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## 1. Introduction

O. Borůvka [3] investigated in his lectures the structures of the intersection of groups of dispersions of two oscillatory equations $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right): y^{\prime \prime}=q_{1}(t) y,\left(\mathrm{q}_{2}\right): y^{\prime \prime}=$ $=q_{2}(t) y$ and found necessary and sufficient conditions for this intersection to be one-parametric continuous group. This problem is considered also in the present paper. Here the structure of the intersection of sets of dispersions of equations $\left(q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{q}_{2}\right)$ is entirely described and namely under the assumption that $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ is a oscillatory equation, $q_{1} \in C^{0}(\mathbf{R}), q_{1}-q_{2} \in C^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ and $q_{1}(t) \neq q_{2}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

## 2. Basic notions and notation

In the interest of brevity we shall write hereafter $q X(t), \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha(t)$ etc. instead of $q[X(t)], \alpha^{-1}[\varepsilon(\alpha(t))]$ etc. If there exists a function inverse to the function $f$, we will denote it by $f^{-1}$.

We investigate differential equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=q(t) y, \quad q \in C^{0}(\mathbf{R}) \tag{q}
\end{equation*}
$$

Say that a function $\alpha \in C^{0}(\mathbf{R})$ is the (first) phase of (q) if there exist independent solutions $u, v$ of (q) such that

$$
\operatorname{tg} \alpha(t)=u(t) / v(t) \quad \text { for } t \in \mathbf{R}-\{t \in \mathbf{R}, v(t)=0\} .
$$

Every phase $\alpha$ of (q) has the following properties:

$$
\alpha \in C^{3}(\mathbf{R}), \quad \alpha^{\prime}(t) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad q(t)=-\{\alpha, t\}-\alpha^{\prime 2}(t)
$$

where $\{\alpha, t\}:=\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) / 2 \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{3}{4}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t) / \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}$ is the Schwarz derivative of the function $\alpha$ at the point $t$.

Equation (q) is oscillatory exactly if any (and then every) phase of (q) maps $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\mathbf{R}$.

The set of phases of the equation $y^{\prime \prime}=-y$ will be written as $\mathfrak{E}$. If $\alpha$ is a phase of (q) then $\mathfrak{E} \alpha:=\{\varepsilon \alpha, \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}\}$ is the set of phases of (q).

Say that a function $X \in C^{3}(\mathrm{j}), X^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in \mathrm{j} \subset \mathbf{R}$, is a dispersion (of the 1st kind) of (q) exactly if $X$ is a maximal solution of a nonlinear differential equation of the $3^{\text {nd }}$ order

$$
-\{X, t\}+X^{\prime 2}(t) \cdot q X(t)=q(t)
$$

The dispersion $X: \mathrm{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of (q) has the following characteristic property: for every solution $u$ of (q) $u X(t) / \sqrt{\left|X^{\prime}(t)\right|}$ is again a solution of this equation (in the interval j).

Let $\alpha$ be a phase of (q). Then $\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{E} \alpha$ is the set of dispersions of (q), that is: if $X$ is a dispersion of (q) defined in $\mathfrak{j}$, then there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $X(t)=$ $=\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}$ and also conversely, for every $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ the function $\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha$ is a dispersion of ( q ) and namely in the interval where the composite function $\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha$ is defined.

The set of the dispersions of (q) will be written as $\mathscr{L}_{q}$, the set of increasing (decreasing) dispersions of (q) as $\mathscr{L}_{q}^{+}\left(\mathscr{L}_{q}^{-}\right)$. Equation (q) is oscillatory exactly if all their dispersions are mapping $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\mathbf{R}$. In case of an oscillatory equation (q), the sets $\mathscr{L}_{q}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{q}^{+}$generate groups under the composition of functions.

If $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{j}}$ denotes the identical mapping $\mathrm{j}(\subset \mathbf{R})$ on j , then there is $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathscr{L}_{q}^{+}$for every equation (q).

The reader is referred to [1,2] for all definitions and results.
Let (q) be an oscillatory equation (on $\mathbf{R}$ ) and $\mathscr{P}^{+} \subset \mathscr{L}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{+}$. Say that $\mathscr{P}^{+}$is a continuous one-parametric group if $\mathscr{P}^{+}$is a subgroup $\mathscr{L}_{q}^{+}$and through the every point $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ passes exactly one function from $\mathscr{P}^{+}$.

By $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}\left(\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+} ; \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}\right)$we denote the set $\mathscr{L}_{q_{1}} \cap \mathscr{L}_{q_{2}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{q_{1}}^{+} \cap \mathscr{L}_{q_{2}}^{+} ; \mathscr{L}_{q_{1}}^{-} \cap \mathscr{L}_{q_{2}}^{-}\right)$. For any two equations $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{q}_{2}\right)$ is $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathscr{P}_{\boldsymbol{q}_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$.

Say that a function $f$ belongs to the set $\mathscr{M}$ iff $f: \mathrm{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ for an interval $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{R}$ and there exists a number $\varrho$ with $f(-t+\varrho)=f(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}$. Obviously $f \in \mathscr{M}$ exactly if the graph of the function $f$ is symmetric with respect to a line parallel with the axis of ordinates.

## 3. Principle results

Say that functions $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ satisfy the assumption (L) if

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{1} \in C^{0}(\mathbf{R}), \quad q_{1}-q_{2} \in C^{2}(\mathbf{R}), \quad q_{1}(t) \neq q_{2}(t) \quad \text { for } t \in \mathbf{R}  \tag{L}\\
& \text { and the equation }\left(q_{1}\right) \text { is oscillatory. }
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1. Let functions $q_{1}, q_{2}$ satisfy the assumption (L). Let $X$ be a dispersion of $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and put $\gamma(t):=\int_{\mathbf{t}_{0}}^{t} \sqrt{\left|q_{1}(s)-q_{2}(s)\right|} \mathrm{d} s$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{j}:=\gamma(\mathbf{R})$. Then
(i) $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$ exactly if for a number $k$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma X(t)=\operatorname{sign} X^{\prime} \cdot \gamma(t)+k, \quad t \in \mathbf{R} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$and $X \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$, then $\mathrm{j}=\mathbf{R}$.
(iii) If $\mathrm{j} \neq \mathbf{R}$, then $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$containes one element at most.
(iv) If $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$, then $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}=X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}\left(=\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+} X\right)$.

Proof. Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled and let $\sigma=\operatorname{sign} X^{\prime}$.
(i) According to the definition of the dispersion is $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$ iff

$$
-\{X, t\}+X^{\prime 2}(t) \cdot q_{i} X(t)=q_{i}(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, i=1,2
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}(t) \sqrt{\left|q_{1} X(t)-q_{2} X(t)\right|}=\sigma \sqrt{\left|q_{1}(t)-q_{2}(t)\right|}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2) from $t_{0}$ to $t$, using the substitution method and respecting the definition $\gamma$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma X(t)=\sigma \cdot \gamma(t)+k, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k:=\gamma X\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{t_{0}}^{X\left(t_{0}\right)} \sqrt{\left|q_{1}(s)-q_{2}(s)\right|} \mathrm{d} s$. Let the dispersion $X$ of $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ satisfy (3), where $k$ is a number. Then by differentiating (3) we obtain (2) whence $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$.
(ii) Let $X \in \mathscr{P}_{\boldsymbol{q}_{1} q_{2}}^{+}, X \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then, by (i), there exists a number $k, k \neq 0$, such that $\gamma X(t)=\gamma(t)+k$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and therefrom $\gamma(\mathbf{R})=\mathbf{R}$, hence $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{R}$.
(iii) Let $\mathrm{j} \neq \mathbf{R}$. We have from (ii) that $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the single element of $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$. Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}, Y_{1} \neq Y_{2}$. Then, by (i), there exist numbers $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, such that $\gamma Y_{1}(t)=-\gamma(t)+k_{1}, Y_{2}(t)=-\gamma(t)+k_{2}$. From this $\gamma Y_{1} Y_{2}(t)=-\gamma Y_{2}(t)+$ $+k_{1}=\gamma(t)+k_{1}-k_{2}$. Putting $Y(t):=Y_{1} Y_{2}(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \quad k:=k_{1}-k_{2}$ then $\operatorname{sign} Y^{\prime}=1, k \neq 0, \gamma Y(t)=\gamma(t)+k$. Hence $Y \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}, Y \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$. By (ii) then $\mathrm{j}=\mathbf{R}$ which contradicts our assumption.
(iv) Let $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$. If $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}\right\}$, then with respect to (iii) the assertion (iv) is true. Let $Y \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}, Y \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then there exist numbers $k_{1}, k_{2} \neq 0: \gamma X=-\gamma+$ $+k_{1}, \gamma Y=\gamma+k_{2}$. From here $\gamma X Y=-\gamma Y+k_{1}=-\gamma+k_{1}-k_{2}, \gamma Y X=$ $=\gamma X+k_{2}=-\gamma+k_{1}+k_{2}$ and by (i)we have $X Y, Y X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$. This proves that $X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+} \subset \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}, \mathscr{P}_{q_{1 q_{2}}}^{+} X \subset \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$. Let $X_{1} \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}, X \neq X_{1}$ and $\gamma X_{1}=-\gamma+k_{3}$ for a number $k_{3}$. If we put $Y_{1}:=X^{-1} X_{1}, Y_{2}:=X_{1} X^{-1}$, then $\operatorname{sign} Y_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{sign} Y_{2}^{\prime}=$ $=1$ and from $\gamma Y_{1}=\gamma X^{-1} X_{1}=-\gamma X_{1}+k_{1}=\gamma+k_{1}-k_{3}, \gamma Y_{2}=\gamma X_{1} X^{-1}=$ $=-\gamma X^{-1}+k_{3}=\gamma+k_{3}-k_{1}$ and from (i) we get $Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$. Therefore
$X_{1}=X Y_{1} \in X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}, X_{1}=Y_{2} X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+} X$ and consequently $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-} \subset X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}, \mathscr{P}_{q_{1 q_{2}}}^{-} \subset$ $\subset \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+} X$. From this $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}=X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$and $X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+} X$.

Remark. According to (iii) of Lemma 1 we have from the assumption $j \neq \mathbf{R}$ that $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$has one element at most. The following example shows that there exist functions $q_{1}, q_{2}$ fulfilling the assumptions of Lemma 1 and such that $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$is a one-element set.

Example 1. Let $q_{1}(t):=-1, q_{2}(t):=-1+\left(1+t^{4}\right)^{-1}, t \in \mathbf{R}$. The functions $q_{1}, q_{2}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1 and since the improper integrals $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(1+t^{4}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t, \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}}\left(1+t^{4}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t$ converge, we get $\mathrm{j} \neq \mathbf{R}$. Let us put $X(t):=-t$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $-\{X, t\}+X^{\prime 2}(t) \cdot q_{i} X(t)=q_{i}(t), t \in \mathbf{R}, i=1,2$. Thus $X$ is a dispersion of both equations $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{q}_{2}\right)$ and according to (iii) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$is a one-element set.

Lemma 2. Let functions $q_{1}, q_{2}$ fulfil the assumption ( L ). Let $\alpha_{1}$ be a phase of $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ and $X$ be its dispersion. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and let us put $\gamma(t):=\int_{i_{0}}^{t} \sqrt{\left|q_{1}(s)-q_{2}(s)\right|} \mathrm{d} s$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\beta(t):=\alpha_{1} \gamma^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}:=\gamma(\mathbf{R})$. Then $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$ and $X=\alpha_{1}^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha_{1}, \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$, iff for a number $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(t \cdot \operatorname{sign} X^{\prime}+k\right)=\varepsilon \beta(t), \quad t \in \mathrm{j} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let the assumptions of Lemma 2 be fulfilled and let $\sigma=\operatorname{sign} X^{\prime}$. Let next $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$ and $X=\alpha_{1}^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha_{1}, \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$. Then according to the assertion (i) of Lemma 1 there exists a number $k: \gamma X(t)=\sigma \cdot \gamma(t)+k, t \in \mathbf{R}$. From this we have $\gamma \alpha_{1}^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha_{1}(t)=$ $=\sigma \cdot \gamma(t)+k$ and consequently $\varepsilon \beta(t)=\beta(\sigma t+k)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}$. Let for a number $k$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ the relation (4) hold. Then for the dispersion $X:=\alpha_{1}^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha_{1}$ of $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ we get $\gamma X(t)=\sigma \cdot \gamma(t)+k, t \in \mathbf{R}$, and from the assertion (i) of Lemma 1 we have $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$.

Corollary 1. Let the assumptions of Lemma 2 be fulfilled and let the function $\beta: \mathrm{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a phase of $(\mathrm{p})$. Then
(i) $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$and $X \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$ iff $p$ is a periodic function on $\mathbf{R}$,
(ii) $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{{ }^{1} q_{2}}$ iff $p \in \mathscr{M}$ and $p: \mathrm{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. Let the assumptions of Lemma 2 be fulfilled and let $\beta$ be a phase of (p) and $\sigma=\operatorname{sign} X^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2 is $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$ iff for a number $k$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathscr{E}$ the relation (4) holds. From the theory of phases now follows that (4) is equivalent to the assertion saying that (p) has also a phase $\beta(\sigma t+k)$, which is again equivalent to the equality $p(\sigma t+k)=p(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}$.
(i) If $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$and $X \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$, then $\mathrm{j}=\mathbf{R}$ (see (ii) of Lemma 1) and $p$ is a periodic function with the period $k \neq 0$ on $\mathbf{R}$. Reversely, if $p$ is a periodic function with a period $k \neq 0$ on $\mathbf{R}$, then for any $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ we have $\beta(t+k)=\varepsilon \beta(t)$. Hence $X:=$ $:=\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha_{1} \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}, X \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$.
(ii) If $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$then $p(-t+k)=p(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}$ and $p \in \mathscr{M}$. Let $p \in \mathscr{M}$ and $p: \mathrm{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Then there exists a number $k$ such that $p(-t+k)=p(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}$ and consequently for an $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ we have $\beta(-t+k)=\varepsilon \beta(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}$ and by Lemma 2 we have $X:=\alpha_{1}^{-1} \varepsilon \alpha_{1} \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$.

Lemma 3. Let functions $q_{1}, q_{2}$ fulfil the assumption ( L ). Let $\alpha_{1}$ be a phase of $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$, $t_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and put $\gamma(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sqrt{\left|q_{1}(s)-q_{2}(s)\right|} \mathrm{d} s$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\beta(t):=\alpha_{1} \gamma^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}:=\gamma(\mathbf{R})$. Let $\beta$ be a phase of $(\mathrm{p})$. Then
(i) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is a one-parametric continuous group iff $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{R}$ and $p(t)=$ constant,
(ii) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is an infinite cyclic group iff $\mathrm{j}=\mathbf{R}$ and $p$ is an in constant periodic function.
Proof. Let the assumptions of Lemma 3 be satisfied. Note first that from (i) and (ii) follows that $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$contains at least two elements and $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}, X \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$ if $\mathrm{j}=\mathbf{R}$ and for a number $k \neq 0$ we have $X=\gamma^{-1}(\gamma+k)$.

Let $Y \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}, Y \neq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then by Corollary $1, p$ is a periodic function on $\mathbf{R}$. With respect to the continuity of the function $p$ there may occur two possibilities:
a) $p(t)=$ constant. Then $\beta(t+k)$ is a phase of (p) for every $k \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=$ $=\left\{\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(t)+k), k \in \mathbf{R}\right\}$ follows from Lemma 2 . It is easily verified that exactly one function passes through each point $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ - hence $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is a oneperiodic continuous group.
b) $p(t) \neq$ constant and $r>0$ is its main period. Then $\beta(t+k)$ is a phase of (p) iff $k=n r$ for an integer $n$. Hence $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=\left\{\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(t)+n r), n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\right\}$. Again, it is easy to verify that $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is an infinite cyclic group.

Let $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$be a one-parametric continuous group. Then $\mathrm{j}=\mathbf{R}$ and because of the elements $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}$ being of the form $\gamma^{-1}(\gamma+k)$, where $k$ is a number, it is necessarily $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=\left\{\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(t)+k), k \in \mathbf{R}\right\}$, hence every number is a period of the function $p$ and with respect to its continuity, necessarily $p(t)=$ constant. Let $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$be an infinite cyclic group and $\gamma^{-1}(\gamma+r)$ be one of the generators of the group $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$. Then $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{R}$ and $p$ is necessarily an in constant function where $|r|$ is its main period.

From Lemmas 1-3 and from Corollary 1 now follows
Theorem 1. Let functions $q_{1}, q_{2}$ fulfil the assumption (L) and let $\alpha_{1}$ be a phase of $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$ and put $\gamma(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sqrt{\left|q_{1}(s)-q_{2}(s)\right|} \mathrm{d} s$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}^{\prime}$ and $\beta(t):=$ $:=\alpha_{1} \gamma^{-1}(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{j}:=\gamma(\mathbf{R})$. Let $\beta$ be a phase of $(\mathrm{p})$.

Then $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is either a one-parametric continuous group or it is an infinite cyclic group or $\mathscr{P}_{\boldsymbol{q}_{1 q_{2}}}^{+}=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}\right\}$ and it holds:
(i) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is an one-parametric continuous group, $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$is an non-empty set and $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}=X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$for a $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$iff $p(t)=$ constant for $t \in \mathbf{R}$,
(ii) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is an infinite cyclic group, $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$is an non-empty set and $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}=X \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$ for a $X \in \mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$iff $p$ is an ion-constant periodic function on $\mathbf{R}$ and $p \in \mathscr{M}$,
(iii) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}$is an infinite cyclic group and $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$is the empty set iff $p$ is an inconstant periodic function on $\mathbf{R}$ and $p \notin \mathscr{M}$,
(iv) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$is an non-empty set (then necessarily one-element) iff $p \notin \mathscr{M}$ and $p$ is not a periodic function,
(v) $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{+}=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{R}}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{q_{1} q_{2}}^{-}$is an empty set iff $p \notin \mathscr{M}$ and $p$ is not a periodic function.
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## Souhrn

## STRUKTURA PRU゚NIKU MNOŽINY DISPERSÍ DVOU LINEÁRNÍCH DIFERENCIÁLNÍCH ROVNIC 2. ǨÁDU

## SVATOSLAV STANĚK

Řekneme, že funkce $X \in C^{3}(\mathrm{j}), X^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ pro $t \in \mathrm{j}:=(a, b) \subset \mathbf{R}$, je disperse rovnice

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=q(t) y, \quad q \in C^{\circ}(\mathbf{R}) \tag{q}
\end{equation*}
$$

jestliže je řešením diferenciální rovnice

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X^{\prime}}+\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{X^{\prime \prime}}{X^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+X^{\prime 2} \cdot q(X)=q(t)
$$

Označme $\mathscr{L}_{q}$ množinu dispersí rovnice (q). Necht $q_{1} \in C^{0}(\mathbf{R}), q_{1}-q_{2} \in C^{2}(\mathbf{R})$, $q_{1}(t) \neq q_{2}(t)$ pro $t \in \mathbf{R}$ a necht rovnice ( $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ ) je oscilatorická. V práci je vyšetřována algebraická struktura množiny $\mathscr{L}_{q_{1}} \cap \mathscr{L}_{q_{2}}$.

## СТРУКТУРА ПЕРЕСЕЧЕНИЯ МНОЖЕСТВ ДИСПЕРСИЙ ДВУХ ЛИНЕЙНЫХ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ ВТОРОГО ПОРЯДКА

## СВАТОСЛАВ СТАНЕК

Функция $X \in C^{3}(\mathrm{j}), X^{\prime}(t)=0$ для $t \in \mathrm{j}:=(a, b) \subset \mathbf{R}$, называется дисперсией уравления

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=q(t) y, \quad q \in C^{0}(\mathbf{R}) \tag{q}
\end{equation*}
$$

если $X$ решением уравления

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X^{\prime}}+\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{X^{\prime \prime}}{X^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+X^{\prime 2} \cdot q(X)=q(t)
$$

Множество всех дисперсий уравнения (q) обозначаем $\mathscr{L}_{q}$. Пусть $q_{1} \in C^{\circ}(\mathbf{R})$, $q_{1}-q_{2} \in C^{2}(\mathbf{R}), q_{1}(t) \neq q_{2}(t)$ для $t \in \mathbf{R}$ и $\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ колеблющиеся уравнение. В работе исследуется алгебраическая структура множества $\mathscr{L}_{q_{1}} \cap \mathscr{L}_{q_{2}}$.

