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# A note on the simplicity of skew polynomial rings of derivation type 

Michael Gr. Voskoglou


#### Abstract

In earlier papers we have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the simplicity of an iterated skew polynomial ring defined over a ring $R$ with respect to a finite set of derivations of $R$ commuting to each other In the present paper a sufficient condition is obtained for the simplicity of an iterated skew polynomial ring defined over a ring $R$ of characteristic zero with respect to a finite set of derivations of $R$ not necessarily commuting to each other. As an application we construct such a ring over the coordinate ring of the real sphere.


## 1. Iterated skew polynomial rings of derivation type

All the rings considered in this paper are with identity.
Let $R$ be a ring, and let $D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$ be a finite set of derivations of $R$. Consider the set $S_{n}$ of all polynomials in $n$ variables, say $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ over $R$. Define addition in $S_{n}$ in the usual way, and define multiplication by the distributive law and by the rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} r=r x_{i}+d_{i}(r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} x_{j}=x_{j} x_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r$ in $R$ and each $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then $S_{i}$ is a skew polynomial ring over $S_{i-1}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, if, and only if, $d_{i}$ commutes with $d_{j}$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ ([4] ; Theorem 2.2).
The ring constructed above is called an iterated skew polynomial ring of derivation type over $R$ and we shall denote it by $S_{n}=R[x, D]$.

Received: November 26, 2004
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16S36, Secondary 13N15
Key words and phrases: derivations, skew polynomial rings.

Notice that, in order to have that $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{j} x_{i}$, it is necessary that $d_{i} \circ d_{j}=d_{j} \circ d_{i}$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. In fact, given $r$ in $R$, we have that

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{i} x_{j} r=x_{i}\left[r x_{j}+d_{j}(r)\right]=\left(x_{i} r\right) x_{j}+x_{i} d_{j}(r)=\left[r x_{i}+d_{i}(r)\right] x_{j}+d_{j}(r) x_{i}+ \\
\left(d_{i} \circ d_{j}\right)(r)=r x_{i} x_{j}+d_{i}(r) x_{j}+d_{j}(r) x_{i}+\left(d_{i} \circ d_{j}\right)(r) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the same way one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j} x_{i} r=r x_{j} x_{i}+d_{j}(r) x_{i}+d_{i}(r) x_{j}+\left(d_{j} \circ d_{i}\right)(r), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result follows by equating the right hand sides of (3) and (4). Further it is easy to check that the above set $S_{n}$ with addition defined in the usual way, and multiplication defined by the distributive law and by the rule (1) is a ring, even if the rule (2) does not hold. For example such kind of rings have been considered by Kishimoto [2].
In order to distinguish between the two cases, we shall denote the ring of the later case by $S_{n}^{*}$. Obviously in this case we need not to have that $d_{i} \circ d_{j}=d_{j} \circ d_{i}$ for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$.

## 2. Simplicity of $S_{n}^{*}$.

Let $R$ be a ring and let D be a set of derivations of $R$, then an ideal I of $R$ is called a D-ideal if $d(I) \subseteq I$ for each d in D , and $R$ is called a D -simple ring if it has not non trivial $D$ ideals. If $D=\{d\}$, then $R$ is called for simplicity a d -simple ring. In [4] and [5] we have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the simplicity of $S_{n}=R[x, D]$, where D is a finite set of derivations of $R$ commuting to each other.
In this section, assuming that R is of characteristic zero, we shall obtain a sufficient condition for the simplicity of $S_{n}^{*}$. Namely we shall prove the following theorem:
2.1. Theorem. Let $R$ be a $D$-simple ring of characteristic zero, where $D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, d_{n}\right\}$ is a finite set of derivations of $R$. Assume further that $d_{i}\left(C\left(S_{i-1}^{*}\right) \cap R\right) \neq 0$ , where $C\left(S_{i-1}^{*}\right)$ denotes the center of $S_{i-1}^{*}$ and $S_{0}^{*}=R$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then $S_{n}^{*}$ is a simple ring.

Proof. Assume that $S_{n}^{*}$ is not a simple ring and let I be a nonzero proper ideal of $S_{n}^{*}$. Denote by k be the least non zero degree with respect to $x_{n}$ of polynomials in I and let $f=\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_{i} x_{n}^{i}$ be such a polynomial, with $f_{i}$ in $S_{n-1}^{*}$ for each $i=0,1, \ldots, k$. Choose a non zeror in $C\left(S_{n-1}\right)^{*} \cap R$ such that $d_{n}(r) \neq 0$, then $f r=f_{k} x_{n}^{k} r+\cdots+$ $f_{1} x_{n} r+f_{0} r=f_{k}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i} d_{n}^{k}(r) x^{k-i}\right] r+\cdots+f_{1}\left[r x+d_{n}(r)\right] r+f_{0} r=r f+g$, where g is a non zero polynomial having degree less than k with respect to $x_{n}$. Thus $f r-r f=g$ is in I, a contradiction to the minimality of k . Therefore there exists a polynomial of zero degree with respect to $x_{n}$ in I and so $I_{n-1}=I \cap S_{n-1}^{*}$ is a non zero ideal of $S_{n-1}^{*}$.
Repeating the same argument one finds that $I_{n-2}=I_{n-1} \cap S_{n-2}^{*}$ is a non zero ideal of $S_{n-2}^{*}$ and so on. Finally, and after n steps, one finds that $I_{0}=I_{1} \cap R=I \cap R$ is a non zero ideal of $R$.
But, given a non zero $t$ in $I \cap R, d_{i}(t)=x_{i} t-t x_{i}$ is also in $I \cap R$ for each
$i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and therefore, since $R$ is a D-simple ring, $I \cap R=R$. Thus $I=S_{n}^{*}$, a contradiction.

The above theorem gives for $n=1$ the following corollary:
2.2. Corollary. Let $R$ be a d-simple ring of characteristic zero, where $d$ is a derivation of $R$, such that $d[C(R)] \neq 0$. Then the skew polynomial ring $S=R[x, d]$ is a simple ring.

REMARK: Obviously, if $d_{i}\left(C\left(S_{i-1}^{*}\right) \cap R\right) \neq 0$, then $d_{i}$ is an outer derivation of $S_{i-1}^{*}$. Thus, if the elements of D commute to each other, then Theorem 2.1 is a weaker form of Theorem 3.4 in [4]. For the same reason Corollary 2.2 is a weaker form of Corollary 3.6 (i) in [4].

## 3. An application.

In this section, and in order to illustrate Theorem 2.1, we shall construct a simple skew polynomial ring over the coordinate ring $R=\frac{\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-1}$ of the real sphere (with $\mathbb{R}$ we denote the field of the real numbers).
For this, observe first that, since there is no derivation $d$ of $R$ such that $R$ is a d-simple ring ([1]; section 3, example (iii)), one cannot construct simple skew polynomial rings in one variable over R ([4]; Corollary 3.6 (i)).
Next, consider the $\mathbb{R}$-derivations $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ defined by $d_{1}=(y+z, z-x,-x-y)$ and $d_{2}=\left(y+2 z, x y z-x,-x y^{2}-2 x\right)$ respectively. It is straightforward to check that $d_{1} \circ d_{2} \neq d_{2} \circ d_{1}$. Further, since $d_{i}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-\right.$ $1)=0$ for $i=1,2, d_{i}$ induces an $\mathbb{R}$-derivation of R , denoted also by $d_{i}$. Set $D=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}\right\}$.Using the above notation we shall prove first the following lemma:
3.1. Lemma. The ring $R$ is a $D$-simple ring.

Proof. Given $F$ in $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ we shall denote by $\bar{F}$ the image of $F$ in $R$.
To prove the lemma it suffices to show that $R$ has no no zero prime D-ideals ([3]; Corollary 1.5).
For this, let $\bar{P}$ be a nonzero prime D-ideal of R , then $\bar{P}$ lifts to a prime D-ideal $P$ of $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ containing $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}-1$.
We shall show that $z$ is not in $P$.
In fact, if $z$ is in $P$, then $d_{1}(z)=-x-y$ and $d_{2}(z)=-x\left(y^{2}+2\right)$ are also in $P$. Then, if $x$ is in $P, y$ is also in $P$ and therefore $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$ is in $P$, i.e. 1 is in $P$, a contradiction. Thus $y^{2}+2$ is in $P$ and therefore $d_{1}(y+2)=2 y d_{1}(y)$ is in $P$. But $y$ is not in $P$, therefore $d_{1}(y)=z-x$ is in $P$, i.e. $x$ is in $P$, a contradiction.
Given $\bar{g}$ in $\bar{P}$, we can write $\bar{g}=f_{1}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})+\bar{z} f_{2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Then, if $\bar{f}_{1}=\overline{0}, \bar{z} \bar{f}_{2}$ is in $\bar{P}$. But $z$ is not in $P$, therefore $f_{2}$ is in $P$. Also, if $\bar{f}_{1} \neq \overline{0}$, then $\left(\bar{f}_{1}+\bar{z} \bar{f}_{2}\right)\left(\bar{f}_{1}-\bar{z} \bar{f}_{2}\right)=$ $\bar{f}_{1}^{2}-\bar{z}^{2} \bar{f}_{2}^{2}=\bar{f}_{1}^{2}+\left(\bar{x}^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}-\overline{1}\right) \bar{f}_{2}^{2}$ is in $\bar{P}$, therefore $f_{1}^{2}+\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right) f_{2}^{2}$ is in $P$. Thus $Q=P \cap \mathbb{R}[x, y] \neq 0$.
Further, if $f$ is a non zero polynomial in $Q$, then $d_{2}(f)-d_{1}(f)=z\left\lceil\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+(x y-1) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]$ is in $P$, therefore $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+(x y-1) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is in $P$.
Consider the $\mathbb{R}$-derivation $d$ of $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ defined by $d=(1, x y-1)$. Then the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is $d$-simple because it is a PID and $d$ lowers the degree. Further the equation $-1+d(g)=g x(1)$ has no solution $g$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ because, if there
exists a polynomial $g$ of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]$ satisfying (1), then the degree of $d(g)$ is at most $n$, a fact which contradicts (1). Thus, by Lemma 3.1 in $[6], \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is a d simple ring.
Also, for any $f$ in $Q, d(f)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+(x y-1) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is also in $Q$. Therefore $Q=0$, a contradiction.

Keeping the same notation we are ready now to prove:
3.2. Theorem. The skew polynomial ring $S_{2}^{*}$ defined over $R$ with respect to $D$ is simple.
Proof. We have that $d_{1}(R) \neq 0$ (e.g. $d_{1}(\bar{x})=\bar{y}+\bar{z} \neq 0$ ).
Also, by relation (1) of section 1, given $r$ in $\mathrm{R}, r$ is in $C\left(S_{1}^{*}\right)$, if, and only if, $d_{1}(r)=0$.
Set $r=\bar{y}-\bar{x}-\bar{z}$, then it is straightforward to check that $d_{1}(r)=0$, while $d_{2}(r) \neq 0$
. Thus the result follows by Theorem 2.1.
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