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## 1. Introduction and notation

In [6] J. Vosmanský derived certain higher monotonicity properties of $i$-th derivatives of solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0, \quad x \in(0, \infty) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the oscillatoric case.
In this paper, using the first accompanying equation with regard to the basis $\alpha, \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta$ are real numbers with the property $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}>0$, we extend the abovementioned results from [6] to the function

$$
\alpha y^{(i)}+\beta\left(y^{(i+1)}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots,
$$

where $y(x)$ is a solution of equation (1).
Finally, we introduce certain applications of the derived results for Bessel functions.
In [2] M. Laitoch introduced the first accompanying equation ( $Q$ ) towards the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+q(x) y=0 \tag{q}
\end{equation*}
$$

with regard to the basis $\alpha, \beta$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}+Q(x) Y=0 \tag{Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=q+\frac{\alpha \beta q^{\prime}}{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} q}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta^{2} q^{\prime \prime}}{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} q}-\frac{3}{4} \frac{\beta^{4} q^{\prime 2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} q\right)^{2}} \tag{q}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the assumptions that $q(x) \in C_{2}, q(x)>0$ for each $x \in(a, \infty), a$ is a real number, and $\alpha, \beta$ are real numbers with the property $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}>0$.

In [2] it is proved that if $y(x)$ is a solution of $(q)$, then the function

$$
Y(x)=\frac{\alpha y+\beta y^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} q(x)}}
$$

is a solution of the differential equation $(Q)$ and conversely, if $Y(x)$ is any solution of $(Q)$, then there exists a solution $\bar{y}(x)$ of the equation $(q)$ such that

$$
\frac{\alpha \bar{y}+\beta \bar{y}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} q(x)}}=Y(x) .
$$

A function $f(x)$ is said to be $n$-times monotonic (or monotonic of order $n$ ) on an interval $(a, \infty)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{i} f^{(i)}(x) \geq 0, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n, \quad x \in(a, \infty) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such a function we write $f(x) \in M_{n}(a, \infty)$. If strict inequality holds throughout (3), we write $f(x) \in M_{n}^{*}(a, \infty)$. We say that $f(x)$ is completely monotonic on ( $a, \infty$ ) if (3) holds for $n=\infty$.

A sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, denoted simply by $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$, is said to be $n$-times monotonic if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{i} \Delta^{i} x_{k} \geq 0, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\Delta^{\circ} x_{k}=x_{k}, \Delta x_{k}=x_{k+1}-x_{k}, \ldots, \Delta^{n} x_{k}=\Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1}-\Delta^{n-1} x_{k} .
$$

For such a sequence we write $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \in M_{n}$. If strict inequality holds throughout (4), we write $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \in M_{n}^{*}$. The sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is called completely monotonic if (4) holds for $n=\infty$.

## 2. New basic results

1. In this section we consider a second order linear differential equation (1), where $a(x) \in C_{3}(0, \infty), b(x) \in C_{2}(0, \infty)$

The transformation

$$
u(x)=y(x) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int a(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]
$$

transforms (1) into the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+f(x) u=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=b(x)-\frac{1}{2} a^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{4} a^{2}(x) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(x) \in C_{2}, f(x)>0$ on $(0, \infty)$. The first accompanying equation towards differential equation (5) with regard to the basis $\alpha, \beta$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime \prime}+F(x) U=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(x)$ is given by formula $\left(2_{f}\right)$.
Thus, some of the results of [1] can be applied to equation (5) to give information on solutions of differential equation (1).

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be real numbers such that $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}>0, \alpha \beta \leq 0$ and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. For the function $f(x)$ defined by (6) suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)>0, \quad f^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad f^{\prime}(x) \in M_{n}(0, \infty), \quad x \in(0, \infty) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for the carrier $F(x)$ of the first accompanying equation (7) towards differential equation (5) with regard to the basis $\alpha, \beta$ we have

$$
F^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad F^{\prime}(x) \in M_{n-2}(0, \infty), \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

and

$$
0<F(\infty)=f(\infty) \leq \infty
$$

Proof. (see paper [4], Lemma 2).
Let us denote, for fixed $\lambda>-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}=\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} W(x) \exp \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \int a(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]\left|\frac{\alpha y+\beta\left(y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} a(x) y\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f(x)}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y(x)$ is an arbitrary solution of (1) and $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence of consecutive zeros of the function $\alpha z(x)+\beta\left(z^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a(x) z(x)\right)$, where $z(x)$ is any solution of (1) which may or may not be linearly independent of $y(x)$. The function $W(x)$ is any sufficiently monotonic function.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be real numbers such that $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}>0, \alpha \beta \leqq 0$, and $n \geqq 2$ be an integer. For the function $f(x)$ defined by (6) suppose that

$$
f(x)>0, \quad f^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad f^{\prime}(x) \in M_{n}(0, \infty), \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x)>0, \quad W(x) \in M_{n-2}(0, \infty), \quad x \in(0, \infty) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $R_{k}$ defined by (9) there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{R_{k}\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $y(x), z(x)$ be solutions of the differential equation (1). Then the functions

$$
u(x)=y(x) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int a(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]
$$

$$
v(x)=z(x) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int a(x) \mathrm{d} x\right],
$$

are solutions of the differential equation (5).
It follows from [2] that the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y(x)=\frac{\alpha u+\beta u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f(x)}}, \\
& Z(x)=\frac{\alpha v+\beta v^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f(x)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

are solutions of the differential equation (7).
Lemma 1 implies that $F^{\prime}(x)>0$ on $(0, \infty), F^{\prime}(x) \in M_{n-2}(0, \infty)$ and $0<F(\infty) \leq$ $\leq \infty$. So, the conditions of ([3], Theorem 3.1) are fulfilled. Using this theorem we have

$$
\left\{N_{k}\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*}
$$

where $N_{k}$ is defined by

$$
N_{k}=\int_{s_{k}}^{s_{k+1}} W(x)|Y(x)|^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x, \quad \lambda>-1, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

where $Y(x)$ is the solution of equation (7), $\left\{s_{k}\right\}$ denotes the sequence of consecutive zeros of the solution $Z(x)$ of (7).

Since $Z(x) \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f(x)}=\alpha v(x)+\beta v^{\prime}(x)$ we have $\left\{s_{k}\right\}=\left\{t_{k}\right\}$, where $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ denotes the sequence of consecutive zeros of the function $\alpha v(x)+\beta v^{\prime}(x)$.

But, $\alpha v(x)+\beta v^{\prime}(x)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int a(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]\left(\alpha z(x)+\beta z^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a(x) z(x)\right)$, so that $\left\{t_{k}\right\}=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$, where $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ denotes the sequence of consecutive zeros of the functions $\alpha z(x)+\beta\left(z^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a(x) z(x)\right)$.

Hence it follows that

$$
N_{k}=\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} W(x)\left|\frac{\alpha u+\beta u^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f(x)}}\right| \mathrm{d} x=R_{k},
$$

so that (11) holds, and the theorem is proved.
Corollary 1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 we have

$$
\left\{\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}} W(x) \exp \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \int a(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]\left|\alpha y+\beta\left(y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} a(x) y\right)\right|^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*}
$$

for $\lambda \in(-1,0\rangle, k=1,2, \ldots$.

Proof of this corollary follows directly from Theorem 1, because (11) remains valid when $W(x)$ is replaced by

$$
W(x)\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f(x)\right)^{\lambda / 2}, \quad \lambda \in(-1,0\rangle,
$$

since the last function belongs to $M_{n-2}(0, \infty)$.
Corollary 2, Let the conditions of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Let $a(x)>0, a(x) \in$ $\in M_{n-1}(0, \infty), x \in(0, \infty)$. Then for $\bar{R}_{k}$ defined by

$$
\bar{R}_{k}=\int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k+1}}\left|\frac{\alpha y+\beta\left(y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} a(x) y\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f(x)}}\right|^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ and $y(x)$ have the same meaning as in (9), there holds

$$
\left\{\bar{R}_{k}\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*} .
$$

Proof. Let us choose the function $W(x)$ in the form $W(x)=\exp \left[-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int a(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]$. It is easy to see that under the assumptions of Corollary $2 W(x)$ satisfies (10) for $\lambda \geq 0$. Hence from Theorem 1 we obtain $\left\{\bar{R}_{k}\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*}$, and the corollary is proved.

Remark 1. If in the above considerations we choose $\alpha=1, \beta=0$, then we get the results from [6] concerning the monotonicity of the sequence of consecutive zeros of any arbitrary solution $y(x)$ of equation (1).

If we choose $\alpha=0, \beta=1$, then we obtain the results from [6] for the monotonicity of the sequence of consecutive zeros of the function $y^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a(x) y(x)$.
2. Consider the differential equation (1). Let $a_{0}(x)=a(x), b_{0}(x) \equiv b(x) \neq 0$ be continuous and sufficiently differentiable functions on ( $0, \infty$ ). Let $a_{i}(x), b_{i}(x)$ be defined recurrently for $i=1,2, \ldots$ by formulas

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i}(x)=a_{i-1} \frac{b_{i-1}^{\prime}}{b_{i-1}}, \\
b_{i}(x)=b_{i-1}+a_{i-1}^{\prime}-a_{i-1} \frac{b_{i-1}^{\prime}}{b_{i-1}} . \tag{i}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose that $b_{i}(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in(0, \infty)$ and all needed $i$.
In ([6], Lemma 2.1) it is proved that if $y(x), z(x)$ are non-trivial linearly independent solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+a_{0}(x) y^{\prime}+b_{0}(x) y=0, \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $y^{(i)}(x), z^{(i)}(x)$ are non-trivial linearly independent solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+a_{i}(x) y^{\prime}+b_{i}(x) y=0 \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a_{i}(x), b_{i}(x)$ be defined by $\left(12_{i}\right)$. The transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=y(x) \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int a_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right], \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

transforms $\left(14_{i}\right)$ into the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+f_{i}(x) u=0 \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}(x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(x)=b_{i}(x)-\frac{1}{2} a_{i}^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{4} a_{i}^{2} x, \quad i=0,1, \ldots[6] \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{i}(x) \in C_{2}, f_{i}(x)>0$ for $x>0$ and an arbitrary but fixed integer. The first accompanying equation towards the differential equation (16 $\sigma_{i}$ with regard to the basis $\alpha, \beta$ has the form

$$
U^{\prime \prime}+F_{i}(x) U=0
$$

where $F_{i}(x)$ is given by formula ( $2_{f_{i}}$ ).
In this section we shall study sequences $\left\{R_{k}^{(i)}\right\}$, where $R_{k}^{(i)}$ is defined for fixed $\lambda>-1$ by
$R_{k}^{(i)}=\int_{x_{k}^{(i)}}^{\substack{(i)}} W(x) \exp \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \int a_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]\left|\frac{\alpha y^{(i)}+\beta\left(y^{(i+1)}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f_{i}(x)}}\right|^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x$,
where $y(x)$ is an arbitrary solution of (1) and $\left\{x_{k}^{(i)}\right\}$ is a sequence of consecutive zeros of the function $\alpha z^{(i)}(x)+\beta\left(z^{(i+1)}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) z^{(i)}(x)\right)$, where $z(x)$ is any solution of (1) which may or may not be linearly independent of $y(x)$. The function $a_{i}(x)$ is defined recurrently by $\left(12_{i}\right)$. The function $W(x)$ is any sufficiently monotonic function.

Theorem 2. Let $n \geqq 2, i \geq 1$ be arbitrary but fixed integers and let $\alpha, \beta$ be real numbers such that $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}>0, \alpha \beta \leq 0$. Let the coefficients $a(x) \equiv a_{0}(x), b(x) \equiv$ $\equiv b_{0}(x)$ of $(1) \equiv\left(13_{0}\right)$ be such that $a_{j}(x)(j=0,1, \ldots, i), b_{j}(x) \neq 0(j=0,1, \ldots$, $\ldots, i-1)$ defined by $\left(12_{j}\right)$ are differentiable. For the function $f_{i}(x)$ defined by $\left(17_{i}\right)$ suppose that

$$
f_{i}(x)>0, f_{i}^{\prime}(x)>0, f_{i}^{\prime}(x) \in M_{n}(0, \infty), \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

Let

$$
W(x)>0, W(x) \in M_{n-2}(0, \infty), \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

Then for $R_{k}^{(i)}$ defined by (18) there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{R_{k}^{(i)}\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $y(x), z(x)$ be solutions of the differential equation (1). It follows from [6] that the functions $y^{(i)}(x)=y_{i}(x), z^{(i)}(x)=z_{i}(x)$ are solutions of the
differential equation $\left(14_{i}\right)$. This implies that if $\left\{x_{k}^{(i)}\right\}$ denotes the sequence of consecutive zeros of the function $\alpha z^{(i)}(x)+\beta\left(z^{(i+1)}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) z^{(i)}(x)\right)$, then this sequence represents the sequence of cosecutive zeros of the function $\alpha z_{i}(x)+$ $+\beta\left(z_{i}^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) z_{i}(x)\right)$.

Theorem 2 follows now from Theorem 1 if we replace equation (1) by ( $14_{i}$ ).
Corollary 3. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2 we have

$$
\left\{\int_{x_{k}^{(i)}}^{\substack{x_{k+1}^{(i)}}} W(x) \exp \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \int a_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]\left|\alpha y^{(i)}+\beta\left(y^{(i+1)}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*}
$$

for $\lambda \in(-1,0\rangle$.
Proof of this corollary follows directly from Theorem 2. Assertion (19) remains valid when $W(x)$ is replaced by

$$
W(x)\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f_{i}(x)\right)^{\lambda / 2}, \quad \lambda \in(-1,0\rangle .
$$

Corollary 4. Let the conditions of Theorem 2 be satisfied. Let $a_{i}(x)>0, a_{i}(x) \in$ $\in M_{n-1}(0, \infty)$. Then for $\bar{R}_{k}^{(i)}$ defined by

$$
\bar{R}_{k}^{(i)}=\int_{x_{k}^{(i)}}^{x_{k+1}^{(i)}}\left|\frac{\alpha y^{(i)}+\beta\left(y^{(i+1)}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f_{i}(x)}}\right|^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x, \quad \lambda>0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

where $\left\{x_{k}^{(i)}\right\}$ and $y^{(i)}(x)$ have the same meaning as in (18), there holds

$$
\left\{\bar{R}_{k}^{(i)}\right\} \in M_{n-2}^{*} .
$$

Proof. In Theorem 2, we set $W(x)=\exp \left[-\frac{\lambda}{2} \int a_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right], \lambda>0$.

## 3. Applications to Bessel functions

Throughout this section we suppose that $\alpha, \beta$ are real numbers such that $\alpha^{2}+$ $+\beta^{2}>0, \alpha \beta \leq 0$.

Let $C_{v}(x)$ denote any Bessel (cylinder) function of order $v$, i.e. any nontrivial solution of the Bessel equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}+\left(1-\frac{v^{2}}{x^{2}}\right) y=0, \quad x \in(0, \infty) \tag{v}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x>v$ and let $\left\{a_{v k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ denote the sequence of consecutive positive zeros of the function

$$
\alpha C_{v}^{\prime}(x)+\beta\left(C_{v}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a_{v 1}(x) C_{v}^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

and let $\left\{b_{v k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}$ denote the analogous sequence of the function

$$
\alpha \bar{C}_{v}^{\prime}(x)+\beta\left(\bar{C}_{v}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} a_{v 1}(x) \bar{C}_{v}^{\prime}(x)\right),
$$

where $a_{v 1}(x)$ is defined by $\left(12_{1}\right)$ and $\bar{C}_{v}(x)$ denotes any Bessel function of order $v$, possibly $C_{v}(x)$ again.

Lemma 2. Let $f_{v 1}(x)$ be defined by $\left(17_{1}\right)$ for $x>v$. Then there exists one and only one number $a \in(v, \infty)$ such that $f_{v 1}(a)=0$.

Proof. Using $\left(17_{1}\right)$ we have $f_{v 1}(x)=1-\frac{v^{2}-\frac{1}{4}}{x^{2}}-\frac{1}{x^{2}-v^{2}}-\frac{3 v^{2}}{\left(x^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{2}}$ for $x>v$. It is obvious that $\lim _{x \rightarrow v^{-}} f_{v 1}(x)=-\infty$.

Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f_{v 1}(x)=1$ and $f_{v 1}^{\prime}(x) \in M_{n}^{*}(v, \infty)([5]$, Theorem 3.1) there exists one and only one number $a \in(v, \infty)$ such that $f_{v 1}(a)=0$.
Theorem 3. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and $v \geq 0$ an arbitrary number. Let $a_{v 1}(x)$ be defined by $\left(12_{1}\right), f_{v 1}(x)$ be defined by $\left(17_{1}\right)$ for $x>v$, and $f_{v 1}(a)=0, a>v$. Let

$$
W(x)>0, W(x) \in M_{n-2}(a, \infty), \quad x \in(a, \infty)
$$

and let $R_{v k}^{\prime}$ be defined for $x \in(a, \infty)$ and $\lambda>-1$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{v k}^{\prime}=\int_{b^{\prime} v k}^{b_{v, v+1}^{\prime}} W(x) \exp \left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \int a_{v 1}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right]\left|\frac{\alpha C_{v}^{\prime}+\beta\left(C_{v}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{v 1}(x) C^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} f_{v 1}(x)}}\right|^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} x \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m$ be the smallest integer satisfying $a \leq b_{v m}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{R_{v k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=m}^{\infty} \in M_{n-2}^{*} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Theorem 3 is a direct corollary of Theorem 2.
Since $f_{v 1}(a)=0$ we obtain from $f_{v 1}^{\prime}(x) \in M_{n}^{*}(v, \infty)\left([5]\right.$, Theorem 3.1) that $f_{v 1}(x)>$ $>0$ on $(a, \infty)$.

So, the conditions of the modified form of Theorem 2 are satisfied for any $n \geq 2$ if the interval $(0, \infty)$ is replaced by ( $a, \infty$ ).

The expression $R_{k}^{(i)}$ defined in (18) is of the form (21) so that (22) holds and the theorem is proved.

Corollary 5. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold. Let $W(x)$ be a positive, completely monotonic function on $(a, \infty)$. Let $R_{v k}$ be defined by (21). Then

$$
\left\{R_{v k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=m}^{\infty} \in M_{\infty}^{*} .
$$

The corollary is the case $n=\infty$ in Theorem 3.

Remark 2. As a direct conclusion of Theorem 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\left(a_{v, k+1}^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}-\left(a_{v k}^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma}\right\}_{k=m}^{\infty} \in M_{\infty}^{2}, \quad 0<\gamma \leqq 1  \tag{23}\\
\left\{\lg \frac{a_{v, k+1}^{\prime}}{a_{v k}^{\prime}}\right\}_{k=m}^{\infty} \in M_{\infty}^{*} \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assertion (23) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 with $\lambda=0$, $\bar{C}_{v}(x) \equiv C_{v}(x)$ and $W(x)=\gamma x^{\nu-1}$.
Assertion (24) follows from Theorem 3 with $\lambda=0, \bar{C}_{v}(x)=C_{v}(x)$ and $W(x)=x^{-1}$.

Remark 3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold and let $\gamma>0$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\left(a_{v k}^{\prime}\right)^{-\gamma}\right\}_{k=m}^{\infty} \in M_{\infty}^{*},  \tag{25}\\
\left\{\left(\lg a_{v k}^{\prime}\right)^{-\gamma}\right\}_{k=m}^{\infty} \in M_{\infty}^{*}, \quad a_{v m}^{\prime}>1,  \tag{26}\\
\left\{\exp \left(-\gamma a_{v k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=m}^{\infty} \in M_{\infty}^{*} .\right. \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assertions (25), (26) and (27) follow from Theorem 3 with $\bar{C}_{v}(x)=C_{v}(x)$, $\lambda=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(x)=-\left[x^{-\gamma}\right]^{\prime} \\
& W(x)=-\left[(\lg x)^{-\gamma}\right]^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
W(x)=-\left[e^{-\gamma x}\right]^{\prime},
$$

respectively.
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## Souhrn

# POZNÂMKA O VLASTNOSTIACH VYŠŠEJ MONOTÓNNOSTI $i$-tej DERIVÁCIE RIES̆ENÍ ROVNICE $y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0$ 

## ELENA PAVLÍKOVÁ

V práci [6] J. Vosmanský odvodil vlastnosti vyššej monotónnosti i-tej derivácie riešení diferenciálnej rovnice

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0, \quad x \in(0, \infty) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

v oscilatorickom prípade.
V tejto práci, na základe prvej sprievodnej rovnice vzhladom na bázu $\alpha, \beta$, $\mathrm{kde} \alpha, \beta$ sú reálne čísla s vlastnostou $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}>0$, sú rozšírené výsledky z [6] na funkciu

$$
\alpha y^{(i)}+\beta\left(y^{(i+1)}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots,
$$

kde $y(x)$ je riešením rovnice (1).
V závere sú uvedené aplikácie dosiahnutých výsledkov na Besselove funkcie.

## Реэюме

## ЗАМЕТКА О СВОЙСТВАХ ВЫСШЕЙ МОНОТОННОСТИ $i$-той ПРОИЗВОДНОЙ РЕШЕНИЙ УРАВНЕНИЯ $y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0$

## ЕЛЕНА ПАВЛИКОВА

В работе [6] Я. Восмански исследовал свойства высшей монотонности $i$-той производной решений дифференциального уравнения

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0, \quad x \in(0, \infty) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

в колебательном случае.
В этой работе, с помощью первого сопроводительного уравнения при базисе $\alpha, \beta$ где $\alpha, \beta$ произвольные вещественные постоянные с свойством $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}>0$, обобщены результаты из [6] на функции

$$
\alpha y^{(i)}+\beta\left(y^{(i+1)}+\frac{1}{2} a_{i}(x) y^{(i)}\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots,
$$

где $y(x)$ решение дифференциального уравнения (1).
В заключении приведены приложения полученых результатов к теории бесселевых фвнкций.

