Luis B. Boza; Ana Diánez; Alberto Márquez On infinite outerplanar graphs

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 119 (1994), No. 4, 381-384

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126112

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON INFINITE OUTERPLANAR GRAPHS

L. BOZA, A. DIÁNEZ, A. MÁRQUEZ, Sevilla

(Received May 21, 1993)

Summary. In this Note, we study infinite graphs with locally finite outerplane embeddings, given a characterization by forbidden subgraphs

Keywords: infinite outerplanar graphs, end of an infinite graph

AMS classification: 05C10, 05C75

1. INTRODUCTION

Clearly, the classic result about outerplanar graphs is valid even if the graph is infinite and thus a planar graph is outerplanar (it admits an embedding where all its vertices lie on the same face) if and only if has no subvision of K_4 or $K_{2,3}$. But, as was pointed out by many authors (see [6]), when dealing with embeddings of finite graphs it is interesting to ensure embeddings without vertices or edges accumulation (p-embeddings) and in this case the previous result fails as Figure 1 shows.

The p-embeddings of the graphs pictured in Figure 1 are unique (see [5]) and so, since they are not outerplane, we have that those graphs are not p-outerplanar (on the other hand, if they are outerplanar, it is possible to shorten some of the infinite rays accumulating the vertices and edges of these rays to a point in the plane). We are going to prove that the two graphs given in Figure 2 are the two forbidden subgraphs for outerplane p-embeddings.

As it happens with other results on p-embeddings (see [3]), it can be remarked that the one-point compactifications of the underlying topological spaces to those graphs are homeomorphic to the two forbidden graphs for outerplane embeddings.

By an infinite graph we mean a connected graph such that its vertex set is countable and the deg. Be at every vertex is finite (a locally finite countable graph). We



Figure 1: Two outerplanar graphs with no outerplane p-embeddings.

will use the notation and definitions of [4], except for using vertex instead of point and edge instead of line.

We will use an invariant of non-compact spaces, namely, the ends of Freudenthal. An infinite ray in a graph G is a morphism $\varphi: J \to G$ inducing an injection on both the vertex set and the edge set, where J represents a graph such that its underlying topological space is homeomorphic to the positive half-line R^+ . Two rays in G define the same Freudenthal end if for any finite subgraph H of G, there exist vertices of both rays in G - H. The set of Freudenthal ends of G is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and its cardinal by e(X) (see [1] for details).

2. P-OUTERPLANAR GRAPHS

Definition 1. A graph G is said to be p-outerplanar if it can be embedded in the plane without vertices or edges accumulation so that all its vertices lie in the same face (a face is a connected component of the complement of the embedding with respect to the whole plane).

Observe that all blocks of the graphs given in Figure 1 are outerplanar (actually, the two graphs are outerplanar) but those graphs are not p-outerplanar, in fact it is possible to give graphs which are not p-outerplanar graphs and have a finite number of p-outerplanar blocks as is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: A outerplanar and non-p-outerplanar graph with two p-outerplanar blocks.

Nevertheless, we have

Lemma 2. A graph G with only one end and infinitely many cut-vertices is pouterplanar if and only if is outerplanar.

Proof. G is outerplanar if and only if all its blocks are, and we can number those blocks in such a way that block B_i is joined in G with blocks B_{i-1} and B_{i+1} (block B_1 is only joined to block B_2). In this way we can glue each block to its adjacent blocks and we can ensure that all the vertices are in the unbounded face.

Theorem 3. A graph G is p-outerplanar if and only if it has no subdivision of K_4 , $K_{2,3}$, L_4 or $L_{2,3}$ (see Figure 1).

Proof. Obviously the four graphs given in the theorem are not p-outerplanar and thus it only remains to show that any p-outerplanar graph has no subdivision of K_4 , $K_{2,3}$, L_4 or $L_{2,3}$.

As any p-outerplanar graph is outerplanar, it suffices to prove that an outerplanar graph is p-outerplanar if it has no subdivision of L_4 or $L_{2,3}$.

First, suppose that there exists a subgraph H of G homeomorphic to R^1 (the 1-dimensional Euclidean space). Then the restriction to H of any p-embedding of G if R^2 splits the plane in two half-planes. Thus it is straightforward to check that the two possible obstructions to be p-outerplanar are

• There exists a path in G - H connecting two non-consecutive vertices of H. In this case, we have a subdivision of $L_{2,3}$.

• There exists an infinite ray in G - H. In this case, we have a subdivision of L_4 .

If there is not such an H, then G has only a Freudenthal end and, as a consequence of Menger's theorem for infinite graphs (see [2]), in any H representative of that end (a representative of an end is a subgraph homoemorphic to the half-line $[0, +\infty)$ such that there exist its elements in the component of the complement of any compact defining that end), there exist infinitely many cut-vertices. Let $C = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots\}$ be that set of cut-vertices sorted as they appear in H and let G_i be the block of Gwhich contains v_{i-1} and v_i (G_1 is the block of G which contains only v_1). Then, by Lemma 2, G is p-outerplanar if and only if G is outerplanar and, this happens if and only if all G_i 's are outerplanar.

Obviously if G has more that two ends, it is always possible to find a subgraph homeomorphic to L_4 . Then G cannot be p-outerplanar and so we have

Corollary 4. If G is p-outerplanar, then $e(G) \leq 2$.

References

- R. Ayala, E. Domínguez, A. Márquez, and A. Quintero: Proper homotopy classification of graphs. Bull. London Math. Soc. 22 (1990), 417-421.
- [2] R. Halin: Über Trennende Eckenmengen in Graphen und den Mengerschen Satz. Math. Ann. 157 (1964), 34-41.
- [3] R. Halin: Zur häufungspunktfreien Darstellung adzählbarer Graphen in der Ebene. Arch. Math. 17 (1966), 239–242.
- [4] F. Harary: Graph Theory. Addison Wesley, Reading Mass, 1969.
- [5] P. Mohar: Embeddings of infinite graphs. J. Combinatorial Theory. Serie B 44, 1 (1988), 29-43.
- [6] C. Thomassen: Infinite graphs. In "Selected Topics in Graph Theory" vol. 2. Academic Press, 1983, pp. 129–160.

Authors' addresses: L. Boza, E.T.S. Arquitectura, Universidad de Sevilla, Reina Mercedes 2, 41012-Sevilla (Spain); A. Diánez, E.T.S. Arquitectura, Universidad de Sevilla, Reina Mercedes 2, 41012-Sevilla (Spain), anarosa@sevaxu.cica.es; A. Márquez, Facultad de Informática, Universidad de Sevilla, Reina Mercedes s/n, 41012-Sevilla (Spain), almar@sevaxu.cica.es.