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# The Kiefer-Wolfowitz Approximation Method in Controlled Markov Chains 

Petr Mandl


#### Abstract

A modification of the Kiefer - Wolfowitz stochastic approximation method is employed to maximize the mean reward per one step from a Markov chain depending on a regression parameter.


Consider a system $S$ from which income is earned at times $1,2,3, \ldots$ Let $S_{n}$ denote the state of $\boldsymbol{S}$ at time $n . S_{n}$ is one of the numbers $1,2, \ldots, r$. The law of motion of $\boldsymbol{S}$ is the following: For arbitrary $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}=I$, whenever $S$ is in state $i$, the probability distribution of the next state is $\left(p_{i 1}(x), \ldots, p_{i r}(x)\right)$ where $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$ is a regression parameter. The income associated with a transition from $i$ into $j$ equals $v_{i j}(x)$. Thus, if $X_{m}$ denotes the value of the regression parameter during the period ( $m, m+1$ ), then the total income earned up to time $n=1,2, \ldots$ equals

$$
V(n)=\sum_{m=1}^{n} v_{S_{m-1} S_{m}}\left(X_{m-1}\right), \quad V(0)=0 .
$$

The system is specified by matrices

$$
P(x)=\left\|p_{i j}(x)\right\|_{i, j=1}, \quad\left\|v_{i j}(x)\right\|_{i, j=1}^{r}, \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty) .
$$

For fixed regression parameter (i.e. $X_{n}=x, n=0,1, \ldots$ ), $\left\{S_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ is a homogeneous Markov chain with transition probability matrix $P(x)$. We introduce the $n$-step transition probabilities $P(x)^{n}=\left\|p_{i j}^{(n)}(x)\right\|_{i, j=1}$. The expectation of $V(n)$ for $S_{0}=i$ is then given by

$$
\mathrm{E}_{i}^{x} V(n)=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} p_{i j}^{(m)}(x) p_{j k}(x) v_{j k}(x) .
$$

## Assumption 1.

1. $\left|v_{i j}(x)\right| \leqq K<\infty, x \in(-\infty, \infty), i, j \in I$.
2. There exists a positive integer $n_{0}$, an $h \in I$ and a number $d>0$ such that

$$
p_{j h}^{\left(n_{0}\right)}(x) \geqq d, \quad j=1, \ldots, r, \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty)
$$

Under Assumption 1, the limit

$$
\Theta(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} E_{i}^{x} V(n)
$$

is independent of $i . \Theta(x)$ is the mean income per one period corresponding to regression parameter $x$. It can also be expressed with aid of recurrence times. Denote by $N(n)$ the $n$-th recurrence time into $h$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(0)=\inf \left\{m: S_{m}=h, m \geqq 0\right\} \\
& N(n)=\inf \left\{m: S_{m}=h, m>N(n-1)\right\}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The pairs

$$
[V(N(n+1))-V(N(n)), N(n+1)-N(n)], \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

are mutually independent, identically distributed as long as $x$ is kept fixed. Using the strong law of large numbers it is not difficult to derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(x)=\mathrm{E}_{i}^{x}[V(N(n+1))-V(N(n))] / \mathrm{E}_{i}^{x}[N(n+1)-N(n)] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We place ourselves in the situation when the dependence of $\Theta$ on $x$ is unknown to us and we are looking for a procedure to approximate the value $\hat{x}$ for which $\Theta(x)$ is maximal. (1) implies that we may consider this as a problem of maximizing the ratio of mean values by making independent observations on pairs of random variables. For the mean value of the ratio, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{i}^{x}\{[V(N(n+1))-V(N(n))] /[N(n+1)-N(n)]\}
$$

the Kiefer - Wolfowitz stochastic approximation method could be applied directly. Slight modification is necessary in the present case (see Theorem 1). We shall be basing on [1] and make therefore the following assumption:

Assumption 2. $\Theta(x)$ is increasing for $x<\hat{x}$ and decreasing for $x>\hat{x}$. The derivative $\Theta^{\prime}(x)$ exists and is continuous. For $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$ holds

$$
K_{0}|x-\hat{x}| \leqq \Theta^{\prime}(x) \leqq K_{1}|x-\hat{x}| \quad \text { where } \quad 0<K_{0}<K_{1}<\infty
$$

Description of the procedure. Let $\left\{a_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\},\left\{c_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be sequences of positive numbers, $\left\{M_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ a sequence of positive integers. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} c_{n}<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{M_{n} c_{n}}<\infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{M_{n} c_{n}^{2}}<\infty . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce $R_{n}=2 \sum_{m=1}^{n} M_{m}, R_{0}=0$. The procedure begins by choosing an initial value $x_{1}$ of the regression parameter. At time $N(0)$ the value is altered to $x_{1}+c_{1}$ and at time $N\left(M_{1}\right)$ to $x_{1}-c_{1}$. The subsequent changes occur at times $N\left(R_{n}\right)$, $N\left(R_{n}+M_{n+1}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$ in the following way: At time $N\left(R_{n}\right), x_{n+1}$ is calculated from

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}=x_{n} & +\left(\frac{a_{n}}{c_{n}}\right)\left[\frac{V\left(N\left(R_{n-1}+M_{n}\right)\right)-V\left(N\left(R_{n-1}\right)\right)}{N\left(R_{n-1}+M_{n}\right)-N\left(R_{n-1}\right)}-\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{V\left(N\left(R_{n}\right)\right)-V\left(N\left(R_{n-1}+M_{n}\right)\right)}{N\left(R_{n}\right)-N\left(R_{n-1}+M_{n}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the regression parameter is made equal $x_{n+1}+c_{n+1}$. At time $N\left(R_{n}+M_{n}\right)$ the parameter is altered to $x_{n+1}-c_{n+1}$. Next theorem implies that $x_{n}$ converges to $\hat{x}$ in quadratic mean.

Theorem 1. Let $\left\{F\left(y^{1}, y^{2} \mid x\right)\right\}$ be a family of bivariate distribution functions depending on a real valued parameter $x$ and such that, for an appropriate $K<\infty$,

$$
\iint_{\substack{1 \leq p^{2} \mid \leq K y^{2}}} F\left(\mathrm{~d} y^{1}, \mathrm{~d} y^{2} \mid x\right)=1, \quad \iint y^{2} F\left(\mathrm{~d} y^{1}, \mathrm{~d} y^{2} \mid x\right)<\infty, \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty) .
$$

Let the function

$$
m(x)=\iint y^{1} F\left(\mathrm{~d} y^{1}, \mathrm{~d} y^{2} \mid x\right) \iiint y^{2} F\left(\mathrm{~d} y^{1}, \mathrm{~d} y^{2} \mid x\right)=m^{1}(x) / m^{2}(x)
$$

be increasing for $x<\hat{x}$ and decreasing for $x>\hat{x}$. Let $m^{\prime}(x)$ exist and be continuous. Assume that for each $x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{i}^{2}(x)=\iint\left(y^{i}-m^{i}(x)\right)^{2} F\left(\mathrm{~d} y^{1}, \mathrm{~d} y^{2} \mid x\right) \leqq \sigma^{2}<\infty, \quad i=1,2, \\
& K_{0}|x-\hat{\lambda}| \leqq\left|m^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqq K_{1}|x-\hat{x}|, \text { where } 0<K_{0}<K_{1}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left\{a_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\},\left\{c_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be sequences of positive numbers, $\left\{M_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$-a sequence of positive integers satisfying (2), (3). Choose $x_{1}$ arbitrary and define consecutively

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}+a_{n} \frac{Y_{2 n}-Y_{2 n-1}}{c_{n}}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

$$
Y_{2 n}=\frac{\eta_{2 n, 1}^{1}+\eta_{2 n, 2}^{1}+\ldots+\eta_{2 n, M_{n}}^{1}}{\eta_{2 n, 1}^{2}+\eta_{2 n, 2}^{2}+\ldots+\eta_{2 n, M_{n}}^{2}}, \quad Y_{2 n-1}=\frac{\eta_{2 n-1,1}^{1}+\ldots+\eta_{2 n-1, M_{n}}^{1}}{\eta_{2 n-1,1}^{2}+\ldots+\eta_{2 n-1, M_{n}}^{2}},
$$

and for given $\eta_{1,1}^{1}, \eta_{1,1}^{2}, \ldots, \eta_{2 n-2, M_{n-1}}^{1}, \eta_{2 n-2, M_{n-1}}^{2}$ the vectors $\left(\eta_{2 n-1, i}^{1}, \eta_{2 n-1, i}^{2}\right)$, $\left(\eta_{2 n, i}^{1}, \eta_{2 n, i}^{2}\right) i=1,2, \ldots, M_{n}$ are mutually independent with distribution function $F\left(y^{1}, y^{2} \mid x_{n}-c_{n}\right)$ and $F\left(y^{1}, y^{2} \mid x_{n}+c_{n}\right)$, respectively. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{E}\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}=0 .
$$

The demonstration is obtained by inserting appropriate estimates in the proof of Theorem 1 in [1] and will not be given here. Under the assumption $m^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \leqq$ $\leqq Q<\infty$ for $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$, it can also be shown by the methods of [1] that for

$$
a_{n}=a n^{-1}, \quad c_{n}=c n^{-1 / 4}, \quad M_{n}=\left[d n^{3 / 4}\right]+1, \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $a>{ }_{4}^{4} K_{0}, c>0, d>0$, we get

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}=O\left(R_{n}^{-4 / 7}\right) \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

$R_{n}=2 \sum_{1}^{n} M_{m}$ is the number of observations employed. The corresponding estimate for the Kiefer - Wolfowitz method is

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}=O\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)=O\left(R_{n}^{-2 / 3}\right) .
$$

(Received June 3, 1971.)
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Kieferova - Wolfowitzova aproximační metoda v řízených
Markovových řetězcích
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V práci je modifikace Kieferovy - Wolfowitzovy stochastické aproximační metody použita $\mathbf{k}$ maximalizaci průměrného důchodu na jeden krok Markovova řetězce závislého na regresním parametru.
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