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Abstract. The Sturm comparison theorem is proved for i-conjugate numbers, $i=1,2,3,4$, defined in [2]. To prove the theorem, we use a method used in [1], where the comparison theorem is generalized to the second order linear systems.

Consider the second-order linear differential equation in the Jacobian form

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y=0
$$

where $p \in C^{0}(j), p \neq 0$. The set of all solutions, except the trivial solution, is denoted ( $p$ ).

Let $a, b \in j, a<b$ be arbitrary points, then $[a, b] \subset j$. Let
$\mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3$ or 4 denotes the set of all functions $h \in C^{2}[a, b]$ such that
$h(a)=h(b)=0, h^{\prime}(a)=h^{\prime}(b)=0, h(a)=h^{\prime}(b)=0$,
$h^{\prime}(a)=h(b)=0$ respectively.

The function $h \in \mathbb{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$, will be called $i-a d-$ missible on $[a, b]$. The numbers $a, b$ are called i-conjugate, $i=$ $=1,2,3$ or 4 , relative to the equation ( $p$ ) if there is a solution $u \in(p)$ such that $u \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$ respectively.

Let $u \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(p)$. Then we have $u$ " $(t)+p(t) u(t)=0$ for any $t \in j$. Multiplying this equation by $u$ we obtain $u u^{\prime \prime}+p u^{2}=0$ and integrating from a to $t, t \in[a, b]$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u u^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{t}-\int_{a}^{t} u^{-2} d t+\int_{a}^{t} p u^{2} d t=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where uu" was integrated by parts.
It holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u u^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{t}=u(t) u^{\prime}(t)-u(a) u^{\prime}(a) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $J[u ; a, t]$ denote the functional

$$
J[u ; a, t]=\int_{a}^{t}\left(u^{-2}-p u^{2}\right) d t, \quad t \in[a, b] .
$$

Then (2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[u_{-} ; a, t\right]=\left[u u^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{t} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $u \in(p)$. Then $u \in \mathcal{A}_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ if and only if $J[u ; a, b]=0$.

Proof. First we assume that $u \in \mathcal{A}_{i}$, where $i=1,2,3,4$. Then the equality (4) with $t=b$ yields $J[u ; a, b]=\left[u u^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}=$ $=u(b) u^{\prime}(b)-u(a) u^{\prime}(a)=0$.

Conversely if $J[u ; a, b]=0$ then from (4) with $t=b$ we obtain $\left[u u^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}=0$ or
$u(b) u^{\prime}(b)-u(a) u^{\prime}(a)=0$.
(1) yields immediately that the equality (5) is held for $u \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$. Now let us show that the equality (5)
is not satisfied for any other solution of the equation (p). Let $F(t)=u(t) u^{\prime}(t)$. By (5) we have $F(a)=F(b)(\neq 0)$. The function $F$ is continuous and has the derivative $F^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime 2}(t)-$ $-p(t) u^{2}(t)$ in $j$ so that we can use the mean value theorem. There is $\xi \in] a, b[$ such that

$$
F(b)-F(a)=(b-a) F^{\prime}(\xi)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(b) u^{\prime}(b)-u(a) u^{\prime}(a)=(b-a)\left[u^{\prime 2}(\xi)-p(\xi) u^{2}(\xi)\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the possibility that (5) is satisfied for $u \in(p)$ such that $u \notin \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b]$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{-2}(\xi)-p(\xi) u^{2}(\xi)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u(\xi)=0\left[u^{\prime}(\xi)=0\right]$ then the equation (7) yields $u^{\prime}(\xi)=$ $=0[u(\xi)=0$ since according the assumption $p(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j]$ and (5) would be satisfied only for the trivial solution. Therefore there is not any solution $u \in(p), u \notin \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b]$ such that $u(b) u^{\prime}(b)-u(a) u^{\prime}(a)=0$.

Remark. Lemma 1 says that $b$ is an i-conjugate point of a relative to ( $p$ ) in the interval ]a,b] if and only if $J[u ; a, b]=0$.

Lemma 2. Let $u \in(p)$ and $J[u ; a, t]>0$ for $t \in] a, b]$. Then there is no i-conjugate point ( $i=1,2,3,4$ ) to a relative to (p) in the interval ]a,b].

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma l. If we assume the existence of such a point $\eta \in] a, b[$ then Lemma 1 yields $j\left[u_{-} ; a, \eta\right]=0$ afd we are led to a contradiction.

Lemma 3. Let $u \in(p)$ and $J\left[u_{-} ; a, b\right]<0$. Then in the open interval ]a,b[ there exists an i-conjugate point c (i $=1,2,3$, 4) to a relative to ( $p$ ).

Proof. According to (3) and (4) we have $J{ }^{\prime}[u ; a, t]=$ $=u^{-2}(t)-p(t) u^{2}(t)$. If $u(a)=0$ then $J^{\prime}[u ; a, a]=u^{-2}(a)>0$ since $u$ is not the trivial solution. Since $J[u ; a, a]=0$ and
$J^{\prime}[u ; a, a]>0$ then $J\left[u_{i} ; a, t\right]>0$ in some right reduced neighbourhood of the point a. If $J[u ; a, b]<0$ then by Darboux property of a continuous function there exists a point $c \in] a, b[$ such that $J[u ; a, c]=0$. The point $c$ is $i$-conjugate to a by Lemma 1 .

Let us define the functional $J[h ; a, b]$ for i-admissible functions $h(i=1,2,3,4)$ by the formula
$J[h ; a, b]=\int_{a}^{b}\left(h^{-2}-p h^{2}\right) d t$.

Lemma 4. It holds that
$J\left[h_{-} ; a, b\right]=\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}-\int_{a}^{b} h\left(h^{\prime \prime}+p h\right) d t$.
Proof. We have $\int_{a}^{b} h^{-2} d t=\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}-\int_{a}^{b} h h^{\prime \prime d t}$. Therefore $J[h ; a, b]=\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}-\int_{a}^{b} h h^{\prime \prime} d t-\int_{a}^{b} p h^{2} d t=\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}-\int_{a}^{b} h\left(h^{\prime \prime}+p h\right) d t$.

Lemma 5. Let $J[h ; a, b]=0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2$, 3,4. Then the point $b$ is an $i$-conjugate point to a relative to the equation (p) in the interval ]a,b].

Proof. By the assumption and the formula (8) we get for any $h \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}-\int_{a}^{b} h\left(h^{\prime \prime}+p h\right) d t=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h=u$, where $u \in(p), u \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$. Then $\int_{a}^{b} u^{b}\left(u^{\prime \prime}+p u\right) d t=0$ and the condition (9) yields that $\left[u u^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}=0$. We apply Lemma 1 and (4) with $t=b$ and arrive at the desired conclusion.

Lemma 6. Let $J[h ; a, t]>0$ for any $t \in] a, b]$ and any $h \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$. Then there is no $i$-conjugate point to a relative to (p) in ]a, b].

Proof. By the assumption and (8) we get for any $t \in] a, b]$ and any $h \in \mathscr{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{t}-\int_{a}^{b} h\left(h^{\prime \prime}+p h\right) d t>0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h=u$, where $u \in(p), u \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$. Then $\int_{a}^{b} u\left(u^{\prime \prime}+p u\right) d t=0$ and the condition (10) yields that $\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{t}>0$ for $\left.\left.t \in\right] a, b\right]$. We apply Lemma 2 and (4) and arrive at the desired conclusion.

Lemma 7. Let $J[h ; a, b]<0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2$, 3,4. Then there exists an i-conjugate point $c$ of a relative to ( $p$ ) such that $c \in] a, b[$.

Proof. By the assumption and (8) we get for all $h \in \mathbb{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[h h^{\prime}\right]_{a}^{b}-\int_{a}^{b} h\left(h^{\prime \prime}+p h\right) d t<0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h=u$, where $u \in(p), u \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$. Then $\int_{a}^{b} u\left(u^{\prime \prime}+p u\right) d t=0$ and the condition (11) yields that [hh $]_{a}^{b}<0$. We apply Lemma 3 and (10), and arrive at the desired conclusion.

Theorem 1. Consider two second-order linear differential equations in the Jacobian form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y=0 \tag{p}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime}+q(t) z=0, \tag{q}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in C^{0}[a, b], p(t) \neq 0, q \in C^{0}[a, b]$. Assume that $q(t) \geqq p(t)$ for $t \in[a, b]$. Further, assume that $q(\bar{t})>p(\bar{t})$ for some $\bar{t} \in] a, b[$. If the equation ( $p$ ) has a non trivial sclution $y(t)$ such that $y \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3$ or 4 , then the equation ( $q$ ) has a nontrivial solution $z(t)$ such that $z(a)=z(c)=0$, $z^{\prime}(a)=z^{\prime}(c)=0, z(a)=z^{\prime}(c)=0, z^{\prime}(a)=z(c)=0$ respectively, where $a<c<b$.

Proof. First we assume that $b$ is the first i-conjugate point of a relative to (p), $i=1,2,3,4$. Then there exists a nontrivial solution $u \in(p)$ such that $u(a)=u(b)=0, u^{\prime}(a)=$ $=u^{\prime}(b)=0, u(a)=u^{\prime}(b)=0, u^{\prime}(a)=u(b)=0$ respectively, and $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $] a, b[$ respectively.

Let

$$
J\left[h_{-} ; a, b\right]=\int_{a}^{b}\left(h^{-2}-p h^{2}\right) d t
$$

and

$$
\hat{J}[h ; a, b]=\int_{a}^{b}\left(h^{-2}-q h^{2}\right) d t
$$

over the set $\mathbb{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1,2,3,4$, of $i$-admissible functions. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\jmath}[u ; a, b]=\int_{a}^{b}\left(u^{-2}-q u^{2}\right) d t<\int_{a}^{b}\left(u^{-2}-p u^{2}\right) d t=J[u ; a, b] . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strict inequality is implied by the fact that $p(\bar{t})<q(\bar{t})$ for some $\bar{t} \in] a, b[$.

By Lemma 1 we have $J[u ; a, b]=0$. Therefore

$$
\hat{\jmath}[u ; a, b]<J[u ; a, b]=0 .
$$

By Lemma 6 a has an i-conjugate point c relative to the equation (q), such that $c \in] a, b[$.

Now let us assume that $b$ is not the first i-conjugate point of a relative to (p). Let $\eta_{a}$ be the first i-conjugate point of a relative to (p), and let $v \in(p), v \in \mathcal{A}_{i}[a, b], i=1$, $2,3,4$. Then $a<\eta_{a}<b$. The same argument that we gave to establish (12), shows that

$$
\hat{J}\left[v ; a,{ }_{a}\right] \leqq J\left[v ; a, \eta_{a}\right],
$$

since the strict inequality may not be valid when $\left.\overline{\mathrm{E}} \notin \mathrm{a}, \eta_{\mathrm{a}}\right]$. We have

$$
\hat{J}\left[v ; a, \eta_{\mathrm{a}}\right] \leqq J\left[v ; a, \eta_{\mathrm{a}}\right]=0
$$

By Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 there exists an i-conjugate point $\hat{C}$ of a relative to ( q ), where $\left.\left.\hat{c} \epsilon] a, \eta_{a}\right] \mathrm{c}\right] \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}[$, and the proof is complete.

Remark. The assumption $p(t) \neq 0$ can be relaxed in the case of 1 - and 3 -conjugate numbers.

SOUHRN

# STURMOVA SROVNÁVACÍ VĚTA PRO i-KONJUGOVANÁ ČÍSLA 

## JITKA LAITOCHOVÁ

Sturmova srovnávací věta je rozšířena na konjugované body 1. - 4. druhu definované $v$ [2]. K důkazu této věty používáme metodu užitou v [1], kde je srovnávací věta zobecněna pro lineární systémy 2. řádu.

## PE3DME



ท. лаитохова

Штурмова теорема сравнения расширеня для сопряхенных чисел с 1-ого до 4-ого вида, которне определядтся в /2/. Для докавательства этой теоремы мы польяуемся методом ив $/ 1 /$, где теорема сравнения есть обобмена для линейных систем 2-ого порядка.
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