

František Štulajter

Locally best unbiased estimates of functionals of covariance functions of a Gaussian stochastic process

Kybernetika, Vol. 16 (1980), No. 3, (248)--262

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125121>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Locally Best Unbiased Estimates of Functionals of Covariance Functions of a Gaussian Stochastic Process

FRANTIŠEK ŠTULAJTER

Using the RKHS (Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space) methods the characterization of the locally best unbiased estimable functionals of unknown covariance function of a Gaussian stochastic is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of locally best unbiased estimates was founded by Barankin [2]. Parzen [9] investigated the connection between this theory and the theory of RKHS. Parzen [9], Kailath-Duttweiler [3] and the autor [12] utilised the theory to the problem of unbiased estimation of functionals of unknown mean value function of a Gaussian random process. The aim of this paper is to characterize the locally best unbiased estimable functionals of an unknown covariance function of a Gaussian stochastic process $X = \{X(t); t \in [0, T]\}$ having its mean value function identically equal to zero. The unknown covariance function of the process X is assumed to be of the type $R(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k e_k(s) e_k(t)$, where the $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are unknown real numbers such that $\lambda_k > 0$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k < \infty$, and $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a known complete orthonormal system in $L^2([0, T])$.

2. GENERAL THEORY OF LOCALLY BEST UNBIASED ESTIMATES

Now we shall give a brief review of the general theory of locally best unbiased estimates following [9]. Let $\{P_{\theta}, \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a parametric set of probability measures and let $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ be fixed. It is assumed that, for every $\theta \in \Theta$, the measure P_{θ} is absolute-

ly continuous with respect to P_{θ_0} and the function dP_θ/dP_{θ_0} belongs to the $L^2(P_{\theta_0})$ space for every $\theta \in \Theta$. Denote by $L^2_{\theta_0}$ the subspace of $L^2(P_{\theta_0})$ generated by the set of functions $\{dP_\theta/dP_{\theta_0}; \theta \in \Theta\}$. Then for the function $f: \Theta \rightarrow E^1$ there exists an unbiased estimate having finite variance at θ_0 if and only if $f \in H(K_{\theta_0})$, where

$$K_{\theta_0}(\theta, \theta') = E_{\theta_0} \left[\frac{dP_\theta}{dP_{\theta_0}} \cdot \frac{dP_{\theta'}}{dP_{\theta_0}} \right];$$

$\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$ is a reproducing kernel of the RKHS $H(K_{\theta_0})$. The spaces $H(K_{\theta_0})$ and $L^2_{\theta_0}$ are isomorphic. For every function $f \in H(K_{\theta_0})$ there exists a random variable $V \in L^2_{\theta_0}$ — the isomorphic image of f , such that

$$E_{\theta_0}[V] = E_{\theta_0} \left[V \cdot \frac{dP_\theta}{dP_{\theta_0}} \right] = f(\theta)$$

for every $\theta \in \Theta$ and

$$\|f\|_{H(K_{\theta_0})}^2 = E_{\theta_0}[V^2] \leq E_{\theta_0}[U^2]$$

for every $U \in L^2[P_{\theta_0}]$ having the property $E_{\theta_0}[U] = f(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

3. LOCALLY BEST UNBIASED ESTIMATES OF DISPERSION

Now we shall study the simplest case of estimation of functions of dispersion. Let X be a $N(0, \sigma^2)$ distributed random variable. Then for any $\sigma_0^2, \sigma^2 > 0$ the measure P_σ given on the Borel sets of real line by

$$P_\sigma(A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)\sigma}} \int_A e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx$$

is absolutely continuous with respect to P_{σ_0} and dP_σ/dP_{σ_0} belongs to $L^2[P_{\sigma_0}]$ if and only if $0 < \sigma^2 < 2\sigma_0^2$. Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\sigma_0}(\sigma, \sigma') &= E_{\sigma_0} \left[\frac{dP_\sigma}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \cdot \frac{dP_{\sigma'}}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \right] = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma\sigma'\sigma_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{[-x^2/2(1/\sigma^2 + 1/\sigma'^2 - 1/\sigma_0^2)]} dx = \\ &= \left[\frac{\sigma_0^4}{(\sigma'\sigma_0)^2 + (\sigma\sigma_0)^2 - (\sigma\sigma')^2} \right]^{1/2} = \left[1 - \frac{\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma'^2 - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right]^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \sigma^2, \sigma'^2 < 2\sigma_0^2$. We shall now characterize the space $H(K_{\sigma_0})$. To do this we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let H be a Hilbert space of functions which are analytic in the unit circle $E = \{z = x + iy : |z| < 1\}$ and such that $\iint_E |f(z)|^2 dx dy < \infty$. Then the system

$$\{\varphi_n(z) = (n/\pi)^{1/2} z^{n-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a complete orthonormal system in H endowed by the inner product $(f, g)_H = \iint_E f \cdot \bar{g} \, dx \, dy$. Moreover, $H = H(K_0)$ with

$$K_0(z, \bar{u}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(z) \varphi_n(\bar{u}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\pi} z^{n-1} \bar{u}^{n-1} = \frac{1}{\pi(1-z\bar{u})^2}; \quad z, u \in E.$$

Proof. Meschkowski [7].

Now let $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_0} = \{w: |w - \sigma_0^2| < \sigma_0^2\}$ be the circle centred at σ_0^2 and of the radius σ_0^2 and let $h: \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_0} \rightarrow E$ be a transformation given by $h(w) = (w - \sigma_0^2)/\sigma_0^2$. Then the following lemma is true.

Lemma 2. Let H_{σ_0} be the Hilbert space of functions that are analytic in the circle \mathcal{E}_{σ_0} and such that $\iint_{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_0}} |f(w)|^2 \, dx \, dy < \infty$. Then the system

$$\left\{ \psi_n(w) = \left(\frac{n}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} h(w)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{dh}{dw} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{ \left(\frac{n}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \left(\frac{w - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right)^{n-1} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a complete orthonormal system in H_{σ_0} , $H_{\sigma_0} = H(K_{\sigma_0}^*)$, where

$$K_{\sigma_0}^*(w, v) = \frac{h'(w) \overline{h'(v)}}{\pi(1-h(w)h(v))^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 \pi} \frac{1}{\left[1 - \frac{(w - \sigma_0^2)}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{v - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right]^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n(w) \overline{\psi_n(v)}.$$

Proof. Meschkowski [7].

Now let

$$K(w, v) = \left[1 - \frac{w - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{v - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right]^{-1/2}; \quad w, v \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_0}.$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} K(w, v) &= \frac{d}{dz} \arcsin z \Big|_{\frac{w - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{v - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{w - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{v - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} + \\ &+ \frac{1.3}{2.4} \left(\frac{w - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{v - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right)^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \left(\frac{w - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{v - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right)^{n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \psi_n(w) \overline{\psi_n(v)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_1 = 1; \quad c_n = \frac{(2n-3)!!}{(2n-2)!!} = \frac{(2n-3) \dots 3 \cdot 1}{(2n-2) \dots 4 \cdot 2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

and

$$d_n = \frac{\pi c_n \sigma_0^4}{n}; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

From the expression $K(w, v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \psi_n(w) \overline{\psi_n(v)}$ we have the following characterization (see Aronszajn [1]) of $H(K)$:

$$H(K) = \{f \in H_{\sigma_0} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(f, \psi_n)_{H_{\sigma_0}}|^2}{d_n} < \infty\}.$$

Using the fact that $f \in H_{\sigma_0}$ is analytic in \mathcal{E}_{σ_0} we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(\sigma_0^2)}{k!} (w - \sigma_0^2)^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma_0^2)^{n-1} f^{(n-1)}(\sigma_0^2)}{(n-1)!} \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)^{1/2} \sigma_0^2 \cdot \psi_n(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f, \psi_n)_{H_{\sigma_0}} \cdot \psi_n(w) \end{aligned}$$

we get for

$$H(K) : H(K) = \{f \in H_{\sigma_0} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma_0^2)^{2n-2} [f^{(n-1)}(\sigma_0^2)]^2}{[(n-1)!]^2 c_n} = \|f\|_{H(K)}^2 < \infty\}.$$

According to the uniqueness extension theorem (Saks [10]) and the restriction theorem (see [1]) in RKHS, the following theorem giving the characterization of $H(K_{\sigma_0})$ can be proved.

Theorem 1. A function $f : (0, 2\sigma_0^2) \rightarrow E^1$ has an unbiased estimate with finite dispersion at σ_0^2 if and only if it can be extended to an analytic function in \mathcal{E}_{σ_0} such that

$$\|f\|_{H(K_{\sigma_0})}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma_0^2)^{2(n-1)} [f^{(n-1)}(\sigma_0^2)]^2}{[(n-1)!]^2 c_n} < \infty,$$

where

$$c_1 = 1; \quad c_n = \frac{(2n-3)!!}{(2n-2)!!} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

It is easy to see that every polynomial f_n given by

$$f_n(\sigma^2) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \sigma^{2k}; \quad 0 < \sigma^2 < 2\sigma_0^2$$

belongs to the space $H(K_{\sigma_0})$. Especially, let $h_k(\sigma^2) = (\sigma^2)^k$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_k, h_l \rangle_{H(K_{\sigma_0})} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma_0^2)^{2(n-1)} h_k^{(n-1)}(\sigma_0^2) h_l^{(n-1)}(\sigma_0^2)}{[(n-1)!]^2 c_n} = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\min(k+1, l+1)} \frac{(\sigma_0^2)^{k+l}}{c_n} \binom{k}{n-1} \binom{l}{n-1}; \quad k, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 1$ we have:

$$\|h_1\|_{H(K_{\sigma_0})}^2 = \sigma_0^4 \sum_{n=1}^2 \binom{1}{n-1}^2 \cdot \frac{1}{c_n} = 3\sigma_0^4 = E_{\sigma_0}[X^4]$$

so that the random variable X^2 is the locally best unbiased estimate of h_1 at σ_0^2 for h_1 defined by $h_1(\sigma^2) = \sigma^2; 0 < \sigma^2 < 2\sigma_0^2$. Because this estimate does not depend on σ_0^2 and it is unbiased estimate for the function $f(\sigma^2) = \sigma^2, \sigma^2 > 0$ we get the known result that X^4 is the uniformly best unbiased estimate for f .

Now we shall prove that the random variable $U_k = (X^2)^k / (2k - 1)!!$ is the uniformly best unbiased estimate for $h_k(\sigma^2) = (\sigma^2)^k; \sigma^2 > 0$. Because

$$E_{\sigma_0}[U_k] = E_{\sigma_0}[U_k \cdot dP_{\sigma_0} / dP_{\sigma_0}] = h_k(\sigma^2) \quad \text{for } 0 < \sigma^2 < 2\sigma_0^2;$$

$\sigma_0^2 > 0$, it is enough to prove that U_k belongs to the space $L^2_{\sigma_0}$ for every $\sigma_0^2 > 0$.

Lemma 3. Let $U_k = (X^2)^k; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $U_k \in L^2_{\sigma_0}$ for every $\sigma_0 > 0$.

Proof. For $k = 0, 1, 2$ it is easy to prove that $\|U_k\|_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = \|h_k\|_{H(K_{\sigma_0})}^2$ and the lemma is proved. For $k \geq 3$ we can proceed by induction. Because $L^2_{\sigma_0}$ is a subspace of $L^2[P_{\sigma_0}]$, $U_k \in L^2_{\sigma_0}$ iff $U_k \in (L^2_{\sigma_0})^\perp$, that is iff $(U_k, V)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = 0$ for all $V \in L^2(P_{\sigma_0})$ such that

$$\left(V, \frac{dP_{\sigma}}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \right)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \sigma^2 < 2\sigma_0^2.$$

Because

$$(U_k, V)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = (U_{k-1}, U_1 V)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})}$$

it is enough to prove according to the induction assumption that $U_1 V \in (L^2_{\sigma_0})^\perp$ if $V \in (L^2_{\sigma_0})^\perp$, so that

$$\int U_1 V \frac{dP_{\sigma}}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \cdot dP_{\sigma_0} = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 < \sigma^2 < 2\sigma_0^2$$

if $V \in (L^2_{\sigma_0})^\perp$. This will be done for $\sigma_0^2 = 1$. In this case the system of Hermite polynomials $\{H_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is known to be a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(P_{\sigma_0})$ and we can write:

$$\left(V, \frac{dP_{\sigma}}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \right)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (V, H_n) \cdot \left(\frac{dP_{\sigma}}{dP_{\sigma_0}}, H_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (V, H_n) \cdot E_{P_{\sigma_0}}[H_n].$$

For $n = 2k + 1; k = 0, 1, \dots$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty H_{2k+1}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)\sigma}} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx = 0,$$

because $H_{2k+1}(x)$ is a polynomial in x containing only odd powers of x . We shall prove now by induction

$$E_{P_{\sigma_0}}[H_{2k}] = \int_{-\infty}^\infty H_{2k}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)\sigma}} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx = \left(\frac{(2k - 1)!!}{2k!!} \right)^{1/2} (\sigma^2 - 1)^k.$$

The relation is true for $k = 1$, because

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2!}} (x^2 - 1) e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx = \frac{\sigma^2 - 1}{\sqrt{2!!}}.$$

Let the relation be true for $k = n - 1$. Then, because

$$H_{n+2}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n+2)}} (xH_{n+1}(x) - H'_{n+1}(x))$$

and $H'_n(x) = \sqrt{(n)} H_{n-1}(x)$ (see Jarník [5]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{2n}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}\sigma} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2n)}} [x H_{2n-1}(x) - H'_{2n-1}(x)] \cdot \\ &\cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}\sigma} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx = -\sigma^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2n)}} H_{2n-1}(x) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}\sigma} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} \right) dx - \\ &- \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2n)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H'_{2n-1}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}\sigma} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx = \frac{\sigma^2 - 1}{\sqrt{(2n)}} \cdot \\ &\cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H'_{2n-1}(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}\sigma} e^{(-x^2/2\sigma^2)} dx = \left[\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \right]^{1/2} (\sigma^2 - 1)^n. \end{aligned}$$

According to this we have $V \in (L^2_{\sigma_0})^\perp$ iff

$$0 = \left(V, \frac{dP_\sigma}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \right)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (V, H_{2n}) \left[\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \right]^{1/2} (\sigma^2 - 1)^n$$

for all $0 < \sigma^2 < 2$. Hence $(V, H_{2n}) = 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and $V(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (V, H_{2n+1}) \cdot H_{2n+1}(x)$. For $U_1(dP_\sigma/dP_{\sigma_0})$ we get:

$$\left(U_1 \frac{dP_\sigma}{dP_{\sigma_0}}, H_{2n+1} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 H_{2n+1}(x) dP_\sigma(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and using this we obtain:

$$\left(V U_1, \frac{dP_\sigma}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \right)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = \left(V, U_1 \frac{dP_\sigma}{dP_{\sigma_0}} \right)_{L^2(P_{\sigma_0})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (V, H_n) \left(U_1 \frac{dP_\sigma}{dP_{\sigma_0}}, H_n \right) = 0$$

for all $0 < \sigma^2 < 2$, and the proof of the lemma for $\sigma_0^2 = 1$ is finished. If $\sigma_0^2 \neq 1$, then we use fact that $\{G_n(x) = 1/\sigma_0 H_n(x/\sigma_0)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(P_{\sigma_0})$ and the proof is analogous to that given.

Corollary 1. For any nonnegative integers k, l the following combinatorial identity is true:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\min(k+1, l+1)} \binom{k}{n-1} \binom{l}{n-1} c_n^{-1} = \frac{(2k+2l-1)!!}{(2k-1)!!(2l-1)!!},$$

where

$$c_1 = 1; \quad c_n = \frac{(2n-3)!!}{(2n-2)!!} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Proof. The left-hand side of the identity is equal to $1/(\sigma_0^2)^{k+l} \langle h_k, h_l \rangle_{H(K_{\sigma_0})}$; the right-hand side equals to:

$$\frac{1}{(\sigma_0^2)^{k+l}} E_{\sigma_0} \left[\frac{U_k}{(2k-1)!!} \cdot \frac{U_l}{(2l-1)!!} \right] = \frac{1}{(\sigma_0^2)^{k+l}} E_{\sigma_0} \left[\frac{U_{k+l}}{(2k-1)!!(2l-1)!!} \right]$$

and these expressions equal each other, according to the Lemma 3.

Corollary 2. If $f \in H(K_{\sigma_0})$, then its locally best unbiased estimate U_{σ_0} at σ_0 is given by

$$U_{\sigma_0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(\sigma_0^2)}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^{n-j} \frac{X^{2j}}{(2j-1)!!} (\sigma_0^2)^{n-j},$$

where the series converges in $L^2(P_{\sigma_0})$.

Proof. If $f \in H(K_{\sigma_0})$, then

$$f(\sigma^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(\sigma_0^2)}{n!} (\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(\sigma_0^2)}{n!} \cdot \frac{(\sigma_0^2)^n}{\sqrt{c_{n+1}}} \varkappa_{n+1}(\sigma^2)$$

where

$$\left\{ \varkappa_{n+1}(\sigma^2) = \sqrt{(d_{n+1})} \psi_{n+1}(\sigma^2) = \sqrt{(c_{n+1})} \left(\frac{\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \right)^n \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

is a complete orthonormal system in $H(K_{\sigma_0})$. According to the isomorphism between $H(K_{\sigma_0})$ and $L_{\sigma_0}^2$ we get the desired result, because the system of random variables

$$\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{c_{n+1}}}{(\sigma_0^2)^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{X^{2j}}{(2j-1)!!} (-1)^{n-2j} (\sigma_0^2)^{2j} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

is a complete orthonormal system in $L_{\sigma_0}^2$ for every $\sigma_0^2 > 0$.

Example 1. Let $f(\sigma^2) = (\sigma^2)^{1/2}$. The function $f(z) = z^{1/2}$ is analytic in every circle \mathcal{E}_{σ_0} , $\sigma_0 > 0$ and

$$f(\sigma^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(\sigma_0^2)}{n!} (\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} (\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2)^n,$$

where

$$a_0 = \sigma_0, \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0)^{-1/2}, \quad a_n = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2n-3)!!}{2^n} (\sigma_0^2)^{-(2n-1)/2}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^2 (\sigma_0^2)^{2n}}{(n!)^2 c_{n+1}}$$

converges, because for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_n^2 (\sigma_0^2)^{2n}}{(n!)^2 c_{n+1}} &= \sigma_0^2 \frac{((2n-3)!!)^2 (2n)!!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2 (2n-1)!!} = \sigma_0^2 \frac{(2n-1)!! (2n)!!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2 (2n-1)^2} = \\ &= \sigma_0^2 \frac{(2n-1)!! 2^n n!}{2^{2n} (n!)^2 (2n-1)^2} = \sigma_0^2 \frac{(2n-3)!!}{2^n n! (2n-1)} \leq \sigma_0^2 \frac{(2n-2)!!}{2^n n! (2n-1)} = \\ &= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} \frac{1}{2n^2 - n} \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2n^2} \end{aligned}$$

and the function $f(\cdot)$ has the locally best unbiased estimate at σ_0^2 .

Remark. The theory just derived can be used in the case of a random sample, too. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, identically $N(0, \sigma^2)$ distributed random variables, then

$$K_n(\sigma, \sigma') = \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2 - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma'^2 - \sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right)^{-n/2}.$$

The Hilbert space $H(K_n)$ can be characterized in this case utilizing the fact that

$$K_{2k+1}(z) = (1-z)^{-(2k+1)/2} = \frac{2^k}{(2k-1)!!} K_1^{(k)}(z),$$

from which we have

$$K_{2k+1}(z, \bar{u}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^{(k)}(z \cdot \bar{u})^{m-1}$$

with

$$a_m^{(k)} = c_{m+k} \frac{2^k}{(2k-1)!!} (m+k-1) \dots m.$$

Because

$$K_{2k+2}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{k+1}} = K_2^{(k)}(z) \cdot 1/k!$$

we can proceed by analogy and get:

$$K_{2k+2}(z, \bar{u}) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{u})^{k+1}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^{(k)}(z \cdot \bar{u})^{m-1},$$

where $b_m^{(1)} = 1$,

$$b_m^{(k)} = \frac{(m+k-1) \dots m}{k!} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1$$

and

$$K_2(z, \bar{u}) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{u})} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (z \cdot \bar{u})^{m-1}.$$

Using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we get:

$$H(K_n) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_0} : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sigma_0^2)^{2(m-1)} [f^{(m-1)}(\sigma_0^2)]^2}{[(m-1)!]^2 e_m^{(n)}} \right\},$$

where

$$e_m^{(2k+1)} = \frac{2^k}{(2k-1)!!} c_{m+k}(m+k-1) \dots m \quad \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$e_m^{(2k)} = \frac{(m+k-1) \dots m}{k!} \quad \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and it is possible to prove again that the random variables $(\sum X_i^2)^k \in L_{\sigma_0}^2$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $L_{\sigma_0}^2$ is now generated by the system

$$\left\{ \frac{dP_{\sigma}}{dP_{\sigma_0}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dP_{\sigma}}{dP_{\sigma_0}}(X_i); \quad 0 < \sigma^2 < 2\sigma_0^2 \right\}$$

of random variables.

4. LOCALLY BEST UNBIASED ESTIMATES OF FUNCTIONALS OF COVARIANCE FUNCTIONS OF A GAUSSIAN STOCHASTIC PROCESS

Let us assume that we observe a Gaussian measurable stochastic process $X = \{X(t); t \in [0, T]\}$ with zero mean value function and with an unknown covariance function of the type

$$R(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k e_k(s) e_k(t); \quad s, t \in [0, T],$$

where $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a known given complete orthonormal system in $L^2([0, T])$ and

$\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are unknown positive real numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k < \infty$. The last condition is sufficient to ensure the existence of a Gaussian probability measure P_R in $L^2([0, T])$ which is completely determined by the integral operator in $L^2([0, T])$ with the kernel $R(s, t); s, t \in [0, T]$.

In order to be able to utilize the general theory of estimation as given in part 2 to the problem of estimation of functionals of a covariance function we need to know the conditions under the measures P_R and P_{R_0} are equivalent and dP_R/dP_{R_0} belongs to the space $L^2(P_{R_0})$. These problems were solved by many authors; the approach of Skorochod [11] is convenient for us.

Lemma 4. Let R and R_0 be positive definite covariance operators in $L^2([0, T])$. The Gaussian measures P_R and P_{R_0} are either orthogonal or equivalent. The necessary and sufficient condition for equivalence of P_R and P_{R_0} is the following one: there exist a symmetric, Hilbert-Schmidt operator U such that $I + U$ is invertible and $R = R_0^{1/2}(I + U)R_0^{1/2}$. If P_R is equivalent with P_{R_0} then

$$\frac{dP_R}{dP_{R_0}}(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (U(I + U)^{-1} e_i, e_j)_{L^2} \left[\frac{(x, e_i)(x, e_j)}{\lambda_i^0 \lambda_j^0} - \delta_{ij} \right] + \eta \right\}$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left[\frac{\gamma_k}{1 + \gamma_k} - \log(1 + \gamma_k) \right]; \quad \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty,$$

are proper values and proper vectors of the operator R_0 , and $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are proper values of the operator U . dP_R/dP_{R_0} belongs to the $L^2[P_{R_0}]$ iff $|\gamma_k| < 1; k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Because U is Hilbert-Schmidt, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k^2 < \infty$.

Proof. Skorochod [11]. Let $R' = R_0^{1/2}(I + U')R_0^{1/2}$ and let $\{\gamma'_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the proper values of the operator U' , $|\gamma'_k| < 1$, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k'^2 < \infty$ and let $R'(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda'_k e_k(s) e_k(t)$, $\lambda'_k > 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda'_k < \infty$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{R_0}(R, R') &= E_{P_{R_0}} \left[\frac{dP_R}{dP_{R_0}} \cdot \frac{dP_{R'}}{dP_{R_0}} \right] = c^\eta \cdot c^{\eta'} \\ &= E_{P_{R_0}} \left[\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left[\frac{\gamma_k}{1 + \gamma_k} + \frac{\gamma'_k}{1 + \gamma'_k} \right] \left[\frac{(x, e_k)^2}{\lambda_k^0} - 1 \right] \right\} \right] = \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma_k}{1 + \gamma_k} + \frac{\gamma'_k}{1 + \gamma'_k} \right) \right\} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \gamma_k} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \gamma'_k} \right)^{1/2}}{\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma_k}{1 + \gamma_k} + \frac{\gamma'_k}{1 + \gamma'_k} \right) \right\} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_k}{1 + \gamma_k} - \frac{\gamma'_k}{1 + \gamma'_k} \right)^{1/2}} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \gamma_k \gamma'_k)^{-1/2} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k^0} \cdot \frac{\lambda'_k - \lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k^0} \right)^{-1/2}$$

where $0 < \lambda_k, \lambda'_k < 2\lambda_k^0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. This follows from the facts, that $\gamma_k = \lambda_k/\lambda_k^0 - 1$ and $|\gamma_k| < 1, k = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k^0} \right)^2 < \infty.$$

As we see, the kernel $K_{R_0}(\cdot, -)$ is defined on the set $\mathcal{R}_0 \times \mathcal{R}_0$, where

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \left\{ R : R(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k e_k(s) e_k(t); \right. \\ \left. 0 < \lambda_k < 2\lambda_k^0, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k < \infty, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k^0} \right)^2 < \infty \right\},$$

and the RKHS $H(K_{R_0})$ consisting of the functions defined on \mathcal{R}_0 have to be considered in connection with unbiased estimation of functionals of covariance function. The following theorem describes the structure of $H(K_{R_0})$.

Theorem 2. The space of estimable functionals of covariance functions $H(K_{R_0})$ is isomorphic to the infinite tensor product $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)$ of RKHS $H(K_i)$, where

$$K_i(\lambda_i, \lambda'_i) = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_i^0}{\lambda_i^0} \cdot \frac{\lambda'_i - \lambda_i^0}{\lambda_i^0} \right)^{-1/2}, \\ 0 < \lambda_i, \lambda'_i < 2\lambda_i^0; i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. The notion of infinite tensor product of Hilbert spaces is given in Guichardet [4]. Because the elements $\{K_{R_0}(\cdot, R); R \in \mathcal{R}_0\}$ generates $H(K_{R_0})$, the isomorphism between $H(K_{R_0})$ and $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)$ is a consequence of the fact that

$$\langle K_{R_0}(\cdot, R), K_{R_0}(\cdot, R') \rangle_{H(K_{R_0})} = K_{R_0}(R, R') = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(\lambda_i, \lambda'_i) = \\ = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle K_i(\cdot, \lambda_i), K_i(\cdot, \lambda'_i) \rangle_{H(K_i)} = \left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(\cdot, \lambda_i), \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i(\cdot, \lambda'_i) \right\rangle_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)}.$$

Elementary decomposable vectors ([4]) – generating elements of $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)$ – are of the type $h = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i$, where $h_i = f_i \in H(K_i)$ for every $i \in J, J$ being a finite subset of $I = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $h_i = 1$ for $i \in I - J$. The function

$$g(R) = g(\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \prod_{i \in J} f_i(\lambda_i); \quad R \in \mathcal{R}_0$$

is an isomorphic image of such vector h . Now let $x_i = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j$, where $h_j = 1$ for $j \neq i$ and $h_j = f_i \in H(K_i)$ for $j = i$; $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the function $g(R) = g(\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\lambda_i)$; $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$ belongs to $H(K_{R_0})$ if and only if the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ converges in $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)$. The necessary and sufficient condition for this is that the series $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)}$ converges, where

$$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)} = \|f_i\|_{H(K_i)}^2 \quad \text{if } i = j$$

and

$$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle_{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} H(K_i)} = \langle 1, f_i \rangle_{H(K_i)} \cdot \langle 1, f_j \rangle_{H(K_j)}$$

for $i \neq j$.

Example 2. Let $f_i(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i e_i(s) e_i(t)$; s, t fixed points in $[0, T]$. Then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^0 e_i(s) e_i(t) \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i^0)^2 e_i^2(s) e_i^2(t) < \infty$$

and from the preceding results we can conclude that $U = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2 e_i(s) e_i(t)$, where $X_i = \int_0^T X(s) e_i(s) ds$ is the locally best unbiased estimate of the functional $f_{s,t}(R) = R(s, t)$; $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$.

Example 3. Let $X(t) = X_1 \sin t + X_2 \cos t$; $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$, where X_i are independent $N(0, \lambda_i)$; $i = 1, 2$, distributed random variables. Let $U = X_1^2 \sin s \sin t + X_2^2 \cos s \cos t$ and let $V = X(s)X(t)$. Then $E_R[U] = E_R[V] = R(s, t)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$, but it can be easily computed that $E_R[V^2] - E_R[U^2] = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 [\sin(s+t)]^2 \geq 0$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$.

5. ESTIMATION OF COMPONENTS OF COVARIANCE FUNCTION

Let us assume that an unknown covariance function of a Gaussian stochastic process X is of the form $R_n(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R_i(s, t)$, where $\alpha_i > 0$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are unknown parameters and $R_i(s, t)$ are known linearly independent covariance functions of the form $R_i(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k,i} e_k(s) e_k(t)$ with $\lambda_{k,i} > 0$ $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k,i} < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Under these conditions $R_n(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k e_k(s) e_k(t)$, where we have denoted $\lambda_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{k,i} \alpha_i$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$. According to results of preceding

260 chapters $P_{R_{\alpha}}$ is equivalent to $P_{R_{\alpha^0}}$ for fixed vector $\alpha'_0 = (\alpha_1^0, \dots, \alpha_n^0)$ if and only if $|(\lambda_k - \lambda_k^0)/\lambda_k^0| < 1$ for all k and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k^0} \right)^2 < \infty, \quad \text{where } \lambda_k^0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{k,i} \alpha_i^0; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

If these conditions are fulfilled, then

$$K_{R_{\alpha^0}}(R_{\alpha}, R_{\alpha'}) = E_{P_{R_{\alpha^0}}} \left[\frac{dP_{R_{\alpha}}}{dP_{R_{\alpha^0}}} \cdot \frac{dP_{R_{\alpha'}}}{dP_{R_{\alpha^0}}} \right] = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k^0} \cdot \frac{\lambda'_k - \lambda_k^0}{\lambda_k^0} \right)^{-1/2}.$$

The following examples illustrate the situations that may occur.

Example 4. Let $\lambda_{k,1} = 1/k^2$ and $\lambda_{k,2} = 1/(k^2 + k)$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $\alpha^0 = (1, 1)$. Because the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\alpha_1 - 1)/k^2 + (\alpha_2 - 1)/(k^2 + k)}{1/k^2 + 1/(k^2 + k)} \right)^2$$

diverges for all $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \neq \alpha^0$ the measures $P_{R_{\alpha}}$ and $P_{R_{\alpha^0}}$ are orthogonal for $\alpha \neq \alpha^0$.

Example 5. For $\lambda_{k,1} = 1/k^2$; $\lambda_{k,2} = 1/k^4$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\alpha^0 = (1, 1)$, $\alpha = (1, b)$ where $0 < b < 2$, the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(b-1)/k^4}{1/k^2 + 1/k^4} \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{b-1}{k^2 + 1} \right)^2$$

converges and $P_{R_{\alpha}}$ is equivalent to $P_{R_{\alpha^0}}$. If $\alpha = (c, 1)$ with $0 < c < 2$, then the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(c-1)/k^2}{1/k^2 + 1/k^4} \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k^2(c-1)}{k^2 + 1} \right)^2$$

diverges for $c \neq 1$ and for such α 's that $P_{R_{\alpha}}$ and $P_{R_{\alpha^0}}$ are orthogonal.

For a discrete stochastic process $Y = \{X_k^2\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where $X_k = \int_0^T X(s) e_k(s) ds$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are independent random variables we have the following model:

$$E_{R_{\alpha}}[X_k^2] = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{k,i} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i,$$

where $a_i = (\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}, \dots)$ are such that $a_i \in l^1$, that is $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k,i} < \infty$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

But at least one of the vectors a_i ; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ does not belong to the space $H(R_{\alpha^0}^Y)$, where $R_{\alpha^0}^Y(i, j)$; $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ is a covariance function of the process Y by given α^0 . Actually, let all a_i ; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ belong to $H(R_{\alpha^0}^Y)$, then

$$\|a_i\|_{H(RY\alpha^0)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{ki}^2}{D_{\alpha^0}^2[X_k^2]} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda_{ki}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^0 \lambda_{kj}} \right]^2 < \infty$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, from which we have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{ki}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^0 \lambda_{kj}} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and this implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^0 \lambda_{ki}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^0 \lambda_{kj}} = 0, \quad \text{a contradiction.}$$

Because of this fact we cannot use the methods of linear regression analysis given by Parzen [9] to estimate the vector α .

An unbiased estimate of vector $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ can be found by the method of least squares. The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} \alpha_i]^2$ converges with probability one for every $\alpha_i > 0$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ because $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha} [X_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} \alpha_i]^2 = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} \alpha_i)^2 < \infty$. Next

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} (X_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} \alpha_i)^2 \right| \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k^2 \lambda_{kj} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} \lambda_{kj} \alpha_i) < \infty$$

with probability one for every $\alpha_i > 0$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, because $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{\alpha} [X_k^2 \lambda_{kj}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \lambda_{kj} < \infty$, so that we can write

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} \alpha_i)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} \alpha_i) \lambda_{kj} = 0$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and unbiased estimate $\hat{\alpha}$, found by the method of least squares, is a solution of a system of normal equations

$$A\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1, Y)_{l^2} \\ \vdots \\ (a_n, Y)_{l^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the matrix $A = \|(a_i, a_j)_{l^2}\|$ $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $(a_i, a_j)_{l^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{ki} \lambda_{kj}$ and

$(a_j, Y)_{l^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{kj} X_k^2$. For the matrix A we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_i, a_j)_{l^2} c_i c_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} c_i \right)^2 \geq 0$$

and from the assumption of linear independence of covariance functions R_i ; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we get $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ki} c_i = 0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$ if and only if $c_i = 0$; from which can be deduced that A is nonsingular and

$$\hat{\alpha} = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (a_1, Y)_{l^2} \\ \vdots \\ (a_n, Y)_{l^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Received February 2, 1979.)

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn: Theory of Reproducing Kernels. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1950), 337—404.
- [2] E. W. Barankin: Locally Best Unbiased Estimates. Ann. Math. Stat. 20 (1949), 477—501.
- [3] D. L. Duttweiler, T. Kailath: Parameter Estimation. IEEE Trans. Inform. Th. IT-19 (1973), 1, 29—37.
- [4] A. Guichardet: Symmetric Hilbert Spaces and Related Topics. (Lecture Notes in Math. 261), Springer, New York 1972.
- [5] V. Jarník: Integrální počet II. Nakladatelství ČSAV, Praha 1955.
- [6] T. Kailath, H. L. Weinert: RKHS Approach to Detection and Estimation Problems — Part II. Gaussian Signal Detection. IEEE Trans. Inform. Th. IT-21 (1975), 1, 15—23.
- [7] H. Meschkowski: Hilbertsche Räume mit Kernfunktion. Springer, Berlin 1962.
- [8] J. Neveu: Processus aléatoires gaussiens. Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1968.
- [9] E. Parzen: Time Series Analysis Papers. Holden-Day, San Francisco 1967.
- [10] S. Saks, A. Zygmund: Analytic Functions. PWN, Warszawa 1965.
- [11] A. V. Skorochod: Integration in Hilbert Space. Springer, New York 1974.
- [12] F. Štulajter: Nonlinear Estimations of Polynomials in Mean Values of a Gaussian Stochastic Process. Kybernetika 14 (1978), 3, 206—220.

RNDr. František Štulajter, CSc., Ústav merania a meracej techniky SAV (Institute of Measurement and Measuring Technique — Slovak Academy of Sciences), Dúbravská cesta, 885 27 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia.