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# POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF CRITICAL QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ 
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Abstract. We consider the existence of positive solutions of
(1)

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\alpha h(x)|u|^{q-2} u+f(x)|u|^{p^{*}-2} u
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 1<p<N, p^{*}=N_{p} /(N-p)$, the critical Sobolev exponent, and $1<q<p^{*}, q \neq p$. Let $\lambda_{1}^{+}>0$ be the principal eigenvalue of
(2)

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathcal{R}^{N}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)|u|^{p}>0
$$

with $u_{1}^{+}>0$ the associated eigenfunction, We prove that, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left|u_{1}^{+}\right|^{p^{*}}<0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{1}^{+}\right|^{q}>$ 0 if $1<q<p$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left|u_{1}^{+}\right|^{q}<0$ if $p<q<p^{*}$, then there exist $\lambda^{*}>\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha^{*}>0$, such that for $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}^{+}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right.$, (1) has at least one positive solution.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

We study the existence of positive solutions to the following problem in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\alpha h(x)|u|^{q-2} u+f(x)|u|^{p^{*-2}} u, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 1<p<N, \Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $p$-Laplacian, $p^{*}=$ $N p /(N-p), 1<q<p^{*}, q \neq p, f, g$ and $h$ satisfy $g^{+} \neq 0, f^{ \pm} \neq 0, h^{+} \neq 0$, and other conditions. The problem is closely related to the following eigenvalue problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)|u|^{p}>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $(1.2)_{\lambda}$ has an eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{+}>0$ associated with a positive eigenfunction $u_{1}^{+}$(see [6]).

Equations involving critical Sobolev exponents have been studied extensively, and there exists a large body of literature. We refer to [5] and the references therein. Specifically, Swanson and $Y u[12]$ studied (1.1) for the case $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$and $p<q<$ $p^{*}$. It is shown in [12] that if $g \geqslant 0, g \in L^{N / p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), f \geqslant 0$, and $h \geqslant h_{0}>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then $(1,1)_{\lambda}$ has a positive solution if $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$. Noussair, Swanson and Yang [11] investigated the problem

$$
-\Delta_{m} u=p(x) u^{\top}+q(x) u^{\gamma}
$$

on an open connected smooth domain, where $2 \leqslant m<N, m-1<\gamma<\tau$, and $\tau+1=N m /(N-m)$. The existence of at least one positive solution was obtained for both $p$ and $q$ nonnegative and satisfying other local conditions. More recently Noussair and Swanson [10] considered

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=p|u|^{\top-2} u+q|u|^{\gamma-2} u \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2<\gamma<\tau=2 N /(N-2)$, and showed, under suitable assumptions, including nonnegativity of $p$ and $q$, that (1.3) has two positive decaying solutions. The existence of two positive solutions of $(1,1)_{\lambda}$ was studied for the case $p<q<p^{*}$ and $f \equiv 0$ in [4], and for the case $h(x) \equiv 0 \mathrm{in}[5]$. Various forms of the equation
(1.4) $\quad-\Delta_{p} u+a(x)|u|^{p-2} u=\beta h(x)|u|^{q-2} u+k(x)|u|^{p^{*}-2} u$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$
are treated by Alves, Gonçalves and Miyagaki in [1], [2] and [7], where $a, h$ and $k$ are nonnegative, $1<q \neq p, q<p^{*}$, and $\beta \geqslant 0$. The existence of nonnegative solutions was obtained via Mountain Pass arguments. Specifically, [1] deals with the
case $1<q<p, a=0, k=1 ;[2]$ the case $1<q<p$ and $\beta=1 ;$ and $[7]$ the case $a \equiv 0, \beta=1, k=1$, and $1<q<p^{*}, q \neq p, p \geqslant 2$.
In this paper we are mainly concerned with the situation where $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_{1}^{+}$. We note that, for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$, the functional $\int_{Q^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\lambda g|u|^{p}\right)$ is always positive for $u \neq 0$, so one can use a Mountain Pass type argument to show that (1.1) has a positive solution. Assuming $h>0$ in some open set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, one can even prove the existence of two positive solutions by first finding a local nonzero minimizer of the associated functional and then using the Mountain Pass Theorem to find a saddle point. This is the approach used in [1], [2] and [7]. For $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_{1}^{+}$, the situation is different. The problem is that in this case, the functional $\int_{Q^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\lambda g|u|^{p}\right)$ is no longer positive definite. Even a local minimizer is difficult to find. Specifically, for $\lambda>\lambda_{1}^{+}, \int_{R^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\lambda g|u|^{p}\right)$ will always approach $-\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in the direction of $u_{1}^{+}$, while it can achieve positive values in other directions. For $\lambda=\lambda_{1}^{+}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\lambda g|u|^{p}\right)$ will always be zero in the direction of $u_{1}^{+}$. This destroys the Mountain Pass structure. Here we use a procedure devised by Tarantello [13] and further utilized in [4] and [5]. The conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(u_{1}^{+}\right)^{p^{*}}<0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left(u_{1}^{+}\right)^{q}>0,  \tag{1.5}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(u_{1}^{+}\right)^{p^{*}}<0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} h\left(u_{1}^{+}\right)^{q}<0, \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

are essential in our presentation. Under further related local conditions on $g, h$ and $f$, we can prove the existence of positive solutions of $(1.1)_{\lambda}$.

Main Result. Assume (1.5) if $1<q<p$ and (1.6) if $p<q<p^{*}$. Then there exist $\lambda^{*}>\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha^{*}>0$, such that for any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}^{+}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right),(1.1)_{\lambda}$ has a positive solution (see Theorems 3.8 and 4.7 for precise assumptions on $f, g$ and $h$ ).

In our setting, $g$ and $h$ are allowed more flexibility than in [1], [2] and [7], e.g., they may or may not change sign. But (1.5) forces $f$ to change sign, and (1.6) forces both $f$ and $h$ to change sign. We note that here we need an additional condition that $\alpha$ is small enough. While this is the case for $1<q<p$ in $[1],[2]$ and [7], no such smallness restriction is postulated to $h$ in $[7]$ and [12], for the case $p<q<p^{*}$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we study the geometric structure of certain solution manifolds of the associated functional. Section 3 provides the proof of the existence result for the case $1<q<p$. The case $p<q<p^{*}$ is discussed in Section 4.
2. GEOMETRY OF THE SOLUTION MANIFOLDS FOR $1<q<p$

We collect our basic assumptions and recall some known results. We assume throughout this paper that $1<p<N, p^{*}=N p /(N-p), 1<q<p^{*}$ and $q \neq p$. We also assume
(g0) $\quad g(x)=g^{+}(x)-g^{-}(x), \quad g^{+}, g^{-} \geqslant 0, \quad$ and $\quad g^{+} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{N / p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $g^{-} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,
(h0) $\quad h \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{Q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $Q=N p[N p-q(N-p)]^{-1}$.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(x)=\frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{p}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
& w(x)=\max \left\{g^{-}(x), \omega(x)\right\}>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $V$ be the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p}+\int w(x)|u|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Here and henceforth the integrals are taken on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ unless otherwise stated. Then $V$ is a uniformly convex Banach space. In this paper $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ will denote the usual $L^{p}$ norm, and $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{D}=\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Note that since $V \subset D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, a weakly convergent sequence in $V$ is also weakly convergent in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Hardy's inequality, $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is embedded continuously in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \omega(x)\right)$, so a strongly convergent sequence in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is also strongly convergent in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \omega(x)\right)$.

Throughout this paper the function $f$ is always assumed to satisfy
(f0) $\quad f^{ \pm} \neq 0$ and $f(x) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
We have (from Lemma 2.3 of [6]):
Proposition 2.1. Assume the above conditions are satisfied. Then there exists a unique, simple isolated eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{+}>0$, such that the eigenvalue problem (1.2) $\lambda$ has a positive eigenfunction $u_{1}^{+} \in V$ associated with $\lambda_{1}^{+}$.

Next we introduce the following functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\lambda g|u|^{p}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{q} \int h|u|^{\varphi}-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int f|u|^{p^{*}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the functional $I_{\lambda}$ is well defined on $V$. Obviously a critical point of $I_{\lambda}$ in $V$ is a (weak) solution of $(1.1)_{\lambda}$. We can always assume that critical points of $I_{\lambda}$ are nonnegative functions since $I_{\lambda}$ is an even functional. For simplicity, we will assume in the sequel that $\alpha>0$, for the case $\alpha=0$ has been covered in [5].

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =\int\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-\lambda g|u|^{p}\right), \\
\Lambda_{\lambda} & =\left\{u \in V: \Psi_{\lambda}(u)=\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} \\
& =\left\{u \in V: J_{\lambda}(u)=\alpha \int h|u|^{q}+\int f|u|^{p^{\prime}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}=\left\{u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}:\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle<0\right\} \tag{2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We list the following equivalent expressions of this set

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{\lambda} & =\left\{u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}:(p-q) J_{\lambda}(u)<\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int f|u|^{*}\right\} \\
& =\left\{u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}:\left(p^{*}-p\right) J_{\lambda}(u)>\left.\alpha\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int h|u|\right|^{q}\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\left\{u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}: \alpha(p-q) \int h|u|^{q}<\left(p^{*}-p\right) \int f|u|^{p^{*}}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that it is not entirely clear whether $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$is nonempty for general $g, h$ and $f$ To show that $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-} \neq \emptyset$, we introduce other conditions on $g, f$ and $h$.
(f1) $f(0)=\|f\|_{\infty}$ and for some $r>0, f(x)>0$ for $x \in B(0,2 r)$,
(h1) $\quad h(x) \geqslant h_{0}>0$ in $B(0,2 r)$,
(g1) $g(x) \geqslant g_{0}>0$ in $B(0,2 r)$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose (f0), (f1), (g0), (g1), (h0) and (h1) hold. Then for $\lambda>0$ in any bounded interval, there exists $\alpha_{1}>0$ such that $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-} \neq \emptyset$ provided $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Define, for $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{\psi(x)}{\left(\varepsilon+|x|^{p /(p-1)}\right)^{(N-p) / p}}, \quad v_{e}(x)=\frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right\|_{p^{+}}}
$$

where $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B(0,2 r))$ is such that $0 \leqslant \psi(x) \leqslant 1$ and $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ on $B(0, r)$.
Consider for $t>0$,

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)=t^{p} J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)-\alpha t^{q} \int h\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}-t^{p^{*}} \int f\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{*}}
$$

Let $s_{\alpha}(t)=a t^{p}-\alpha b t^{4}-c t^{p}$, with $a=J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right), b=\int h\left|v_{\epsilon}\right|^{4}$ and $c=\int f\left|v_{e}\right|^{p}$. It is clear that $b>0, c>0$. By continuous dependence of the principal eigenvalue on the domain, $a>0$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. Fix this $\varepsilon$ so $a, b, c$ are fixed and let $\alpha$ vary. One easily sees that $s_{\alpha}(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, as $b \rightarrow 0$, $s_{\alpha}(t) \rightarrow s_{0}(t)=a t^{p}-c t^{p^{*}}$ in $C$ with respect to $t$ Let $t_{0}$ be such that $s_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $s_{0}(t)>0$ for $t<t_{0}$. Then $s_{0}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$. By $C^{1}$ convergence of $s_{\alpha}$ to $s_{0}$, we easily conclude that there exist $\alpha_{1}>0$ and $\tau>0$, such that if $0<\alpha<\alpha_{1}, s_{\alpha x}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $s_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)<0$ for some $t_{\alpha} \in\left(t_{0}-\tau, t_{0}+\tau\right)$, that is, $t_{\alpha} v_{\varepsilon} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$. This completes the proof.

Next we study the geometry of the set $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$for $\lambda>0$. We will seek a critical point of $I_{\lambda}$ on $\Lambda_{\lambda}$. Observe that for any $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{1}{N} \int f|u|^{p^{*}}+\alpha\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int h|u|^{q}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\frac{1}{N} J_{\lambda}(u)-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \int h|u|^{q}
\end{align*}
$$

We also assume that $\left\|u_{1}^{+}\right\|=1$
The next lemma requires the following conditions
(f2) $\int f\left(u_{1}^{+}\right)^{p^{*}}<0$.
(h2) $\int h\left(u_{1}^{+}\right)^{q}>0$
Lemma 2.3. Assume $p>q,(\mathrm{f0}),(\mathrm{f} 1),(\mathrm{f} 2),(\mathrm{g} 0),(\mathrm{g} 1),(\mathrm{h} 0),(\mathrm{h} 1)$ and ( h 2 ) hold. Then there exist $\lambda^{*}>\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha_{2}>0$ with $\alpha_{2} \leqslant \alpha_{1}$, such that for any $\bar{\lambda} \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$, there exists $\sigma>0$, such that for any $\lambda \in\left[\bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{2}\right)$, we have $J_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant \sigma\|u\|^{p}$ for any $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$.

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Suppose there exist $\lambda_{n}, \alpha_{n}$ and $u_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda_{n}}^{-}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \hat{\lambda} \in\left[\hat{\lambda}, \lambda_{i}^{+}\right], \quad J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<\frac{1}{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We explicitly note that here $\Lambda_{\lambda_{n}}^{-}$also depends on $\alpha_{n}$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Without loss of generality we may assume $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}$ weakly in $V$. Then we have $\int g^{+}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p} \rightarrow$ $\int g^{+}\left|v_{0}\right|^{p}$ by compactness. We then derive by weak lower semicontinuity of the norm that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leqslant \int\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{p}-\hat{\lambda} \int g^{+}\left|v_{0}\right|^{p}+\hat{\lambda} \int g-\left|v_{0}\right|^{p} \\
& \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p}-\lambda_{n} \int g^{+}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p}+\lambda_{n} \int g\left|v_{n}\right|^{p}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right) \leqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

There are two possibilities: (1) $v_{0}=0$, and (2) $v_{0}=k u_{1}^{+}$for some $k \neq 0$, and $\hat{\lambda}=\lambda_{1}^{+}$If $v_{0}=0$, it follows from (2.6) that $\int\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p} \rightarrow 0$ and $\int g^{-}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p} \rightarrow 0$. Thus $v_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $V$, contradicting $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$. If $v_{0}=k u_{1}^{+}$for some $k \neq 0$, and $\hat{\lambda}=\lambda_{1}^{+}$, then we have, by the weak convergence of $v_{n}$ to $k u_{1}^{+}$and (2.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}^{+} \int g^{+}\left|k u_{1}^{+}\right|^{p} & =\int\left(\left.\left|\nabla k u_{1}^{+p}+\lambda_{1}^{+} g^{-}\right| k_{1}^{+}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& \leqslant \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p}+\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \int g^{-}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p} \\
\leqslant & \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left(\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p}+\lambda_{n} g\left|v_{n}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} \int g^{+}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p}=\lambda_{1}^{+} \int g^{+}\left|k u_{1}^{+}\right|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p}=\left.\int \nabla k u_{1}^{+}\left|p, \quad \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\liminf } \int g\right| v_{n}\right|^{p}=\int g\left|k u_{1}^{+}\right|^{p}
$$

We deduce that (passing to a subsequence if necessary) $v_{n} \rightarrow k u_{1}^{+}$strongly in $V$. We then derive from (2.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-p^{*}} J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)<\frac{p^{*}-q}{p-q} \int f\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \rightarrow \frac{p^{*}-q}{p-q} \int f\left|k u_{1}^{+}\right|^{p^{*}}<0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts (2.6) if $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \nrightarrow 0$ or $J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \geqslant 0$. Suppose $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<0$. It follows from (2.3) that $\int h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}<0$. That is, $\int h\left|v_{n}\right|^{q} \leqslant 0$, which contradicts (h2). This proves the lemma.

Remark 2.4. For $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right.$), conditions (f2) and (h2) are not needed because $J_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant 0$ for all $u$. Assumptions (f2) and (h2) are introduced to compensate for the possibility that $J_{\lambda}(u)$ is negative:

Lemma 2.5. Assume $p>q,(\mathrm{f0}),(\mathrm{fl}),(\mathrm{f} 2),(\mathrm{g} 0),(\mathrm{g} 1),(\mathrm{h} 0),(\mathrm{h} 1)$ and (h2) hold. For any $\bar{\lambda} \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$, there exist $\varrho>0$ and $\alpha^{*}>0$ with $\alpha^{*} \leqslant \alpha_{2}$, such that for any $\lambda \in\left[\bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{*}\right), \alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$ and $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$, we have - $\left\langle\bar{\Psi}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \geqslant \varrho$.
Proof. We first claim that there exists $\zeta>0$, independent of $\lambda$, such that $\|u\|>\zeta$ for all $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$. If this were not true, then for some $u_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda_{n}}^{-}, \lambda_{n} \in\left[\bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{*}\right)$, $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Dividing (2.3) by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}$ we obtain, using Lemma 2.3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0<\sigma \leqslant J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)<\frac{p^{*}-q}{p-q} \int f \right\rvert\, v_{n}\left\|^{p^{*}} \cdot\right\| u_{n} \|^{p^{*}-p} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction, where $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$.
Now, by Young's inequality and Lemma 2.3, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle & =\left(p^{*}-p\right) J_{\lambda}(u)-\alpha\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int h|u|^{q} \\
& \geqslant\left(p^{*}-p\right) \sigma\|u\|^{p}-\alpha\left(p^{*}-q\right)\|h\|_{Q}\|u\|^{q} \\
& \geqslant\left(\left(p^{*}-p\right) \sigma-\varepsilon\right) \zeta^{p}-\alpha C_{\varepsilon}\|h\|_{Q}^{p /(p-q)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.
Corollary 2.6. Under the conditions of Lemma 2.5, for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$, there exists $\alpha^{*}>0$ such that $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$is a closed set for $\lambda \in\left[\bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ provided $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$.
3. Proof of existence of solutions for $1<q<p$

Lemma 3.1. Assume (f0), (f1), (f2),(g0),(g1),(h0),(h1) and (h2) hold. Then $I_{\lambda}$ is bounded below on $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$, where $\alpha^{*}$ and $\lambda^{*}$ are given in Lemma 2.5 .

Proof. Suppose for some $u_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}, I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$. Then $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $u_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}, \int f\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}>0$ by (2.3) and Lemma 2.3. Dividing $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)$ by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}$ we obtain from (2.4) that

$$
\frac{I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}}=\frac{1}{N} \int f\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}},\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}-p}-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right) \int h\left|v_{n}\right|^{q} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q-p} \rightarrow \ell \leqslant 0
$$

with $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. It then follows that $\int f\left|v_{n}\right| p^{*},\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}-p} \rightarrow N \ell \leqslant 0$. On the other hand, dividing

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int f\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}+\alpha \int h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}
$$

by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}$ we obtain, using Lemma 2.3,

$$
0<\sigma \leqslant J_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)=\int f\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{\cdot}} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}-p}+\alpha \int h\left|v_{n}\right|^{q},\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q-p} \rightarrow N \ell \leqslant 0
$$

a contradiction. So $I_{\lambda}$ is bounded below on $\Lambda_{\lambda}$.
Thus we can define $c_{0}=\inf I_{\lambda}(u)$.
Lemma 3.2. Assume (f0), (f1), (f2), (g0), (g1), (h0), (h1) and (h2) hold. Then for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right), \alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$of $I_{\lambda}$ on $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$which converges weakly to a solution $u$ of $(1.1)_{\lambda}$

Proof. We first show that any minimizing sequence of $I_{\lambda}$ on $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$is bounded. Suppose $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is an unbounded minimizing sequence of $I_{\lambda}$ on $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$. Dividing $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)$ by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q}$, we conclude that, since $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded, $\int f\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{2}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{-q}$ is bounded by (2.4). Thus $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{-q}$ is also bounded by (2.3). Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. Then $\sigma \leqslant J_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction. Thus any minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$is bounded.
Since $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$is a closed set by Corollary 2.6, it follows from Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.1 of [9] that we can replace $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ by another minimizing sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$such that $\left\|u_{n}-z_{n}\right\|<1 / n$, and for any $y \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(y)>I_{\lambda}\left(z_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\left\|y-z_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to show that $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Choose $w_{n}$ of unit norm so that

$$
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle \geqslant\left\|I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\|-o(1)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It will suffice to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $n$, let $g_{n}(t, s)=\Psi_{\lambda}\left(t z_{n}-s w_{n}\right)$. Then $g_{n}(1,0)=0$ and

$$
\frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial t}=\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}\right\rangle \neq 0 \text { at } t=1, s=0
$$

It follows from the $C^{1}$ Implicit Function Theorem that for each $n$, for small enough $s$, there exists $t_{n} \in C^{1}$ so that $\Psi_{\lambda}\left(t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}\right)=0$, i.e. $t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}\right\rangle t_{n}^{\prime}(0)-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z_{n}$ is a bounded sequence, so is $\left\|\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\|$, and we then conclude from (3.3) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}^{\prime}(0) \text { is uniformly bounded in } n \text {. } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We fix $n$, and consider $v_{n}(s)=t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}-z_{n}$. Since $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}(s)\right\| \leqslant|s|\left(1+\left(\left|t_{n}^{\prime}(0)+o(1)\right|\right)\left\|z_{n}\right\|\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. Moreover $z_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$ gives $\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}\right\rangle=0$, so
(3.6) $I_{\lambda}\left(z_{n}\right)-I_{\lambda}\left(t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}\right)=\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right),-v_{n}(s)\right\rangle+o\left(v_{n}(s)\right)=\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), s w_{n}\right\rangle+o(s)$
follows from (3.5). By continuity of $\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle$, we have

$$
\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}\right), t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), \sim_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0
$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. We then conclude from this and Lemma 3.4 that

$$
\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}\right), t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}\right\rangle<0
$$

for $s$ small enough, so $t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$.
Dividing (3.6) by $s$ and using (3.1) with $y=t_{n}(s) z_{n}-s w_{n}$ and (3.5), we obtain

$$
\left|\left\langle I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle\right| \leqslant n^{-1}\left(1+\left(\left|t_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)\left\|z_{n}\right\|\right)+o(1)
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that $\left(I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), w_{n}\right)$ tends to zero by boundedness of $z_{n}$. and (3.4). This establishes (3.2).

Assume now that $z_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $V$. We have, then, as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 of $[5]$, since $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, that $u$ is a weak solution of $(1.1)_{\lambda}$, i.e.,

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g|u|^{p-2} u+\alpha h|u|^{q-2} u+f|u|^{p^{*}-2} u
$$

in $V$. This proves the lemma.
Thus we have obtained a weak solution of $(1.1)_{\lambda}$. To show that this solution is nontrivial, we need some preparation. Let $S$ be the best Sobolev constant, i.e.,

$$
S=\inf \left\{\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p}}{\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p}}, u \in W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right\},
$$

and $S_{0}=S^{N / p}\|f\|_{\infty}^{(p-N) / p} / N$. Recall the concentration-compactness principle of P.L. Lions ([8]).

Proposition 3.3. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to $u$ in $D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}$ and $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}$ converge weakly to nonnegative measures $\nu$ and $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ respectively, Then, for some at most countable set $J$, we have
(i) $\nu=|u|^{p^{*}}+\sum_{j \in J} \nu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}$;
(ii) $\mu \geqslant|\nabla u|^{p}+\sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}$;
(iii) $S \nu_{j}^{p / p^{*}} \leqslant \mu_{j}$,
where $x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \delta_{x_{j}}$ is the Dirac measure at $x_{j}$, and $\nu_{j}$ and $\mu_{j}$ are nonnegative constants.

Lemma 3.4. Assume (f0), (f1), (f2), (g0), (g1), (h0), (h1) and (h2) hold. For $\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$, any minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $I_{\lambda}$ on $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$satisfying $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)<S_{0}$ either converges strongly to a solution $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$, hence $u \neq 0$, or converges weakly to a nontrivial solution $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be such a minimizing sequence. We can assume without loss of generality that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded (cf. Lemma 3.2).

Assume that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $V$. We conclude as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 of [5] that

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g|u|^{p-2} u+f|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+\alpha h|u|^{q-2} u
$$

in $V$, that is, $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u)=0$ and hence $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$.
Suppose that $u_{n} \nrightarrow u$ strongly in $V$ and $u=0$. Then for some $j, v_{j}$ given by Proposition 3.3 is not zero. We obtain, using the fact that $\int h\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} \rightarrow 0$ (cf. Proposition 2.3 of [5]),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}>I_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \int f\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}+\alpha\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int u\left|u_{n}\right|^{q} \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in J} f\left(x_{j}\right) \nu_{j} \geqslant \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \in J} \frac{S^{N / p}}{f\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-p) / p}} \geqslant S_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. Here we used the facts that $f\left(x_{j}\right) \nu_{j}=\mu_{j}$ and $\nu_{j} \geqslant\left(S / f\left(x_{j}\right)\right)^{N / p}$. which follow from the proof of Proposition 2.4 of [5]. This proves the lemma.

We need more conditions on $f$. Assume
(f3) for $x \in B(0,2 r)$,

$$
f(x)=f(0)+o\left(|x|^{k}\right), \quad k=\frac{N}{q} \text { if } q \geqslant \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \quad k=\frac{N-p}{p-1} \text { if } q<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}
$$

or
$(\mathrm{f} 3)^{\prime}$ for $x \in B(0,2 r)$,

$$
f(x)=f(0)+o\left(|x|^{\delta}\right), \quad \delta=\frac{N-p}{p-1}
$$

Lemma 3.5. Assume (f0), (f1), (f2), (f3) or (f3)', (g0), (g1), (h0) and (h1) hold. Then for $\lambda>0$, and $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \geqslant 0} I_{\lambda}\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{1}{N} S^{N / p}\|f\|_{\infty}^{(p-N) / p}=S_{0} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{\varepsilon}$ is given in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Proof. Our proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1 of [12]. Recall for $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{\psi(x)}{\left(\varepsilon+|x|^{p /(p-1)}\right)^{(N-p) / p}}, \quad v_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right\|_{p^{*}}^{2}}
$$

where $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(B(0,2 r))$ is such that $0 \leqslant \psi(x) \leqslant 1$ and $\psi(x) \leqslant 1$ on $B(0, r)$.
Calculations show that (cf. the proof of Lemma 5.6 in [5])
(3.8)

$$
\int\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{t}= \begin{cases}K \varepsilon^{(N(p-t)+t p)(p-1) / p^{2}}, & \text { if } t>\frac{p^{*}}{p^{\prime}} \\ K \varepsilon^{N(p-1) / p^{2}}|\ln \varepsilon|, & \text { if } t=\frac{p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}} \\ K \varepsilon^{t(N-p) / p^{2}}, & \text { if } t<\frac{p^{*}}{p^{\prime}},\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\int\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{t}= \begin{cases}K^{\prime} \varepsilon^{N(p-t)(p-1) / p^{2}}, & \text { if } t>\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1},  \tag{3.9}\\ K^{\prime} \varepsilon^{t(N-p) / p^{2}}|\ln \varepsilon|, & \text { if } t=\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1}, \\ K^{\prime} \varepsilon^{t(N-p) / p^{2},} & \text { if } t<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-1},\end{cases}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\int\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|= \begin{cases}K \varepsilon^{(N-p) / p^{2}}, & \text { if } p>\frac{2 N}{N+1},  \tag{3.10}\\ K \varepsilon(N-p) / p^{2}|\ln \varepsilon|, & \text { if } p=\frac{2 N}{N+1}, \\ K \varepsilon(N(p-1)+p)(p-1) / p^{2}, & \text { if } p<\frac{2 N}{N+1},\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}= & \begin{cases}K \varepsilon^{p-1} & p^{2}<N \\
K \varepsilon^{p-1}|\ln \varepsilon| & p^{2}=N \\
K \varepsilon^{(N-p) / p}, & p^{2}>N\end{cases}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left\{v_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}=1}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\int\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|= \begin{cases}K^{\prime} \varepsilon^{(N-p) / p^{2},} & \text { if } p>\frac{2 N-1}{N},  \tag{3.12}\\ K^{\prime} \varepsilon^{(N-p) / p^{2}|\ln \varepsilon|,} & \text { if } p=\frac{2 N-1}{N}, \\ K^{\prime} \varepsilon^{N(p-1)^{2} / p^{2},} & \text { if } p<\frac{2 N-1}{N}\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-1}=K^{\prime} \varepsilon^{(N-p)(p-1) / p^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}=\frac{\int\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}}{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p}}=\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{(N-p) / p}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1} / K_{2}=S$.
Note that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, $J_{\lambda}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)>0$, so $I_{\lambda}\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ attains its maximum at some $t_{\varepsilon} \in(0, \infty)$ with $s^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$, where $s(t)=I_{\lambda}\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)$. That is,

$$
0=s^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=t_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}\left(\int\left(\left|\nabla v_{e}\right|^{p}-\lambda g\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}\right)-\alpha t_{\varepsilon}^{q-p} \int h\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}-t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-p} \int f\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{*}}\right)
$$

Thus, by (g1) and (h1)

$$
t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-p} \leqslant \frac{\int\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}}{f\left(x^{*}\right) \int\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{*}}}
$$

where $f\left(x^{*}\right)=\inf _{x \in B(0, r)} f(x)>0$. It then follows that $t_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded from above. We may also assume that $t_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded from below, otherwise $I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right)=\sup _{t \geqslant 0} I_{\lambda}\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)=E(\varepsilon)-F(\varepsilon)+V(\varepsilon) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(\varepsilon)=\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{p}}{p} \int\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}-\frac{f(0) t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int v_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}} \\
& F(\varepsilon)=\frac{\lambda t_{\varepsilon}^{p}}{p} \int g v_{\varepsilon}^{p}+\alpha \frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{q} \int h v_{\varepsilon}^{q}, \\
& V(\varepsilon)=\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int(f(0)-f(x)) v_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum of $a p^{-1} t^{p}-b\left(p^{*}\right)^{-1} t^{p^{*}}$ is achieved at $t=(a / b)^{(N-p) / p^{2}}$ for positive $a, b$, so
$E(\varepsilon) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)[f(0)]^{(p-N) / p}\left[\int\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p}\right]^{N / p}\left[\int v_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}}\right]^{-N / p^{*}}=\frac{1}{N} S^{N / p}\|f\|_{\infty}^{(p-N) / p}$
We also have, for $k$ and $\delta$ given in $(\mathrm{f} 3)$ and $(\mathrm{f} 3)^{\prime}$ respectively,

$$
V_{\varepsilon}=O\left(\varepsilon^{k(p-1) / p}\right), O\left(\varepsilon^{\delta(p-1) / p}\right)
$$

Assuming (f3) holds, we estimate, using the fact that $t_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded from below;

$$
F(\varepsilon) \geqslant \alpha h_{0} \int v_{\varepsilon}^{q}= \begin{cases}K \varepsilon^{[N(p-q)+q p](p-1) / p^{2},}, & \text { if } q>\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ K \varepsilon^{N(p-1) / p^{2}}|\ln \varepsilon|, \quad & \text { if } q=\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ K \varepsilon^{q(N-p) / p^{2},}, & \text { if } q<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p},\end{cases}
$$

From (f3) we derive that for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, $F(\varepsilon)$ dominates $V(\varepsilon)$. Thus we conclude from the above that, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and $K>0$,

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \begin{cases}S_{0}-K \varepsilon^{(N(p-q)+q p)(p-1) / p^{2}}, & \text { if } q>\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p},  \tag{3.16}\\ S_{0}-K \varepsilon^{N(p-1) / p^{2}}|\ln \varepsilon|, & \text { if } q=\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}, \\ S_{0}-K \varepsilon^{q(N-p) / p^{2}}, & \text { if } q<\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p},\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand, assume (f3)' holds. We have

$$
F(\varepsilon) \geqslant g_{0} \int v_{\varepsilon}^{p}= \begin{cases}K \varepsilon^{p-1}, & \text { if } p^{2}<N \\ K \varepsilon^{p-1}|\ln \varepsilon|, & \text { if } p^{2}=N \\ K \varepsilon^{(N-p) / p,} & \text { if } p^{2}>N\end{cases}
$$

Since $p-1 \leqslant(N-p) / p$ for $p^{2} \leqslant N$ and $\delta(p-1) / p>(N-p) / p$ for $p^{2}>N$ by $(\mathrm{f} 3)^{\prime}$, $F(\varepsilon)$ dominates $V(\varepsilon)$. Again we have
$(3.16)^{\prime}$

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant \begin{cases}S_{0}-K \varepsilon^{p-1}, & \text { if } p^{2}<N \\ S_{0}-K \varepsilon^{p-1}|\ln \varepsilon|, & \text { if } p^{2}=N \\ S_{0}-K \varepsilon^{(N-p) / p,} & \text { if } p^{2}>N\end{cases}
$$

The lemma then follows.
Lemma 3.6. Assume (f0), (f1), (f2), (f3) or (f3), (g0), (g1), (h0), (h1), (h2), and $\lambda$ and $\alpha$ as in Lemma 2.5. Then $c_{0}=\inf _{\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}} I_{\lambda}(u)<S_{0}$.
This lemma follows from Lemma 3.5 and the fact that $t_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$for some $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.2). Thus we have proved, via Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6, the existence of a nonnegative solution. The next result shows that the solution is actually positive.

Proposition 3.7. Let $u$ be a nonnegative solution of $(1.1)_{\lambda}$ with $q \leqslant p^{*}$. Then $u>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

The proof is essentially as that of Lemma 4.3 of [12] and is omitted.
Now we can state our main result.
Theorem 3.8. Assume that (f0), (f1), (f2), (f3) or (f3)', (g0), (g1), (h0), (h1), and (h2) hold. Then there exist $\lambda^{*}>\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha^{*}>0$, so that the problem

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\alpha h(x)|u|^{q-2} u+f(x)|u|^{p^{*}-2} u
$$

has at least one positive solution in $V$ for any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}^{+}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$.
4. THE CASE $p<q<p^{*}$ AND SOME REMARKS

For this case, the set $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$is defined as in (2.3). We first have the following result.

Lemma 4.1. Assume supp $f^{+} \cap$ supp $h^{+}$contains an open set. Then $\Lambda_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ for $\lambda>0$.

Proof. Suppose supp $f^{+} \cap$ supp $h^{+}$contains an open set $B$ and let $\varphi>0$ be such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset B$ with $J_{\lambda}(\varphi)>0$. Such $\varphi$ exists as explained in the proof of Lemma 2.2. For $t>0$, we have

$$
\Psi(t \varphi)=t^{p} J_{\lambda}(\varphi)-\alpha t^{q} \int h \varphi^{q}-t^{p^{*}} \int f \varphi^{p^{-}}
$$

Let again $s(t)=a t^{p}-\alpha b t^{q}-c t^{p^{*}}$, with $a=J_{\lambda}(\varphi)>0, b=\int h \varphi^{q}>0$ and $c=\int f \varphi^{P^{*}}>0$. Obviously $s(t)>0$ for $t>0$ small and $s(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $s\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) & =t_{0}^{q-1}\left(p a t_{0}^{p-q}-\alpha q b-p^{*} c t_{0}^{p^{*}-q}\right) \\
& =t_{0}^{q-1}\left[\alpha(p-q) b-\left(p^{*}-p\right) c t_{0}^{p^{*}-q}\right]<0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $p<q$. That is, $t_{0} \varphi \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$. This concludes the proof,
Remark 4.2. Note that (f1) and (h1) imply that supp $f^{+} \cap$ supp $h^{+}$contains an open set. So we will assume for simplicity in the sequel that (f1) and (h1) hold. We also note that Lemma 4.1 holds if $h \equiv 0$.

Instead of (h2), we need
$(\mathrm{h} 2)^{\prime} \int h\left|u_{i}^{+}\right|^{q}<0$
Lemma 4.3. Assume (f0), (f1), (f2), (g0), (g1), (h0), (h1) and (h2)' hold. Then there exist $\lambda_{1}^{*}>\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha_{1}>0$ such that for any $\bar{\lambda} \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$, there exists $\sigma>0$, such that for any $\lambda \in\left[\bar{\lambda}, \lambda_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right), J_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant \sigma\|u\|^{p}$ for any $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$.

Proof. If the conclusion were false, there would exist $\lambda_{n}, \alpha_{n}$ and $u_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda_{n}}^{-}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \hat{\lambda} \in\left[\bar{\lambda}, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right], \quad J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<\frac{1}{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p} .
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow k u_{1}^{+}$for some $k \neq 0$. Then instead of (2.7) we have
(4.1) $\quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-p^{*}} J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)>\frac{p^{*}-q}{p-q} \int f\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \rightarrow \frac{p^{*}-q}{p-q} \int f\left|k u_{1}^{+}\right|^{p^{*}}>0$,
since $p<q$ and $\int f\left|k u_{i}^{+}\right|^{p^{*}}<0$ by (f2). If $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \nrightarrow 0$, then (4.1) contradicts the fact that $J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. If $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0,(4.1)$ implies that $J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)>0$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n} \int h\left|v_{n}\right|^{q}+\int f\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}},\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{-q}-q}=J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right) \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p-q}>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\int f\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}} \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}-q} \rightarrow 0$ since $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Inequality (4.2) then implies $\int h\left|v_{n}\right|^{\prime}>0$, contradicting $(\mathrm{h} 2)^{\prime}$. Thus the lemma is proved.

Remark 4.4. We point out that Lemma 4,3 holds if $h \equiv 0$.
The reason is that instead of (4.2), we now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int f \mid v_{n} p^{p^{*}} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}-p}=J_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to a contradiction again.
Lemma 4.5. Assume that (f0), (f1), (f2), (g0), (g1), (h0), (h1) and (h2)' hold. Then for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right)$,
(i) $I_{\lambda}(u)>0$ for any $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$,
(ii) any minimizing sequence of $I_{\lambda}$ on $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$is uniformly bounded.

Proof. We observe that, for $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$, from (2.4) and Lemma 4.3,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{1}{N} J_{\lambda}(u)-\alpha \frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*} q} \int h|u|^{q}  \tag{4.3}\\
& >\left[\frac{1}{N}-\frac{p^{*}-p}{q p^{*}}\right] J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{q-p}{N q} J_{\lambda}(u) \geqslant \frac{q-p}{N q} \sigma\|u\|^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\sigma$ only depends on $\alpha_{1}$ and $\lambda^{*}$, the conclusions then follow directly. This completes the proof.
Now, by Lemma 4.5, there exists $R>0$, so that for any $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, for any minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$of $I_{\lambda}$ (here $I_{\lambda}$ also depends on $\alpha$ ), we can assume that, by taking a subsequence if necessary, $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leqslant R$. Define $U_{R}=\{u \in$ $V:\|u\| \leqslant R\}$.

Lemma 4.6. Assume (f0), (f1), (f2), (g0), (g1), (h0), (h1) and (h2)' hold. For any $\bar{\lambda} \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$, there exist $\varrho>0$ and $\alpha^{*}$ with $\alpha^{*} \leqslant \alpha_{1}$, such that for any $\lambda \in\left[\bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{*}\right)$, $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$ and $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-} \cap U_{2 R},-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \geqslant \varrho$.

Proof. We first show that for some $\eta>0$, depending only on $\alpha_{1}$ and $\lambda^{*}$, $\|u\| \geqslant \eta$ for $u \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$. Indeed, if for some $u_{n} \in \Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}, u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then we have, by

Lemma 4.3,

$$
0<\sigma \leqslant J_{\lambda}\left(v_{n}\right)=\alpha \int h\left|v_{n}\right|^{q} \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{q-p}+\int f\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}},\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}-p} \rightarrow 0
$$

a contradiction, where $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$.
Using Lemma 4.3 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle & =\left(p^{*}-p\right) J_{\lambda}(u)-\alpha\left(p^{*}-q\right) \int h|u|^{q} \\
& \geqslant\left(p^{*}-p\right) J_{\lambda}(u)-\alpha\left(p^{*}-q\right)\|h\|_{Q}\|u\|^{q} \\
& \geqslant\left(p^{*}-p\right) \sigma \eta^{p}-\alpha\left(p^{*}-q\right)\|h\|_{Q}(2 R)^{q}>c>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha$ small enough. The lemma is proved.
Lemma 4.6 implies that $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-} \cap U_{2 R}$ is a closed set (in fact one can prove that $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ is a closed set). Replacing $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-}$by $\Lambda_{\lambda}^{-} \cap U_{2 R}$, and noting that any minimizing sequence in $\Lambda_{\lambda} \cap U_{2 R}$ will be a positive distance from the boundary $\|u\|=2 R$, we can check straightforwardly that the proofs of Lemmas $3.2,3.4,3.5$, and 3.6 remain valid. So we can state our result

Theorem 4.7. Assume that $(\mathrm{f0} 0),(\mathrm{f} 1),(\mathrm{f} 2),(\mathrm{f} 3)$ or $(\mathrm{f} 3)^{\prime},(\mathrm{g} 0),(\mathrm{g} 1),(\mathrm{h} 0),(\mathrm{h} 1)$, and $(\mathrm{h} 2)^{\prime}$ hold. Then there exist $\lambda^{*}>\lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\alpha^{*}>0$, such that for any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}^{+}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$, the problem

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\alpha h(x)|u|^{q-2} u+f(x)|u|^{p^{*}-2} u
$$

has at least one positive solution in $V$.
Remark 4.8. As we remarked earlier, for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}^{+}\right)$, Theorems 3.8 and 4.7 hold without the integral conditions (f2), (h2) and (h2), and can be proved via Mountain Pass argument. $\mathrm{Cf} .[1],[2],[7]$ and $[12]$.

Remark 4.9. We note that the proofs are applicable to Dirichlet problems on bounded domains and similar results hold. We can also deal with

$$
-\Delta_{p} u+a(x)|u|^{p-2} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u+\alpha h(x)|u|^{q-2} u+f(x)|u|^{p^{*}-2} u
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $a(x) \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), a(x) \geqslant 0$.
Remark 4.10. Similarly, one can consider the negative principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{-}<0$ given by

$$
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda g(x)|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \quad \int g(x)|u|^{p}<0
$$

Existence of positive solutions of $(1.1)_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda<0$ can be obtained provided conditions similar to (f2), (h2) and (h2) hold.
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