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# ESSENTIAL NORMS OF A POTENTIAL THEORETIC BOUNDARY INTEGRAL OPERATOR IN $L^{1}$ 

Josef Král, Dagmar Medková, Praha*

(Received June 25, 1997)

Abstract. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}(m \geqslant 2)$ be an open set with a compact boundary $B$ and let $\sigma \geqslant 0$ be a finite measure on $B$. Consider the space $L^{1}(\sigma)$ of all $\sigma$-integrable functions on $B$ and, for each $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$, denote by $f \sigma$ the signed measure on $B$ arising by multiplying $\sigma$ by $f$ in the usual way. $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} f$ denotes the weak normal derivative (w.r. to $G$ ) of the Newtonian (in case $m>2$ ) or the logarithmic (in case $n=2$ ) potential of $f \sigma$, correspondingly. Sharp geometric estimates are obtained for the essential norms of the operator $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I$ (here $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I$ stands for the identity operator on $\left.L^{1}(\sigma)\right)$ corresponding to various norms on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ inducing the topology of standard convergence in the mean w.r. to $\sigma$.
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## 1. Introduction.

In what follows $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}(m \geqslant 2)$ is an open set with a compact boundary $\partial G \equiv B$. $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ denotes the $k$-dimensional Hausdorff measure (with the ustal normalization, so that $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ coincides with the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ). We denote by

$$
B_{r}(z):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ;|x-z|<r\right\}
$$

the open ball of radius $r>0$ centered at $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\partial B_{1}(0), \quad A_{m}:=\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(S)=\frac{2 \pi^{\frac{1}{2} m}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} m\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Supported by GACR Grant No. 201/96/0431

We fix a Radon measure $\sigma \geqslant 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ whose support coincides with $B$, spt $\sigma=B$, and denote by $L^{1}(\sigma)$ the Banach space of all (classes of) $\sigma$-integrable functions $f$ on $B$ with the usual norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{1}(\sigma)}:=\int_{B}|f| \mathrm{d} \sigma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space of all signed Radon measures in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with support in $B$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)$. Given $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ we denote by $\sigma f \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)$ the signed measure which is absolutely continuous w.r. to $\sigma$ and whose Radon-Nikodym derivative w.r. to $\sigma$ coincides with $f$ a.e.:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}(\sigma f)}{\mathrm{d} \sigma}=f \quad \sigma \text {-a.e. }
$$

In what follows $h_{z}$ will stand for the fundamental harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with a pole at $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ whose value at $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{z\}$ is given by

$$
h_{z}(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(m-2) A_{m}}|x-z|^{2-m} & \text { if } m>2 \\ \frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x-z|} & \text { if } m=2\end{cases}
$$

we put $h_{z}(z)=+\infty$. For each $\mu \in C^{\prime}(B)$ the potential

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\mu}(x):=\int_{B} h_{z}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(z)
$$

is well-defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash B$ and represents a harmonic function $h$ on $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ whose first order partial derivatives $\partial_{1} h, \ldots, \partial_{m} h$ are Lebesgue integrable over each bounded Borel set contained in $G$. This makes it possible to consider the so-called weak normal derivative of $h$ w.r. to $G$ which is useful in connection with the Neumann boundary value problem (compare [9], [2], [7], [12]). This weak normal derivative $N^{G} h$ is a distribution defined over the space $\mathcal{D}$ of all infinitely differentiable functions $\varphi$ with a compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ by

$$
\left\langle N^{G} h, \varphi\right\rangle:=\int_{G}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{j} h \cdot \partial_{j} \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}
$$

The reason for this definition is motivated by the divergence theorem which permits, for smoothly bounded $G$ and grad $h=\left[\partial_{1} h, \ldots, \partial_{m} h\right]$ continuously extendable from $G$ to $G \cup B$, to transform $\left\langle N^{G} h, \varphi\right\rangle$ into

$$
\int_{B} \varphi n \cdot \operatorname{grad} h \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}=\int_{B} \varphi \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}
$$

where $n: B \rightarrow S$ is the unit exterior normal to $G$ (cf. [16]). It is easy to see that for each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)$ the distribution $N^{G} U \mu$ has its support contained in $B$ (cf. [7], §1)
and it is natural to inquire under which conditions on $G$ it is possible to represent this weak normal derivative $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \mu$ by a signed measure $\nu_{\mu} \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)$ in the sense that

$$
\left\langle N^{G} \mathcal{U}_{\mu, \varphi}\right\rangle=\int_{B} \varphi \mathrm{~d} \nu_{\mu}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D} ;
$$

if this is the case, then $\nu_{\mu}$ is uniquely determined and will be identified with $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \mu \equiv$ $\nu_{\mu}$. For this purpose it appears useful to consider the so-called essential boundary of $G$. Denoting by $\bar{d}(x, M)$ the upper density of $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ at $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ defined by

$$
\bar{d}(x, M):=\limsup _{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{m}\left[B_{r}(x) \cap M\right]}{\mathcal{H}_{m}\left[B_{r}(x)\right]}
$$

we introduce the essential boundary of $G$ by

$$
\partial_{e} G:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ; \bar{d}(x, G)>0, \bar{d}\left(x, \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G\right)>0\right\} .
$$

This essential boundary $\partial_{e} G \equiv B_{e}$ is a Borel subset of $\partial G \equiv B$. Given $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\theta \in S$, consider the intersection of the half-line issuing at $z$ in the direction of $\theta$ with the essential boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{e} \cap\{z+t \theta ; t>0\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $n(z, \theta)$ the total number of points in (3) $(0 \leqslant n(z, \theta) \leqslant+\infty)$. It appears that, for fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, the function

$$
\theta \mapsto n(z, \theta)
$$

is $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$-measurable on $S$, so that it is possible to define

$$
v(z):=\int_{S} n(z, \theta) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\theta)
$$

It turns out that $v(z)<+\infty$ implies the existence at $z$ of a well-defined density of $G$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}(z):=\lim _{r \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{m}\left[B_{r}(z) \cap G\right]}{\mathcal{H}_{m}\left[B_{r}(z)\right]} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the necessary and sufficient condition guaranteeing $N^{G} \mathcal{U}_{\mu} \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)$ whenever $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)$ consists in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in B} v(z)<+\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition (5) is also necessary and sufficient for validity of the implication

$$
f \in L^{1}(\sigma) \Rightarrow N^{G} \mathcal{U}(\sigma f) \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)
$$

(cf. [8]). If besides $N^{G} \mathcal{U}(\sigma f) \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(B)$ we want this weak normal derivative to be absolutely continuous w.r. to $\sigma$ for each $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ (and, consequently, to be representable by a $g_{f} \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle N^{C} \mathcal{U}(\sigma f), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{B} \varphi g_{f} \mathrm{~d} \sigma \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ ) then it is necessary and sufficient to require, besides (5), the validity of the implication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M \subset B_{c} . \sigma(M)=0\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(M)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each Borel set $M$. Let us also recall that (5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}} v(z)<+\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming both the conditions (5) and (7) we can identify $N^{G} \mathcal{U}(\sigma f)$ with a certain $g_{f} \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ verifying (6) whenever $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$; we thus arrive at a linear operator

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}: f \mapsto g_{f}=\frac{\mathrm{d} N^{G} \mathcal{U}(\sigma f)}{\mathrm{d} \sigma}
$$

which turns out to be bounded on $L^{1}(\sigma)$. Under the assumptions (5), (7) it is natural to interpret the weak Neumann problem for $G$ with a boundary condition in $L^{1}(\sigma)$ as follows:

Given $g \in L^{1}(\sigma)$, determine an $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} f=g$. Denoting by $I$ the identity operator on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ and defining the operator $\mathcal{T}$ on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ by

$$
\frac{1}{2}(I+\mathcal{T})=\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}
$$

we may reduce the weak Neumann problem with a prescribed boundary condition $g \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ to the equation
(9)

$$
(I+\mathcal{T}) f=2 g
$$

for an unknown $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$. (For the case when $\sigma=\left.\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\right|_{B_{e}}$ arises as the restriction of the Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ to the essential boundary of $G$ this equation has been treated in [13], [14].) In connection with (9) the knowledge of the essential
spectral radius of the operator $\mathcal{T}$ is important. According to [6] for its evaluation it is sufficient to determine, for each of the norms $p$ on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ topologically equivalent to that given by (2), the corresponding $p$-essential norm $\omega_{p}(\mathcal{T})$ of $\mathcal{T}$ which is defined as the distance (measured w.r. to $p$ ) of $\mathcal{T}$ from the subspace $\mathcal{G}$ of all compact linear operators $Q$ acting on $L^{1}(\sigma)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}(\mathcal{T}):=\inf \{p(\mathcal{T}-Q) ; Q \in \mathcal{G}\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the purpose of this paper to show that the essential norm (10) can be estimated and sometimes even precisely evaluated in geometric terms connected with $G$. For this purpose we denote by $p^{\prime}$ the norm on $L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ which is dual to $p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}(u):=\sup \left\{\int_{B} u f \mathrm{~d} \sigma ; f \in L^{1}(\sigma), p(f) \leqslant 1\right\}, \quad u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{\infty}:=\left\{u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma) ; p^{\prime}(u) \leqslant 1\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the unit ball in $L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ corresponding to $p^{\prime}$. Let us consider $\sigma$-essential majorants $q \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ of $L_{1}^{\infty}$ enjoying the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L_{1}^{\infty} \Rightarrow u \leqslant q \quad \sigma \text {-a.e.; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

among them an important role is played by the $\sigma$-essential supremum of $L_{1}^{\infty}$, to be denoted by $p^{*}\left(\in L^{\infty}(\sigma)\right)$, which is the least $\sigma$-essential majorant of $L_{1}^{\infty}$ characterized by the requirement

$$
p^{*} \leqslant q \quad \sigma \text {-a.e }
$$

for each $\sigma$-essential majorant $q$ fulfilling (13) (cf. [15], II.4.1). This supremum $p^{*}$ is determined almost uniquely w.r. to $\sigma$ and we may suppose that $p^{*}$ is a non-negative bounded Baire function on $B$ (this can be achieved by changing $p^{*}$ eventually in a set of points of $\sigma$-measure zero).

Given a bounded Baire function $q \geqslant 0$ on $B$ we introduce for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, r>0, \theta \in S$ the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{r}^{q}(z, \theta):=\sum_{t} q(z+t \theta), \quad 0<t<r, z+t \theta \in B_{e} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

counting, with the corresponding weight given by $q$, all points in the intersection $B_{e} \cap\{z+t \theta ; 0<t<r\}$. For fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $r>0$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \mapsto n_{r}^{q}(z, \theta) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is integrable on $S$ w.r. to $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ so that we may define

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\tau}^{q}(z)=\frac{1}{A_{m}} \int_{S} n_{r}^{q}(z, \theta) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\theta) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This quantity is not sensitive to changing $q$ in a set of $\sigma$-measure zero. Note also that for $q \equiv 1$ and $r=+\infty$ this $v_{\infty}^{1}(z)$ reduces to $v(z)$ as defined above.) We are going to prove that the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\Gamma}^{p^{*}}: y \mapsto v_{T}^{p^{*}}(y)(y \in B) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

belong to $L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ and permit to obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}(\mathcal{T}) \leqslant 2 \inf _{r>0} p^{\prime}\left(v_{r}^{p^{*}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

besides that, the sign of equality holds in (18) for certain (e.g. weighted) norms $p$ under suitable assumptions on the measure $\sigma$.
2. Notation. We denote by $\widehat{\partial} G \equiv \widehat{B}$ the so-called reduced boundary of $G$ consisting of all the points $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ for which there exists an $n \in S$ such that
(19) $\bar{d}\left(z,\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ;(x-z) \cdot n<0\right\} \cap G\right)=0=\bar{d}\left(z,\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ;(x-z) \cdot n>0\right\} \backslash G\right)$.

The corresponding vector $n \equiv n^{G}(z)$ is uniquely determined and is termed the interior normal of $G$ at $z$ in the sense of Federer; if there is no $n \in S$ satisfying (19) we agree to denote by $n^{G}(z)=0\left(\in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ the zero vector in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then

$$
z \mapsto n^{G}(z)
$$

is a Borel measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ (cf. [4]) so that, in particular, $\widehat{B}$ is a Borel set contained in $B_{e}$; besides that (cf. [5]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\left(B_{e}\right)<\infty \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{m-1}\left(B_{e} \backslash \widehat{B}\right)=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Lemma. Assume (5) and consider a bounded Baire function $q \geqslant 0$ on $B$. Given $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, r>0$ and $\theta \in S$, define $n_{r}^{g}(z, \theta)$ by (14). Then, for fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $r>0$, the function (15) is integrable w.r. to $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ on $S$ and defining $v_{r}^{q}(z)$ by (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{r}^{q}(z)=\int_{B \cap B_{r}(z)} q(x)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any fixed $r>0$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{r}^{q}: z \mapsto v_{r}^{q}(z) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded and lower semicontinuous on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.
Proof. For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ denote by $\mathcal{P}(z)$ the class of all non-negative Baire functions $q$ on $B$ for which the corresponding function $\theta \mapsto n_{\infty}^{q}(z, \theta)$ is $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$-integrable on $S$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} n_{\infty}^{q}(z, \theta) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\theta)=A_{m} \int_{B} q(x)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in Lemma 3 of [10] (p.280), $\mathcal{P}(z)$ contains all positive bounded lower semicontinuous functions on $B$. In particular, the constant function equal to 1 on $B$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(z)$ so that

$$
v(z)=\frac{1}{A_{m}} \int_{S} n_{\infty}^{1}(z, \theta) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\theta)=\int_{B}\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)
$$

which is a bounded function of the variable $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, because our assumption (5) implies (8) (cf. [7]). Consequently, for any fixed $z$, the function

$$
\theta \mapsto n_{\infty}^{1}(z, \theta)
$$

is integrable $\left(\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\right)$ on $S$. This permits us to conclude that $\mathcal{P}(z)$ contains the limit of any pointwise convergent uniformly bounded sequence of its elements. Indeed, given such a sequence $q_{n} \in \mathcal{P}(z),\left|q_{n}\right| \leqslant c(\in \mathbb{R}), q_{n} \rightarrow q$ pointwise on $B$, then all functions

$$
\theta \mapsto n_{\infty}^{q_{n}}(z, \theta)
$$

have

$$
\theta \mapsto c n_{\infty}^{1}(z, \theta)
$$

as a common $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$-integrable majorant on $S$ and converge to

$$
\theta \mapsto n_{\infty}^{q}(z, \theta)
$$

almost everywhere ( $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ ) on $S$; passing to the limit under the integral sign we get (23) showing that $q \in \mathcal{P}(z)$, as asserted. These properties of $\mathcal{P}(z)$ guarantee that $\mathcal{P}(z)$ is rich enough to contain all bounded Baire functions $q \geqslant 0$ on $B$. Given such a $q$ and denoting by $\chi_{B_{r(z)}}$ the characteristic function of $B_{r}(z)$ we may apply (23) with $q$ replaced by $q \cdot \chi_{B,(z)}$, which results in (21). It remains to verify that, for any fixed $r>0$, the function (22) is lower semicontinuous. Consider an arbitrary
convergent sequence of points $z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ tending to $z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $x \in B \backslash\{z\}$ we have then

$$
q(x) \chi_{B,(z)}(x)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z}(x)\right| \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} q(x) \chi_{B_{r \cdot( }\left(z_{n}\right)}(x)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z_{n}}(x)\right| .
$$

Integrating $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)$ we get by Fatou's lemma $v_{r}^{q}(z) \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{r}^{q}\left(z_{n}\right)$, which completes the proof.
4. Remark. The formula (21) shows that the quantity $v_{r}^{q}(z)$ is not influenced by changes of $q$ in a set of points whose intersection with $\widehat{B}$ has vanishing $\mathcal{H}_{m-1^{-}}$measure. The implication (7) guarantees that changing $q$ in a set of points which meets $\widehat{B}$ in a set of vanishing $\sigma$-measure does not afflict $v_{r}^{q}(z)$, either. In what follows we always assume (5), which implies (8) and guarantees the existence of the density (4) at any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ (cf. [7] Theorem 2.16, Lemma 2.9). We also assume validity of the implication (7) for any Borel set $M$. We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1}$ the restriction of the Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ to the reduced boundary $\widehat{B} \equiv \widehat{\partial} G$ which is defined on Borel sets $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1}(M)=\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(M \cap \widehat{B}) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (8) implies finiteness of $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\left(B_{e}\right)$ (cf. [7] Theorem 2.16, Theorem 2.12, [5] Theorem 4.5.6), in view of (20) replacing the reduced boundary $\widehat{B}$ by the essential boundary $B_{e}$ in the definition (24) does not change the measure $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1}$ which, as a consequence of the assumption (7), turns out to be absolutely continuous w.r. to $\sigma$. Accordingly, the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}:=\frac{\mathrm{d} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{m-1}}{\mathrm{~d} \sigma} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is meaningful; we may and will assume that $\widehat{h}$ is a Baire function defined and nonnegative everywhere on $B=\partial G$ and vanishing on $B \backslash \widehat{B}$. It has been proved in [8] that, for $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ and $\sigma$-almost every $x \in B$, the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B \backslash\{x\}} \widehat{h}(x) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) f(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma(y) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges and represents a function which is $\sigma$-integrable w.r. to the variable $x \in B$; the operator $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ is bounded on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ and transforms each $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ into a function which is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{\sigma} f(x)=d_{G}(x) f(x)-\int_{B \backslash\{x\}} \widehat{h}(x) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) f(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma(y) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\sigma$-a.e. $x \in B$.
5. Proposition. Let $p$ be a norm on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ which is topologically equivalent to that given by (2) and suppose that the norm $p^{\prime}$ on $L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ which is dual to $p$ (cf. (11)) has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u, v \in L^{\infty}(\sigma),|u| \leqslant v\right) \Rightarrow p^{\prime}(u) \leqslant p^{\prime}(v) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that this is true if $p$ satisfies the requirement $p(|f|) \leqslant p(f), f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$.) Denote, as above, by $p^{*}$ the $\sigma$-essential supremum of $L_{1}^{\infty}$ (cf. (12)) and consider, for each $r>0$, the corresponding function (17) (which is known from Lemma 3 to be bounded and lower semicontinuous on $B$ ). Let $I$ be the identity operator on $L^{1}(\sigma)$. Then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) & \leqslant \inf _{r>0} p^{\prime}\left[y \mapsto\left|d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right| p^{*}(y)+v_{r}^{p^{*}}(y)\right]  \tag{29}\\
& \leqslant p^{\prime}\left[y \mapsto\left|d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right| p^{*}(y)\right]+\inf _{r>0} p^{\prime}\left(v_{\tau}^{p^{*}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If, in addition
(30)

$$
\sigma\left(\left\{y \in B ; d_{G}(y) \neq \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)=0
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) \leqslant\left|\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right| p^{\prime}\left(p^{*}\right)+\inf _{r>0} p^{\prime}\left(v_{r}^{p^{*}}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $p(|f|) \leqslant p(f)$ whenever $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ and if $u, v \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ satisfy $|u| \leqslant v$, then by (11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime}(u) & \leqslant \sup \left\{\int_{B}|u| \cdot|f| \mathrm{d} \sigma ; f \in L^{1}(\sigma), p(f) \leqslant 1\right\} \\
& \leqslant \sup \left\{\int_{B} v g \mathrm{~d} \sigma ; g \in L^{1}(\sigma), p(g) \leqslant 1\right\}=p^{\prime}(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

and (28) is verified. In what follows we assume validity of (28). Fix $r>0$ and choose an infinitely differentiable function $\gamma_{r}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that

$$
0 \leqslant \gamma_{r} \leqslant 1, \gamma_{r}\left(B_{\frac{1}{2} r}(0)\right)=\{0\}, \gamma_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash B_{r}(0)\right)=\{1\}
$$

It has been proved in [8] (cf. Corollaire, pp. 153-154) that

$$
[x, y] \mapsto n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) \widehat{h}(x)
$$

represents a function of Baire on $B \times B \backslash \Delta$ where $\Delta=\{[x, x] ; x \in B\}$ and that, for each $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$, the integral

$$
\iint_{B \times B \backslash \Delta}\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right| \cdot|f(y)| \widehat{h}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(y)
$$

is convergent. Consequently, also the function

$$
[x, y] \mapsto \gamma_{r}(x-y) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) \widehat{h}(x)
$$

which we extend by 0 to $\Delta$ represents a function of Baire on $B \times B$ and, for any $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$, the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{r} f(x)=-\int_{B} \widehat{h}(x) \gamma_{r}(x-y) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) f(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma(y), \\
& V_{\tau} f(x)=-\int_{B} \widehat{h}(x)\left[1-\gamma_{r}(x-y)\right] n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) f(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

are defined for $\sigma$-a.e. $x \in B$ and are integrable ( $\sigma$ ). In view of (27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) f(x)=\left[d_{G}(x)-\alpha\right] f(x)+T_{r} f(x)+V_{r} f(x) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\sigma$-a.e. $x \in B$. Using the properties of $\gamma_{r}$ it is easy to verify the estimates (where $\left.x, y, y_{j} \in B, j=1,2\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\quad \gamma_{r}(x-y)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right| & \leqslant A_{m}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} r\right)^{1-m}, \\
\text { (33) } \quad\left|\gamma_{r}\left(x-y_{1}\right)-\gamma_{r}\left(x-y_{2}\right)\right| & \leqslant\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \max \left\{\left|\operatorname{grad} \gamma_{r}(z)\right| ; z \in R^{m}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\gamma_{r}\left(x-y_{j}\right)\left|\operatorname{grad} h_{y_{1}}(x)-\operatorname{grad} h_{y_{2}}(x)\right| \leqslant(m+1) A_{m}^{-1}\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|\left(\frac{1}{4} r\right)^{-m}$ for $\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4} r$.
Denoting by $T_{r}^{\prime}$ the dual operator to $T_{r}$ we have for $u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ and $\sigma$-a.e. $y \in B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{r}^{\prime} u(y) & =-\int_{B} \widehat{h}(x) \gamma_{r}(x-y) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) u(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \\
& =-\int_{B} \gamma_{r}(x-y) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) u(x) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we conclude by virtue of (33) that $T_{\tau}^{\prime}$ maps the unit ball in $L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ into a family of uniformly bounded functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition with the same coefficient on $B$. By Arzela's theorem, this family is relatively compact in $L^{\infty}(\sigma)$. We have thus verified that

$$
T_{r}: f \mapsto T_{r} f
$$

is a compact operator on $L^{1}(\sigma)$. Defining

$$
U_{\tau} f(x)=\left[d_{G}(x)-\alpha\right] f(x)+V_{r} f(x)
$$

we may rewrite (32) in the form

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I=U_{r}+T_{r}
$$

Since $T_{r}$ is compact, we have

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) \leqslant p\left(U_{r}\right)=p^{\prime}\left(U_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $U_{r}^{\prime}$ denotes the dual operator to $U_{r}$ sending any $u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ into a function determined for $\sigma$-a.e. $y \in B$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{r}^{\prime} u(y) & =\left[d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right] u(y)-\int_{B \backslash\{y\}} u(x)\left[1-\gamma_{r}(x-y)\right] n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) \widehat{h}(x) \mathrm{d} \sigma(x) \\
& =\left[d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right] u(y)-\int_{B} u(x)\left[1-\gamma_{\tau}(x-y)\right] n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u \in L_{1}^{\infty}$ then

$$
|u| \leqslant p^{*}
$$

$\sigma$-a.e. on $B$ and, in view of (7), the same inequality holds $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$-a.e. on $\widehat{B}$. Taking into account that

$$
1-\gamma_{r}(x-y)=0 \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash B_{r}(y)
$$

we obtain from Lemma 3 for $u \in L_{1}^{\infty}$ and $\sigma$-a.e. $y \in B$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|U_{r}^{\prime} u(y)\right| & \leqslant\left|d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right| p^{*}(y)+\int_{B_{\cap B_{r}(y)}} p^{*}(x)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x) \\
& =\left|d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right| p^{*}(y)+v_{r}^{v^{*}}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence using (28) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime}\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) & =\sup _{u \in L_{1}^{\infty}} p^{\prime}\left(U_{r}^{\prime} u\right) \leqslant p^{\prime}\left[y \mapsto\left|d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right| p^{*}(y)+v_{r}^{p^{*}}(y)\right] \\
& \leqslant p^{\prime}\left[y \mapsto\left|d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right| p^{*}(y)\right]+p^{\prime}\left(v_{r}^{p^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $r>0$, which implies (29). Assuming (30) we obtain

$$
p^{\prime}\left[y \mapsto\left|d_{G}(y)-\alpha\right| p^{*}(y)\right]=\left|\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right| p^{\prime}\left(p^{*}\right)
$$

which completes the proof.
6. Notation. If $w$ is a function on $M \subset B$ then its $\sigma$-essential supremum on $M$ is defined as

$$
\inf \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} ; \sigma(\{x \in M ; w(x)>\lambda\})=0\} ;
$$

it will be denoted by the symbols

$$
\sigma-\sup _{M} w \equiv \sigma-\sup _{x \in M} w(x) .
$$

7. Corollary. Let $q$ be a function of Baire on $B$ satisfying $\sigma$-a.e. on $B$ the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \leqslant q \leqslant c_{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable constants $0<c_{1} \leqslant c_{2}<+\infty$, and define a norm $p$ on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(f)=\int_{B} q|f| \mathrm{d} \sigma, f \in L^{1}(\sigma) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) \leqslant \inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup _{x \in B}\left[\left|d_{G}(x)-\alpha\right|+\frac{v_{r}^{q}(x)}{q(x)}\right] \leqslant \sigma-\sup _{x \in B}\left|d_{G}(x)-\alpha\right| \\
&+\inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup _{x \in B} \frac{v_{r}^{q}(x)}{q(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If (30) holds, then

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) \leqslant\left|\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\right|+\inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup _{x \in B} \frac{v_{r}^{q}(x)}{q(x)}
$$

Proof. If $p$ is defined by (35) then the dual norm of any $u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}(u)=\sigma-\sup _{B} \frac{|u|}{q} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. (11)). We see that $q \in L_{1}^{\infty}$ so that, denoting by $p^{*}$ the $\sigma$-essential supremum of the family $L_{1}^{\infty}$, we get

$$
q \leqslant p^{*} \quad \sigma \text {-a.e. }
$$

On the other hand, in view of (13) we obtain from the $\sigma$-essential minimality of $p^{*}$ the inequality

$$
p^{*} \leqslant q \quad \sigma \text {-a.e. }
$$

so that

$$
p^{*}=q \quad \sigma \text {-a.e. }
$$

We may thus replace $p^{*}$ by $q$ in Proposition 5 and (36) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) & \leqslant \inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup _{x \in B}\left[\left|d_{G}(x)-\alpha\right|+\frac{v_{r}^{q}(x)}{q(x)}\right] \\
& \leqslant \sigma-\sup _{x \in B}\left|d_{G}(x)-\alpha\right|+\inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup \frac{v_{r}^{q}}{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

If (30) holds, then (31) combined with (36) and $p^{\prime}\left(p^{*}\right) \leqslant 1$ yield

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) \leqslant\left|\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right|+\inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup \frac{v_{r}^{q}}{q}
$$

which completes the proof.
The following simple lemma will be useful in the course of the proof of our main theorem.
8. Lemma. Let $q$ be a finite function of Baire on $B$ and let $\hat{q}_{\sigma}$ associate with each $x \in B$ the $\sigma$-essential limes inferior of $q$ at $x$ which is defined as the supremum of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, for which there exists an $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}(x) \cap B ; q(y)<\lambda\right\}\right)=0 . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\widehat{q}_{\sigma}$ is a lower semicontinuous function on $B$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\left\{x \in B ; q(x)<\widehat{q}_{\sigma}(x)\right\}\right)=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x \in B$ and $\lambda_{0}<\widehat{q}_{\sigma}(x)$. Then there are $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$ and $r>0$ satisfying (37). Put $\varrho=\frac{1}{2} r$ and consider an arbitrary $x_{0} \in B_{\varrho}(x) \cap B$. Since $B_{\ell}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap B \subset B_{r}(x) \cap B$ we have

$$
\sigma\left(\left\{y \in B_{\varrho}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap B, q(y)<\lambda\right\}\right)=0
$$

whence

$$
\widehat{q}_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right) \geqslant \lambda>\lambda_{0}
$$

We have thus shown that for each $\lambda_{0}<\hat{q}_{0}(x)$ there is a $\varrho>0$ such that

$$
x_{0} \in B_{\varrho}(x) \cap B \Rightarrow \widehat{q}_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)>\lambda_{0}
$$

which proves the lower semicontinuity of $\widehat{q}_{\sigma}$ at $x$.

Since both $q$ and $\hat{q}_{\sigma}$ are functions of Baire we see that

$$
\left\{x \in B ; q(x)<\widehat{q}_{\sigma}(x)\right\}
$$

is a Borel set. Admitting that its $\sigma$-measure is positive we obtain from Luzin's theorem the existence of a compact

$$
K \subset\left\{x \in B ; q(x)<\widehat{q}_{\sigma}(x)\right\}
$$

with $\sigma(K)>0$ such that the restriction of $q$ to $K$ is continuous. The set consisting of all $x \in B$ for which $\sigma\left(B_{r}(x) \cap K\right)=0$ for suitable $r=r(x)>0$ has vanishing $\sigma$-measure. Consequently, there is an $x_{0} \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(B_{e}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap K\right)>0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\varrho>0$. In view of $q\left(x_{0}\right)<\widehat{q}_{\sigma}\left(x_{0}\right)$ there are $\lambda>q\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\left\{y \in B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap B ; q(y)<\lambda\right\}\right)=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the restriction of $q$ to $K$ is continuous we can choose $\varrho \in(0, r)$ small enough to have

$$
y \in B_{\varrho}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap K \Rightarrow \lambda>q(y)
$$

which together with (40) violates (39). Thus (38) is established.
9. Theorem. Let $q$ be a function of Baire on $B$ satisfying $\sigma$-a.e. on $B$ the inequalities (34) where $0<c_{1} \leqslant c_{2}<+\infty$ are constants, and define a norm $p$ on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ by (35). Assume that $\sigma$ satisfies (30) and does not charge singletons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\{y\})=0 \text { for each } y \in B \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)=\inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup _{B} \frac{v_{r}^{q}}{q} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As we have seen in the course of the proof of Corollary 7 the dual norm $p^{\prime}(u)$ of any $u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ is given by (36) and $q$ coincides $\sigma$-a.e. on $B$ with the $\sigma$-essential supremum $p^{*}$ of the family $L_{1}^{\infty}$. We have to verify the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right) \geqslant \inf _{r>0} \sigma-\sup _{B} \frac{v_{r}^{q}}{q} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

the rest will follow from Corollary 7.
According to (27), (30) we have for $f \in L^{1}(\sigma)$ and $\sigma$-a.e. $x \in B$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right) f(x)=-\int_{B \backslash\{x\}} \widehat{h}(x) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) f(y) \mathrm{d} \sigma(y) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. According to Theorem 10 and Corollary 11 in Chap. VI, $\S 8$ in [3] there are mutually disjoint Borel sets $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n} \subset B$ and functions $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in$ $L^{1}(\sigma)$ such that the finite dimensional operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: f \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} \int_{M_{j}} f \mathrm{~d} \sigma \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on $L^{1}(\sigma)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I-T\right)<\varepsilon+\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We infer from (44) that the operator $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)^{\prime}$ which is dual to $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)$ sends any $u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ into a function in $L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ whose values for $\sigma$-a.e. $y \in B$ are given by

$$
\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)^{\prime} u(y)=-\int_{B} u(x) n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)
$$

Denoting by $m_{j}$ the characteristic function of $M_{j}$ on $B$ we obtain from (45) that the operator $T^{\prime}$ dual to $T$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}: u \mapsto T^{\prime} u=\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j} \int_{B} u g_{j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma, u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I-T\right)=p^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I-T\right)^{\prime} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

it will suffice to derive a lower estimate for $p^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I-T\right)^{\prime}$. Choose $c>0$ small enough to have $c<q \sigma$-a.e. on $B$ and fix a $\delta>0$ such that for any Borel set $M \subset B$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(M)<\delta \Rightarrow \int_{M} q\left|g_{j}\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma<\varepsilon c, j=1, \ldots, n \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to our assumption (41) we can fix $r>0$ small enough to guarantee that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \in B \Rightarrow \sigma\left(B \cap B_{r}(y)\right)<\delta \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that any $u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ with $p^{\prime}(u) \leqslant 1$ vanishing outside the ball $B_{r}(y)$ centered at an $y \in B$ satisfies

$$
\left|\left(T^{\prime} u\right)(x)\right| \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j}(x) \int_{B \cap B_{r}(y)} q\left|g_{j}\right| \mathrm{d} \sigma<\varepsilon c
$$

for $\sigma$-a.e. $x \in B$, so that
(51)

$$
p^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} u\right) \leqslant \varepsilon .
$$

Put $H_{1}:=\left\{x \in B ; q(x)<\widehat{q}_{\sigma}(x)\right\}$ and recall that $\sigma\left(H_{1}\right)=0$ by (38). Given $y \in B \backslash H_{1}$ and $k>q(y)$ we thus have
(52)

$$
\sigma\left(\left\{x \in B_{\tau}(y) \cap B ; q(x)<k\right\}\right)>0, \tau>0
$$

Putting $H_{2}:=\left\{x \in B ; d_{G}(x) \neq \frac{1}{2}\right\}, H_{0}:=H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ we conclude from (30) that

$$
\sigma\left(H_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

Fix now an arbitrary $y \in B \backslash H_{0}$ and $k>q(y)$. We are looking for a $u \in L^{\infty}(\sigma)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}(u) \leqslant 1, u\left(B \backslash B_{r}(y)\right)=\{0\} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
p^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)^{\prime} u\right) \geqslant \frac{v_{r}^{q}(y)}{k}-\varepsilon .
$$

According to (21) we can fix $\varrho \in(0, r)$ small enough to have

$$
\int_{B \cap\left|B_{r}(y) \backslash B_{e}(y)\right|} q(x)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)>v_{r}^{q}(y)-\varepsilon k .
$$

Next define

$$
u(x):= \begin{cases}-q(x) \operatorname{sgn}\left[n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right] & \text { for } x \in B \cap\left[B_{r}(y) \backslash B_{\ell}(y)\right], \\ 0 & \text { for the other } x \text { in } B .\end{cases}
$$

For $\sigma$-a.e. $z \in B_{\varrho}(y) \cap B$ we then have
$\frac{1}{q(z)}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)^{\prime} u(z)=$
$\frac{1}{q(z)} \int_{B \cap\left[B_{r}(y) \backslash B_{q}(y)\right]} q(x) \operatorname{sgn}\left[n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right] \cdot\left[n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)$.

As $z$ approaches $y$ along the set

$$
\left\{z \in B \cap\left[B_{e}(y) \backslash H_{0}\right] ; q(z)<k\right\}
$$

(which, in view of (52), intersects any ball $B_{\tau}(y)$ with $\tau \in(0, \varrho)$ in a set of positive $\sigma$-measure), the corresponding functions

$$
x \mapsto n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z}(x)
$$

converge (even uniformly w.r. to $x$ ) in $\left[B_{r}(y) \backslash B_{e}(y)\right]$ to

$$
x \mapsto n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x),
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left.B \cap\left[B_{r}(y) \backslash B_{e} ; y\right)\right]} q(x) \operatorname{sgn}\left[n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right] \cdot\left[n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{z}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x) \\
& \rightarrow \int_{B \cap\left[B_{r}\left(y!\backslash B_{e}(y)\right]\right.} q(x)\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \operatorname{grad} h_{y}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)>v_{r}^{q}(y)-\varepsilon k .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that the function

$$
z \mapsto \frac{1}{q(z)}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)^{\prime} u(z)
$$

remains above the quantity $\frac{v_{r}^{q}(y)}{k}-\varepsilon$ on the set

$$
\left\{z \in\left[B_{\tau}(y) \backslash H_{0}\right] \cap B ; q(z)<k\right\}
$$

of positive $\sigma$-measure for sufficiently small $\tau \in(0, \varrho)$. Consequently,

$$
p^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)^{\prime} u\right) \geqslant \frac{v_{r}^{q}(y)}{k}-\varepsilon .
$$

Since (53) implies (51) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{d}\right)^{\prime}-T^{\prime}\right) & \geqslant p^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I-T\right)^{\prime} u\right) \geqslant p^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)^{\prime} u\right)-p^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime} u\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{v_{r}^{q}(y)}{k}-2 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

As $k$ can be chosen arbitrarily close to $q(y)$ we obtain

$$
p^{\prime}\left(\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I-T\right)^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \frac{v_{r}^{q}(y)}{q(y)}-2 \varepsilon
$$

for $y \in B \backslash H_{0}$, i.e. for $\sigma$-a.e. $y \in B$. In view of (46), (48) we arrive at

$$
p^{\prime}\left(v_{r}^{q}\right) \leqslant p\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I-T\right)+2 \varepsilon \leqslant \omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)+3 \varepsilon
$$

so that

$$
\inf _{r>0} p^{\prime}\left(v_{r}^{q}\right) \leqslant \omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)+3 \varepsilon,
$$

which yields (43) because $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary. Combining this inequality with that obtained for $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ from Corollary 7 we arrive at (42).

Remark. In [11], [1] examples have been constructed of simple sets $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ arising as unions of finitely many rectangular boxes such that for the operator $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}$ corresponding to the surface measure $\left.\sigma \equiv \mathcal{H}_{2}\right|_{\partial G}$ and the standard $L^{1}$-norm $p_{1}$ given by (2) the inequality $\omega_{p_{1}}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\alpha I\right) \geqslant|\alpha|$ holds for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ while for a suitable norm $p$ given by (35) the estimate $\omega_{p}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$ is true.
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