Jiří Neustupa A principle of linearization in theory of stability of solutions of variational inequalities

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 120 (1995), No. 4, 337-345

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126091

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

120 (1995)

MATHEMATICA BOHEMICA

No. 4, 337-345

A PRINCIPLE OF LINEARIZATION IN THEORY OF STABILITY OF SOLUTIONS OF VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

JIŘÍ NEUSTUPA,* Praha

(Received April 14, 1992)

Summary. It is shown that the uniform exponential stability and the uniform stability at permanently acting disturbances of a sufficiently smooth but not necessarily steady-state solution of a general variational inequality is a consequence of the uniform exponential stability of a zero solution of another (so called linearized) variational inequality.

Keywords: stability, variational inequalities

AMS classification: 34D05, 34G99, 58E35

The principle of linearization and its correctness represents an interesting problem in the theory of stability of solutions of differential equations. In [8], P. Quittner deals with stability of steady solutions of variational inequalities finding sufficient conditions for stability which do not depend on existing nonlinearities. We deal with a similar question, also in the case of variational inequalities. We study the uniform exponential stability and the uniform stability at permanently acting disturbances of a sufficiently smooth solution \tilde{U} of a certain variational inequality in a Banach space and prove that both these properties follow from the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution of a so called linearized inequality. The main differences between this paper and [8] are: a) Our linearized inequality can be nonautonomous, b) instead of assumptions which guarantee the zero solution of a linearized inequality to be uniformly exponentially stable we assume straight the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution of a linearized inequality, c) we deal also with the uniform stability with respect to permanently acting disturbances.

^{*} The research was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (grant No. 201/93/2177).

Other ideas and methods which concern stability or instability of solutions of variational inequalities can be found for example in [3], [4] and [7].

Let H be a Hilbert space with a scalar product (.,.) and an associated norm $\|.\|$, $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ will denote the ε -neighbourhood of the element x in H and $\overline{B}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ will be its closure in H.

Let B be a reflexive Banach space which is continuously imbedded into the Hilbert space H and which is dense in H. If we identify H with its dual and if B' is a dual to B then we have $B \subset H \subset B'$. We shall denote by $\langle ., . \rangle$ such a duality between elements of B' and B that $\langle x', x \rangle = (x', x)$ if $x' \in H$. Let K be a closed convex set in B.

We shall deal with various time-dependent solutions of certain variational inequalities in B. If U is such a solution on a time interval I then we shall use the notation I = I(U). Each solution U will be supposed to satisfy the variational inequality a.e. in I(U) and to be maximal in that sense that it cannot be extended as a solution beyond the right end point of I(U). Under solutions, we understand only functions with the following smoothness: $U(t) \in L^2(J; B)$ and $dU/dt \in L^2(J; B')$ for each bounded interval J in I(U). Then U belongs to C(J; H) (after a possible change on a set of measure zero in J) and

(1)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t}(t), U(t) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\|U(t)\|^2 \right)$$
 for a.a. $t \in I(U)$

(see e.g. [5]).

Let $F: [0, +\infty) \times B \to B'$. Assume that \widetilde{U} is a solution (not necessarily steadystate) of the problem given by

(2)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} + F(t,U), W - U \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $W \in K$,
(3) $U(t) \in K$ for all $t \in I(U)$

on the time interval $[0, +\infty)$. Assume that F has a Fréchet differential $D_2F(t, \tilde{U}(t))$ (D_2 denotes the differential with respect to the second variable) for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. We shall denote this differential by A(t). A(t) is a linear operator from B into B'. Assume further that there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

(4)
$$\langle A(t)x, x \rangle \ge -c_1 ||x||^2$$
 for all $x \in B$ and all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

We do not investigate the question of existence of solutions of the problem (2), (3) or other analogous problems in this paper. We are going to derive some estimates of those solutions U of the problem (2), (3) which are "sufficiently near" to the solution \tilde{U} at a time instant τ . These estimates will have such a character that they will be

valid as long as the solution U exists (it means for all $t \in I(U) \cap [\tau, +\infty)$). Since the estimates will guarantee that the solution U cannot end by a "blow up" at the right end point of the interval I(U), it is natural to expect that $I(U) = [\tau, +\infty)$. But in fact, to prove it, it would be necessary to use some additional assumptions about the operator F and the set K (see e.g. [1] and [2]). In order not to complicate this paper, we decided not to do it here.

Definition 1. We say that a solution \widetilde{U} of (2), (3) is uniformly exponentially stable (with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$) if there exist $\Delta > 0$, C > 0 and $\delta > 0$ such that if U is any solution of the problem (2), (3), $\tau \in I(U)$ and $\|U(\tau) - \widetilde{U}(\tau)\| \leq \Delta$ then

$$\|U(t) - \widetilde{U}(t)\| \leq C \|U(\tau) - \widetilde{U}(\tau)\| e^{-\delta(t-\tau)}$$

for all $t \in [\tau, +\infty) \cap I(U)$.

Definition 2. We say that a solution \tilde{U} of (2), (3) is uniformly stable at permanently acting disturbances if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ so that if

- a) G(t, .) is for each t ∈ [0, +∞) an operator from B to B' satisfying the inequality |⟨G(t, V), W⟩| ≤ δ₁||W|| for all V ∈ K ∩ B_e(Ũ(t)) and for all W ∈ B,
- b) U is a solution of the problem given by the condition (3) and the variational inequality

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} + F(t,U) + G(t,U), W - U \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $W \in K$,

c) $\tau \in I(U), \|U(\tau) - \widetilde{U}(\tau)\| \leq \delta_2$ then $\|U(t) - \widetilde{U}(t)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty) \cap I(U)$.

~

The uniform exponential stability of the solution \widetilde{U} is the property of those solutions U of (2), (3) which lie in a neighbourhood of \widetilde{U} —let us write any of these solutions in the form $\widetilde{U} + u$. If we substitute this form of U into (2), (3), we get

(5)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + F(t, \widetilde{U} + u), W - \widetilde{U} - u \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $W \in K$,

(6)
$$U(t) + u(t) \in K \quad \text{for all } t \in I(U+u).$$

Let $K_1(t) = K - \tilde{U}(t)$ (i.e. $K_1(t) = \{w \in B ; \exists W \in K : w = W - \tilde{U}(t)\}$). Statements (5) and (6) can be rewritten:

(7)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + F(t, \widetilde{U} + u), w - u \right\rangle \ge 0 \quad \text{for all } w \in K_1(t).$$
(8)
$$u(t) \in K_1(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in I(u)$$

where $I(u) = I(\tilde{U} + u)$ is the domain of definition of the solution u of (7), (8). It is easy to see that the uniform exponential stability of the solution \tilde{U} of (2), (3) is equivalent to the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution $u \equiv 0$ of (7), (8). Similarly, it can be easily shown that the uniform stability at permanently acting disturbances of the solution \tilde{U} of (2), (3) is equivalent to the same property of the zero solution of the problem (7), (8).

The term $F(t, \widetilde{U}(t) + u)$ can be expressed in the form $F(t, \widetilde{U}(t)) + A(t)u + N(t, u)$ where N(t, .) is a nonlinear operator from B into B'. Assume that

(9)
$$|\langle N(t,x),y\rangle| \leq g(||x||) \cdot ||y||$$
 for all $x, y \in B$

where g is a nondecreasing function on $[0, +\infty)$ such that

(10)
$$g(h) = o(h)$$
 if $h \rightarrow 0 + .$

This is an important assumption which characterizes the nonlinear operator N(t, .).

Let us denote $f(t) = d\tilde{U}/dt(t) + F(t,\tilde{U}(t))$ in the following. Then the inequality (7) is identical with

(11)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + A(t)u + N(t,u) + f(t), w - u \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $w \in K_1(t)$.

A so called linearized inequality arises from (11) by omitting the nonlinear term N(t, u):

(12)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{\mathrm{d}t} + A(t)v + f(t), w - v \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $w \in K_1(t)$.

It will be considered with the condition

(13)
$$v(t) \in K_1(t)$$
 for all $t \in I(v)$

In fact, (12), (13) does not represent a linear problem, but it has a zero solution. It is not difficult to show that v is a solution of (12), (13) on the time interval I(v) if and only if the function $V = \tilde{U} + v$ is a solution of the problem

(14)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} + A(t)V + f_1(t), W - V \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $W \in K$,

(15)
$$V(t) \in K$$
 for all $t \in I(V)$,

where $f_1(t) = F(t, \tilde{U}(t)) - A(t)\tilde{U}(t)$. Moreover, the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution of (12), (13) is equivalent to the uniform exponential stability of the solution \tilde{U} of (14), (15).

Let us assume that

(16) if $x_0 \in H$ and $\tau \ge 0$ then there exists a solution v of (12), (13) on $[\tau, +\infty)$ such that $v(\tau) = x_0$.

The validity of this condition can be usually verified by various methods in special cases. See e.g. [1] and [2] for more details.

Theorem 1. Let the zero solution of the problem (12), (13) be uniformly exponentially stable and let the conditions (4), (9), (10) and (16) be satisfied. Then the zero solution of the problem (11), (8) is uniformly exponentially stable, too, and consequently, also the solution \tilde{U} of the problem (2), (3) is uniformly exponentially stable.

Proof. Let u be a solution of (11), (8), $\tau, t \in I(u), \tau < t$ and let v be a solution of (12), (13) on $[\tau, +\infty)$ such that $v(\tau) = u(\tau)$. We shall use the notation $\|u\|_{[\tau,t]} = \max_{t' \in [\tau,t]} \|u(t')\|$. First, we shall derive an estimate of $\|u(t) - v(t)\|$. Choosing w = v(t) in (11), w = u(t) in (12) and adding (11) and (12), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(u-v) + A(t)(u-v) + N(t,u), u-v \\ \\ & \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(u-v), u-v \right\rangle \leqslant \langle -A(t)(u-v), u-v \rangle - \langle N(t,u), u-v \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Using (1), (4) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 &\leqslant c_1 \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 + g(\| u(t) \|) \| u(t) - v(t) \| \\ &\leqslant (c_1 + 1) \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} g^2(\| u(t) \|), \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left[\mathrm{e}^{-2(c_1 + 1)t} \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 \right] &\leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-2(c_1 + 1)t} \frac{1}{4} g^2(\| u(t) \|), \\ &\| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{2(c_1 + 1)(t - \xi)} g^2(\| u(\xi) \|) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{4(c_1 + 1)} \left[\mathrm{e}^{2(c_1 + 1)(t - \tau)} - 1 \right] g^2(\| u\|_{[\tau, t]}). \end{split}$$

If we denote

$$g_1(\alpha,\beta) = \left[\frac{1}{4(c_1+1)} \left(e^{2(c_1+1)\alpha} - 1\right)\right]^{1/2} g(\beta)$$

then we can write

(17)
$$||u(t) - v(t)|| \leq g_1 (t - \tau, ||u||_{[\tau,t]}).$$

If u is a solution of (11), (8) and there exists $\tau \in I(u)$ such that $||u(\tau)|| = 0$ then $[\tau, +\infty) \subset I(u)$ and ||u(t)|| = 0 for all $t \in [\tau, +\infty)$. This follows from (17) (where we use $v \equiv 0$) and (10).

Let Δ , δ and C be numbers which are connected with the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution of (12), (13) (see Definition 1). We shall prove that (18) there exists $\Delta' > 0$ such that if u is a solution of (11), (8), $\tau \in I(u)$, 0 < 0

 $||u(\tau)|| \leq \Delta'$ then

$$||u(t)|| < 2C ||u(\tau)||e^{-\delta(t-\tau)/2}$$

for $t \in [\tau, +\infty) \cap I(u)$.

Suppose that (18) is false. Then for each $\Delta' > 0$ there exists a solution u of (11), (8), $\tau \in I(u)$ and $t_0 \in [\tau, +\infty) \cap I(u)$ such that $0 < ||u(\tau)|| \leq \Delta'$,

(19)
$$\|u(t_0)\| = 2C \|u(\tau)\| e^{-\delta(t_0-\tau)/2},$$

(20) $\|u(t)\| < 2C \|u(\tau)\| e^{-\delta(t-\tau)/2}$ for $t \in [\tau, t_0).$

Let γ be such a positive number that $Ce^{-\delta\gamma/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose that Δ' is so small that $2C\Delta' < \Delta$.

There exists $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $h \in [0, \gamma)$ such that $t_0 = \tau + \gamma n + h$. It follows from (16) that there exists a solution v_n of (12), (13) on the interval $[\tau + \gamma n, +\infty)$ such that $u(\tau + \gamma n) = v_n(\tau + \gamma n)$. Using the inequality (17), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|u(t_0) - v_n(t_0)\| &\leq g_1\left(h, \|u\|_{[\tau+\gamma n, t_0]}\right), \\ \|u(t_0)\| &\leq \|v_n(t_0)\| + g_1\left(h, \|u\|_{[\tau+\gamma n, t_0]}\right), \\ \|u(t_0)\| &\leq C \|v_n(\tau+\gamma n)\| e^{-\delta h} + g_1\left(h, \|u\|_{[\tau+\gamma n, t_0]}\right) \\ &\leq C \|u(\tau+\gamma n)\| e^{-\delta h} + g_1\left(h, 2C \|u(\tau)\| e^{-\delta \gamma n/2}\right). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can derive the estimate

 $\|u(\tau + \gamma i)\| \leq C \|u(\tau + \gamma (i - 1))\| e^{-\delta \gamma} + g_1(\gamma, 2C \|u(\tau)\| e^{-\delta \gamma (i - 1)/2})$

for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Thus, using the inequality $Ce^{-\delta \gamma/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we can write

```
2C \|u(\tau)\| \mathrm{e}^{-\delta(t_0-\tau)/2} = \|u(t_0)\|
```

```
\begin{split} &\leqslant C \, \| u(\tau + \gamma n) \| e^{-\delta h} + g_1 \left( h, 2C \, \| u(\tau) \| e^{-\delta \gamma n/2} \right) \\ &\leqslant C \, \| u(\tau + \gamma n) \| + g_1 (\gamma, 2C \, \| u(\tau) \| e^{-\delta \gamma n/2} ) \\ &\leqslant C \{ C \| u(\tau + \gamma (n-1)) \| e^{-\delta \gamma} \\ &\quad + g_1 (\gamma, 2C \, \| u(\tau) \| e^{-\delta \gamma (n-1)/2} ) + g_1 \big( \gamma, 2C \, \| u(\tau) \| e^{-\delta \gamma n/2} \big) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \, C e^{-\delta \gamma/2} \| u(\tau + \gamma (n-1)) \| \\ &\quad + C \, g_1 \big( \gamma, 2C \, \| u(\tau) \| e^{-\delta \gamma (n-1)/2} \big) + g_1 \big( \gamma, 2C \, \| u(\tau) \| e^{-\delta \gamma n/2} \big) \\ &\leqslant \ldots \end{split}
```

$$\begin{split} &\leqslant \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} C \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma n/2} \|u(\tau)\| + C \mathrm{e}^{\delta \gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma j/2} g_{1}\left(\gamma, 2C \|u(\tau)\| \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma (n-j)/2}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} C \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma n/2} \|u(\tau)\| \\ &+ C \mathrm{e}^{\delta \gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j} \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma j/2} \frac{g_{1}\left(\gamma, 2C \|u(\tau)\| \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma (n-j)/2}\right)}{2C \|u(\tau)\| \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma (n-j)/2}} \, 2C \, \|u(\tau)\| \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma (n-j)/2} \\ &\leqslant \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} C \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma n/2} \|u(\tau)\| + C \mathrm{e}^{\delta \gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j} g_{2}(\gamma, 2C\Delta') 2C \|u(\tau)\| \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \gamma n/2} \end{split}$$

where $g_2(\eta) = \sup_{\sigma \in (0,\eta)} [g_1(\gamma, \sigma)/\sigma]$. The function g_2 is nondecreasing and it follows from (10) that

$$\lim_{\eta \to 0+} g_2(\eta) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} & 2C \, \|u(\tau)\| e^{-\delta(t_0-\tau)/2} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n C e^{-\delta\gamma n/2} \|u(\tau)\| \\ & + 4C^2 e^{\delta\gamma} \|u(\tau)\| e^{-\delta\gamma n/2} g_2(\gamma, 2C\Delta'), \\ & 2C e^{-\delta(t_0-\tau)/2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n C e^{-\delta\gamma n/2} \leqslant 4C^2 e^{\delta\gamma} e^{-\delta\gamma n/2} g_2(2C\Delta'), \\ & 2e^{-\delta h/2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \leqslant 4C e^{\delta\gamma} g_2(2C\Delta'). \end{split}$$

Choosing Δ' sufficiently small, we can make the right hand side of this inequality so small that the inequality does not hold. This is a desired contradiction and hence (18) is true, which implies the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution of (11), (8).

A theorem about differential equations in Banach spaces which is analogous to Theorem 1 is proved for example in [6].

Lemma 1. Let the zero solution of the problem (12), (13) be uniformly exponentially stable and let the conditions (4) and (16) be fulfilled. Then the zero solution of (12), (13) is also uniformly stable at permanently acting disturbances.

Proof. Suppose that $G(t)\colon B\to B'$ for all $t\geqslant 0$. Let u be a solution of the problem given by

(21)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + A(t)u + f(t) + G(t)u, w - u \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $w \in K_1(t)$

and the condition (8) and let v be a solution of (12), (13). Finally, let τ , $t \in I(u) \cap I(v)$, $\tau < t$. In a way similar to that which was used to derive (17), we can

get the inequality

(22)
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|u(t) - v(t)\|^2 \leq c_1 \|u(t) - v(t)\|^2 + \langle G(t)u(t), u(t) - v(t) \rangle$$

Let Δ , δ and C be numbers which are connected with the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution of (12), (13) (see Definition 1). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Put $\delta_2 = \min\{\Delta; \varepsilon/(2C)\}$. There exist T > 0 and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $Ce^{-\delta T} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$ and $\delta_1 e^{(c_1+1)T} \leqslant \sqrt{c_1+1} \delta_2$. Assume that $|\langle G(t)x,y \rangle| \leqslant \delta_1 ||y||$ for all $t \ge 0$ and $x \in K_1(t) \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$.

Suppose that u is a solution of (21), (8), $\tau \in I(u)$ and $||u(\tau)|| < \delta_2$. Let v be a solution of (12), (13) on $[\tau, +\infty)$ such that $v(\tau) = u(\tau)$. It follows from (22) that if $t \in [\tau, \tau + T) \cap I(u)$ and $||u||_{[\tau,t]} \leq \varepsilon$ then

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 &\leqslant c_1 \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 + \delta_1 \| u(t) - v(t) \| \\ &\leqslant (c_1 + 1) \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \delta_1^2, \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left[e^{-2(c_1 + 1)t} \| u(t) - v(t) \|^2 \right] &\leqslant e^{-2(c_1 + 1)t} \frac{1}{4} \delta_1^2, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \|u(t) - v(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{2(c_1+1)(t-\xi)} \delta_1^2 \, d\xi = \frac{1}{4(c_1+1)} \Big[e^{2(c_1+1)(t-\tau)} - 1 \Big] \delta_1^2, \\ \|u(t) - v(t)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c_1+1}} e^{(c_1+1)(t-\tau)} \delta_1, \\ \|u(t)\| &\leq \|v(t)\| + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c_1+1}} e^{(c_1+1)(t-\tau)} \delta_1. \end{split}$$

Using the uniform exponential stability of the zero solution of (12), (13), one has

$$\begin{split} \|u(t)\| &\leqslant C \|v(\tau)\| \mathrm{e}^{-\delta(t-\tau)} + \frac{\delta_1}{2\sqrt{c_1+1}} \, \mathrm{e}^{(c_1+1)(t-\tau)} \\ &\leqslant C\delta_2 + \frac{1}{2} \, \delta_2 \leqslant (C+\frac{1}{2}) \, \frac{c_2}{C} \leqslant \frac{3}{4} \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

This inequality was derived only for $t \in [\tau, \tau + T] \cap I(u)$ such that $||u||_{[\tau, \tau+T]} \leq \varepsilon$. Since ||u(t)|| depends continuously on t, the above inequality must hold for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + T]$. Moreover, we have

$$\|u(\tau+T)\| \leqslant C\delta_2 e^{-\delta T} + \frac{\delta_1}{2\sqrt{c_1+1}} e^{(c_1+1)T} \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\delta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2 = \frac{3}{4}\delta_2.$$

Applying the same considerations on the time intervals $[\tau + iT, \tau + (i + 1)T] \cap I(u)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., one obtains the estimate $||u(t)|| \leq \varepsilon$ for t from all these intervals. Thus, $||u(t)|| \leq \varepsilon$ for $t \in [\tau, +\infty) \cap I(u)$, which we wanted to prove.

Theorem 2. Let the zero solution of the problem (12), (13) be uniformly exponentially stable and let the conditions (4), (9), (10) and (16) be satisfied. Then the zero solution of the problem (11), (8) is uniformly stable at permanently acting disturbances and consequently, also the solution \tilde{U} of the problem (2), (3) is uniformly stable at permanently acting disturbances.

Proof. Due to Lemma 1, the zero solution of (12), (13) is uniformly stable at permanently acting disturbances. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let the numbers T, δ_1 and δ_2 be defined (in dependence on ε) in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 1. Moreover, let ε be so small that $g(\varepsilon) < \delta_1$. Put $\delta'_1 = \delta_1 - g(\varepsilon)$.

Let u be a solution of the problem given by

(23)
$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + A(t)u + N(t)u + f(t) + G(t)u, w - u \right\rangle \ge 0$$
 for all $w \in K_1(t)$

and the condition (8), $\tau \in I(u)$ and $||u(\tau)|| < \delta_2$. Assume that $|\langle G(t)x, y \rangle| \leq \delta'_1 ||y||$ for all $t \geq 0, y \in B$ and $x \in K_1(t) \cap \overline{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Then

$$|\langle N(t)x + G(t)x, y\rangle| \leq [g(||x||) + \delta_1'] \cdot ||y|| \leq [g(\varepsilon) + \delta_1'] \cdot ||y|| = \delta_1 ||y||.$$

If we view the term N(t)u + G(t)u in the inequality (23) as a disturbance and use the uniform stability at permanently acting disturbances of the zero solution of (12), (13) and Lemma 1, we obtain $||u(t)| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [\tau, +\infty) \cap I(u)$. This completes the proof.

References

- J. P. Aubin, A. Cellina: Differential Inclusions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1984.
- [2] H. Brézis: Problèmes unilatéraux, J. Math. Pures Appl. 51 (1972), 1-168.
- [3] P. Drábek, M. Kučera: Eigenvalues of inequalities of reaction-diffusion type and destabilizing effect of unilateral conditions. Czech. Math. J. 36 (111) (1986), 116-130.
- [4] P. Drübek, M. Kučera: Reaction-diffusion systems: Destabilizing effect of unilateral conditions. Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications 12 (1988), no. 11, 1173-1192.
- [5] H. Gajewski, K. Gröger, K. Zacharias: Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [6] J. Neustupa: The linearized uniform asymptotic stability of evolution differential equations. Czech. Math. J. 34 (109) (1984), 257-284.
- [7] M. Kučera, J. Neustupa: Destabilizing effect of unilateral conditions in reaction-diffusion systems. Commentationes Math. Univ. Carolinae 27 (1986), no. 1, 171–187.
- [8] P. Quittner: On the principle of linearized stability for variational inequalities. Math. Ann. vol 283 (1989), 257-270.

Author's address: Jiří Neustupa, Czech Technical University, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Technical Mathematics, Karlovo nám. 13, 12135 Praha 2, e-mail: neustupa@fsid.cvut.cz.