $\varphi \equiv u^{-}$as a test function, we obtain

$$
\alpha\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{x}^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} A(x, u) \nabla u^{-} \nabla u^{-} d x=\int_{\Omega} u u^{-} d x \leq 0
$$

This implies that $u^{-} \equiv 0$.

Remark 3. (a) $K$ is continuous and compact, by $\left(f_{1}\right)$.
(b) Let $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ the interior of the positive cone $P$. If in addition $f(\lambda, \cdot, \cdot)$ is $C^{1}(\Omega \times$ $\mathbb{R})$ and $A(x, \cdot)$ is $C^{1}$, then $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$ (see $[9$, Remarks 2$\left.]\right)$. We observe that the regularity condition for $f$ can be relaxed by taking $f(\lambda, x, s)+m s$ increasing in $\left[0, s_{0}\right]$ for some $m>0$ and for every $s_{0}>0$, and we shall use this relaxation throughout all the paper if necessary, so we will not require explicitly this regularity condition. Using the strong maximum principle, we can prove that $K$ is strongly order preserving for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Remark 4. ( $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) implies that, for a fixed direction $\lambda \in Y$, the function $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is continuous in $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 5. Suppose that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right)$ hold. Then there exists $\lambda_{0}>$ 0 such that, for every $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right]$ and $\lambda \notin \sigma(Q)$, the problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least six nontrivial solutions. More precisely:
(i) $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two positive solutions $u_{1}^{+}$and $u_{2}^{+}$with $u_{1}^{+}>u_{2}^{+}$, $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}^{+}\right)<0$ and $u_{2}^{+}$is a local minimizer of $J_{\lambda}(u)$;
(ii) $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two negative solutions $u_{3}^{-}$and $u_{4}^{-}$with $u_{3}^{-}<u_{4}^{-}$, $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{4}^{-}\right)<0$ and $u_{4}^{-}$is a local minimizer of $J_{\lambda}(u)$;
(iii) $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has at least two sign-changing solutions $u_{5}$ and $u_{6}$ with $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{5}\right)<0$, $u_{5}$ is a mountain-pass point of $J_{\lambda}$, and $u_{6}$ is outside of $\left[u_{4}^{-}, u_{2}^{+}\right]$.

Remark 6. We do not require $f$ to be $C^{1}$ as in many applications, however, we assume that $f$ is sublinear and we obtain the same results as in [15], where the $C^{1}$ condition is required and

$$
f(\lambda, x, u)=\lambda|u|^{q-1} u+g(u)
$$

with $g(s)=o(|s|)$ at 0 and $g^{\prime}(s)>-a$ for some $a>0$. Our Theorem 5 also generalizes a result of [5], where the following strong assumptions were made:
(G1) $G \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), s G^{\prime}(s) \geq \alpha G(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $2<\alpha<2^{*}$, where $2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$, if $N>2$;
(G2) $s^{2} G^{\prime \prime}(s) \geq \alpha G^{\prime}(s) s$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$;
(G3) $s^{2} G^{\prime \prime}(s) \leq C_{1}|s|^{\alpha}$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (for some $C_{1}>0$ ),
where $G(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(t) d t$. In comparison with [5] and [15], we get a much stronger and general result with more information than in [5], using weaker assumptions.

We observe that our result is also valid for $A \equiv 1$, in which case $\alpha=\beta=1$. Our assumptions $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ are the same as in [12], where the author obtains at least two positive solutions.

In [7], the authors consider the same assumptions as us for the function $A$, with $g(s)$ convex and obtain at least two solutions, using a variant of the usual mountain-pass theorem; their results, however, do not give any information on sign-changing solutions.

To deal with the superlinear case, we consider the following hypothesis.
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ There exists $2<\theta<2^{*}$ such that $0<\theta F(\lambda, x) \leq u f(\lambda, x, u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for almost every $x \in \Omega$.
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ There exist a number $k>0$ and $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, and for a.e. $x \in \Omega,|f(\lambda, x, u)<k|$ for $u \in[-c, c]$, where $c=\max _{\Omega} e(x)$ and $e(x)$ satisfies the boundary value problem $-\triangle e(x)=k$ in $\Omega$ and $e(x)=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Under the above assumptions we have the following result.
Theorem 7. The results of Theorem 5 still hold under the hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}^{\prime}\right)$.

## 3. Abstract results

Let us start by presenting some abstract results. The abstract framework is derived from the following hypothesis.

Let $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ be a Hilbert space and $Y \subset X$ a normed subspace of $X$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$, and densely embedded in $X$.

Let $P_{X} \subset X$ be a convex cone and $P=Y \cap P_{X}$. Assume that $P$ has a nonempty interior $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$, and that any ordered interval in $X$ is finitely bounded. Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional in $X$, which is continuous in $\left(Y,\|\cdot\|+\|\cdot\|_{Y}\right)$ and satisfies the following assumptions.
$\left(\Phi_{1}\right)$ The functional $\Phi$ has directional derivative $\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)$ at each $u \in X$, through any bounded direction $v \in Y$. For fixed $u \in X$, the function $\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is linear in $v$, and for fixed $v \in Y$, the function $\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is continuous in $u \in X$.
$\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$ The functional $\Phi$ is bounded from below on any ordered interval in $X$.
$\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ For any fixed direction $v \in Y$, the function $\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is of the form $u-$ $K_{X}(u)$ for each $u \in K$, where $K_{X}: X \rightarrow X$ is compact, $K_{X}(Y) \subset Y$ and $K=K_{X \mid Y}: Y \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and strongly order preserving, i.e. $u>v \Leftrightarrow K(v) \gg K(v)$ for all $u, v \in Y$, where $u \gg v \Leftrightarrow u-v \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$.
$\left(\Phi_{4}\right)$ The functional $\Phi$ satisfies the Palais-Smale ((PS) for short) condition in $X$, the deformation property in $Y$ and has only finitely many isolated critical points.

We shall consider the following compactness conditions.
(H) There exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $Y$ such that for some sequences $\left(K_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ $\subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, the following are satisfied

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text { is bounded }  \tag{3}\\
& \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{Y} \leq 2 K_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)(v)\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(\frac{\|v\|_{Y}}{K_{n}}+\|v\|_{X}\right), \text { for all } v \in Y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(C) Any sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\operatorname{dom}(\Phi) \subset Y$ satisfying for some $\left(K_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ the conditions (3), (4) and (5), possesses a convergent subsequence in $X$.

We state some abstract results, which will be used to solve the problem ( $P_{\lambda}$ ).
Theorem 8. Assume that $\Phi$ satisfies $\left(\Phi_{1}\right),\left(\Phi_{2}\right),\left(\Phi_{3}\right),\left(\Phi_{4}\right)$ and $\underline{u}<\bar{u}$ is a pair of subsolution and supersolution for $\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)=0$, for any bounded direction $v \in Y$. Then, $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ is positively invariant under the negative gradient flow of $\Phi$, and $u-$ $\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)$ belongs to the interior of $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ through any fixed and bounded direction $v \in Y$. Moreover, if $\underline{u}<\bar{u}$ is a pair of strict subsolution and supersolution, then $\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{id}-K,[\underline{u}, \bar{u}], 0)=1$.

Corollary 9. If $\underline{u}<\bar{u}$ is a pair of strict subsolution and supersolution for $\Phi^{\prime}(\cdot)(v)=0$ in $X$, then $K_{\Phi} \cap \partial[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]=\emptyset$, where $K_{\Phi}=\left\{u \in X: \Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)=0\right\}$ is the critical point set of $\Phi$.

Now, we give a suitable version of the mountain-pass theorem in ordered interval in this framework.

Theorem 10. Assume that $\Phi$ satisfies $\left(\Phi_{1}\right),\left(\Phi_{2}\right),\left(\Phi_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\Phi_{4}\right)$. Suppose that there exist four points in $Y: v_{1}<v_{2}, \omega_{1}<\omega_{2}, v_{1}<\omega_{2}$, satisfying $\left[v_{1}, v_{1}\right] \cap$ $\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]=\emptyset$ with $v_{1}<K v_{1}, v_{2}>K v_{2}, \omega_{1}<K \omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}>K \omega_{2}$. Then, $\Phi$ has a mountain-pass point $u_{0} \in\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right] \backslash\left(\left[v_{1}, \omega_{1}\right]\right)$.

## Proofs of abstract results

Proof of Theorem 8: Since $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ is finitely bounded and $K$ compact, $\operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{id}-K,[\underline{u}, \bar{u}], 0)$ is well defined. Consider the negative gradient flow of $\Phi$ in $X$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta(t, u)=-\Phi^{\prime}(\eta(t, u)(v)) \\
\eta(0, u)=u
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any fixed direction $v \in Y$ and for all $u \in Y$. Then, from $\left(\Phi_{4}\right), u(t, u) \in Y$. It suffices to show that for $y \in P-\{0\}$, and for any bounded direction $v \in Y$,
$\eta(t, \underline{u}+y) \in \underline{u}+\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ and $\eta(t, \bar{u}-y) \in \bar{u}-\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ for all $t>0$. By $\left(\Phi_{1}\right)$, we know that for any given direction in $Y, \Phi(u+\cdot)$ is differentiable in $Y$, for all $u \in \operatorname{dom}(\Phi) \subset X$.

Hence, for any given $y \in P-\{0\}$,

$$
\underline{u}+y-\Phi_{Y}^{\prime}(\underline{u}+y)(v)=K(\underline{u}+y) \gg K(u)>u
$$

where $\Phi_{Y}^{\prime}(\underline{u}+y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Phi(\underline{u}+y)$. Similar arguments imply that $\bar{u}-y-\Phi_{Y}^{\prime}(\bar{u}-$ $y)(v)=K(\bar{u}-y) \ll K(\bar{u})<\bar{u}$. Therefore, $\eta(t, \underline{u}+y) \in \underline{u}+\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ and $\eta(t, \bar{u}-y) \in \bar{u}-\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ for any bounded direction $v$ in $Y$. Hence, $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ is positively invariant and for all $u \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}], u-\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)$ belongs to the interior of $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ for any bounded direction $v$ in $Y$. Now, using this invariance property, the fact that $K$ is strongly order preserving and compact, $\stackrel{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset$, and arguments of H. Amann [2], it is easy to see that $K$ has a fixed point in $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$. If this fixed point is isolated, then its index is 1 , and by the excision property of the Schauder degree, we are done.
Proof of Theorem 10: Since $\Phi$ is bounded from below and satisfies the deformation property, it has at least one local minimizer in each ordered interval. Let $v_{0}$ be the minimizer of $\Phi$ in $[v 1, v 2]$, and $\omega_{0}$ the minimizer of $\Phi$ in $\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]$. Let $\eta(t, u)$ denote the negative gradient flow of $\Phi$, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma=\{ & x(t): x(t) \in C\left([0,1],\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]\right) \text { is such that } \\
& x(t) \in\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right] \backslash\left(\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \cap\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]\right), \quad \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\eta\left(\frac{1}{3}-t, x\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right), \quad \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3}, x\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \in \partial\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.x(t)=\eta\left(t-\frac{2}{3}, x\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right), \quad \text { if } \frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1, x\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \in \partial\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]\right\}
$$

Then $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ is a complete metric space for the metric $\rho(x, y)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \| x(t)-$ $y(t) \|$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\inf _{x \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} \Phi(x(t)) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $c$ is a critical value. In fact, let

$$
K_{c}^{v}=\left\{u \in\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]: \Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)=0, \Phi(u)=c\right\}
$$

for all $v \in Y$; it suffices to prove that $K_{c}^{v} \cap\left\{\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right] \backslash\left(\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \cup\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]\right)\right\}$. Let

$$
F(x(t))=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \Phi(x(t)), \quad x(t) \in \Gamma
$$

Then, $F$ is a continuous function, bounded from below on $\Gamma$. Define

$$
F^{\prime}(x)(v)=\limsup _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x+\theta h)-F(x)}{\theta}
$$

and let $\mathbb{B}(x)=\left\{s \in[0,1]: \Phi(x(s))=F(x(s)) \equiv \max _{t \in[0,1]} \Phi(x(t))\right\}$. From Ekeland's variational principle, we have for any given sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}, \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, that there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c \leq F\left(x_{n}\right) \leq c+\varepsilon_{n} \text { and } F(x) \geq & F\left(x_{n}\right)-\varepsilon_{n} \rho\left(x, x_{n}\right), \\
& \forall x \neq x_{n}, x \in \Gamma, n=1,2,3 \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for any $h \in \Gamma$ and $\theta \in] 0,1[$, we have

$$
\frac{F\left(x_{n}+\theta h\right)-F\left(x_{n}\right)}{\theta} \geq-\varepsilon_{n} \max _{t \in[0,1]}\|h(t)\| .
$$

Taking limit as $\theta$ decreases to zero, we get

$$
F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)(h)=\limsup _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{F\left(x_{n}+\theta h\right)-F\left(x_{n}\right)}{\theta} \geq-\varepsilon_{n} \max _{t \in[0,1]}\|h(t)\| .
$$

Therefore, there exists $\left(s_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{B}(x)$ such that $\left|\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)(v)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}\|v\|$ for all $v \in Y$, which implies that $\Phi^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)(v) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From the definition of $\Gamma$ and Theorem 1, $x_{n}\left(s_{n}\right) \in\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]-\left(\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \cup\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]\right)$, and from (3), (4), (5) and the deformation property, $\left(x_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)$ has a subsequence converging in $Y$ to some $u_{0} \in\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]-\left(\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \cap\left[v_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]\right)$. Since $\Phi$ is continuous in $X$, we have $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=c$ and $\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)(v)=0$. Thus $c$ is a critical value. Substituting $v_{0}, \omega_{0}$ for $v_{1}, \omega_{2}$, and using the proof of Theorem 8 , it is easy to see that $v_{0} \ll u_{0} \ll \omega_{0}$. From the deformation property, Theorem 8, and Corollary 9 we have

$$
\inf _{u \in \partial\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]}\{\Phi(u)\}>\Phi\left(v_{0}\right), \inf _{u \in \partial\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]}\{\Phi(u)\}>\Phi\left(\omega_{0}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $c>\max \left\{\Phi\left(v_{0}\right), \Phi\left(\omega_{0}\right)\right\}$ and $u_{0}$ is an isolated critical point of $\Phi$. Let $V$ be a neighborhood of $u_{0}$ such that for any open neighborhood $W \subset V$ of $u_{0}$,


$$
C_{n}\left(\Phi, v_{0}\right)=H_{n}\left(\Phi^{c} \cap W, \Phi^{c} \cap W \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $\stackrel{\circ}{\Phi^{c}} \cap W \backslash\{0\} \neq \emptyset$, and is not path-connected either. Thus, from the definition of critical group

$$
C_{0}\left(\Phi, u_{0}\right)=H_{n}\left(\Phi^{c} \cap W, \Phi^{c} \cap W \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}\right)=0
$$

Using arguments of [11] and [14], we can prove that $C_{1}\left(\Phi, u_{0}\right) \neq 0$, and from the argument given in [10], we know that $u_{0}$ is a mountain-pass point.

## 4. Some lemmas and definitions

We first prove the existence of two pairs of subsolutions and supersolutions.
Lemma 11. Assume $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ hold. Then, there exist two pairs of strict subsolutions and supersolutions of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$.
Proof: Let $\varphi_{1}$ be the eigenfunction associated to $\mu$; then we may take $\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|_{\infty}$ $=1$. For $r>0$ sufficiently small, (f $f_{3}$ ) implies that $f\left(\lambda, x, r \varphi_{1}\right)>\left(\beta \mu_{1}-\varepsilon(r)\right) r \varphi_{1}$, for some $\varepsilon(r)>0$. Using $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$, we obtain

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(A(x, r \varphi) \nabla\left(r \varphi_{1}\right)\right)<f\left(\lambda, x, r \varphi_{1}\right)
$$

This means that $r \varphi_{1}$ is a strict subsolution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ for $r>0$ sufficiently small. Hence, by continuity, there exists $r_{0}>0$ such that this is true for $0<r<2 r_{0}$. Now, suppose that $u$ is a nonnegative and nontrivial solution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$. Then by the maximum principle, $u>0$ in $\Omega$ and there exists $\varepsilon \in\left(0,2 r_{0}\right)$ small enough such that $u \geq \varepsilon \varphi_{1}$. Using a sweeping argument, we can prove that $u \geq r \varphi_{1}$, for all $r \in\left(0,2 r_{0}\right]$. In particular $u \geq 2 r_{0} \varphi_{1}>r_{0} \varphi_{1}$, and we can take $\underline{u}=r_{0} \varphi_{1}$.

By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$, there exist constants $C>0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$, with $0<\tilde{\alpha}<\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda, x, u)<\tilde{\alpha} \mu_{1} u+C . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, any nontrivial solution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u)<\tilde{\alpha} \mu_{1} u+C \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$, we find that $Q(u)=-\operatorname{div}(A(x, u) \nabla u)-\tilde{\alpha} \mu_{1} u$ has a bounded inverse $Q_{\alpha}^{-1} C$ in $Y$, which is strongly order preserving, thus from (9) it follows that every solution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ satisfies $u<\phi=Q_{\alpha}^{-1} C$. Hence, by (8)

$$
-\operatorname{div}(A(x, \phi) \triangle \phi)<f(\lambda, x, \phi) \text { in } \Omega, \phi_{\mid \partial \Omega}
$$

This means that $\phi$ is a strict supersolution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$, and we can take $\bar{\omega}=\phi$ if $\phi>r_{0} \varphi_{1}$; but this can be easily achieved by enlarging $C$ if necessary. Using similar arguments, we obtain another pair of strict subsolution and supersolution $\underline{v}<\bar{v}<0$, where $\bar{v}=-r_{0} \varphi_{1}$ and $\underline{v}=-\phi$.

Now, we prove that $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the compactness condition (C).
Lemma 12. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ hold. Then $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the compactness condition (C).
Proof: Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ a sequence such that $\left(J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$ is bounded and $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $v \in Y$. Then, there exist $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}, \varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0,\left(K_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$, such that $\left|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}\left[\left\|\frac{v}{K_{n}}\right\|_{Y}+\|v\|\right]$ for all $v \in Y$.

We now prove that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $Y$. By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$, for any $2<\theta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}+\varepsilon_{n}\left[\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{Y}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right]> & \theta J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}\right) \\
= & (\theta-1) \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left\{\theta F\left(\lambda, x, u_{n}\right)-f\left(\lambda, x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\right\} d x \\
\geq & (\theta-1) \alpha\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-o\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{Y}^{2}\right) \\
> & (\theta-1) r\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-o\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{Y}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $r>0$ sufficiently small, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $Y$ and, therefore, there exists a subsequence $\left(u_{n k}\right)$ of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converging in $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ to some $u \in Y$. Since the function $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is continuous in $u \in Y$ for any fixed $v \in Y$, we have $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)(v) \rightarrow$ $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$.

Consider $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset M$ such that $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)(v)=0$ for every $v \in Y$. Since $M$ is closed, if $u \in Y$ is such that $u_{n k} \rightarrow u$, then $u \in M$ and $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)=0$ for any $u \in Y$. Hence, $u$ is a critical point of $J_{\lambda}$.

We recall the following definitions in the regular case (see for instance [14]).
Definition 13. Let $\Phi$ be a $C^{1}$ functional on a Banach space $X$. Denote by $\operatorname{Reg}(\Phi)=\left\{u \in x: \Phi^{\prime}(u) \neq 0\right\}$. A pseudo-gradient vector field for $\Phi$ on $\operatorname{Reg}(\Phi)$ is a locally Lipschitz continuous mapping $v: \operatorname{Reg}(\Phi) \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(u)\| \leq\left\|\Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\| \text { and }\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v(u)\right\rangle \geq\left\|\Phi^{\prime}(u)\right\|^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K=\{u \in X: \Phi(u)=0\}$ be the set of critical points of $\Phi$. Consider the initial value problem

$$
\frac{d u}{d t}=-v(u), \quad u(0)=u_{0} \in X \backslash K
$$

Since $v(u)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous in $X \backslash K$, the initial value problem has a unique solution $u:\left[0, t\left(u_{0}\right)\left[\rightarrow X \backslash K\right.\right.$ with $t\left(u_{0}\right)$ maximal.

Definition 14. Let $N \subset X$. We say that $N$ is an invariant set of descent flow of $\Phi$ if the set $\left\{u\left(t_{0}, u_{0}, t\right), t \in[0, t(u)), u_{0} \in N \backslash K\right\} \subset N$.

In this paper, the functional $J_{\lambda}$ is not $C^{1}$, it has only directional derivatives at any direction $v \in Y$ and, for any fixed direction $v \in Y$, the function (directional derivative) $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is continuous in $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, and linear in $v \in Y$ for fixed $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Using these properties, we shall construct a pseudo-gradient vector field for $J_{\lambda}$ in $M$.

Definition 15. We define the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Reg}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega): J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v) \neq 0, \text { for any direction } v \in Y\right\} \text { and } \\
& K_{v}=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega): J_{0}^{\prime}(u)(v)=0, \text { for any direction } v \in Y\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 16. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ hold. Then, there exists a pseudogradient flow for $J_{\lambda}$ such that $P,-P$, and $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ are invariant sets of descent flow of $J_{\lambda}$, where $\{\underline{u}, \bar{u}\}$ is a pair of strict subsolution and supersolution of $J_{\lambda}$ in $M$.

Proof: We construct a pseudo-gradient vector field for $J_{\lambda}$ in $M$, and show that the flow under that vector field satisfies required invariance property.

For all $u \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)=K(u) \gg K(u)>\underline{u}, \quad K(u) \ll K(\bar{u})<\bar{u} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that through any fixed direction $v \in Y$, the directional derivative function $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v)$ is continuous and $u-J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(v) \in \operatorname{int}([\underline{u}, \bar{u}])$, by (11). For all $u_{0} \in M \backslash K^{c}$, there exists $y_{0} \in Y$ with $\left\|y_{0}\right\|_{Y}=1$ (we can normalize $y_{0}$ if necessary), such that $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), y_{0}\right\rangle>\frac{2}{3}\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right\|_{Y}^{2}$. If $u_{0} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ then by (11), we may require $u_{0}+y_{0} \in \operatorname{int}([\underline{u}, \bar{u}])$. Let $v_{0}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right\|_{Y}, y_{0}\right) ;$ then $\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{y}<$ $2\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y}$ and $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), v_{0}\right\rangle>\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right\|_{Y}^{2}$.

From the continuity of the directional derivative for a fixed direction in $Y$, there exists a neighborhood $\tilde{U}\left(u_{0}\right)$ of $u_{0}$ in $\bar{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{y}<2\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y} \text { and }\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right), v_{0}\right\rangle>\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)\right\|_{Y}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $\tilde{U}\left(u_{0}\right)$, take

$$
U\left(u_{0}\right)= \begin{cases}\tilde{U}\left(u_{0}\right) & \text { if } u_{0} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}], \\ \tilde{U}\left(u_{0}\right) \cap(\bar{M} \backslash[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]) & \text { if } u_{0} \in \bar{M} \backslash[\underline{u}, \bar{u}],\end{cases}
$$

where $\bar{M}=M \backslash K_{c}^{v}$. Let $u \in P, u \neq 0$. Then $K(u) \gg 0$, since $K$ is strongly order preserving. Hence, for any $u_{1} \in P$, we can assume that $u_{1}+y \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}$ for any $y \in Y$ such that $\|y\|_{Y}=1$. If we take such $u_{1}$ in $P \backslash K_{c}^{v}$, and let $v_{1}=\frac{3}{2}\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\|_{Y} y$, then $\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{Y}<2\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\|_{Y}$, and $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v_{1}\right\rangle>\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y}^{2}$.

From the continuity of the directional derivative for a fixed direction in $Y$, there exits a neighborhood $U\left(u_{1}\right)$ of $u_{0}$ in $\bar{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{y}<2\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)\right\|_{Y} \text { and }\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v_{1}\right\rangle>\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in U\left(u_{1}\right)$. Using similar arguments, we can show the existence of a pseudogradient vector field $v_{2}$ for $J_{\lambda}$ and, get an open covering $U\left(u_{2}\right)$ for any $u_{2} \in P \backslash K_{c}^{v}$.

Since $Y \backslash K_{c}^{v}$ is paracompact, there exists a family $U=\left\{U(u): u \in Y \backslash K_{c}^{v}\right\}$, which is an open covering of $P \backslash K_{c}^{v}$. Hence, $U$ has a locally finite refinement $\left(U\left(u_{1}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$, and each $u \in \bar{M}$ has a neighborhood $\beta(u)$ such that $\beta(u) \subset\left(U\left(u_{1}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$, for a finite number of $i \in I$. Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i}(u)=\operatorname{dist}\left(u, \bar{M} \backslash U\left(u_{i}\right)\right), \quad i \in I, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in M$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(u)=\sum_{i \in I} \frac{\rho_{i}(u)}{\sum_{j \in I} \rho_{j}(u)} v_{i} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(U\left(u_{1}\right)\right)_{i \in I}$ is locally finite, all sums in (15) are finite. Therefore, $v(u)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous. Since $\rho_{i}$ vanishes outside $U\left(u_{i}\right), v(u)$ is a convex combination of finite elements satisfying (10). Clearly, it is a pseudo-gradient vector field for $J_{\lambda}$. For the sets $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}], P$ and $-P$, we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(u)=\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{\rho_{i}(u)}{\sum_{j=0}^{2} \rho_{j}(u)} v_{i} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $v(u)$ satisfies (10) and is locally Lipschitz continuous.
Let $N_{\varepsilon}^{c}=\left\{u \in M:\left|J_{\lambda}(u)-c\right|<\varepsilon ;\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(z)\right\|_{Y}<\varepsilon\right.$, for any fixed $\left.z \in Y\right\}$, and consider cut-off functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ such that $0 \leq \varphi, \psi \leq 1, \varphi(u)=0$ on $N_{\varepsilon}^{c}$, $\varphi(u)=1$ on $M-N_{\varepsilon}^{c},\left|J_{\lambda}(\varphi(u))-c\right|<\varepsilon \varphi(s)=0$ for $|s-c| \geq 2 \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varphi(s)=1$ for $|s-c|<\varepsilon_{0}$, for a given $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that $0<\varepsilon_{0}<\varepsilon$. For every $u \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$, i.e. $u$ such that $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(z) \neq 0$ for any fixed direction $z \in Y$, we define

$$
v_{*}(u)= \begin{cases}-\psi\left(J_{\lambda}(u)\right) \varphi(u) \frac{v(u)}{\|v(u)\|_{Y}} & \text { if } u \in M \cap \operatorname{Reg}\left(J_{\lambda}\right) \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $J_{\lambda}: \operatorname{Reg}\left(J_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow Y$ is a pseudo-gradient vector field and an odd continuous function of $u$. Consider the initial value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma(t, u)}{\partial t}=v_{*}(\sigma(t, u)), \sigma(0, u)=u \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in M$ and $t \geq 0$. Then, $\sigma(t, u)$ is a global, unique and maximal solution of (17) for $0 \leq t<t^{*}(u)$, where the interval ] $-t^{*}(u), t^{*}(u)$ [ is maximal. Moreover, the solution $\sigma(t, u)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times M$. Let $\eta \in C([0,1] \times M, M)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(t, u)=\sigma(2 \varepsilon t, u) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (17), $\eta(t, u)=u+\int_{0}^{2 \varepsilon t} v_{*}(\sigma(\tau, u)) d \tau$. For $u_{0} \in P \backslash K_{c}^{v}$ and for any fixed $y_{0} \in \beta\left(u_{0}\right) \cap P$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta\left(t, y_{0}\right) & =y_{0}+\int_{0}^{2 \varepsilon t} v_{*}\left(\sigma\left(\tau, y_{0}\right)\right) d \tau \\
& =y_{0}+\int_{0}^{2 \varepsilon t} v_{*}\left(y_{0}\right) d \tau  \tag{19}\\
& =y_{0}+2 \varepsilon t v_{*}\left(y_{0}\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$ by (9). Therefore, $P$ is an invariant set.
Note that for $u_{0} \in \stackrel{\circ}{P} \backslash K_{c}^{v}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(U(u), \partial P)>0$, we also get (19) for every initial value in $\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)$, for all $\varepsilon>0$, where $\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{0}\right)$, a small neighborhood of $u_{0}$ in $M$. Thus $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ is an invariant set of descent flow of $J_{\lambda}$. By similar arguments, we prove that $-P$ and $-\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ are invariant sets of $J_{\lambda}$. As for $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$, by (11) and the definition of $v_{*}(u)$, if $u_{0} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$, then

$$
\eta(t, u)=u_{0}+2 \varepsilon t v_{*}\left(u_{0}\right) \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ small and $t \in[0,1]$. Thus, $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ is invariant set of descent flow for $J_{\lambda}$.

Remark 17. The same proof as above shows that under the above pseudogradient flow for any subsolution $\bar{u}$ and supersolution $\underline{u}, \underline{u}+P$ and $\bar{u}+P$ are invariant.

Lemma 18 (Deformation Lemma). Assume that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ are satisfied. Then for every $\bar{\varepsilon}>0$, for every $c>0$ and every neighborhood $N$ of $K_{c}^{v}$, there exist $\varepsilon \in[0, \bar{\varepsilon}[$ and a continuous family of odd continuous maps such that
(i) $\eta(t, u)=u$ if $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)(z)=0$ for any direction $v \in Y$ or $t=0$ or if $\left|J_{\lambda}(u)-c\right| \geq \varepsilon ;$
(ii) $J_{\lambda}(\eta(t, u))$ is nonincreasing in $t$ for any $u \in M$;
(iii) $\|\eta(t, u)-u\|_{Y} \leq \delta$, for $t \in[0,1], u \in M$ and some $\delta>0$;
(iv) $\eta\left(1, J_{\lambda}^{c-\varepsilon} \backslash N\right) \subset J_{\lambda}^{c-\varepsilon}$;
(v) $\eta$ has the invariance properties of Lemma 4.

Proof: Let $v: \operatorname{Reg}\left(J_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow Y$ be an odd, continuous pseudo-gradient vector field for $J_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\|v(u)\|_{y}<2\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y}, \quad\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v(u)\right\rangle>\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y}^{2}
$$

for all $u \in M \backslash K_{c}^{v}$ and any fixed $z \in Y$. Let $N_{\varepsilon}^{c}:=\left\{u \in M:\left|J_{\lambda}(u)-c\right|<\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon,\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|<\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right\}$. Hence, $N_{\varepsilon}^{c} \subset N$. Let $\varphi$ be a continuous cut-off function such
that $\varphi(u)=\varphi(-u), \varphi(u)=0$ on $N_{\varepsilon}^{c}$ and $\varphi(u)=1$ outside $N_{\varepsilon}^{c}$, in particular $\varphi(u)=1$ for $u \notin N$ such that $\left|J_{\lambda}(u)-c\right|<\varepsilon$. Then, we may define a locally Lipschitz, odd continuous vector field $v_{*}(u)=-\varphi(u) \frac{v(u)}{\|v(u)\|_{Y}}$ for $u \in M \backslash N$ and $v_{*}(u)=0$ elsewhere. Consider the initial value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t, u) & =v_{*}(\sigma(t, u)) \\
\sigma(0, u) & =u .
\end{aligned}
$$

It has a unique global solution $\sigma(t, u)$ which is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times M$. Define $\eta(t, u)=\sigma(2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} t, u)$; since $\left\|v_{*}(u)\right\|_{Y}=1$, we obtain $\|\sigma(t, u)-u\|_{Y}=$ $\left\|\int_{0}^{t} v_{*}(\sigma(t, u)) d \tau\right\|_{Y} \leq t$. Hence, for $\delta \geq 2 \varepsilon$ we have $\frac{\delta}{2 \varepsilon} \geq 1$ and $\left\|v_{*}(u)\right\|_{y} \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \varepsilon}$. Therefore, $\|\eta(t, u)-u\|_{Y} \leq \delta$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, which is (iii).

For any fixed $u \in M$, we can write $\eta(t, u)=u+2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} t v_{*}(u)$, thus the directional derivative of $J_{\lambda}(\eta(t, u))$ in the direction of $v_{*}$ is equivalent to the derivative of the function $J_{\lambda} \circ g(t)$ at 0 , where $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $g(t)=u+2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} t v_{*}(u)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(J_{\lambda} \circ g(t)\right)\right|_{t=0}=\left.\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\eta(t, u)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta(t, u)\right\rangle\right|_{t=0}=2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v_{*}(u)\right\rangle \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \in J_{\lambda}^{c+\varepsilon} \backslash N$, then $\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y} \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. In integrating (20) for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(\eta(1, u))-J_{\lambda}(u) & =2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v_{*}(u)\right\rangle d t \\
& =2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u),-\varphi(u) v(u)\right\rangle d t \\
& =-2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi(u)\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), \frac{v(u)}{\|v(u)\|_{Y}}\right\rangle d t \\
& =-2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{y} d t \leq-2 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used our choice of $\varphi$. Hence,

$$
J_{\lambda}(\eta(1, u)) \leq c+\varepsilon-2 \varepsilon=c-\varepsilon
$$

Therefore, $\eta\left(1, J_{\lambda}^{c+\varepsilon} \backslash N\right) \subset J_{\lambda}^{c-\varepsilon}$ which is (iv). From (20), we have for any fixed $u$ in $M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda}(\eta(t, u))\right|_{t=0} & =\left.\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda}\left(u+2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} t v_{*}(u)\right)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), v_{*}(u)\right\rangle \\
& \leq-2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \varphi(u)\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{Y}^{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda}(\eta(t, u)) \leq-2 \varepsilon \varphi(u)$ for $t \geq 0$, so we get (ii) by our choice of $\varphi$.

Lemma 19. Assume that $A$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$, and $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$. Then, there is a path $L_{0} \subset M$ connecting $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ and $-\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ such that $J_{\lambda}(\varphi)<0$, for all $\varphi \in L_{0}$.
Proof: Let $\varphi \in M$ be a solution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ such that $\varphi$ changes sign. Then, $\varphi=$ $\varphi^{+}-\varphi^{-}$. Multiplying $-\operatorname{div}(A(x, \varphi) \nabla \varphi)=f(\lambda, x, \varphi)$ by $\varphi^{+}\left(\varphi^{-}\right.$, respectively $)$ and integrating, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi)\left|\nabla \varphi^{+}\right|=\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi) \varphi^{+} d x \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi)\left|\nabla \varphi^{-}\right|=\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi) \varphi^{-} d x . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right),(21),(22)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi) \varphi^{+} d x<\alpha \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \cdot \varphi^{+} d x=\alpha \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varphi^{+}\right)^{2} d x
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(\lambda, x, \varphi) \varphi^{-} d x<\alpha \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \cdot \varphi^{-} d x=\alpha \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varphi^{-}\right)^{2} d x .
$$

Thus, $\forall t \in[0,1]$, if $\varphi_{t}=t \varphi^{+}+(1-t) \varphi^{-}$, then there exists $R>0$ such $\left|\varphi_{t}\right| \geq R$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \varphi_{t}\right)\left|\nabla \varphi_{t}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(\lambda, x, \varphi_{t}\right) d x \\
= & \frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \varphi_{t}\right)\left|\nabla \varphi^{+}\right|^{2} d x+\frac{(1-t)^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \varphi_{t}\right)\left|\nabla \varphi^{-}\right|^{2} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} F\left(\lambda, x, \varphi_{t}\right) d x \\
\leq & \frac{t^{2}}{t} \int_{\Omega} A\left(x, \varphi_{t}\right)\left|\nabla \varphi^{+}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{t^{2} \alpha \mu_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varphi^{+}\right)^{2} d x-\frac{(1-t)^{2} \alpha \mu_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varphi^{-}\right)^{2} d x \\
& +\beta \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{t}^{2} d x+\frac{(1-t)^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, \varphi)\left|\nabla \varphi^{-}\right|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(\lambda, x, \varphi_{t}\right) d x \\
< & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{t \varphi^{+}+(1-t) \varphi^{-}, t \in[0,1]\right\}$ is compact in $Y$, we can choose in $M$ a path $L_{0}=\left\{l_{0}(t): t \in[0,1]\right\}$ such that $l_{0}(t)$ is very close to $\varphi_{t}$ for all $t \in[0,1], \varphi \in M$,
$l_{0}(0) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}, l_{0}(1) \in-\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(l_{0}(t)\right)<0$. Hence, for every $\varphi \in L_{0}$ and for $t>0$ sufficiently small we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(t \varphi)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A(x, t \varphi)|\nabla t \varphi|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(\lambda, x, t \varphi) d x \\
= & \frac{t^{2}}{2}\left[\int_{\Omega} A(x, t \varphi)\left|\nabla \varphi^{+}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} A(x, t \varphi)\left|\nabla \varphi^{-}\right|^{2} d x\right]-\int_{\Omega} F(\lambda, x, t \varphi) d x \\
\leq & \frac{t^{2}}{t}\left[\int_{\Omega} A(x, t \varphi)\left|\nabla \varphi^{+}\right|^{2} d x-\alpha \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varphi^{+}\right)^{2} d x-\alpha \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\varphi^{-}\right)^{2} d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\Omega} A(x, t \varphi)\left|\nabla \varphi^{-}\right|^{2} d x\right]+\beta \mu_{1} \int_{\Omega}(t \varphi)^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} F(\lambda, x, t \varphi) d x<0
\end{aligned}
$$

 that $J_{\lambda}(\varphi)<0$, for all $\varphi \in L$. Normalizing $\operatorname{such} \varphi \in L$, it is possible to improve $L$ in order to get curves without self intersection on $\partial B_{1}$ where $B_{1}$ is the unit ball in $Y$.

## 5. Proofs of the main results

5.1 Proof of Theorem 5. From Lemma 10, we know that there exist two pairs of strict subsolutions and supersolutions of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right),-\phi<-r \varphi_{1}<0$ and $0<r \varphi_{1}<\phi$ where $\varphi_{1}$ is the eigenfunction associated to the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator $-\triangle$ with 0 -Dirichlet boundary conditions. From [10], $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has a positive solution $u_{2}^{+}$and a negative solution $u_{4}^{-}$, such that $-\phi<u_{4}^{-}<-r \varphi_{1}, r \varphi_{1}<u_{2}^{+}<$ $\phi, u_{2}^{+}$and $u_{4}^{-}$are local minimizer of $J_{\lambda}(u)$ with $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{2}^{+}\right)<0$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{4}^{-}\right)<0$. We may assume that $u_{2}^{+}$is the minimal minimizer of $J_{\lambda}(u)$ and $u_{4}^{-}$is the maximal minimizer of $J_{\lambda}(u)$. From Theorem $9, J_{\lambda}$ has a mountain-pass point $u_{5} \in M$ such that $u_{5} \in[-\phi, \phi] \backslash\left(\left[-\phi,-r \varphi_{1}\right] \cup\left[r \varphi_{1}, \phi\right]\right)$ and $u_{4}^{-}<u_{5}<u_{2}^{+}$. Let us consider $\Gamma_{r}=\{u(t): u(t) \in[-\phi, \phi], \forall t \in[0,1]\}$ such that $u(t) \in\left([-\phi, \phi] \backslash\left(\left[-\phi,-r \varphi_{1}\right] \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\left[r \varphi_{1}, \phi\right]\right)$ if $x \in\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right), u(t)=\eta\left(\frac{1}{3}-t, u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right)$ if $\left.0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{3}, u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \in \partial\left[-\phi, r \varphi_{1}\right]\right)$, $u(t)=\eta\left(t-\frac{2}{3}, u\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right)$ if $\frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1$ and $u\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \in \partial\left[r \varphi_{1}, \phi\right]$. Hence, $\Gamma_{r} \neq \emptyset$ is a complete metric space in $Y$ with the metric $\delta(u, v)=\max _{[0,1]}\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{Y}$. We may choose $b(t) \in C\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right],[-\phi, \phi]-\left(\left[-\phi,-r \varphi_{1}\right] \cup\left[r \varphi_{1}, \phi\right]\right)\right)$ such that $b\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)=$ $-r \varphi_{1}, b\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)=r \varphi_{1}$ and $J_{\lambda}(b(t))<0$ for all $t \in\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$. Define

$$
r_{r}(t)= \begin{cases}b(t) & \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right] \\ \eta\left(\frac{1}{3}-t,-r \varphi_{1}\right) & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right] \\ \eta\left(t-\frac{2}{3}, r \varphi_{1}\right) & \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

Then, $e_{r}(t) \in \Gamma_{r}$ and $\sup _{t \in[0,1]} J_{\lambda}\left(e_{r}(t)\right)<0$. By the definition of $\Gamma_{r}$ and (7), we see that $u_{5}$ is a critical point given by the critical value $\inf _{u \in \Gamma} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} J_{\lambda}(u(t))$
$<0$. Hence, $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{5}\right)<0$ and $u_{5} \neq 0$. Since we may assume that $u=0$ is an isolated critical point in $B(0, r)$, letting $r$ go to zero, we get that $u_{5}$ must be sign-changing. Let

$$
D=\{u \in M:-\phi \leq u(x) \leq \phi\}
$$

From Theorem $8, D$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{D}$ are positively invariant under the descend flow of $J_{\lambda}$ (negative pseudo-gradient flow). Let $U=\left\{h \in M: \exists t_{h}>0\right.$ such that $\left.\eta\left(t_{h}, h\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{D}\right\}$ where $\eta(t, u)$ is the unique solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \eta(t, u)}{\partial t} & =v(\eta(t, u)) \\
\eta(t, 0) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $U$ is an open set in $Y$ and a positively invariant set under the negative pseudo-gradient flow of $J_{\lambda}$ in $M$. Since $\eta(t, u)$ has continuous dependence on the initial value $h$, it is also easy to prove that $\partial U$ is an invariant set under the negative pseudo-gradient flow. Moreover, $J_{\lambda}$ is bounded from below on $\partial U$ and satisfies the compactness condition (C) and the deformation property in $M$.

From Lemma 19, we know that there exists a path $L \subset M$ connecting $\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ and $-\stackrel{\circ}{P}$ such that $J_{\lambda}(u)<0$ for all $u \in L$. Define $Z=\{t u: t>0$ and $u \in L\}$ and note that $Z$ is homeomorphic to the set $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y \geq 0\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We already know that $P$ and $-P$ are positively invariant sets under the negative pseudo-gradient flow. Thus, $U \cap Z$ is a bounded and relatively open set in $Z$ and $\partial(U \cap Z) \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $U \cap Z$ is connected, since otherwise, we consider a connected component $Z^{\prime} \subset Z$ of $U \cap Z$, with $(0,0) \in Z$ instead of $U \cap Z$ and, by result of [18, Chapter 4], there exists at least one connected component $C \subset \partial Z$ such that $C \cap \stackrel{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset, C \cap-\stackrel{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset$ and $C \cap(M-(-P \cup P)) \neq \emptyset$. To apply the result of [18], we consider the homeomorphic image of $U$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2+}$, add its reflection to the other half plane, obtaining so an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Moreover, $L$ can be chosen arcwise connected.

Since $P$ and $-P$ are positively invariant sets under the negative pseudo-gradient flow $J_{\lambda}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{P} & =\left\{h \in M: \exists t_{h} \geq 0 \text { such that } \eta\left(t_{h}, h\right) \in \stackrel{\circ}{P}\right\}, \text { and } \\
V_{-P} & =\left\{h \in M: \exists t_{h} \geq 0 \text { such that } \eta\left(t_{h}, h\right) \in-\stackrel{\circ}{P}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the strongly order preserving property of the inverse operator $K$, the sets $V_{P}, V_{-P}, \partial V_{P}$ and $\partial V_{-P}$ are invariant open sets of the negative pseudo-gradient
flow $\eta(t, u)$ in $M$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{+} & =\inf _{u \in \partial U \cap P} J_{\lambda}(u), \\
C_{-} & =\inf _{u \in \partial U \cap-P} J_{\lambda}(u), \\
C_{0} & =\inf _{u \in \partial U \cap V_{P}} J_{\lambda}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $C_{+}, C_{-}$and $C_{0}$ attain their minima say $u_{1}^{+}, u_{3}^{-}$and $u_{6}$ respectively. Since $\partial U \cap P \neq \emptyset, \partial U \cap-P \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial U \cap \partial V_{0} \neq \emptyset$ are invariant sets under the flow $\eta(t, u)$, we claim that $u_{1}^{+}, u_{3}^{-}$and $u_{6}$ are critical points of $J_{\lambda}$ for any fixed direction in $J$. In fact, $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{1}^{+}\right) \neq 0$ implies that $J_{\lambda}\left(\eta\left(t, u_{1}^{+}\right)\right)<C_{+}$for $t>0$, but $\eta\left(t, u_{1}^{+}\right) \in \partial U \cap P$ for all $t>0$, so we get a contradiction with the definition of $C_{+}$. Similar arguments are used to prove that $u_{3}^{-}$and $u_{6}$ are critical points of $J_{\lambda}$. We have $u_{1}^{+}>u_{2}^{+}>0, u_{3}^{-}<u_{4}^{-}<0$. From the strong maximum principle and the fact that $u_{6} \in \partial U \cap \partial V$, it follows that $u_{6}$ is sign-changing.
5.2 Proof of Theorem 7. From $\left(f_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ and using similar argument as in [4], we find $N=N(\lambda)>0$ satisfying

$$
-\triangle(N e)>f(\lambda, x, N e)
$$

By the continuity of the function $A(x, u)$ with respect to $u$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and by $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
-\operatorname{div}(A(x, N e) \nabla(N e))>-\beta \triangle(N e)>f(\lambda, x, N e)
$$

Thus, $N e$ is a strict supersolution of $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$, and for $r>0$ small enough, $r \varphi_{1}<N e$ is a pair of subsolution and supersolution, $-N e<-r \varphi_{1}$ is another one. By similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 5, the problem $\left(P_{\lambda}\right)$ has a negative solution $u_{4}^{-}$and a positive solution $u_{2}^{+}$such that $-N e<u_{4}^{-}<-r \varphi_{1}, r \varphi_{1}<u_{2}^{+}<N e$, $u_{2}^{+}$is the minimal positive minimizer, $u_{4}^{-}$is the maximal negative minimizer of $J_{\lambda}$, and $J_{\lambda}$ has a mountain-pass point $u_{5} \in M$ such that $u_{5} \in[-N e, N e] \backslash$ $\left(\left[-N e,-r \varphi_{1}\right] \cup\left[r \varphi_{1}, N e\right]\right), u_{4}^{-}<u_{5}<u_{2}^{+}, u_{5} \neq 0$ and is sign-changing.

From $\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, there exists $u_{0}>0$ such that $f(\lambda, x, u)>0$ and $\frac{f(\lambda, x, u)}{F(\lambda, x, u)} \geq \frac{\theta}{u}$ for all $u \in \max \left\{u_{0}, R\right\}$ for some $R>0$. Hence, $F(\lambda, x, u) \geq C u^{\theta}$ for some $C>0$. Therefore, there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \leq \frac{\beta}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{2 C}{\beta} u^{\theta}\right) d x\right)+C_{1} .
$$

Since $\theta>2$, if we choose $u=t \varphi_{1}$ for $t>0$ then $J_{\lambda}\left(t \varphi_{1}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there exists $T_{0}>N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(T_{0} \varphi_{1}\right)<0,\left.\quad \frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda}(t e)\right|_{t=T_{0}}<0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} J_{\lambda}(t e)\right|_{t=N}<0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $e(x)$ is fixed in $M$ and $v(t)=t e(x)$ is linear. Hence, by implicit functions theorem, there exists $T_{1}$ with $N<T_{1}<T_{0}$ such that $T_{1} e \in \partial U$. Therefore, $\partial U \cap\left\{u_{2}^{+}+P\right\} \neq \emptyset$, where $w+P=\{u=w+v, v \in P\}$. By a similar argument we get $\partial U \cap\left\{u_{2}^{+}-P\right\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ be the first and the second eigenfunctions of the problem

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
-\Delta u-\lambda u, & x \in \Omega \\
u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

Substituting $v \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}$ for $e(x)$ in (23) and (24), and using a similar argument, we get $\partial U \cap(M-(-P \cup P)) \neq \emptyset$. Since $P,-P$ are positively invariant under $\eta$, if $V_{P}$ and $V_{-P}$ are defined as in the proof of Theorem 5 , then by similar argument, $\partial V_{P}, V_{P}, \partial V_{-P}, V_{-P}, u_{2}^{+}+P$ and $u_{4}^{-}-P$ are invariant sets. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{+} & =\inf _{u \in \partial U \cap\left(u_{2}^{+}+P\right)} J_{\lambda}(u), \\
C_{-} & =\inf _{u \in \partial U \cap\left(u_{4}^{-}-P\right)} J_{\lambda}(u), \\
C_{0} & =\inf _{u \in \partial U \cap V_{P}} J_{\lambda}(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 5, we get that our six solutions $u_{1}^{+}>u_{2}^{+}>0, u_{3}^{-}<u_{4}^{-}<0, u_{5}$ and $u_{6}$ are sign-changing. The proof is complete.
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