Svatoslav Staněk The characteristic multipliers of a block and of an inverse block of second-order linear differential equations with *pi*-periodic coefficients

Sborník prací Přírodovědecké fakulty University Palackého v Olomouci. Matematika, Vol. 17 (1978), No. 1, 39--51

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120066

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

1978 — ACTA UNIVERSITATIS PALACKIANAE OLOMUCENSIS FACULTAS RERUM NATURALIUM — TOM 57

Katedra matematické analýzy a numerické matematiky přírodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého v Olomouci Vedoucí katedry: prof. RNDr. Miroslav Laitoch, CSc.

THE CHARACTERISTIC MULTIPLIERS OF A BLOCK AND OF AN INVERSE BLOCK OF SECOND-ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH *π*-PERIODIC COEFFICIENTS

SVATOSLAV STANĚK (Received on 18. September 1976)

§1. INTRODUCTION

O. Borůvka in [2]-[5] and F. Neuman in [6] investigated characteristic (or Floquet's) multipliers of a differential equation (q): y'' = q(t) y with π -periodic function $q, q \in C_R^0, \mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, oscillatory on \mathbf{R} by means of the dispersion of (q) in case of real characteristic multipliers or by means of a (first) phase of (q) in case of complex characteristic multipliers. In [3] and [5] it has been proved that all the equations from a block [q] have the same characteristic multipliers called the characteristic multipliers of [q].

This paper presents necessary and sufficient conditions for the π -periodicity of the carriers of equations in the block [q] and in the inverse block [q]⁻¹ and the relations between the characteristic multipliers of both blocks. The main results are in § 4

§2. DEFINITIONS, NOTATION AND BASIC PROPERTIES

We consider differential equations of the type

$$y'' = q(t) y, \qquad q \in C_{\mathbf{R}}^0, \tag{q}$$

oscillatory on \mathbf{R} (i.e. every nontrivial solution of (q) has an infinite number of zeros to the right and to the left of $t_0, t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$). The function q is occasionally called the *carrier of* (q).

A function α , is called the (*first*) phase of (q) if there exist independent solutions u and v of (q) such that

tg
$$\alpha(t) = \frac{u(t)}{v(t)}$$
 for all $t \in \{t \in \mathbb{R}, v(t) \neq 0\}$

39

For every phase of (q) we have:

$$\alpha \in C^3_{\mathbf{R}}, \qquad \alpha'(t) \neq 0,$$

 $q(t) = -\{\alpha, t\} - {\alpha'}^2(t)$, where $\{\alpha, t\} = \frac{{\alpha'''(t)}}{2{\alpha'(t)}} - \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{{\alpha''(t)}}{{\alpha'(t)}}\right)^2$. The set of all phases

of y'' = -y together with the composition rule form the group \mathfrak{E} called the *funda*mental group. The function t + a is an element of \mathfrak{E} for every $a, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ if and only if there exist numbers a_{ij} , i, j = 1, 2, det $a_{ij} \neq 0$ such that $\operatorname{tg} \varepsilon(t) =$ $= a_{11} \operatorname{tg} t + a_{12}$ holds for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ where the righthand side of the formula is

 $= \frac{a_{11} \operatorname{tg} t + a_{12}}{a_{21} \operatorname{tg} t + a_{22}}$ holds for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$, where the righthand side of the formula is meaningful. Another group is the group of elementary phases \mathfrak{H} formed by elementary

meaningful. Another group is the group of elementary phases \mathfrak{H} formed by elementary phases, that is, by those phases α where $\alpha(t + \pi) = \alpha(t) + \pi \cdot \operatorname{sign} \alpha'$; $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathfrak{H}$.

Let $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, *n* be a positive integer and *y* be a nontrivial solution of (q) such that $y(t_0) = 0$. Denote $\varphi_n(t_0) (\varphi_{-n}(t_0))$ the *n*th zero of solution *y* lying to the right (to the left) of t_0 . Then the function $\varphi_n(\varphi_{-n})$ defined on **R** is called the 1st kind central dispersion with index *n* (with index *-n*) of (q). In what follows we briefly say the dispersion of (q) in place of the 1st kind central dispersion with index 1 of (q) and instead of φ_1 we sometimes write only φ . The dispersion φ satisfies:

$$\varphi \in C_{\mathbf{R}}^{3}, \quad \varphi(t) > t, \quad \varphi'(t) > 0, \quad \varphi \circ \varphi_{-1}(t) = \varphi_{-1} \circ \varphi(t) = t,$$
$$\varphi_{\mathbf{n}}(t) = \underbrace{\varphi \circ \ldots \circ \varphi(t)}_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Between every phase α and the dispersion φ of (q) there holds the Abel's relation

$$\alpha \odot \varphi(t) = \alpha(t) + \pi \cdot \operatorname{sign} \alpha'.$$

For more details see [1].

We say that (q) and (q^*) are associated and we write $(q) \sim (q^*)$, if there exist a phase α of (q) and $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ with α^* , $\alpha^* := \alpha \odot \varepsilon$ being a phase of (q^*) . The associativity relation of equations is reflexive, symetric and transitive. Consequently it defines a decomposition on the set of all equations of type (q) oscillatory on **R**. The elements of the decomposition are called *blocks* (see [2], [3], [5]). The block containing (q) will be denoted by [q]; $(q) \in [q]$. If α is a phase of (q), then $\mathfrak{E}\alpha\mathfrak{E} = \{\varepsilon_1 \odot \alpha \odot \varepsilon_2; \varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathfrak{E}\}$ are the phases of all equations from [q]. Herefrom it follows

$$[\mathbf{q}] = \{(\mathbf{q}^*); q^*(t) = -1 + (1 + q \circ \varepsilon(t)) \varepsilon'^2(t), \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}\}$$

(see [2], [3]).

We say that (\bar{q}) is inverse to (q) if there exists such a phase α of (q) that the function α^{-1} is a phase of (\bar{q}) (see [2], [3], [5]). If (\bar{q}) is an inverse equation to (q), then (q) is an inverse equation to (\bar{q}) . Generally there exists an infinite number of inverse equations to (q). There are exactly those equations whose phases form the set $\mathfrak{C}\alpha^{-1}\mathfrak{C}$ i.e. a block of differential equations. This block is denoted by $[q]^{-1}$ and is called the *inverse block of the block* [q]. The blocks [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ have the following

characteristic property: Every equation from [q] is inverse to all equations from $[q]^{-1}$ and vice versa every equation from $[q]^{-1}$ is inverse to all equations from [q]. Consequently, [q] is the inverse block to $[q]^{-1}$. If α is a phase of (q), then $[q]^{-1} =$ $= \{(\bar{q}^*); \bar{q}^*(t) = -1 - (1 + q \odot \alpha^{-1} \odot \varepsilon(t)) (\alpha^{-1} \odot \varepsilon(t))'^2, \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}\}.$

Notation. The function f^{-1} denotes the inverse to f. For an integer $n, n \neq 0$ $f^{[n]}$ denotes the function $\underbrace{f \ominus f \ominus \dots \ominus f}_{n}$ or $\underbrace{f^{-1} \ominus f^{-1} \ominus \dots \ominus f^{-1}}_{=n}$ according as n > 0

or n < 0.

§ 3. CHARACTERISTIC MULTIPLIERS OF Π -PERIODIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION (q)

In this and the following paragraph we investigate only differential equations of type (q) whose carries are π -periodic functions on **R**.

Lemma 1 ([2], [3]). If the carrier of (q) is π -periodic, then the carriers of all equations from [q] are π -periodic, too.

Lemma 2 ([2], [3]). Let α be a phase of (q). Then $q(t + \pi) = q(t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if

$$\alpha(t+\pi)=\varepsilon \odot \alpha(t),$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$.

There is associated an algebraic equation $s^2 - As + 1 = 0$ to every equation (q) with a π -periodic carrier in the Floquet theory. The constant A is given by: $A = \bar{u}(x + \pi) + \bar{v}'(x + \pi)$, where $x \in \mathbf{R}$ denotes an arbitrary number and \bar{u}, \bar{v} are the solutions of (q) satisfying the initial conditions $\bar{u}(x) = 1$, $\bar{u}'(x) = 0$, $\bar{v}(x) = 0$, $\bar{v}(x) = 1$. We denote the roots of the algebraic equation, the so-called *characteristic multipliers of* (q), by ϱ_1, ϱ_{-1} . Evidently $\varrho_1 \cdot \varrho_{-1} = 1$. Next (q) admits independent solutions u and v satisfying either

$$u(t + \pi) = \varrho_1 \cdot u(t), \qquad v(t + \pi) = \varrho_{-1} \cdot v(t), \tag{1}$$

or

$$u(t + \pi) = \varrho_1 \cdot u(t) + v(t), \quad v(t + \pi) = \varrho_1 \cdot v(t), \quad \varrho_1^2 = 1.$$
 (2)

The characteristic multipliers ϱ_1 , ϱ_{-1} of (q) can be calculated by means of a phase or by the dispersion of (q) as shown in the next two lemmas below

Lemma 3 ([2], [3], [5]). Let φ be the dispersion of (q). Then (q) possesses the real characteristic multipliers ϱ_1, ϱ_{-1} if and only if there exist $x, x \in \mathbb{R}$ and a positive integer n:

$$\varphi_n(x) = x + \pi.$$

In this case

$$\varrho_{\sigma} = (-1)^n (\varphi'_n(x))^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma}, \qquad \sigma = \pm 1.$$

Λ		1
7	с.	Ł

The number x in Lemma 3 is called the 1st kind determining number of type n of (q).

Lemma 4 ([3], [5], [6]). Equation (q) possesses the complex characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm ia\pi}$, 0 < a < 1 if and only if there exists a phase α of (q) with

$$\alpha(t+\pi) = \alpha(t) + (a+2n)\pi$$

where n is an integer.

The number a (0 < a < 1) in Lemma 4 is called the 2nd kind determining number of type n of (q). The equation (q) is said to be of the category (i, n) (i = 1, 2; n an integer), if the i^{st} kind determining number of type n of (q) occurs.

Remark. If (q) possesses a phase α : $\alpha(t + \pi) = \alpha(t) + (a + 2n)\pi$ where *n* is an integer and 0 < a < 1 then it follows by formula $q(t) = {\alpha, t} - {\alpha'}^2(t)$ that *q* is π -periodic. This fact will be particularly utilized in proving Theorems 4 and 5.

In the theory of blocks of differential equations there plays a basic role the result given in

Lemma 5 ([3], [5], "the law of inertia of characteristic multipliers"). All equations (q) with π -periodic carriers which are contained in the same block, are of the same category and have the same characteristic multipliers. All such equations have or have not all solutions π -periodic or π -halfperiodic.

From the above lemma it follows that we are justified to the following definitions: We say that the *block* [q] *has the characteristic multipliers* ϱ_1 , ϱ_{-1} if ϱ_1 , ϱ_{-1} are the characteristic multipliers of an (and then of every) equation from [q]. We say that [q] *is of category* (i, n) (i = 1, 2; n-integer), if (i, n) is the category of an (and then of every) equation from [q].

§ 4. CHARACTERISTIC MULTIPLIERS OF BLOCKS [q] AND [q]⁻¹

If q is a π -periodic function then it follows from Lemma 1 that all equations from [q] have π -periodic carriers as well. However, it doesn't generally follow that an (and by Lemma 1 every) equation from the inverse block [q]⁻¹ has a π -periodic carrier. Since we investigate the characteristic multipliers of blocks [q] and [q]⁻¹ we must also assume the carriers of equations from [q] and [q]⁻¹ to be π -periodic. If α is a phase of an equation from [q] then the above assumptions are with respect to Lemma 2 satisfied exactly if

$$\alpha(t + \pi) = \varepsilon_1 \odot \alpha(t), \qquad \alpha^{-1}(t + \pi) = \varepsilon \odot \alpha(t), \qquad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{E}$.

In the next five theorems we now give necessary and sufficient conditions for the carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ to be π -periodic. Further we will investigate the relations between the characteristic multipliers of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$. Theorems 1, 2

and 3 are dealing with the characteristic multipliers of [q] being real, while Theorems 4 and 5 are concerned with the characteristic multipliers of [q] being complex.

Theorem 1. Let the carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ be π -periodic and let (1, n) and (1, m) be the categories of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$, respectively. Then n = m = 1 and both blocks have the same characteristic multipliers. If further all solutions of equations of at least one from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are π -halfperiodic, then this applies to all solutions of equations from the second block, too.

Proof: Let the carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ be π -periodic and (1, n) and (1, m) be the categories of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$, respectively. Let α be a phase of (q), sign $\alpha' = 1$ and let α^{-1} be a phase of (\overline{q}) ; $(\overline{q}) \in [\overline{q}]^{-1}$. Then

$$\alpha(t+\pi) = \varepsilon_1 \odot \alpha(t), \qquad \alpha^{-1}(t+\pi) = \varepsilon \odot \alpha^{-1}(t); \qquad \varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{E}$$

and

 $\alpha \odot \varepsilon(t) = \alpha(t) + \pi, \qquad \alpha^{-1} \odot \varepsilon_1(t) = \alpha^{-1}(t) + \pi.$

Consequently ε and ε_1 are the dispersions of (q) and (\overline{q}), respectively. By assumption the equations (\overline{q}) and (q) have real characteristic multipliers ϱ_{σ} and $\overline{\varrho}_{\sigma}$ ($\sigma = \pm 1$), respectively, and therefore by Lemma 3 there exist such numbers x and x_1 that

$$\varepsilon^{[n]}(x) = x + \pi, \qquad \varepsilon^{[m]}(x_1) = x_1 + \pi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\sigma} &= (-1)^{n} \cdot \left(\varepsilon_{1}^{[n]'}(x) \right)^{\sigma/2}, \\ \bar{\varrho}_{\sigma} &= (-1)^{m} \cdot \left(\varepsilon_{1}^{[m]'}(x_{1}) \right)^{\sigma/2}, \qquad \sigma = \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

From $\alpha(x + \pi) = \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{[n]}(x) = \alpha(x) + n\pi$ we get for $\bar{x} := \alpha(x)$ $(x = \alpha^{-1}(\bar{x}))$ $\alpha(x + \pi) = \alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\bar{x}) + \pi) = \alpha(x) + n\pi = \bar{x} + n\pi$ and from this $\alpha^{-1}(\bar{x} + n\pi) =$ $= \alpha^{-1}(\bar{x}) + \pi$. Further for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $\alpha^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_1(t) = \alpha^{-1}(t) + \pi$, hence especially for $t = \bar{x}$ we get $\alpha^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_1(\bar{x}) = \alpha^{-1}(\bar{x}) + \pi$ which together with $\alpha^{-1}(\bar{x} + n\pi) =$ $= \alpha^{-1}(\bar{x}) + \pi$ gives $\varepsilon_1(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} + n\pi$. Let $n \ge 2$. Then $\varepsilon_1(t) > t + \pi$, thus also $\varepsilon_1^{[m]}(t) > t + \pi$ contrary to $\varepsilon_1^{[m]}(x_1) = x_1 + \pi$. Therefore n = 1 and $\varepsilon_1(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} + \pi$. From this follows m = 1 and \bar{x} is the 1st kind determining number of type 1 of (q). From the equalities $\alpha \circ \varepsilon(t) = \alpha(t) + \pi$, $\alpha^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_1(t) = \alpha^{-1}(t) + \pi$, $\varepsilon(x) = x + \pi$, $\varepsilon_1(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} + \pi$, $\bar{x} = \alpha(x)$ we obtain

$$\varepsilon'(x) = \frac{\alpha'(x)}{\alpha' \circ \varepsilon(x)} = \frac{\alpha'(x)}{\alpha'(x+\pi)},$$

$$\varepsilon'_{1}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\alpha^{-1'}(\bar{x})}{\alpha^{-1'} \circ \varepsilon_{1}(\bar{x})} = \frac{\alpha' \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ \varepsilon_{1}(\bar{x})}{\alpha' \circ \alpha^{-1}(\bar{x})} = \frac{\alpha'(\alpha^{-1}(\bar{x}) + \pi)}{\alpha'(x)} = \frac{\alpha'(x+\pi)}{\alpha'(x)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon'(x)}.$$

Hence $\varrho_{\sigma} = \overline{\varrho}_{-\sigma}$, $\sigma = \pm 1$. The blocks [q] and [q]⁻¹ have the same characteristic multipliers and the same category (= (1, 1)).

Let all solutions of equations at least of one from the blocks [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ be π -halfperiodic (because of n = m = 1 they cannot be π -periodic). For definiteness let

this hold for all solutions of equations from [q]. Then all equations from [q] have the same dispersion equal to $t + \pi$. Consequently $\alpha(t + \pi) = \alpha(t) + \pi$ and thus also $\alpha^{-1}(t + \pi) = \alpha^{-1}(t) + \pi$. Then the equation (\bar{q}) has the dispersion equal to $t + \pi$, hence all its solutions are π -halfperiodic and it follows from Lemma 5 that even all solutions of equations from [q]⁻¹ are π -halfperiodic.

Theorem 2. Let the carriers of equations from [q] be π -periodic, [q] have real characteristic multipliers and (q) admit independent solutions u, v satisfying (1). Then the carriers of equations from $[q]^{-1}$ are π -periodic and $[q]^{-1}$ has real characteristic multipliers if and only if there exists a phase α of (q) where $\alpha \circ \alpha$ is an elementary phase: $\alpha \circ \alpha(t + \pi) = \alpha \circ \alpha(t) + \pi$.

Proof: Let the carriers of equations from [q] be π -periodic, characteristic multipliers of [q] be real and (q) admits independent solutions u, v satisfying (1).

a) Let α be such a phase of (q) that $\alpha \circ \alpha$ is an elementary phase. There exists $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$: $\alpha(t + \pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha(t)$. Let us put $\gamma(t) := \alpha \circ \alpha(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha \circ \gamma^{-1}$ and because of $\gamma^{-1}(t + \pi) = \gamma^{-1}(t) + \pi$ we have

$$\alpha^{-1}(t+\pi) = \alpha \odot \gamma^{-1}(t+\pi) = \alpha(\gamma^{-1}(t)+\pi) = \varepsilon \odot \alpha \odot \gamma^{-1}(t) = \varepsilon \odot \alpha^{-1}(t)$$

hence $\alpha^{-1}(t + \pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t)$ and by Lemmas 1 and 2 the carriers of equations from $[q]^{-1}$ are π -periodic. Let α^{-1} be a phase of $(\bar{q}); (\bar{q}) \in [q]^{-1}$. From the formulas $\alpha(t + \pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha(t), \ \alpha^{-1}(t + \pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t)$ it follows that (\bar{q}) and (q) possess the same 1st kind central dispersion with index $k, k = \operatorname{sign} \alpha'$, equal to ε implying that they have also the same dispersion. From Lemma 3 and from the assumption that [q]has real characteristic multipliers it follows that even the inverse block $[q]^{-1}$ has real characteristic multipliers, as well.

b) Suppose now the carriers of equations from $[q]^{-1}$ are π -periodic and $[q]^{-1}$ has real characteristic multipliers. Let α_1 be a phase of (q). By Theorem 1 the blocks [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ have the same characteristic multipliers, the same category (equal to (1, 1)) and all solutions of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are or are not π -half-periodic simultaneously. Thus, according to the Theorem from [7], there exist $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}, \ \gamma \in \mathfrak{H}: \ \alpha_1^{-1} = \varepsilon \circ \alpha_1 \circ \gamma$. Herefrom $\alpha_1 = \gamma^{-1} \circ \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \varepsilon^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \circ \varepsilon \circ \alpha_1 \circ \varepsilon \circ \alpha_1 \circ \gamma$. Consequently $\alpha_1^{-1} = \gamma \circ \alpha_1 \circ \varepsilon, \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \varepsilon^{-1} = \gamma \circ \alpha_1 = \gamma \circ \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \varepsilon \circ \alpha_1 \circ \varepsilon \circ \alpha_1$. Then α is a phase of (q) and $\alpha^{-1} = \gamma \circ \varepsilon^{-1} \circ \alpha$ thus $\alpha \circ \alpha = \varepsilon \circ \gamma^{-1} \in \mathfrak{H}$, because \mathfrak{H} is a group and ε, γ^{-1} are its elements. This proves Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let the carriers of equations from [q] be π -periodic and (1, n) be the category of [q]. Then the carriers of equations from $[q]^{-1}$ are π -periodic and (2, m) is the category of $[q]^{-1}$ if and only if $n \ge 2$, m = 0 and $t + \frac{\pi}{n}$ is the dispersion of an equation from [q].

Proof: Let the carriers of equations from [q] be π -periodic and (1, n) be the category of [q].

a) Let the carriers of equations from $[q]^{-1}$ be π -periodic and (2, m) be the category of $[q]^{-1}$. Let $e^{\pm a\pi i}$, 0 < a < 1, be the characteristic multipliers of $[q]^{-1}$ and $(\bar{q}) \in [q]^{-1}$. Then by Lemma 4 there exists a phase α of (\bar{q}) such that $\alpha(t + \pi) = \alpha(t) + (a + 2m)\pi$. Now let α^{-1} be a phase of (q); (q) $\in [q]$. By Lemma 1 there exist $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}, \varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{E}: \alpha(t + \pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha(t), \alpha^{-1}(t + \pi) = \varepsilon_1 \circ \alpha^{-1}(t)$. It is clear that ε and ε_1 are the 1st kind central dispersions with index $k, k = \text{sign } \alpha'$, of equations (q) and (\bar{q}), respectively, $\varepsilon(t) = t + (a + 2m)\pi$.

1. Let sign $\alpha' = 1$. Then there exists a number x: $\varepsilon^{[n]}(x) = x + \pi$. Since $\varepsilon(t) = t + (a + 2m)\pi$ we get $\varepsilon^{[n]}(t) = t + n(a + 2m)\pi$, hence also

$$x + \pi = x + n(a + 2m)\pi$$
 and $1 = n(a + 2m)$.

From the last equality it follows: $m = 0, a = \frac{1}{n}$. Thus $n = \frac{1}{a} \ge 2$.

2. Let sign $\alpha' = -1$. Then there exists a number x_1 : $\varepsilon^{[-n]}(x_1) = x_1 + \pi$. Since $\varepsilon(t) = t + (a + 2m)\pi$, so is $\varepsilon^{[-n]}(t) = t - n(a + 2m)\pi$, hence also $x_1 + \pi = x_1 - n(a + 2m)\pi$, 1 = -n(a + 2m). From the last equality it follows that m = 0. Then, however, $a = -\frac{1}{n} < 0$ which is contrary to 0 < a < 1.

Thus we have proved that (q) has the dispersion $\varepsilon(t) = t + a\pi = t + \frac{\pi}{n}$, $n \ge 2$, m = 0 and $[q]^{-1}$ has the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}}$ and the category (2, 0). b) Let $n \ge 2$ and $t + \frac{\pi}{n}$ be the dispersion of some equation from [q]. For definiteness let $t + \frac{\pi}{n}$ be the dispersion of (q). Further let α and α^{-1} be phases of (q) and (\overline{q}), respectively; (q) $\in [\overline{q}]^{-1}$. Then $\alpha \left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \alpha(t) + \pi$. sign α' which leads to $\alpha^{-1}(t + \pi) = \alpha^{-1}(t) + \frac{\pi}{n}$. sign α' . Then, of course, $e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}}$ and (2, 0) are the characteristic multipliers and the category of $[q]^{-1}$, respectively, as it follows from Lemmas 4 and 5.

Corollary 1. Let the carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ be π -periodic, let the category of [q] be (1, n), and $[q]^{-1}$ having complex characteristic multipliers. Then $n \ge 2, (-1)^n$ is the double characteristic multipliers of [q], $e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}}$ are the characteristic multipliers of $[q]^{-1}$ and (2, 0) is its category.

Proof: Let the carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ be π -periodic, let the. category of [q] be (1, n) and the characteristic multipliers of $[q]^{-1}$ being complex. Then by Theorem 3 yields: $n \ge 2$, (2, 0) is the category of $[q]^{-1}$ and $\varphi(t) = t + \frac{\pi}{n}$ is the dispersion of an equation from [q]; for definiteness let φ be the dispersion of (q). Then $\varphi_n(t) = t + \pi$ and from Lemma 3 it follows that the characteristic multiplier of (q), and therefore that of [q] too, is double and equal to $(-1)^n$. In the proof of Theorem 3 there has been even shown that $e^{\pm i\frac{\pi}{n}}$ are the characteristic multipliers of $[\mathbf{q}]^{-1}$.

Theorem 4. Let a, b be rational numbers, 0 < a < 1, 0 < b < 1. The carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are π -periodic and the characteristic multipliers of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are equal to $e^{\pm ia\pi}$ and $e^{\pm ib\pi}$, respectively, if and only if at least one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

(i) $a = \frac{\varkappa}{b} - 2n$, where $\varkappa = \pm 1$, $n \neq 0$ is an integer and there exists an elementary phase γ such that $\gamma(t + b\pi) = \gamma(t) + b\pi$ and $\frac{1}{b} \cdot \gamma(t)$ is a phase of an equation from [q].

(ii) $b = \frac{\varkappa}{a} - 2m$, where $\varkappa = \pm 1$, $m \neq 0$ is an integer and there exists an elementary phase ϱ such that $\varrho\left(t + \frac{\pi}{a}\right) = \varrho(t) + \frac{\pi}{a}$ and $a \cdot \varrho(t)$ is a phase of an equation from [q].

If the condition (i) is satisfied, the categories of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are (2, n) and (2, 0), respectively; if the condition (ii) is satisfied, the categories of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are (2, 0) and (2, m), respectively.

Proof: 1. Let *a*, *b* be rational numbers, 0 < a < 1, 0 < b < 1, $\varkappa = \pm 1$ and $n \neq 0$ an integer such that $a = \frac{\varkappa}{b} - 2n$. Suppose next that there exists an elementary phase γ , $\gamma(t + b\pi) = \gamma(t) + b\pi$ and $\frac{1}{b} \cdot \gamma(t)$ is a phase of an equation from [q], for definiteness let it be a phase of (q). Then also $\frac{\varkappa}{b} \cdot \gamma(t)$ is a phase of (q) and $\frac{\varkappa}{b} \cdot \gamma(t + \pi) = \frac{\varkappa}{b} \cdot (\gamma(t) + \pi) = \frac{\varkappa}{b} \cdot \gamma(t) + \frac{\varkappa}{b}\pi = \frac{\varkappa}{b} \cdot \gamma(t) + (a + 2n)\pi$. Therefore by Lemmas 4 and 5 $e^{\pm i\alpha\pi}$ are characteristic multipliers of [q] and (2, n) is its category. Let the function $\gamma^{-1}(bt)$, which is inverse to $\frac{1}{b} \cdot \gamma(t)$, be a phase of (\bar{q}) ; $(\bar{q}) \in [q]^{-1}$. By assumption $\gamma(t + b\pi) = \gamma(t) + b\pi$, where from $\gamma^{-1}(t + b\pi) = \gamma^{-1}(t) + b\pi$. Therefore (\bar{q}) and $[q]^{-1}$ have the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm ib\pi}$ and the category (2, 0).

Let the condition (ii) be fulfilled. Completely analogous to the condition (i) we prove that [q] has the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm ia\pi}$ and the category (2, 0) and $[q]^{-1}$ has the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm ib\pi}$ and the category (2, m).

2. Let the carriers of equations from [q] and [q]⁻¹ be π -periodic and let them have the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm i a \pi}$ and $e^{\pm i b \pi}$, respectively; a, b being rational numbers, 0 < a < 1, 0 < b < 1. Then $a = \frac{k}{l}$, $b = \frac{r}{s}$ with 0 < k < l, 0 < r < sand k, l as well as r, s are comprime, positive integers. By Lemma 4 there exists a phase α of (q) and an integer $n: \alpha(t + \pi) = \alpha(t) + \left(\frac{k}{l} + 2n\right)\pi$. Let α^{-1} be a phase of (\bar{q}) ; $(\bar{q}) \in [q]^{-1}$. From the structure of the phases of (\bar{q}) and from Lemma 4 then follows the existence of ε , $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ and of an integer m with $\varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t+\pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t) + \left(\frac{r}{s} + 2m\right)\pi$. So we have $\varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t+s\pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t) + (r+2ms)\pi = \varepsilon(\alpha^{-1}(t) + (r+2ms)\pi = \varepsilon(\alpha^{-1}(t) + (r+2ms)\pi)$. Consequently

$$\alpha^{-1}(t+s\pi) = \alpha^{-1}(t) + (\mathbf{r}+2ms)\,\pi\cdot\operatorname{Sign}\varepsilon'$$

and passing to the inverse functions we get to

$$\alpha(t) - s\pi = \alpha(t - (r + 2ms)\pi \cdot \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon'),$$

hence

$$\alpha(t + (r + 2ms)\pi . \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon') = \alpha(t) + s\pi,$$

$$\alpha(t + (k + 2nl)(r + 2ms)\pi . \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon') = \alpha(t) + s(k + 2nl)\pi.$$
(3)

Further
$$\alpha(t + \pi) = \alpha(t) + \left(\frac{k}{l} + 2n\right)\pi$$
 which yields
 $\alpha(t + sl\pi) = \alpha(t) + s(k + 2nl)\pi.$
(4)

It then follows from (3) and (4) that

$$ls = (k + 2nl)(r + 2ms)$$
. sign ε'

and further

$$1 = (a + 2n) (b + 2m) . \operatorname{sign} e'.$$
 (5)

From (5) it immediately follows that mn = 0, $m^2 + n^2 > 0$.

a) Let m = 0. Then $n \neq 0$ and $a = \frac{1}{b}$. sign $\varepsilon' - 2n$. Let us put $\alpha_1(t) := \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then α_1 is a phase of an equation from [q]. From $\alpha_1(t + \pi) = \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t + \pi) =$ $= \alpha(\varepsilon^{-1}(t) + \pi \cdot \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon') = \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t) + \pi(a + 2n) \cdot \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon' = \alpha_1(t) + \frac{\pi}{b}$ we obtain $b \cdot \alpha_1(t + \pi) = b \cdot \alpha_1(t) + \pi$. So $b \cdot \alpha_1(t)$ is an elementary phase written as γ , $\gamma(t) =$ $= b \cdot \alpha_1(t)$. Further $\varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t + \pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t) + b\pi$ which gives $\alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t + b\pi) =$ $= \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t) + \pi$, $\alpha_1(t + b\pi) = \alpha_1(t) + \pi$ and $\gamma(t + b\pi) = b \cdot \alpha_1(t + b\pi) =$ $= b \cdot \alpha_1(t) + b\pi = \gamma(t) + b\pi$. This proves the existence of such an elementary phase $\gamma(t)$ with $\gamma(t + b\pi) = \gamma(t) + b\pi$ and $\frac{1}{b} \cdot \gamma(t)$ is a phase of an equation from [q]. Evidently, (2, n) and (2, 0) are the categories of [q] and [q]^{-1}, respectively.

b) Let n = 0. Then $m \neq 0$ and $b = \frac{1}{a}$. sign $\varepsilon' - 2m$. Let us put $\alpha_1(t) := \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon'$. $\alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\alpha_1(t)$ is a phase of an equation from [q]. From the equalities $\alpha_1(t + \pi) = \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon' \cdot \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t + \pi) = \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon' \cdot \alpha(\varepsilon^{-1}(t) + \pi \cdot \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon') = \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon'$. $\cdot (\alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t) + a\pi \cdot \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon') = \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon' \cdot \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t) + a\pi = \alpha_1(t) + a\pi$ we obtain $\frac{1}{a}$. $\begin{aligned} &\alpha_1(t+\pi) = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \alpha_1(t) + \pi. & \text{Consequently } \frac{1}{a} \cdot \alpha_1(t) \text{ is an elementary phase} \\ &\text{written as } \varrho, \ \varrho(t) = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \alpha_1(t). & \text{Further we have } \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t+\pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t) + \\ &+ (b+2m)\pi = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t) + \frac{\pi}{a} \cdot \text{sign } \varepsilon' \text{ which gives } \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t+\pi) = \varepsilon \circ \alpha^{-1}(t) + \\ &+ \frac{\pi}{a} \cdot \text{sign } \varepsilon' \text{ which in passing to the inverse functions gives sign } \varepsilon' \cdot \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{a}\right) = \\ &= \text{sign } \varepsilon' \cdot \alpha \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(t) + \pi \text{ equivalent to } \alpha_1\left(t+\frac{\pi}{a}\right) = \alpha_1(t) + \pi. & \text{Herefrom} \\ & \varrho\left(t+\frac{\pi}{a}\right) = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \alpha_1\left(t+\frac{\pi}{a}\right) = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \alpha_1(t) + \frac{\pi}{a} = \varrho(t) + \frac{\pi}{a} \text{ . This proves the} \\ &\text{existence of an elementary phase } \varrho, \text{ such that } \varrho\left(t+\frac{\pi}{a}\right) = \varrho(t) + \frac{\pi}{a} \text{ and } a \cdot \varrho(t) \\ &\text{is a phase of an equation from [q]. Evidently, (2,0) and (2, m) are the categories \\ & \text{of [q] and [q]}^{-1}, \text{ respectively.} \end{aligned}$

Theorem 5. Let at least one of the numbers a, b be irrational, 0 < a < 1, 0 < b < 1. The carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are π -periodic and have the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm ia\pi}$ and $e^{\pm ib\pi}$, respectively, if and only if one of the two following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $a = \frac{\varkappa}{b+2m}$, where $\varkappa = \pm 1$, m is an integer, and at is a phase of an equation from [q].

(ii) $b = \frac{\varkappa}{a+2n}$, where $\varkappa = \pm 1$, *n* is an integer, and *t*/*b* is a phase of an equation from [q].

If the condition (i) is fulfilled, the categories of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are (2, 0) and (2, m); if the condition (ii) is fulfilled, the categories if [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are (2, n) and (2, 0), respectively.

Proof: 1. Let $a = \frac{\varkappa}{b+2m}$ with $\varkappa = \pm 1$, *m* being an integer; let at least one of the numbers *a*, *b* be irrational, and let *at* be a phase of an equation from [q]. Then from Lemmas 4 and 5 and from *a*. $(t + \pi) = at + a\pi$ if follows that $e^{\pm ia\pi}$ are the characteristic multipliers of [q] and (2, 0) is its category. Further $\varkappa \cdot \frac{t}{a}$ is the inverse function to $\varkappa at$. Thus from $\frac{\varkappa}{a} \cdot (t + \pi) = \varkappa \cdot \frac{t}{a} + \varkappa \cdot \frac{\pi}{a} = \varkappa \cdot \frac{t}{a} +$ $+ (b + 2m)\pi$ we have: $e^{\pm ib\pi}$ being the characteristic multipliers of [q]⁻¹ and (2, *m*) its category.

Let the condition (ii) be fulfilled. Completely analogous to the condition (i) we prove that [q] has the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm ia\pi}$ and the category (2, n) and [q]⁻¹ has the characteristic multipliers $e^{\pm ib\pi}$ and the category (2, 0).

2. Let the carriers of all equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ be π -periodic. Let $e^{\pm i a \pi}$ and $e^{\pm i b \pi}$ be the characteristic multipliers of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$, respectively, with $0_{\ell} < 1$

< a < 1, 0 < b < 1, and at least one of the numbers a, b be irrational (for definiteness let it be the number a). By Lemma 4 there exists a phase α of $(q) \in [q] \alpha(t + \pi) =$ $= \alpha(t) + (a + 2n) \pi$, with *n* being an integer. Let α^{-1} be a phase of $(\overline{q}); (\overline{q}) \in [q]^{-1}$. From the equalities $\alpha^{-1}(t + (a + 2n)\pi) = \alpha^{-1}(t) + \pi$ and $\bar{q}(t) = -\frac{\alpha^{-1'''}(t)}{2 \cdot \alpha^{-1'}(t)} + \alpha^{-1}(t)$ $+\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\alpha^{-1''}(t)}{\alpha^{-1'}(t)}\right)^2 - \alpha^{-1'^2}(t)$ it follows that the continuous function \bar{q} is periodic also with the period $a\pi$ and since a by our assumption is irrational, we have q(t) == const. (= k < 0). Then, of course, $\sqrt{-kt}$ is a phase of (\bar{q}) and $\frac{t}{\sqrt{-k}}$ is a phase of an equation from [q]. Therefore there exist $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$, $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathfrak{E}$ and *m* being an integer such that $\varepsilon(\sqrt{-k}t + \sqrt{-k}\pi) = \varepsilon(\sqrt{-k}t) + (b + 2m)\pi$, $\varepsilon_1\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{-k}} + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-k}}\right) =$ = $\varepsilon_1\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{-k}}\right) + (a + 2n)\pi$ and also $\varepsilon(t + \sqrt{-k}\pi) = \varepsilon(t) + (b + 2m)\pi$, $\varepsilon_1\left(t+\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{-k}}\right) = \varepsilon_1(t) + (a+2n)\pi$. We now show that from the last two equalities it follows $\varepsilon'(t) = \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon'$, $\varepsilon'_1(t) = \operatorname{sign} \varepsilon'_1$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. From $\varepsilon(t + \sqrt{-k\pi}) = \varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon(t)$ $(b + 2m)\pi$ we have $\varepsilon'(t + \sqrt{-k\pi}) = \varepsilon'(t)$, hence $\varepsilon'(t)$ is a periodic function with the period $\sqrt{-k\pi}$. Hereby $\varepsilon'(t) = \frac{a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}}{(a_{11}\sin t + a_{12}\cos t)^2 + (a_{21}\sin t + a_{22}\cos t)^2}$ with a_{ij} , (i, j = 1, 2) being appropriate numbers, det $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Therefore, unless $\varepsilon'(t)$ is a constant function, $d\pi$, $d = \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$ are all periods of this function. So, if $\varepsilon'(t)$ is not a constant function, there exists a positive integer $d_1: \sqrt{-k} = d_1$. Then (\bar{q}) has the dispersion $\varphi(t) = t + \frac{\pi}{d_1}$ and it follows from the relation $\varphi_{d_1}(t) = t + \pi$ and from Lemma 3 that (\overline{q}) has the real characteristic multipliers contrary to our assumption. Therefore $\varepsilon'(t) = \text{const.} (= h)$ and from $\{\varepsilon, t\} - \varepsilon'^2(t) = -1$ we have $h = \text{sign } \varepsilon'$. Completely analogous it can be shown that $\varepsilon'_1(t) = \text{sign } \varepsilon'_1, t \in \mathbf{R}$. So, it holds $\sqrt{-k}$. sign $\varepsilon' = b + 2m$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-k}}$. sign $\varepsilon'_1 = a + 2n$ and also sign ε' . sign $\varepsilon'_1 = a + 2n$ = (a + 2n)(b + 2m), hence mn = 0, $m^2 + n^2 > 0$. If n = 0, then $a = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\varepsilon \odot \varepsilon_1)'}{b + 2m}$ and at is a phase of an equation from [q] and (2, 0) and (2, m) are the categories of [q] and [q]⁻¹, respectively. If m = 0, then $b = \frac{1}{a+2n}$. sign $(\varepsilon \circ \varepsilon_1)'$ and $\frac{t}{b}$ is a phase of an equation from [q] and (2, n) and (2, 0) are the categories of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$, respectively. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Remark. If the carriers of equations from [q] and $[q]^{-1}$ are π -periodic and $e^{\pm ia\pi}$ and $e^{\pm ib\pi}$ are the characteristic multipliers of [q] and $[q]^{-1}$, respectively, wherein 0 < a < 1, 0 < b < 1 and at least one of the numbers a, b is irrational, then follows from Theorem 5 that both numbers a, b are irrational.

From Theorem 5 immediately follows

Corollary 2. Let a, b be irrational numbers, 0 < a < 1, 0 < b < 1. The carriers of equations from [q] and [q]⁻¹ are π -periodic and $e^{\pm ia\pi}$ and $e^{\pm ib\pi}$ are the characteristic multipliers of [q] and [q]⁻¹, respectively, if and only if $y'' = -a^2y$ or $y'' = -\frac{1}{b^2}y$ belong to [q] and $a = \frac{\varkappa}{b+2m}$ or $b = \frac{\varkappa}{a+2n}$ where $\varkappa = \pm 1$ and m, n are integers.

REFERENCES

- [1] Borůvka O.: Linear Differential Transformations of the Second Order. The English Univ. Press, London 1971.
- [2] Borůvka O.: Sur la périodicité de la distance des zéros des intégrales de l'équation différentielle y'' = q(t) y. Tensor, N.S., 26, 121–128 (1972).
- [3] Borůvka O.: Sur les blocs des équations différentielles y'' = q(t)y aux coefficients périodiques. Rend. Mat. (2), 8, S. VI, 519–532 (1975).
- [4] Borůvka O.: Sur quelques compléments à la théorie de Floquet pour les équations différentielles du deuxième ordre. Ann. mat. p. ed appl. S. IV, CII, 71-77 (1975).
- [5] Борувка О.: Теория глобальных свойств обыкновенных линейных дифференциальных уравнений второго порядка. Дифференциальные уравнения, Т. XII, № 8, 1347—1383 (1976).
- [6] Neuman F.: Note on bounded non-periodic solutions of second-order linear differential equation with periodic coefficients. Math. Nach. 39, 217–222 (1969).
- [7] Neuman F., Staněk S.: On the structure of second-order periodic differential equations with given characteristic multipliers. Arch. Math. 3, XIII, 149--158 (1977).

SOUHRN

CHARAKTERISTICKÉ KOŘENY BLOKU A INVERZNÍHO BLOKU LINEÁRNÍCH DIFERENCIÁLNÍCH ROVNIC DRUHÉHO ŘÁDU S *n*-periodickými koeficienty

SVATOSLAV STANĚK

V práci jsou uvedeny nutné a postačující podmínky pro π -periodičnost koeficientů diferenciálních rovnic typu (q): $y'' = q(t)y, q \in C_R^0, R = (-\infty, \infty)$, které jsou oscilatorické na R a leží v bloku [q] a v inversním bloku [q]⁻¹. Za předpokladu, že rovnice v blocích [q] a [q]⁻¹ mají π -periodické koeficienty, jsou dále vyšetřeny vztahy mezi charakteristickými kořeny obou bloků.

РЕЗЮМЕ

ХАРАКТЕРИСТИЧЕСКИЕ КОРНИ БЛОКА И ОБРАТНОГО БЛОКА ЛИНЕЙНЫХ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ ВТОРОГО ПОРЯДКА С п-ПЕРИОДИЧЕСКИМИ КОЭФФИЦИЕНТАМИ

СВАТОСЛАВ СТАНЕК

В работе приводятся необходимые и достаточные условия для π -периодичности коэффициентов в блоке [q] и в обратном блоке [q]⁻¹ дифференциальных уравнений вида $y'' = q(t) y, q \in C_R^0, R = (-\infty, \infty)$ решения которых колеблются в R. Иследуются соотношения между характеристическими корнями в блоках [q], [q]⁻¹ при условии что дифференциальные уравнения в обоих блоках имеют π -периодические коэффициенты.