Hans-Jörg Wenz On the control net of certain multivariate spline functions

Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, Vol. 2 (1994), No. 1, 113--(125)

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120479

Terms of use:

© University of Ostrava, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

On the control net of certain multivariate spline functions

HANS-JÖRG WENZ

Abstract. For univariate spline functions, control polygons are well-known. This paper presents a similar concept for the multivariate setting in case that the spline functions are linear combinations of the multivariate normalized B-splines due to Dahmen, Micchelli, and Seidel [5]. In addition, we show that the sequence of control nets converges uniformly to the function it represents as the underlying triangulation is uniformly refined.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A15, 41A63, 65D07

1 Introduction and Notations

It has been a quite old desire of numerical mathematicians to generalize the concept of splines and especially of the basis functions called B-splines to the multivariate setting. In 1992, Dahmen, Micchelli, and Seidel [5] presented a multivariate Bspline basis with all the desirable properties of the univariate one preserved, such as affine invariance, convex hull property, locality, partition of unity, and positivity (cf. [5, 10]) while it does not suffer from the regularity (and therefore inflexibility) of tensor product B-splines.

For the rest of the present section we will shortly introduce simplex splines, the fathers of the new B-splines, and the new B-splines themselves to the reader. In the next section, we define the multivariate analogue to univariate control polygons, the so-called control nets. In Section 3, we will show that if we successively refine the underlying triangulation in a uniform way, the respective control nets to a given multivariate spline function converge uniformly to the function they represent.

Now, first of all we give an exact definition of the term "triangulation":

Definition 1. (cf. [7]) A finite family T of sets $\tau \subset \mathbb{R}^s$, $\#\tau = s + 1$, is called a *triangulation* of a set $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ if

- (i) $\operatorname{vol}_{s}[\tau] > 0, \ \tau \in T,$
- (ii) $\bigcup_{\tau \in T} [\tau] = D$,
- (iii) $[\tau] \cap [\tau'] = [\tau \cap \tau'], \quad \tau, \ \tau' \in T,$

with $[\tau]$ denoting the convex hull of the elements of $\tau \in T$.

For the rest of the paper we will assume D to be a compact, simply connected subset of \mathbb{R}^s , and that $n+1 := \#T < \infty$ holds. Moreover, we postulate that for D

there exists at least one triangulation, otherwise we approximate D by a domain for which a triangulation exists.

If we denote by ∂M the boundary and by $\operatorname{int} M$ the interior of a set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^s$, we can give the following

Definition 2. Let T be a triangulation of $D \subset \mathbb{R}^s$, $0 < \operatorname{vol}_s D < \infty$, with

$$T = \{\tau_{\mathbf{i}} = \{t_{i_0}, \ldots, t_{i_s}\} \mid \mathbf{i} \in J \subset \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}\}.$$

To every vertex t_i of the triangulation we assign knots $t_{i,0}, \ldots, t_{i,k-1}$ (whereas k corresponds to the order of the polynomial spline functions to be represented) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{t}_{i,0} = \ldots = \boldsymbol{t}_{i,k-1} = \boldsymbol{t}_i & , & \text{if } \boldsymbol{t}_i \in \partial D , \\ & \boldsymbol{t}_{i,0} = \boldsymbol{t}_i \text{ und } \boldsymbol{t}_{i,\nu} \in D , & \nu = 1, \ldots, k-1 & , & \text{if } \boldsymbol{t}_i \in \text{int}(D) . \end{aligned}$$

In addition, with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}} &:= [\mathbf{t}_{i_0,b_0},\ldots,\mathbf{t}_{i_s,b_s}], \quad \mathbf{i} \in J, \quad \mathbf{b} \in \{0,\ldots,k-1\}^{s+1}, \\ \Omega_{\mathbf{i},k} &:= \inf \left(\bigcap_{0 \le |\mathbf{b}| \le k-1} \Delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

we require

$$\operatorname{vol}_{s}(\Omega_{\mathbf{i},k}) > 0 , \quad \mathbf{i} \in J .$$
 (1)

(For vectors $\mathbf{b} \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}^{s+1}$ we denote $|\mathbf{b}| := ||\mathbf{b}||_1 := \sum_{i=0}^s |b_i|$.) Then, the set

$$K(T) := \{ \mathbf{t}_{i,\nu} | \mathbf{t}_i \text{ is a vertex of } T, \nu = 0, \dots, k-1 \}$$

is called a knot set of order k of the triangulation T.

Remark. A simple knot set of a triangulation T is obtained by

$$t_{i,0} = \ldots = t_{i,k-1} = t_i$$

for all vertices t_i of T. In this case, $\Delta_{i,b} = [\tau_i]$, $i \in J$, $0 \le |\mathbf{b}| < k$, is true which implies $\Omega_{i,k} = [\tau_i]$, $i \in J$, and because of Definition 1 (i), equation (1) holds.

Over such a knot set we define the simplex spline via

Definition 3. (cf. [1, 8]) The s-variate simplex spline $M(\mathbf{x}|W)$ of order k over the knot set $W = \{w_0, \ldots, w_{s+k-1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ is the particular continuous, nonnegative function which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^s} f(\mathbf{x}) M(\mathbf{x}|W) \, d\mathbf{x} = (k-1)! \int_{S_{s+k-1}} f(\phi_0 \boldsymbol{w}_0 + \dots + \phi_{s+k-1} \boldsymbol{w}_{s+k-1}) \, d\phi_0 \cdots d\phi_{s+k-1}$$
(2)

for all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the space of all locally Lebesgue integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^s . Here,

$$S_{s+k-1} = \{ (\phi_0, \cdots, \phi_{s+k-1}) \mid \phi_{\nu} \ge 0, \ \nu = 0, \dots, s+k-1, \ \sum_{\nu=0}^{s+k-1} \phi_{\nu} = 1 \}$$

is the standard (s + k - 1) simplex. In case $vol_s(W) = 0$, the simplex spline is to be understood in the distributional sense. (In this paper, all knot sets W satisfy $vol_s(W) > 0$ because of (1)).



Fig. 1. $\Omega_{i,3}$ in \mathbb{R}^2

Remarks. a) The simplex spline $M(\mathbf{x}|W)$ is well-defined and unique. b) For the classical univariate B-splines, a similar relation as (2) holds (cf. Curry and Schoenberg [4]).

Now, for an arbitrary set $W = \{w_0, \ldots, w_s\}$ of s + 1 affinely independent points of \mathbb{R}^s we denote

$$d(W) := \det \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \boldsymbol{w}_0 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{w}_s \end{array} \right) ,$$

and with this notation, we can finally introduce multivariate, normalized B-splines:

Definition 4. (cf. [5]) Let $\tau_i = \{t_{i_0}, \ldots, t_{i_s}\}$ be an element of the triangulation T and let K(T) be a knot set associated with T. We define

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}} &:= \{ \mathbf{t}_{i_j,b_j} | j = 0, \dots, s \}, \quad |\mathbf{b}| = k - 1, \\ V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}} &:= \{ \mathbf{t}_{i_j,\nu} | \nu = 0, \dots, b_j, \quad j = 0, \dots, s \}, \quad |\mathbf{b}| = k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

H. J. Wenz

(remember that (1) implies $\operatorname{vol}_{s}[X_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}] > 0$ for all **b** with $|\mathbf{b}| = k - 1$). Then, the normalized B-spline of order k is defined by

$$N_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) := |d(X_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}})| \cdot M(\mathbf{x}|V_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}) , \quad |\mathbf{b}| = k - 1 .$$

2 Definition of the control net

In this section, we present an anologue of the control polygon of univariate spline functions in B-spline representation for the multivariate setting in case that the spline functions are linear combinations of normalized B-splines as introduced in Definition 4.

Assume we are given some spline function f on a compact, simply connected domain D as well as a triangulation T of D and a knot set K(T). Assume further that f has the representation

$$f = \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} d_{i,\mathbf{b}} N_{i,\mathbf{b},k}$$
 (3)

As in [9], we define the Greville abscissae $\xi_{i,b}$ by

$$\xi_{i,b} := \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \sum_{\nu=0}^{b_j-1} t_{i_j,\nu} \; .$$

Now, as in the univariate case, the control net is a piecewise linear interpolant of the control points $\binom{{}^{\xi}i}{{}^{d}_{i},b}$. But, in contrast to the univariate case, such an interpolant is not unique. It depends on the triangulation of the abscissae (which are the Greville abscissae in the present situation).

Here, we give a triangulation of the Greville abscissae which is similar to the canonical triangulation of the abscissae of the Bézier points in the case of Bernstein-Bézier segments (cf. [2]). To this end, we define the set

$$\bar{T} := \left\{ \{ \xi_{i, b-e_j+e_0}, \dots, \xi_{i, b-e_j+e_s} \} \mid b_j > 0, \ i \in J, \ |b| = k-1 \right\}$$

and denote by \tilde{T} the set of *different* sets in \bar{T} . As it is easily verified, \tilde{T} is a triangulation of D whenever (1) is true. In the special case

$$t_{i,j} = t_i$$
, $j = 0, ..., k - 1$, (4)

for all vertices t_i of T (then, the normalized B-splines are exactly the Bernstein polynomials over the respective simplices), \tilde{T} is exactly the canonical triangulation

we mentioned above (cf. Fig. 3).



Fig. 2. Part of a triangulation T of D.



Fig. 3. The same part of D as in Fig. 2, together with the triangulation for the third order Bézier control net (thinner lines)

In addition, the Bernstein polynomial $b_{i,b} = N_{i,b,k}$ over a particular simplex $[\tau_i]$

of T reaches its maximum in $\xi_{i,b}$.

In general, the topology of \tilde{T} for all knot sets satisfying (1) is the same as in the special case of (4). An example for this is shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Over \tilde{T} we now define hat functions which are exactly the normalized second order B-splines $\tilde{N}_{i,b,2}$. For these B-splines

$$\tilde{N}_{i \ b}_{2}(\xi_{j,g}) = \delta_{ij} \cdot \delta_{bg} ,$$

is true. Here, the generalized Kronecker symbol δ_{ij} for integer vectors \mathbf{i} , $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}$, $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, \ldots, i_s)^T$, $\mathbf{j} = (j_0, \ldots, j_s)^T$, is given by



Fig. 4. The same part of D as in Fig. 2, together with the triangulation \tilde{T} for the control net of a space of third order spline functions (thinner lines)

Now, if some spline function f over T has the representation (3), then the operator L,

$$Lf := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J} \sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}} \tilde{N}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},2}$$

maps f onto its control net with respect to the knot set K(T).

Remark. Inspecting Figures 5 and 6 in Fong and Seidel [6] indicates that there, a similar operator to L must have been used by the authors in order to visualize

a control net for multivariate spline functions. But neither the operator nor the control net have been explicitly described there.

3 Convergence of the control net

In order to inquire the convergence properties of the multivariate control nets introduced in the previous section we start with a sequence of triangulations $\{T_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$,

$$T_l = \{\tau_{\mathbf{i}}^l = \{\mathbf{t}_{i_0}^l, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{i_*}^l\} \mid \mathbf{i} \in J_l \subset \mathbb{N}_0^{s+1}\},\$$

of the compact, simply connected subset D of \mathbb{R}^s , where each triangulation is a refinement of its predecessor. Moreover, with the number $n_l + 1$ of vertices in T_l as well as

$$h_l := \max_{\mathbf{i} \in J_l} \operatorname{diam}[\tau_{\mathbf{i}}^l] ext{ and } \phi_l := \min_{\substack{0 \leq i, j \leq n_l \\ \mathbf{i} \neq j}} || t_{\mathbf{i}}^l - t_j^l ||_2 ext{ , } l \in \mathcal{N}_0 ext{ , }$$

we postulate the following:

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} h_l = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\phi_l}{h_l} \ge q \text{ with } 0 < q \le 1.$$
(5)

(5) is sort of a equilibrium postulation: With this condition, it cannot happen that the refinement of the triangulations proceeds at different speeds in different areas of D.



Fig. 5. Illustration of equation (6).

H. J. Wenz

To every triangulation T_i we assign some knot set $K(T_i)$. The choice for the knot sets $K(T_i)$ is arbitrary as far as Definition 2 is fulfilled and f is in the respective spline space. In addition, we postulate

$$\|\boldsymbol{t}_{i,j}^{l} - \boldsymbol{t}_{i}^{l}\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \phi_{l} , \quad j = 0, \dots, k-1 , \quad i = 0, \dots, n_{l} ,$$
 (6)

with ϕ_l as in (5). (NB: (6) does not imply (1) although Fig. 5 seems to propose that).

In preparation of Theorem 5 we define the operators L_l for T_l and $K(T_l)$ by

$$L_l f := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J_l} \sum_{|\mathbf{b}| = k-1} d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^l \tilde{N}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},2}^l$$
(7)

for f with the B-spline representation

$$f = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i} \in J_l} \sum_{|\boldsymbol{b}| = k-1} d_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{b}}^l N_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{b},k}^l$$
(8)

(cp. Section 2) as well as another class of (obviously linear) operators $Q_l, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by

$$Q_l f := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J_l} \sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} f(\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^l) N_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},k}^l$$

The latter are the analogues of the classical variation diminishing quasiinterpolants due to Schoenberg.

The composition $L_l Q_l$ maps the spline function f onto the piecewise linear function $L_l Q_l f$ over \tilde{T}_l which interpolates f at the Greville abscissae $\xi_{i,b}^l$, $i \in J_l$, |b| = k - 1.

With these operators we can now state and prove our central theorem on the convergence of control nets. It is similar to a result of Cohen and Schumaker [3] for the control polygons of univariate spline functions.

Theorem 5. For $f \in C^2(D)$ with the representations

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J_l} \sum_{|\mathbf{b}| = k-1} d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^l N_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},k}^l$$

over the triangulations T_l with respect to the knot sets $K(T_l)$ the following is true:

$$||f - L_l f||_{\infty, D} \le C \cdot h_l^2 \cdot \max_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} ||D^{\mathbf{a}} f||_{\infty, D} .$$

$$\tag{9}$$

Here, we have C = const. > 0, $h_l := \max_{\tau \in T_l} \operatorname{diam}[\tau]$ and $D^{\mathbf{a}} := \frac{\partial^{a_1}}{\partial x_1^{a_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{a_s}}{\partial x_s^{a_s}}$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$, the usual multiindex notation for partial derivatives in s variables.

Remark. Since we take T_l to be a refined triangulation with respect to T_{l-1} , l = 1, 2, ..., (9) is true for all functions f that can be represented in the following form:

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in J_0} \sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} d^0_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}} N^0_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},k} ,$$

i.e., (9) is true for all f that are linear combinations of normalized B-splines over the original triangulation, together with the original knot set $K(T_0)$.

PROOF: We start with the same standard trick as in [3], here in the multivariate setting:

$$||f - L_l f||_{\infty, D} \le ||f - L_l Q_l f||_{\infty, D} + ||L_l Q_l f - L_l f||_{\infty, D} .$$
(10)

Now, from Lemma 6 below (all Lemmata that we use here will be given below), we know that the operators L_l are continuous and bounded by $\frac{1}{\gamma_{s,k}}$ where $\gamma_{s,k}$ is a constant that depends only on the number s of independent variables and the order k of the spline spaces we use. So, equation (10) becomes

$$||f - L_l f||_{\infty, D} \le ||f - L_l Q_l f||_{\infty, D} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{s, k}} ||Q_l f - f||_{\infty, D} .$$
(11)

Since in [5], Section 4, the relation

$$||f - Q_l f||_{\infty, D} \le C_0 \cdot h_l^2 \cdot \max_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} ||D^{\mathbf{a}} f||_{\infty, D}$$
(12)

is shown (with $h_l := \max_{\tau \in T_l} \operatorname{diam}[\tau]$), we only have to prove sort of an $O(h_l^2)$ asymptotics for

$$||f-L_lQ_lf||_{\infty,D}$$
.

In order to do so, for an arbitrary $\mathbf{x} \in D$ with $\mathbf{x} \in [\tilde{\tau}], \tilde{\tau} \in \tilde{T}_l$, we choose some fixed \tilde{x} within the same simplex $\tilde{\tau}$. By Taylor's Theorem (cf. [11]) for the same representation as here) we get

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + f'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}!} D^{\mathbf{a}} f\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \theta_{\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})\right) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathbf{a}} \quad (13)$$

where $f'(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \operatorname{grad} f(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{x},\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}} \in (0,1)$.

Since linear functions get reproduced by the linear operators L_lQ_l (cf. Lemma 7), (13) furnishes

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - L_l Q_l f(\mathbf{x}) = (I - L_l Q_l) \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}!} D^{\mathbf{a}} f\left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \theta_{\bullet, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}}(\bullet - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})\right) \cdot (\bullet - \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^{\mathbf{a}} \right) (\mathbf{x}) ,$$

where I is the identity on $C^{2}(D)$. Now, using Lemma 7 again, we get

$$\|f - L_l Q_l f\|_{\infty, [\tilde{\tau}]} = \left\| (I - L_l Q_l) \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}!} D^{\mathbf{a}} f\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} + \theta_{\bullet, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}} (\bullet - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})\right) \cdot (\bullet - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})^{\mathbf{a}} \right) \right\|_{\infty, [\tilde{\tau}]}$$

$$\leq C_1 \cdot ||(I - L_l Q_l)||_{\infty, D} \cdot h_l^2 \cdot \max_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} ||D^{\mathbf{a}} f||_{\infty, D}$$

$$\leq C_2 \cdot \tilde{h}_l^2 \cdot \max_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} ||D^{\mathbf{a}} f||_{\infty, D} \cdot$$

Here, we set $\tilde{h}_l := \max_{\tilde{\tau} \in \tilde{T}_l} \operatorname{diam}[\tilde{\tau}]$. Note that neither C_1 nor C_2 depend on l !

Since \tilde{h} and h have the same asymptotic behaviour as can be seen from Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\|f - L_l Q_l f\|_{\infty, [\tilde{\tau}]} \le C_3 \cdot h_l^2 \cdot \max_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} \|D^{\mathbf{a}} f\|_{\infty, D}$$
 (14)

And since the right hand side of (14) does not depend on our special choice for \mathbf{x} and therefore $\tilde{\tau}$, we can take the supremum norm over D on the left hand side and at the end, we have

$$||f - L_l Q_l f||_{\infty,D} \leq C_3 \cdot h_l^2 \cdot \max_{|\mathbf{a}|=2} ||D^{\mathbf{a}} f||_{\infty,D} .$$

Together with (11) and (12), this proves our theorem.

Lemma 6. The operators L_l are linear, continuous, and bounded. Moreover, the following relation is true:

$$\|L_l\|_{\infty,D} \le \frac{1}{\gamma_{s,k}} . \tag{15}$$

Here, the constant $\gamma_{s,k}$ only depends on the number s of independent variables and on the order k of the spline space under scope.

PROOF: Each of the operators L_l is an isomorphism between finite dimensional vector spaces as can be seen from their definition (cf. (7) and (8)). Knowing this, the linearity, continuity, and boundedness are obvious.

In [5], Theorem 4.2, the authors show that for any sequence $\mathbf{c} = \{c_{i,b}\}_{i \in J, |\mathbf{b}|=k-1}$ the inequation

$$|\gamma_{s,k}||\mathbf{c}||_{\infty} \le ||\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in J}\sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} c_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}} N_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},k}||_{\infty,D} \le ||\mathbf{c}||_{\infty}$$
(16)

is true. Here, the maximum norm $||\mathbf{c}||_{\infty}$ of a vector $\mathbf{c} = \{c_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in J,|\mathbf{b}|=k-1}$ is given as usual by

$$||\mathbf{c}||_{\infty} := \max_{\mathbf{i} \in J, |\mathbf{b}|=k-1} |c_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}|.$$

By inequality (16) we see the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{l}f\|_{\infty,D} &= \|\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in J_{l}}\sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^{l}\tilde{N}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},2}^{l}\|_{\infty,D} \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{\leq} \|\{d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^{l}\}_{\mathbf{i}\in J_{l},|\mathbf{b}|=k-1}\|_{\infty} \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{\leq} \frac{1}{\gamma_{s,k}} \cdot \|\sum_{\mathbf{i}\in J_{l}}\sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} d_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^{l}N_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},k}^{l}\|_{\infty,D} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{s,k}} \cdot \|f\|_{\infty,D} .\end{aligned}$$

From this we easily conclude (15).

Lemma 7. The operators L_lQ_l are linear, continuous, and bounded. They satisfy the relation

$$L_l Q_l f = f \tag{17}$$

for every linear function f as well as

$$||L_l Q_l||_{\infty, D} = 1 . (18)$$

PROOF: As in Lemma 6 above, each of the operators L_lQ_l is an isomorphism between finite dimensional vector spaces and therefore the linearity, continuity, and boundedness are obvious again.

In [5], the relation

$$Q_l f = f$$

for every linear function is shown, and since $L_l f$ is the (unique) piecewise linear interpolant of f over \tilde{T}_l , we have

$$L_l Q_l f = L_l f = f$$

for all linear functions f and for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Equation (18) can be realized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_l Q_l f\|_{\infty,D} &= \|\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J_l} \sum_{|\mathbf{b}|=k-1} f(\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^l) \tilde{N}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b},2}^l\|_{\infty,D} \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{\leq} \|\{f(\xi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{b}}^l)\}_{\mathbf{i} \in J_l, |\mathbf{b}|=k-1}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \||f\|_{\infty,D} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $||L_lQ_l||_{\infty,D} \leq 1$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. With the test function $f, f \equiv 1$ on D, we get

$$||L_l Q_l f||_{\infty,D} = ||f||_{\infty,D}$$

because of (17), and so $||L_lQ_l||_{\infty,D} = 1, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is true.

Lemma 8. For the quantities h_l and \tilde{h}_l as in the proof of Theorem 5 and q from (5) we have the following:

$$\frac{1}{3} \cdot q \cdot h_l \leq \tilde{h}_l \leq \frac{5}{3} \cdot h_l , \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_0 .$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

PROOF: For any simplex $\tilde{\eta} \in \tilde{T}_l, \ \tilde{\eta} = \{\xi_{i,b-e_i+e_0}^l, \dots, \xi_{i,b-e_i+e_s}^l\}$, we have

diam
$$[\tilde{\eta}] = \max_{\substack{0 \le \mu, \nu \le s \\ \mu \ne \nu}} ||\xi_{i,b-e_j+e_\mu}^l - \xi_{i,b-e_j+e_\nu}^l\}||_2$$

= $\max_{\substack{0 \le \mu, \nu \le s \\ \mu \ne \nu}} ||t_{i_\mu,b_\mu}^l - t_{i_\nu,b_\nu}^l||_2$, $|b| = k-1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Now, it is easily seen by (6) that

$$\min_{\substack{0 \le \mu, \nu \le s \\ \mu \neq \nu}} \| \boldsymbol{t}_{i_{\mu}}^{l} - \boldsymbol{t}_{i_{\nu}}^{l} \|_{2} - \frac{2}{3} \phi_{l} \le \operatorname{diam}[\tilde{\eta}] \le \max_{\substack{0 \le \mu, \nu \le s \\ \mu \neq \nu}} \| \boldsymbol{t}_{i_{\mu}}^{l} - \boldsymbol{t}_{i_{\nu}}^{l} \|_{2} + \frac{2}{3} \phi_{l} \; , \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \; ,$$

is true. This implies

$$rac{1}{3}\phi_l \leq \max_{ ilde{ au}_l \in ilde{ au}_l} ext{diam}[ilde{ au}_l] = ilde{h}_l \leq h_l + rac{2}{3}\phi_l \hspace{2mm}, \hspace{2mm} l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \hspace{2mm},$$

and finally with (5) and $\phi_l \leq h_l$ we obtain (19).

References

- De Boor, C., Splines as linear combinations of B-splines: A survey, in: Lorentz, G. G., Chui, C. K., Schumaker, L. L., Hrsg., Approximation Theory II, Academic Press, New York (), 1976.1-47
- [2] De Boor, C., B-form basics, in: Farin, G., Hrsg., Geometric Modeling, Algorithms and New Trends, SIAM, Philadelphia (), 1987.131-148
- [3] Cohen, E., Schumaker, L.L., Rates of convergence of control polygons, CAGD 2 (1985), 229-235.
- [4] Curry, H. B., Schoenberg, I. J., On Pólya frequency functions IV: The fundamental spline functions and their limits, J. Analyse Math. 17 (1966), 71-107.
- [5] Dahmen, W., Micchelli, C. A., Seidel, H.-P., Blossoming begets B-spline bases built better by B-patches, Math. Comp. 59(199) (1992), 97-115.
- [6] Fong, P., Seidel, H.-P., An implementation of triangular B-splline surfaces over arbitrary triangulations, CAGD 10 (1993), 267-275.
- [7] Höllig, K., Multivariate splines, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 19(5) (1982), 1013-1031.
- [8] Micchelli, C. A., A constructive approach to Kergin interpolation in R^k: Multivariate B-splines and Lagrange-interpolation, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 10(3) (1980), 485– 497.
- [9] Seidel, H.-P., Symmetric recursive algorithms for surfaces: B-patches and the de Boor algorithm for polynomials over triangles, Const. Approx. 7 (1991), 257–279.
- [10] Seidel, H.-P., Representing piecewise polynomials as linear combinations of multivariate B-splines in: Lyche, T., and Schumaker, L.L., Mathematical methods in computer aided geometric design, II, Academic Press, Boston (1992), 559-566.
- [11] Walter, W., Analysis II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

Address: Fachbereich Mathematik, Gerhard-Mercator-Universität Duisburg, 47048 Duisburg, GERMANY

(Received June, 1994)

Instructions for Authors

The Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis will publish articles from camera-ready manuscripts in the original size provided by the authors.

- Articles submitted for publication should be written in English, German or French and should be send in two copies or as a IAT_EX -file (T_EX -file) on a floppy disc. For co-authored papers the cover letter should designate a single author who is to be responsible for all future communications.
- Please try to follow the style of the journal in technical preparation of the manuscript.
- All typing should be done within a type area 15 cm wide x 23 cm high (4 cm from the top)
- The first page of each paper should contain the title of the paper (flush left), name(s) of the author(s) (flush left), short abstract (within the word *Abstract*), key words (within the word *Keywords*) and the 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification (within word 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification).
- The bibliography should be placed at the end of the paper under the heading References. At the end of the paper the address(es) of the author(s) should be placed.
- Simple illustrations in the manuscripts can be accepted. Please keep their number at the minimum; photographs can not be accepted.
- Once a paper submitted to a member of the Editorial Board is accepted for publication, it is assumed that the author has transferred the copyright for the paper to the University of Ostrava.
- The author or designated author of each paper will receive 30 complementary copies at no charge.