Michael Gr. Voskoglou A note on the simplicity of skew polynomial rings of derivation type

Acta Mathematica Universitatis Ostraviensis, Vol. 12 (2004), No. 1, 61--64

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120605

Terms of use:

© University of Ostrava, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Acta Mathematica Universitatis Ostraviensis 12 (2004) 61-64

A note on the simplicity of skew polynomial rings of derivation type

Michael Gr. Voskoglou

Abstract. In earlier papers we have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the simplicity of an iterated skew polynomial ring defined over a ring R with respect to a finite set of derivations of R commuting to each other. In the present paper a sufficient condition is obtained for the simplicity of an iterated skew polynomial ring defined over a ring R of characteristic zero with respect to a finite set of derivations of R not necessarily commuting to each other.

As an application we construct such a ring over the coordinate ring of the real sphere.

1. Iterated skew polynomial rings of derivation type

All the rings considered in this paper are with identity. Let R be a ring, and let $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\}$ be a finite set of derivations of R. Consider the set S_n of all polynomials in n variables, say x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n over R. Define addition in S_n in the usual way, and define multiplication by the distributive

 $x_i r = r x_i + d_i(r) \tag{1}$

and

law and by the rules

$$x_i x_j = x_j x_i, \tag{2}$$

for all r in R and each i, j = 1, 2, ..., n. Then S_i is a skew polynomial ring over S_{i-1} for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, if, and only if, d_i commutes with d_j for all i, j = 1, 2, ..., n ([4]; Theorem 2.2).

The ring constructed above is called an iterated skew polynomial ring of derivation type over R and we shall denote it by $S_n = R[x, D]$.

61

Received: November 26, 2004.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16536, Secondary 13N15. Key words and phrases: derivations, skew polynomial rings.

Michael Gr. Voskoglou

Notice that, in order to have that $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$, it is necessary that $d_i \circ d_j = d_j \circ d_i$ for all i, j = 1, 2, ..., n. In fact, given r in R, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x_j r &= x_i [rx_j + d_j(r)] = (x_i r) x_j + x_i d_j(r) = [rx_i + d_i(r)] x_j + d_j(r) x_i + \\ (d_i \circ d_j)(r) &= rx_i x_j + d_i(r) x_j + d_j(r) x_i + (d_i \circ d_j)(r) \end{aligned}$$
(3)

In the same way one finds

$$x_{j}x_{i}r = rx_{j}x_{i} + d_{j}(r)x_{i} + d_{i}(r)x_{j} + (d_{j} \circ d_{i})(r),$$
(4)

and the result follows by equating the right hand sides of (3) and (4). Further it is easy to check that the above set S_n with addition defined in the usual way, and multiplication defined by the distributive law and by the rule (1) is a ring, even if the rule (2) does not hold. For example such kind of rings have been considered by Kishimoto [2].

In order to distinguish between the two cases, we shall denote the ring of the later case by S_n^* . Obviously in this case we need not to have that $d_i \circ d_j = d_j \circ d_i$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$

2. Simplicity of S_n^* .

Let R be a ring and let D be a set of derivations of R, then an ideal I of R is called a D–ideal if $d(I)\subseteq I$ for each d in D, and R is called a D–simple ring if it has not non trivial D-ideals. If $D = \{d\}$, then R is called for simplicity a d-simple ring.

In [4] and [5] we have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the simplicity of $S_n = R[x, D]$, where D is a finite set of derivations of R commuting to each other.

In this section, assuming that R is of characteristic zero, we shall obtain a sufficient condition for the simplicity of S_n^* . Namely we shall prove the following theorem:

2.1. Theorem. Let R be a D-simple ring of characteristic zero, where $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_{12}, d_{13}, d_{1$ \ldots, d_n is a finite set of derivations of R. Assume further that $d_i(C(S_{i-1}^*) \cap R) \neq 0$, where $C(S_{i-1}^*)$ denotes the center of S_{i-1}^* and $S_0^* = R$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., n. Then S_n^* is a simple ring.

Proof. Assume that S_n^* is not a simple ring and let I be a nonzero proper ideal of S_n^{\ast} . Denote by **k** be the least non zero degree with respect to x_n of polynomials in

I and let $f = \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_i x_n^i$ be such a polynomial, with f_i in S_{n-1}^* for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$.

Choose a non zero r in $C(S_{n-1})^* \cap R$ such that $d_n(r) \neq 0$, then $fr = f_k x_n^k r + \dots + f_1 x_n r + f_0 r = f_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^k {k \choose i} d_n^k(r) x^{k-i}\right] r + \dots + f_1[rx + d_n(r)]r + f_0 r = rf + g$, where g is a non zero polynomial having degree less than k with respect to x_n . Thus fr - rf = g is in I, a contradiction to the minimality of k. Therefore there exists a polynomial of zero degree with respect to x_n in I and so $I_{n-1} = I \cap S_{n-1}^*$ is a non zero ideal of S_{n-1}^* .

Repeating the same argument one finds that $I_{n-2} = I_{n-1} \cap S_{n-2}^*$ is a non zero ideal of S_{n-2}^* and so on. Finally, and after n steps, one finds that $I_0 = I_1 \cap R = I \cap R$ is a non zero ideal of R.

But, given a non zero t in $I \cap R$, $d_i(t) = x_i t - t x_i$ is also in $I \cap R$ for each

62

 T_{i}

A note on the simplicity of skew polynomial rings of derivation type

 $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ and therefore, since R is a D–simple ring, $I\cap R=R.$ Thus $I=S^*_n,$ a contradiction.

The above theorem gives for n = 1 the following corollary:

2.2. Corollary. Let R be a d-simple ring of characteristic zero, where d is a derivation of R, such that $d[C(R)] \neq 0$. Then the skew polynomial ring S = R[x, d] is a simple ring.

REMARK: Obviously, if $d_i(C(S_{i-1}^*) \cap R) \neq 0$, then d_i is an outer derivation of S_{i-1}^* . Thus, if the elements of D commute to each other, then Theorem 2.1 is a weaker form of Theorem 3.4 in [4]. For the same reason Corollary 2.2 is a weaker form of Corollary 3.6 (i) in [4].

3. An application.

In this section, and in order to illustrate Theorem 2.1, we shall construct a simple skew polynomial ring over the coordinate ring $R = \frac{\mathbb{R}[x,y,z]}{x^2+y^2+z^2-1}$ of the real sphere (with \mathbb{R} we denote the field of the real numbers).

For this, observe first that, since there is no derivation d of R such that R is a d-simple ring ([1]; section 3, example (iii)), one cannot construct simple skew polynomial rings in one variable over R ([4]; Corollary 3.6 (i)).

Next, consider the R-derivations d_1 and d_2 of the polynomial ring $\mathbbm{R}[x,y,z]$ defined by $d_1=(y+z,z-x,-x-y)$ and $d_2=(y+2z,xyz-x,-xy^2-2x)$ respectively. It is straightforward to check that $d_1\circ d_2\neq d_2\circ d_1$. Further, since $d_i(x^2+y^2+z^2-1)=0$ for i=1,2, d_i induces an \mathbbm{R} -derivation of \mathbbm{R} , denoted also by $d_i.$

Set $D = \{d_1, d_2\}$. Using the above notation we shall prove first the following lemma: **3.1. Lemma.** The ring R is a D-simple ring.

5.1. Lemma. The ring K is a D-simple ring.

Proof. Given F in $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ we shall denote by \overline{F} the image of F in R.

To prove the lemma it suffices to show that \vec{R} has no no zero prime D-ideals ([3]; Corollary 1.5).

For this, let \bar{P} be a nonzero prime D–ideal of R, then \bar{P} lifts to a prime D–ideal P of $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ containing $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1$.

We shall show that z is not in P.

In fact, if z is in P, then $d_1(z) = -x - y$ and $d_2(z) = -x(y^2 + 2)$ are also in P. Then, if x is in P, y is also in P and therefore $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is in P, i.e. 1 is in P, a contradiction. Thus $y^2 + 2$ is in P and therefore $d_1(y + 2) = 2yd_1(y)$ is in P. But y is not in P, therefore $d_1(y) = z - x$ is in P, i.e. x is in P, a contradiction.

Given \bar{g} in \bar{P} , we can write $\bar{g} = f_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \bar{z}f_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Then, if $\bar{f}_1 = \bar{0}$, $\bar{z}\bar{f}_2$ is in \bar{P} . But z is not in P, therefore f_2 is in P. Also, if $\bar{f}_1 \neq \bar{0}$, then $(\bar{f}_1 + \bar{z}\bar{f}_2)(\bar{f}_1 - \bar{z}\bar{f}_2) = \bar{f}_1^2 - \bar{z}\bar{f}_2^2 = \bar{f}_1^2 + (\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^2 - \bar{1})\bar{f}_2^2$ is in \bar{P} , therefore $f_1^2 + (x^2 + y^2 - 1)\bar{f}_2$ is in P. Thus $Q = P \cap \mathbb{R}[x, y] \neq 0$.

Further, if f is a non zero polynomial in Q, then $d_2(f) - d_1(f) = z [\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (xy-1)\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}]$ is in P, therefore $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (xy-1)\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is in P.

Consider the R-derivation d of $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ defined by d = (1, xy - 1). Then the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is d-simple because it is a PID and d lowers the degree. Further the equation -1 + d(g) = gx (1) has no solution g in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ because, if there

63

Michael Gr. Voskoglou

exists a polynomial g of degree n in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ satisfying (1), then the degree of d(g) is at most n, a fact which contradicts (1). Thus, by Lemma 3.1 in [6], $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is a d-simple ring.

Also, for any f in Q, $d(f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (xy - 1)\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is also in Q. Therefore Q = 0, a contradiction.

Keeping the same notation we are ready now to prove:

3.2. Theorem. The skew-polynomial ring S_2^* defined over R with respect to D is simple.

Proof. We have that $d_1(R) \neq 0$ (e.g. $d_1(\bar{x}) = \bar{y} + \bar{z} \neq 0$).

Also, by relation (1) of section 1, given r in R, r is in $C(S_1^*)$, if, and only if, $d_1(r) = 0$.

Set $r = \overline{y} - \overline{x} - \overline{z}$, then it is straightforward to check that $d_1(r) = 0$, while $d_2(r) \neq 0$. Thus the result follows by Theorem 2.1.

References

 R. Hart, Derivations on regular local rings of finitely generated type, J. London Math. Soc., 10 (1975), 292-294

[2] K. Kishimoto, On Abelian extensions of rings I, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 14, 159-174, 1969-70

[3] Y. Lequain, Differential simplicity and complete integral closure, Pacific J. Math., 36 (1971), 741-751.

 M. G. Voskoglou, Simple skew polynomial rings, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), 37 (51) (1985), 37-41.
 M. G. Voskoglou, A note on skew polynomial rings, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), 55 (69)

[5] M. G. Voskoglou, A note on skew polynomial rings, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), 55 (69) (1994), 23-28.

 [6] M. G. Voskoglou, Differential simplicity and dimension of a commutative ring, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, (6), 4, 111-119, 2001.

Author(s) Address(es):

÷ģ

Michael Gr. Voskoglou, Technological Educational Institute, School of Technological Applications, 263 34 Patras-Greece

E-mail address: Voskoglou@teipat.gr

64