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Abstract. This paper deals with A-spaces in the sense of McDonald over linear algebras A of a certain type. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a submodule to be an A-space are derived.
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According to [1] we define:

1. Definition. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a local ring. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be an $\mathbf{A}$-module. Then $\mathbf{M}$ is called an $\mathbf{A}$-space if there exist $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}$ in $\mathbf{M}$ with
(a) $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbf{A e}_{n}$,
(b) the map $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ defined by $\xi \mapsto \xi \mathbf{e}_{i}$ is an $\mathbf{A}$-isomorphism for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The set $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right\}$ is called an $\mathbf{A}$-basis of $\mathbf{M}$.
2. Remarks.

A module $\mathbf{M}$ over a local ring $\mathbf{A}$ is an $\mathbf{A}$-space if and only if it is a free finitely dimensional module.

If $\mathbf{A}$ is a local ring and $\mathbf{M}$ is an $\mathbf{A}$-space then all bases of $\mathbf{M}$ have the same number $n$ of elements and we say $\mathbf{M}$ has $\mathbf{A}$-dimension $n$. (See [1].)

Every direct summand of an $\mathbf{A}$-space is an $\mathbf{A}$-space. (See [1].)
3. Definition. A direct summand $K$ of an $\mathbf{A}$-space $\mathbf{M}$ is called an $\mathbf{A}$-subspace of $\mathbf{M}$.
4. Definition. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a commutative field. The plural $\mathbf{T}$-algebra of order $m$ is every linear algebra $\mathbf{A}$ on $\mathbf{T}$ having as a vector space over $\mathbf{T}$ a basis

$$
\left\{1, \eta, \eta^{2}, \ldots, \eta^{m-1}\right\} \text { with } \eta^{m}=0
$$

5. Notation. In what follows we denote by A the plural T-algebra of order $m$ introduced by Definition 4.

Propositions 6, 7 and Lemma 9 are proved in [3]. Thus the proofs of them will be omitted.
6. Proposition. A is a local ring with the maximal ideal $\eta \mathbf{A}$. All ideals of $\mathbf{A}$ are just $\eta^{j} \mathbf{A}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$.
7. Proposition. The ring $\mathbf{A}$ is isomorphic to the factor ring of polynomials $\mathbb{R}[x] /\left(x^{m}\right)$.
8. Theorem. Let $K$ be a submodule of an A-space $\mathbf{M}$. Then $K$ is an A-subspace of M if and only if $K$ is an $\mathbf{A}$-space.

Proof. It follows from Definition 3 and from Theorem 7 in [4].
9. Lemma. Let $K$ be an A-space and let $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{s}\right\}$ be some $\mathbf{A}$-basis of $K$. Then $K$ is a vector-space over $\mathbf{T}$ having dimension (called $\mathbf{T}$-dimension) sm and the set $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{s}, \eta \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \eta \mathbf{e}_{s}, \ldots, \eta^{m-1} \mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-1} \mathbf{e}_{s}\right\}$ forms a basis of $K$ over $\mathbf{T}$ ( T -basis).

Let us define a linear operator $\eta$ on an $\mathbf{A}$-space $\mathbf{M}$ by the relation:

$$
\forall \mathrm{x} \in \mathbf{M}: \eta(\mathrm{x})=\eta \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

10. Theorem. Let $K$ be a submodule of the $\mathbf{A}$-space $\mathbf{M}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}=\eta \mid K$. Then $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}$ is an A-basis of $K$ if and only if $\left\{\eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}$ is a T-basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k}$ relatively* to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$ for every $k=1, \ldots, m$.

Proof. The operator $\eta$ is a nilpotent linear operator on the vector space $\mathbf{M}$. Using well-known properties of nilpotent linear operators on vector spaces (see [2]) we get the following properties of kernels of powers of $\eta$ and of factor modules $K / \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{m-1}, \ldots, \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{2} / \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta$, Ker $\vartheta$.

The kernels form the chain of inclusions
$\{o\}=\operatorname{Ker} \eta^{0} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \eta \subset \ldots \subset \operatorname{Ker} \eta^{r-1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \eta^{r} \subset \ldots \subset \operatorname{Ker} \eta^{m-1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \eta^{m}=\mathrm{M}$.
For every subset $\{0\} \subset K \subseteq \mathbf{M}$ we obtain an integer $r, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant m$, such that $K \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \eta^{r} \wedge K \not \subset \operatorname{Ker} \eta^{r-1}$. Since $K$ is an $\eta$-invariant submodule we get the following chain for the operator $\vartheta=\eta \mid K$ on $K$ :

$$
\{\mathbf{o}\}=\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{0} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta \subset \ldots \subset \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r-1} \subset \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r}=K
$$

* $=$ modulo

These submodules as well as factor modules

$$
K / \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r-1}, \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r-1} / \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r-2}, \cdots, \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta / \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{0}
$$

may be considered vector spaces over $\mathbf{T}$.
Let $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{0}}$ be a $\mathbf{T}$-basis of $K$ relatively to Ker $\vartheta^{r-1}$. Then there exist elements of K

$$
\mathbf{u}_{s_{0}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{1}}, \mathbf{u}_{s_{1}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{r_{-}}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{r-1}}
$$

such that

$$
\eta \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta \mathbf{u}_{s_{10}}, \mathbf{u}_{s_{0}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{1}}
$$

is a $\mathbf{T}$-basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r-1}$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r-2}$,

$$
\eta^{r-k} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{r-k} \mathbf{u}_{s_{0}}, \eta^{r-k-1} \mathbf{u}_{s_{1}+1}, \ldots, \eta^{r-k-1} \mathbf{u}_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{r-k-1}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{r-k}}
$$

is a T-basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k}$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}, 1<k<r-1$,

$$
\eta^{r-1} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{r-1} \mathbf{u}_{s_{0}}, \eta^{r-2} \mathbf{u}_{s_{0}+1}, \ldots, \eta^{r-2} \mathbf{u}_{s_{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{r-2}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s_{r-1}},
$$

is a T-basis of Ker $\vartheta$.
Viewing $K$ as a vector space we get that the union of the above set (including the basis of $K$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{r-1}$ ) forms a T-basis of $K$.
I. Let $\eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{s}$ be a T-basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k}$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$ for every $k=m, \ldots, 1$. Then the union $\bigcup_{k=1}^{n_{2}}\left\{\eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}$ is a $\mathbf{T}$-basis of $K$ as a vector space. It follows that every $\mathbf{x} \in K$ may be written in the form

$$
\mathbf{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{s}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_{i j} \eta^{j}\right) \mathbf{u}_{i}, \quad x_{i j} \in \mathrm{~T} .
$$

It means that $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}$ forms the set of generators over $\mathbf{A}$ of the submodule $K$.
Supposing $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}=\mathbf{o}$ and $\xi_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_{i j} \eta^{j}, x_{i j} \in \mathbf{T}$, we have $\mathbf{o}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathbf{x}_{i j}\left(\eta^{j} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right)$. This yields that (for all indices) $x_{i j}=0$ which implies $\xi_{1}=\ldots=\xi_{s}=0$.

We prove that $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s}$ is an A-basis of $K$.
II. Let us suppose that $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s}$ form an A-basis of $K$. According to Lemma 9 , $K$ is a vector space over $\mathbf{T}$ having a $\mathbf{T}$-basis

$$
B=\left\{\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{s}, \eta \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \eta \mathbf{u}_{s}, \ldots, \eta^{m-1} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-1} \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}
$$

We prove that $\left\{\eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k}$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$, $k=1, \ldots, m$.
i) the linear independence (over $\mathbf{T}$ ) relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$ : Let $\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{i} \in$ $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$. Thus

$$
\mathbf{o}=\eta^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i}\left(\eta^{m-1} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right) .
$$

As $\left\{\eta^{m-1} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-1} \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\} \subseteq B$ is linearly independent over $\mathbf{T}$ we get $c_{1}=\ldots=$ $c_{s}=0$.
ii) Let $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k}, \mathrm{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathrm{x}_{i j} \eta^{j} \mathbf{u}_{i}$. Then

$$
\mathbf{o}=\eta^{k} \mathrm{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=0}^{m-k-1} \mathbf{x}_{i j}\left(\eta^{j+k} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right)
$$

Since $B$ is linearly indepenclent over $\mathbf{T}$ we obtain

$$
x_{10}=\ldots=x_{1, m-k-1}=x_{20} \ldots=x_{2, m-k-1}=\ldots=x_{s 0} \ldots=x_{s, m-k-1}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\mathbf{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} x_{i, n-k} \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=m-k+1}^{m-1} x_{i j} \eta^{j} \mathbf{u}_{i}
$$

where the second summand belongs to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$. It means $\left\{\eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}$ forms a set of generators of $\mathrm{Ker} \vartheta^{k}$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$.
11. Theorem. Let $K$ be a submodule of the $\mathbf{A}$-space $\mathbf{M}$ and let $\vartheta=\eta \mid K$. Then $K$ is an A-subspace of $\mathbf{M}$ if and only if there exists an integer such that $s=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k}$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$ for every $k=1, \ldots, m$.

In this case $s$ is the $\mathbf{A}$-dimension of K .
Proof. Let $K$ be an A-subspace. Then according to the previous theorem the bases of all factor modules considered have the same number of elements and it, is equal to the A-dimension of $I$ :

Let $K^{\prime}$ be a submodule such that the factor modules considered have the same dimension. Let $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{s}\right\}$ be a T-basis of $K$ relatively to Ker $\vartheta^{m-1}$. Constructing bases of factor modules Ker $\vartheta^{k}$ relatively to Ker $\vartheta^{k-1}, k=m-1, \ldots, 1$, by the introductory part of the proof of the previous theorem we obtain that the set $\left\{\eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \eta^{m-k} \mathbf{u}_{s}\right\}$ forms a $\mathbf{T}$-basis of $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k}$ relatively to $\operatorname{Ker} \vartheta^{k-1}$ for all $k, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m-1$. Using the previous theorem we get that $K$ is an $s$-dimensional A-subspace of M .
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